Dissimilarities between cholinergic and dopaminergic turning elicited by nucleus accumbens stimulation in freely moving rats

Tadashi Saigusa a, Noriaki Koshikawa a,*, Makiko Kitamura a, Koichi Mizutani a, Masafumi Kobayashi a, Alexander R. Cools b

a Department of Pharmacology, Nihon University School of Dentistry, 1-8-13, Kanda-Surugadai, Chiyoda-ku, Tokyo 101, Japan
b Department of Psychoneuropharmacology, University of Nijmegen, P.O. Box 9101, 6500 HB Nijmegen, Netherlands

Received 8 September 1994; revised 29 November 1994; accepted 2 December 1994

Abstract

Contralateral turning was produced by unilateral injection of carbachol (0.5, 2.5, 5 μg) into the nucleus accumbens, but not into the dorsal or ventral striatum. This behaviour was inhibited by muscarinic M1 acetylcholine receptor blockade in the nucleus accumbens, and less effectively by blockade of muscarinic M2 and nicotinic acetylcholine receptors. Unilateral injection of a mixture of the dopamine D1 receptor agonist 1-phenyl-2,3,4,5-tetrahydro-1H-3-benzazepine-7,8-diol (SKF 38393, 5 μg) and the dopamine D2 receptor agonist quinpirole (10 μg) also produced contralateral turning. The stepping pattern, however, completely differed from that induced by carbachol. The number of carbachol-induced turnings was reduced by dopamine D1 or D2 receptor blockade (8-chloro-2,3,4,5-tetrahydro-3-methyl-5-phenyl-1H-3-benzazepine-7-ol (SCH 23390) and L-sulpiride, respectively) in the nucleus accumbens. However, the reduction was due to a change in the turning pattern. Blockade of muscarinic acetylcholine receptors in the nucleus accumbens did not change the contralateral turning induced by unilateral injection of dopamine receptor agonists into the nucleus accumbens. The results demonstrate that there is no functional interaction between the cholinergic and dopaminergic substrates involved, although blockade of the dopamine receptors elicited behavioural deficits that competed with the turning elicited by carbachol. The contralateral turning elicited by carbachol injection into the nucleus accumbens requires an intact dopamine activity at the level of dopamine D1 and D2 receptors in the ipsilateral, but not contralateral, ventrolateral striatum.
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1. Introduction

Unilateral manipulation of dopaminergic activity in particular brain regions is known to elicit unilateral turning in freely moving rats. This turning is believed to involve two processes, viz. the process of postural asymmetry, which keeps the animal curved in one direction (postural component), and the process of locomotion (locomotion component), which is the drive for active circling in the direction of the postural asymmetry (Pycock and Marsden, 1978; Pycock, 1980). The process of postural asymmetry has been ascribed to the striatum, whereas the process of locomotion has been ascribed to the nucleus accumbens (Kelly and Moore, 1976; Moore and Kelly, 1977; Ziegler and Szechtman, 1988, 1990). This so-called two-component hypothesis is based upon studies with unilaterally lesioned rats. With unilateral injections of dopaminergic agents into dopaminergic terminal areas, however, it has been found that only unilateral injections into the nucleus accumbens, but not into the dorsal or ventral striatum, elicit contralateral turning (Colle and Wise, 1991; Messier et al., 1991a, b; Saigusa et al., 1993). Still, the ventral striatum does play a role in this effect, since blockade of dopamine D1/D2 receptors in the ipsilateral ventral striatum completely abolishes the accumbens-elicited contralateral turning (Saigusa et al., 1993). Since unilateral stimulation of cholinergic receptors in the nucleus accumbens also produces contralateral
turning (McKenzie et al., 1991), the question arose to what extent this cholinergic contralateral turning is related to the dopaminergic contralateral turning elicited by stimulation of the nucleus accumbens. For that reason, the following experiments were performed. First, the effects of unilateral injections of carbachol into the nucleus accumbens, dorsal and ventral striatum were analysed in freely moving rats. Given the fact that the stepping pattern occurring during unilateral turning varies according to the treatment chosen (Ziegler and Szechtman, 1988; Cools et al., 1989; Cools and Jongen-Relo, 1991; Saigusa et al., 1993, 1994), the stepping pattern was analysed. Next, a dose-effect curve was made, and the nature of the acetylcholine receptors involved was studied by using nicotinic, muscarinic M₁ and muscarinic M₂ receptor antagonists. Secondly, it was investigated to what extent the contralateral turning elicited by unilateral stimulation of acetylcholine receptors in the nucleus accumbens is influenced by dopaminergic agents given into the nucleus accumbens, and to what extent the contralateral turning elicited by unilateral stimulation of dopamine D₁ and D₂ receptors in the nucleus accumbens is influenced by cholinergic agents given into the nucleus accumbens. Finally, it was investigated whether the contralateral turning elicited by unilateral stimulation of acetylcholine receptors in the nucleus accumbens is blocked by inhibition of dopamine D₁ and D₂ receptors in the ipsilateral ventral striatum. As mentioned, such a ventral striatum manipulation completely abolishes the contralateral turning elicited by unilateral stimulation of dopamine receptors in the nucleus accumbens (Saigusa et al., 1993).

2. Materials and methods

2.1. Animals and surgery

Male Wistar rats weighing 200–250 g were used throughout the experiments. They were housed in a temperature-controlled environment and under a light (07:00–19:00 h)/dark (19:00–07:00 h) cycle with free access to food and water. Behavioural testing was performed between 10:00 h and 15:00 h. For stereotactic implantation of cannulas, the rats were anaesthetized with sodium pentobarbitone (50 mg/kg i.p.) and mounted in a stereotactic apparatus (Narishige, Japan). Guide cannulas (0.5 mm o.d., 0.3 mm i.d.) were implanted into the dorsal (ant. 8.6–9.5, vert. 6.0–6.5, lat. 3.0–3.5) or ventrolateral (ant. 8.0–8.8, vert. 3.0–3.5, lat. 3.5–4.0) parts of the striatum and/or the nucleus accumbens (ant. 10.2–10.7, vert. 3.0–3.5, lat. 1.5–2.0) according to the atlas of Paxinos and Watson (1986) and secured to the skull with stainless screws and dental acrylic cement. The nucleus accumbens cannulas were angled 20° from the mid-sagittal plane to avoid the ventricular system. Damage to the target site was minimized by implanting the tips of the guide cannulas 1.2 mm above the desired injection site. Wire stylets were placed in the guide cannulas to prevent occlusion. The animals were allowed at least 1 week post-operative recovery before behavioural testing and were used only once.

2.2. Intracerebral microinjection and drugs

The drugs used were carbachol (Sigma), a non-selective acetylcholine receptor agonist; methylscopolamine (Sigma), a non-selective muscarinic acetylcholine receptor antagonist; hexamethonium bromide (Sigma), a nicotinic acetylcholine receptor antagonist; mecamylamine hydrochloride (Sigma), a nicotinic acetylcholine receptor antagonist; pirenzepine dihydrochloride (Research Biochemicals International), a selective muscarinic M₁ receptor antagonist; methoctramine hydrochloride (Research Biochemicals International), a selective muscarinic M₂ receptor antagonist; (±)-1-phenyl-2,3,4,5-tetrahydro-1//-3-benzazepine-7,8-diol hydrochloride, a dopamine D₁/D₂ receptor antagonist; SKF 38393 hydrochloride ((±)-1-phenyl-2,3,4,5-tetrahydro-1-H-3-benzazepine-7,8-diol hydrochloride, Research Biochemicals International), a dopamine D₁ receptor antagonist; quinpirole hydrochloride (LY 171555, Research Biochemicals International), a dopamine D₂/D₃ receptor antagonist; SCH 23390 ((±)-8-chloro-2,3,4,5-tetrahydro-3-methyl-5-phenyl-1-H-3-benzazepine-7-ol maleate, Schering Co.), a dopamine D₂ receptor antagonist and l-sulpiride (Ravizza), a dopamine D₂ receptor antagonist. l-Sulpiride was dissolved in a minimal volume of diluted acetic acid and then diluted with saline (0.9% w/v NaCl solution) for injection. Other drugs were dissolved in saline immediately before use. For bilateral intracerebral microinjections, the rats were held manually while the stylets were removed and the injection needles (31 gauge) were lowered through the guide cannulas until they protruded 1.2 mm beyond the tip. The needles were connected to Hamilton syringes and the drugs were given slowly in a volume of 0.5 µl over 30 s to each side, after which the needles were left in place for a further 30 s. The extent of diffusion, estimated from the spread of the same volume of dye (thionin), was less than 1 mm in diameter. When dopamine receptor or acetylcholine receptor antagonists were given into the nucleus accumbens or the ventral striatum, they were administered 10 min before the injection of the other drug. The doses of the dopamine receptor antagonists have previously been found to be highly effective in our studies on contralateral turning induced by dopamine receptor agonists (Saigusa et al., 1993).
2.3. Behavioural methods

The rats were placed individually in a circular chamber (60 cm diameter) with 30-cm high Perspex sides at least 1 h before the start of the experiment. To allow detailed observation of the limb stepping patterns and spinal curvature, a mirror was mounted underneath the chamber at an angle of 30° and the image was recorded on videotape for later analysis. Contralateral and ipsilateral turnings (defined as complete 360° turns) were counted visually by a trained observer who had no prior knowledge of the drug treatment. Description of the stepping pattern involved analysis of the presence/absence of the following items: (1) lateral head movements, defined as lateral movements of the head in relation to the upper torso; (2) lateral torso movements, defined as lateral movements of the upper torso in relation to the lower torso; (3) lateral pelvic movements, defined as lateral movements of the lower torso in relation to the hindquarters; (4) normal hindlimb stepping during turning, characterized by the sequential occurrence of two forward steps (hindlimb forward steps: Cools and Jongen-Relo, 1991); (5) apomorphine-induced hindlimb stepping, characterized by the sequential occurrence of a closing and an open step (hindlimb doublet: Cools and Jongen-Relo, 1991); (6) normal forelimb stepping during turning, characterized by the sequential occurrence of a closing and an open step (forelimb doublet: Cools and Jongen-Relo, 1991); (7) dexamphetamine-induced forelimb stepping, characterized by the sequential occurrence of a crossing and an open step (forelimb doublet: Cools and Jongen-Relo, 1991); (8) pivoting, characterized by turning around one leg (Szechtman et al., 1985); (9) uncoupling of lateral movements of the torso and the limbs, causing the legs to lag behind (dragging). Observations were made during consecutive 5-min periods for 90–120 min, starting immediately after the injection.

2.4. Histology

At the end of each experiment, the rats were deeply anaesthetized with sodium pentobarbitone and perfused transcardially with 10% of formalin. The brains were removed, sectioned (50 μm) and stained with cresyl violet to visualize the injection site (Fig. 1) and only data from animals in which the injections were correctly placed were analysed.

2.5. Data analysis

All values are expressed as means ± S.E.M. and analysed using either one-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) or two-way ANOVA (group x time) followed by a post-hoc Newman-Keuls test, where appropriate. Differences were considered significant when \( P < 0.05 \).

3. Results

3.1. Effects of intracerebral challenge with acetylcholine receptor agonist and antagonists

Unilateral injection of carbachol (0.5, 2.5 and 5 μg/0.5 μl) into the nucleus accumbens induced dose-dependent contralateral turnings: the rats made very wide circles, directed away from the injection side. Analysis of the number of contralateral turnings revealed that the effect was dose-dependent (Fig. 2). Ipsilateral turning rarely occurred (data not shown). Methylscopolamine (0.01, 0.1 and 2.5 μg) and pirenzepine (0.01, 0.1 and 1 μg) significantly inhibited the effect of carbachol (5 μg) in a dose-dependent manner (Fig. 2A and B). The same holds true for methotrimeprazine (0.1, 1 and 5 μg), mecamylamine (2.5, 5 and 10 μg) and hexamethonium (1, 2.5 and 5 μg): they all significantly reduced the response to carbachol (5 μg), although much higher doses were required (Fig. 2C–E). The carbachol-induced stepping pattern (see section 3.2) was not altered by the acetylcholine receptor antagonists.

In contrast to the effect of carbachol injections into the nucleus accumbens, nearly no contralateral turning was seen after such injections into the dorsal or ventral striatum (Fig. 4). Carbachol injections into the ventral striatum, however, produced many jaw movements and profound salivation (data not shown).

3.2. Stepping pattern induced by cholinergic and dopaminergic manipulation of the nucleus accumbens

Analysis of the stepping pattern induced by unilateral carbachol injections into the nucleus accumbens showed that the rats did not show any abnormal stepping turning: they displayed hindlimb forward steps and forelimb doublets, whereas hindlimb doublets, forelimb crossing steps, pivoting and dragging were absent. The sequential occurrence of lateral movements of the head, torso and pelvis seen after carba-
head-to-tail curvature during turning and/or sitting (Fig. 5B).

3.3. Effects of intra-accumbens injections of SCH 23390 and l-sulpiride on carbachol-induced turning

When given into the nucleus accumbens, both SCH 23390 (0.1 and 0.5 \( \mu \)g) and l-sulpiride (25 and 50 ng) significantly suppressed the contralateral turning induced by unilateral injections of carbachol (5 \( \mu \)g) into the nucleus accumbens (Fig. 6). However, the effect of SCH 23390 was not dose-dependent. Moreover, the effect of carbachol was not completely blocked. Analy-
Fig. 4. Effects of unilateral injection of carbachol (5 ìg/0.5 ìl) into the nucleus accumbens (•), the dorsal striatum (○) or the ventral striatum (■) on production of contraversive turning. The data are expressed as the mean number of turns occurring in 5-min observation periods (n = 6–8). Vertical bars indicate S.E.M.

Fig. 5. A schematic illustration showing sequential occurrence of lateral movements of the head, torso and pelvis seen after injection of drugs into the ipsilateral nucleus accumbens. The axis of the moving part of the trunk closest to the stationary base is represented by a thick barline. A: saline or carbachol (5 ìg/0.5 ìl); B: a mixture of SKF 38393 (5 ìg) and quinpirole (10 ìg) in 0.5 ìl; C: SCH 23390 (0.1 ìg/0.5 ìl) injected into the nucleus accumbens 10 min before carbachol (5 ìg/0.5 ìl); D: l-sulpiride (25 ng/0.5 ìl) given into the nucleus accumbens 10 min before carbachol (5 ìg/0.5 ìl).

Fig. 6. Contraversive turning induced by unilateral injection of carbachol (5 ìg/0.5 ìl) into the nucleus accumbens of rats given 10 min after injecting saline (open column), SCH 23390 (0.1 or 0.5 ìg/0.5 ìl, A) or l-sulpiride (25 or 50 ng/0.5 ìl, B) into the same side of the nucleus accumbens. The data are expressed as the mean number of total turns occurring in a 90-min observation period (n = 6–9). Vertical bars indicate S.E.M. * P < 0.05, ** P < 0.01 (Newman-Keuls test).

sis of the resulting stepping pattern revealed that the carbachol-induced stepping was differentially affected by SCH 23390 and l-sulpiride (Fig. 5C and D). SCH 23390 did not alter the carbachol-induced stepping of the hindlimbs. Neither did it alter the carbachol-induced increase in forward stepping. In contrast, SCH 23390 affected forelimb stepping: the forelimbs were often simultaneously lifted as soon as the rat turned contralaterally. Apart from these abnormal forelimb steps, normal forelimb doublets were present when the rat touched the wall. Furthermore, SCH 23390 affected the neck joint, preventing the rat from displaying pure contralateral head movements. When the head was moved laterally, the torso simultaneously moved laterally: the head appeared to be fixed to the torso (Fig. 5C). Consequently, the rats had difficulties with executing carbachol-induced turning.

l-Sulpiride affected carbachol-induced turning in a different manner. It disturbed the hindlimb stepping; there was an uncoupling between lateral movements of the torso and those of the hindlimbs, resulting in dragging of these limbs when the head and torso were fully rotated towards the contralateral side (Fig. 5D). Furthermore, the number of hindlimb steps was reduced, decreasing thereby the carbachol-induced increase in forward stepping. Finally, the rats often had splayed hindlimbs. In contrast, l-sulpiride did not affect the normal forelimb stepping. Overall, l-sulpiride reduced the number of the carbachol-induced contralateral turnings because of deficient hindlimb stepping.

3.4. Effects of intra-accumbens injections of methylscopolamine upon contralateral turning elicited by SKF 38393 and quinpirole from the nucleus accumbens

Intra-accumbens administration of methylscopolamine in a dose that inhibited the carbachol-induced
turning (section 3.1: 2.5 μg) did not affect the contralateral turning elicited by intra-accumbens administration of the mixture of SKF 38393 (5 μg) and quinpirole (10 μg): control rats showed 228.3 ± 27.0 turnings/120 min (n = 7), whereas the methylscopolamine-pretreated rats showed 263.0 ± 128.9 turnings/120 min (n = 9).

3.5. Effects of ventral striatal injections of cis-(Z)-flupentixol on carbachol-induced turning elicited from the nucleus accumbens

The carbachol-induced turning was significantly suppressed by injection of cis-(Z)-flupentixol into the ipsilateral, but not contralateral, ventrolateral striatum (Fig. 7). As long as the rats were still turning to the contralateral side, there was no significant change in the carbachol-induced stepping pattern. cis-(Z)-Flupentixol just reduced the carbachol-induced increase in forward stepping and the number of carbachol-induced contralateral head movements. Following the disappearance of contralateral turning, the rats often remained immobile for a short period. Then, some rats (n = 4, 40% of tested rats) started again to turn at 5–20 min after the carbachol injection, but now towards the ipsilateral side. Moreover, one rat showed turning exclusively to the ipsilateral side. The resulting stepping pattern during the ipsilateral turning differed completely from the carbachol-induced stepping pattern. In fact, the stepping pattern seen after the combined cis-(Z)-flupentixol-carbachol treatment was quite similar to that seen after injection of the mixture of SKF 38393 (5 μg) and quinpirole (10 μg) into the nucleus accumbens, apart from the direction (ipsilateral vs. contralateral). The only difference seen was that the cis-(Z)-flupentixol-carbachol-treated rats that showed ipsilateral turning at the end of the observation period, also showed deficient forelimb stepping, due to dragging of the contralateral forelimb during the ipsilateral turning.

4. Discussion

The goal of the present study was 2-fold. First, it was investigated to what extent unilateral injections of the non-selective acetylcholine receptor agonist carbachol into the nucleus accumbens, ventral and dorsal striatum of freely moving rats produces turning behaviour that is specific for stimulation of nicotinic, muscarinic M₁ and/or M₂ acetylcholine receptors (cf. McKenzie et al., 1991). Second, it was investigated to what extent contralateral turning elicited by unilateral injection of carbachol into the nucleus accumbens shows similarities and/or dissimilarities with the contralateral turning elicited by unilateral injection of a mixture of dopamine D₁ and D₂ receptor agonists into the nucleus (cf. Saigusa et al., 1993). It is well known that striatal administration of dopamine receptor agonists produces either (a) effects that are fully similar to those elicited by striatal administration of cholinergic agonists or (b) effects that are diametrically opposite to those elicited by striatal administration of cholinergic agonists, depending on the striatal area studied (Bartholini, 1980; Kikuchi de Beltran et al., 1992; for reviews: Cools, 1977; Scheel-Krüger, 1985).

As shown in section 3.1, unilateral injection of carbachol produced contralateral turning only, when administered into the nucleus accumbens, but not into the ventral or dorsal striatum. The turning was specific and selective for stimulation of acetylcholine receptors. First, the carbachol-induced turning was dose-dependent. Second, it could be antagonized by non-selective muscarinic acetylcholine receptor antagonists as well as by selective muscarinic M₁, M₂ acetylcholine receptor antagonists and by selective nicotinic acetylcholine receptor antagonists (Fig. 3). Since pirenzepine, a selective muscarinic M₁ acetylcholine receptor antagonist, was the most potent inhibitor, it appears that especially the muscarinic M₁ acetylcholine receptor subtype in the nucleus accumbens was involved in the carbachol-induced turning, although a role for the other subtypes cannot be dismissed. Third, the stepping pattern induced by carbachol differed completely from that elicited by the mixture of the dopamine D₁ receptor agonist SKF 38393 and the dopamine D₂ receptor agonist quinpirole (see section 3.2). Thus, it is con-
cluded that the muscarinic M<sub>1</sub> acetylcholine receptors in the nucleus accumbens play a crucial role in the display of the contralateral turning elicited by unilateral administration of carbachol into the nucleus accumbens. However, a titration study, using various mixtures of selective muscarinic M<sub>1</sub>, M<sub>2</sub> and nicotinic acetylcholine receptor agonists, is required to establish the distinct contribution of each receptor subtype.

As mentioned, the stepping pattern seen during the contralateral turning elicited by carbachol injection into the nucleus accumbens completely differed from that elicited by unilateral administration of the mixture of the dopamine D<sub>1</sub> receptor agonist SKF 38393 and the dopamine D<sub>2</sub> receptor agonist quinpirole into the nucleus accumbens: the stepping pattern elicited by carbachol did not differ from that seen in solvent-treated rats, whereas the stepping pattern elicited by the dopamine agonists was marked by abnormal movements, especially of the hindlimbs. These data suggest that two different neurobiological substrates were involved. In fact, the following findings indicate that these substrates are rather loosely coupled to each other. First, the dopamine D<sub>1</sub> receptor antagonist SCH 23390 did not antagonize the carbachol-induced contralateral turning in a pharmacological manner. Apart from the findings that the effect of carbachol could be neither dose-dependently nor fully suppressed by SCH 23390, the attenuation of the carbachol-induced contralateral turning was due to the occurrence of additional deficits in moving the forelimbs and/or the neck joint, providing evidence that a behavioural instead of a pharmacological inhibition was responsible for the reduction in the number of carbachol-induced turnings. Second, as the dopamine D<sub>2</sub> receptor antagonist sulpiride did not antagonize the carbachol-induced turning in a pharmacological manner, the attenuation of carbachol-induced contralateral turning was due to additional deficits in moving the hindlimbs, providing evidence that a behavioural instead of a pharmacological inhibition was responsible for the reduction in the number of carbachol-induced turnings. In this context it is relevant to note that earlier published studies have shown that inhibition of dopamine receptors in the nucleus accumbens of naive or dexamphetamine-treated rats does indeed produce deficits in the stepping pattern (Cools and Jongen-Relo, 1991; Cools, 1992). Third, the non-selective muscarinic acetylcholine receptor antagonist methylscopolamine was unable to alter the contralateral turning elicited by the unilateral administration of a mixture of the dopamine D<sub>1</sub> and dopamine D<sub>2</sub> receptor agonists into the nucleus accumbens. On the basis of these data we conclude that the nucleus accumbens contains at least three distinct neurobiological substrates, each of them having its own particular function in directing certain behaviours and/or body parts and, accordingly, having its own particular output pathways (cf. Cools, 1992): a cholinceptive substrate subserving the normal recruitment of pure lateral head movements, pure lateral torso movements and pure lateral pelvic movements, respectively; a dopamine D<sub>1</sub> receptor-sensitive substrate subserving the forelimbs and/or the neck joint; and a dopamine D<sub>2</sub> receptor-sensitive substrate subserving the hindlimbs and/or the lower torso. Indeed, it is known that striatal structures contain somatotopically organized modules marked by their own input and output pathways (DeLong et al., 1992). The data suggest that these modules are differentially regulated by acetylcholine, dopamine D<sub>1</sub> and D<sub>2</sub> receptors. In this respect the nucleus accumbens does not differ from the striatum, of which it is known that dopamine D<sub>1</sub> and dopamine D<sub>2</sub> receptors are predominantly located on striato-nigral and striato-pallidal output pathways, respectively (Gerfen, 1992). Combined anatomical-biochemical studies are necessary to provide direct evidence in favour of this hypothesis.

The finding that carbachol was effective only after administration into the nucleus accumbens, but not when administered into the dorsal or ventral striatum, underscores our previously reported notion that stimulation of particular receptors in the nucleus accumbens is sufficient for producing turning behaviour (Saigusa et al., 1993; Koshikawa, 1994; cf. Colle and Wise, 1991; McKenzie et al., 1991; Messier et al., 1991a,b). Previously, we have hypothesized that stimulation of particular receptors in the nucleus accumbens is sufficient for activating both the process of locomotion (postulated to be mediated by the nucleus accumbens: see Introduction) and the process of postural asymmetry (postulated to be mediated by the striatum: see Introduction), since such a stimulation alters not only the neurotransmission activity in the nucleus accumbens, but also the neurotransmission activity in the ventral striatum (Saigusa et al., 1993; Koshikawa, 1994). Apart from our ongoing microdialysis studies that provide direct evidence in favour of our hypothesis, this hypothesis is supported by the present finding that inhibition of dopamine D<sub>1</sub> and D<sub>2</sub> receptors in the ipsilateral ventrolateral striatum abolished the turning elicited by unilateral injection of carbachol into the nucleus accumbens. It is unlikely that the inhibition in question was due to behavioural inhibition, since the reduction in carbachol-induced contralateral turning preceded the changes seen in the stepping pattern during the ipsilateral turning that appeared after the disappearance of the carbachol-induced contralateral turning. To what extent such changes may have contributed to our earlier reported observation that inhibition of dopamine D<sub>1</sub> and D<sub>2</sub> receptors in the ipsilateral ventrolateral striatum suppresses the contralateral turning elicited by unilateral administration of the dopamine D<sub>1</sub> and D<sub>2</sub> receptor agonists into the nucleus accum-
bens is not clear, since the stepping pattern was not analysed at that time (Saigusa et al., 1993).

In conclusion, the present study shows that the contralateral turning elicited by unilateral administration of carbachol into the nucleus accumbens requires stimulation of muscarinic M₁ acetylcholine receptors in the nucleus accumbens and, to a less degree, stimulation of muscarinic M₂ and nicotinic acetylcholine receptors. The present study also shows that this carbachol-induced contralateral turning differs from the contralateral turning elicited by unilateral administration of the mixture of the dopamine D₁ receptor agonist SKF 38393 and the dopamine D₂ receptor agonist quinpirole into the nucleus accumbens. Moreover, the present study shows that there is no functional interaction between the cholinergic and dopaminergic substrates involved, although blockade of the dopaminergic receptors can elicit behavioural deficits that compete with the behavioural effects of cholinergic stimulation. Finally, the contralateral turning elicited by unilateral stimulation of cholinergic receptors in the nucleus accumbens was found to require intact dopaminergic activity at the level of dopamine D₁ and dopamine D₂ receptors in the ipsilateral ventrolateral striatum for its expression, showing that changing the neurotransmission activity in the nucleus accumbens has direct consequences for the neurotransmission activity in the ipsilateral ventral striatum.
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