INTRODUCTION

Overactive bladder syndrome (OAB) is a chronic condition, which has for patients a large social burden. It is a problem which affects the quality of life of patients significantly and comes with large financial costs for patients and our healthcare system [1,2]. According to the ICS terminology, patients with OAB have urinary urgency, usually accompanied by frequency and nocturia, with or without urgency incontinence, in the absence of urinary tract infection or other obvious pathology [3].

OAB can be treated by tibial nerve stimulation. Acupuncture is perhaps the oldest form of neuromodulation since the practice of stimulating energy streams comes very close to genuine tibial nerve stimulation [4,5]. This technique was introduced more than 2000 years ago in Chinese medicine [5]. Wilhelmus Ten Rhyne was the first physician who published about this way of treatment in his ‘Dissertatio de Arthritide: Mantissa Schematica: De Acupunctura: Et orationes tres’. During this treatment with fine needles on certain preestablished points, one tries to restore the ‘energetic harmony’ by stimulating the Sanyinjiao point (SP-6) [6]. In case of tibial nerve stimulation, the tibial nerve is stimulated most likely at exactly the same spot as SP-6. It is likely that with electro-acupuncture, the same physiological effects are obtained as with posterior tibial nerve stimulation [7].

The present review will focus on the technical aspects, advantages, drawbacks, and limitations of the latest available applications of posterior tibial nerve stimulation. We will investigate the ideal form...
of tibial nerve stimulation based on latest applications. The ideal form of tibial nerve stimulation is in our opinion home-based treatment and easy to operate for the patient. An implant, from our point of view should be easy to implant and should have an external energy source. Preferable, the implant has a long lifespan without surgical re-interventions, no leads and a minimal chance of migration. Moreover, there should be no interference with other diagnostics or treatments and accessible in terms of costs of the treatment.

**PERCUTANEOUS TIBIAL NERVE STIMULATION**

Since the introduction of percutaneous tibial nerve stimulation (PTNS) in 1999, a lot of studies evaluated the efficacy and therapeutic outcomes of PTNS in the treatment of OAB. During the past years, the way of performing the treatment has not been changed. Pulse width and frequency are fixed, respectively at 200 μs and 20 Hz. However, pulse intensity can be changed during treatment up to 9 mA [8].

Most studies are performed by using the Urgent PC system. However recently Kobashi et al. published their data about a new minimally invasive option using the NURO system (Medtronic, Minneapolis, MN). In their single-arm study they investigated the efficacy of percutaneous tibial neuromodulation (PTNM) after 12 weekly sessions (30 min) [9*]. They included 121 patients in their study (mean age 64.8). 116 patients completed all 12 sessions. Urge urinary incontinence (UUI) episodes decreased significantly with 2.4 after 12 sessions. A UUI responder rate (defined as >50% reduction in UUI episodes comparing to baseline) of 77.6% was shown and a complete continence rate of 39.7%. There were no serious adverse events noted. Adverse events mentioned were mainly device site pain (3.3%, 4/121) and pain in the extremity (3.3%, 4/121) [9*]. Parameter settings were not included in the published data.

Similar to the Urgent-PC, the NURO system still holds the main disadvantage of the time investment for the patients. First, patients have to undergo 12 weekly treatments, followed by maintenance treatments depending on their clinical symptoms. Typically, maintenance PTNS is performed once a month in the hospital, as PTNS cannot be done by patients in their own environment [10]. Another objection for patients could be the ‘needle’ in the ankle during every treatment.

However, recent research from Cardozo et al. describes patient’s preferences in the treatment of refractory overactive bladder. In their study they found that 98% of their study population (N = 127) was willing to try PTNS and that in 57% PTNS was the most preferred therapeutic option after oral medication, compared to 34% for sacral neuromodulation and 9% for Botulin toxin injection [11].

**TRANSCUTANEOUS TIBIAL NERVE STIMULATION**

**GEKO**

The GEKO (Firstkind Limited, Buckinghamshire, UK) was introduced as a self-applicating skin-adhering ambulatory device. The tibial nerve is transcutaneous stimulated like with TENS however the GEKO is self-applicating which increases the mobility of patients during treatment comparing to other TENS systems. It was originally developed for the prevention of deep vein thrombosis [12,13]. Recently fecal incontinence and OAB have been studied in a pilot trial exploring outcomes [14**]. In this open label OAB study, patients were randomized between once daily or once weekly treatment sessions of 30 min. The area of stimulation was 5 cm cephalad to the medial malleolus. The parameters during treatment were set at an amplitude of 27 mA and a frequency of 1 Hz. The pulse width was increased between 70 and 560 μs (seven settings) [14**].

Seth et al. reported a responders rate of 53% based on global response assessment (GRA) and International Consultations on Incontinence Questionnaires (ICIQ-OAB and ICIQ-LUTS). In their 3-day voiding diary outcomes, they did not show any significant difference between their groups (weekly treatment vs. daily). Number of leakages in their combined group decreased to 1.3/24 h after 12 weeks of treatment versus 2.5/24 h at baseline.

The advantage of the system is the noninvasive nature and high rates of patient satisfaction in usability terms [14**]. Disadvantages of this modality may be the fixed parameter settings and the loss
of efficacy because of higher impedance of the skin. In addition, patients have to attach the device by themselves which can lead to suboptimal positioning and less effective treatment.

Further investigation of this system’s efficacy in the field of OAB is warranted to compare the GEKO system to other published treatment modalities and controls.

**TIBIAL NERVE IMPLANTS FOR TREATMENT OF OVERACTIVE BLADDER SYNDROME**

**Bluewind RENOVA system**

The Bluewind RENOVA system is a wireless battery-free tibial nerve stimulation system. The system consists of three components: implant, external control unit (ECU), and clinician programmer. Patients are provided with the stimulator, a 25 mm implant with small fixating wings to prevent migration of the implant. The implant is fixated near the tibial nerve, in an open surgical procedure under local anesthesia. Following recovery, the clinician programmer is used to program the ECU for treatment. Patient-specific treatment set-up can be selected by the clinician based on motor and/or sensory response to optimize therapeutic outcome. Treatment set-up can be set with a pulse width of between 50 and 800 ms, a frequency of up to 40 Hz, and an amplitude range of 0 to 9 mA. Patients wear the ECU only during treatment sessions. The ECU provides the implant with the energy needed (closed-loop system) for treatment and allows the patient to adjust the amplitude in a range between the minimum and maximum tolerable level set by the clinician [15**,16].

In a first study, a response rate of 71% during 6 months follow up (FU) period was described. One procedure related serious adverse event occurred that lead to explantation of the device. Recently, 3-year results were published. Seventy-five percentage of the patients who continued in an extended study were still responders after 36-months post-implantation. Clinic outcomes were published as well [18,20].

In a first study, a response rate of 71% during 6 months follow up (FU) period was described. One procedure related serious adverse event occurred that lead to explantation of the device. Recently, 3-year results were published. Seventy-five percentage of the patients who continued in an extended study were still responders after 36-months post-implantation. Clinic outcomes were published as well [18,20].

Advantage of the Bluewind RENOVA system is the closed loop system. As far as we know it is the only system which uses a closed-loop which ensures the transferred energy from ECU to implant is stable during treatment sessions. Another advantage of the system is a decreased risk of migrations is less because of the suture holes near the implant and its size.

Disadvantage of the system is the open surgery procedure. Compared to other percutaneous or injectable implants the open procedure, although minimally invasive, is more invasive than its counterparts.

**Bioness Stimrouter**

The Bioness Stimrouter neuromodulation system was initially intended for use in chronic pain patients. This device can be easily implanted under local anesthesia near the desired peripheral nerve [18,19]. The Bioness Stimrouter consists of a specially crafted lead that is transcutaneous powered by an external pulse transmitter (EPG). The implanted lead contains a receiver, electrodes and anchoring system [19]. During implantation ultrasound or fluoroscopic imaging may be used to assist lead placement of the stimulation probe which is used to perform a test stimulation in order to insure correct targeting of the peripheral nerve. After placement confirmation, the introducer set is placed over the stimulation probe and the probe is replaced with the stimulation lead. Finally, the proximal end of the lead is buried subcutaneously 2 cm from to the desired site of the EPG [18,20]. Patients wear the EPG on the skin during stimulation of the tibial nerve. A patient programmer can be used to change parameter settings and tracks usage [19]. Parameters which were used during the chronic pain study varied with phase duration 7–500 μ/s, pulse rate 1–200 Hz and treatment time 10 min up to 12 h [18]. During the chronic pain study no device related SAE were found, however five patients had the device explanted because of dissatisfaction with the efficacy, one because of development of chronic dermatitis/sensitivity to the electrode patch, and one lead rejection [18].

Advantage of the Stimrouter is the minimally invasive surgery to implant the lead designed with anchors to prevent migration. Patients do have only two small incisions in their lower leg. Another advantage of this system is the possibility to add up to eight different treatment/stimulation programs in the patient programmer. Because of this the treatment is patient specific and based on patient’s preferences.

Disadvantage of the system is the loss of energy because of the use of surface electrodes for energy transfer. The lead simply transfers the energy from the superficial end (close to EPT) to the electrodes, passively. Therefore, it is likely that the optimal amplitude will have a 5–10 times higher value in daily practice comparing to test stimulation during implantation.

At present, no studies of this neuromodulation system have been published in the field of OAB. A prospective, multicenter, randomized, double-blinded study is ongoing.

**eCoin**

One of the new implantable neuromodulation devices is the eCoin (Valencia Technologies Corp., Valencia, California). The implantation of the eCoin...
is a minimal invasive open procedure, whereby a leadless and battery powered device is implanted under local anesthesia to the medial aspect of the lower leg. The device is nickel sized in a 23 mm titanium case (diameter), thickness 2.4 mm.

After implantation, the device provides automatically 30-min treatment sessions every 2 days for 12 weeks. Afterwards, the intensity of treatment is lowered to every 15 days. There is no action from the patient necessary to start a treatment session, the device starts the treatment automatically. During the treatment sessions patients can adjust the amplitude from 0.5 to 15 mA with an external controller. Other parameters are fixed: 0.2 ms pulse width and 20 pulses per second [21]. Recently first 6 months results (N = 46) were published by MacDiarmid et al. During 6 months FU, 67% of the patients were defined as responders based on their 3-day voiding diary. Eleven of these patients reported during the 6 months FU that they did not experience any UUI episodes anymore. Patients reported an improvement of three folds of the minimal important difference base on the I-QOL and PGI-I at baseline and 6 months FU [21**]. Regarding safety issues, Macdiarmid et al. reported two cases of explantation of the device. One patient wished to undergo explantation following cellulitis and the second because the patient noted device migration 1 cm posterior which led to lack of stimulation [21**].

Advantage of this device is the relative short and easy implantation procedure compared to SNM or other devices. The battery powered system could be an advantage because it does not need patient involvement to be powered, which theoretically means 100% compliance. On the other hand, battery powered means it has to be replaced, which requires additional surgeries for patients – much like sacral neuromodulators, only more frequently because of the small size of the battery.

A disadvantage of the system is the fixed treatment session. Patients cannot increase the number of treatment sessions based on their own preferences/worsening of symptoms. The treatment session starts automatically (during maintenance treatment) every

### Table 1. Summary of technical aspects new implantable tibial nerve stimulators

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Size</strong></td>
<td>15 cm</td>
<td>15 cm</td>
<td>2.3 cm</td>
<td>2.5 cm</td>
<td>12 cm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Duration of stimulation</strong></td>
<td>Daily or weekly</td>
<td>Up to 12 h/day</td>
<td>30 min/2–15 days,</td>
<td>30 min t.i.d.</td>
<td>8 h/day</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Pulse width (μs)</strong></td>
<td>70–560</td>
<td>7–500</td>
<td>50–800</td>
<td>50–500</td>
<td>50–500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Pulse amplitude (mA)</strong></td>
<td>27</td>
<td>0.5–15</td>
<td>0–9</td>
<td>0–15</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Pulse rate (Hz)</strong></td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1–200</td>
<td>0–40</td>
<td>2–1500</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>External component</strong></td>
<td>TENS – External pulse generator with adhesive surface electrodes</td>
<td>Adhesive external pulse transmitter</td>
<td>Patient programmer</td>
<td>Wearable unit with leg band</td>
<td>External device in a sleeve at the ankle</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Energy transfer</strong></td>
<td>Transcutaneous</td>
<td>Electrical field through surface electrodes.</td>
<td>Battery powered.</td>
<td>Magnetic resonance 6.78 MHz ISM band Closed-loop</td>
<td>Magnetic resonance 915 MHz ISM band Open-loop</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
15 days, which could also be during a moment the patient is not in the position to undergo treatment. Another disadvantage is the possibility of migration, which could lead to decreased stimulation of the tibial nerve. This could be as well a challenge for the future. However long-term results are necessary to confirm efficacy and safety.

**Stimguard**

Another implantable device is the minimally invasive chronic device of StimGuard LLC. Patients receive an implanted stimulator with embedded receiver through a 5 mm skin incision. The energy source is a small, external, rechargeable transmitter, which is worn by the patient. The external energy source is connected to an external antenna and is worn near the internal antenna of the implant [22]. The system uses an open-loop system which implies the energy which is given from the wearable to the electrode is stable but not secondarily monitored by the external unit [23]. Patients are asked to use the implant during the night, with a maximum treatment durability of 8 h [24].

First published results were obtained from two groups of patients. Group 1 (2014) consisted of two male patients with neurogenic lower urinary tract dysfunction. The second group (2016) consisted of one male patient with spina bifida and five female patients with OAB. Both patients of the first group were completely dry 2 months postoperation. However, both of them quit because of deterioration of their comorbidity. In one of the patients, the electrode migrated. In the second group (N = 6), five patients reported improvement, UUI episodes decreased from 2.1/day at baseline to 2.5/month at 3 months postoperation. One male patient was a nonresponder and as result, was excluded because of lack of improvement [24].

The main benefit of this device is the possibility for patients to have their treatment overnight. However, migration could be a major problem, as noted in this small-scale reporting. Momentarily a study comparing StimGuard CAN-stim versus Medtronic Interstim is conducted.

**CONCLUSION**

In conclusion, new technologies are on their way in the field of tibial nerve stimulation for the treatment of OAB. Table 1 summarizes all devices with their technical specifications, advantages and disadvantages. The new developments focus on small, battery free devices that are easy to operate and can be positioned in the least invasive or noninvasive ways. The activation software should be flexible in fine-tuning and should also be able to give feedback on the use and optimization of the stimulation specifications. Based on our idea of ideal tibial nerve stimulation, we can conclude the ideal implant is not yet found. However, close to ideal based on advantages and disadvantages of the implant are the Bluewind RENOVA system and the Stimguard. Demonstration of the safety and efficacy on the long-term and in larger scale trials is needed to confirm our conclusion; and the benefit of computerized feedback and the possibility to have multiple changeable treatment programs.
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