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Abstract

We report the detection of an intriguing parsec-scale radio source in the “offset AGN” candidate, KISSR 102. The elliptical host galaxy includes two optical nuclei at a projected separation of 1.54 kpc, N1 and N2, to the southeast and northwest, respectively. Phase-referenced Very Long Baseline Array observations at 1.5 and 4.9 GHz of this low ionization nuclear emission line region galaxy have detected double radio components (A and B) at a projected separation of 4.8 parsec at 1.5 GHz, and another partially resolved double radio structure at 4.9 GHz coincident with the brighter radio component A. These radio detections are confined to the optical nucleus N1. The brightness temperatures of all the detected radio components are high, $\gtrsim 10^8$ K, consistent with them being components of a radio active galactic nucleus (AGN). The 1.5–4.9 GHz spectral index is inverted ($\alpha \approx +0.64 \pm 0.08$) for component A and steep for component B ($\alpha \lesssim -1.6$). The dramatic change in the spectral indices of A and B is inconsistent with them being components of a single AGN, or the mini-lobes of a compact symmetric object. To be consistent with a “core-jet” structure from a single AGN, or the jet in KISSR 102 would need to be undergoing strong jet-medium interaction with dense surrounding media resulting in a drastic spectral steepening of the jet. Alternatively, the results could be consistent with the presence of a parsec-scale binary radio AGN, which is the end result of a three-body interaction involving three supermassive black holes in the center of KISSR 102.

Unified Astronomy Thesaurus concepts: Seyfert galaxies (1447); Very long baseline interferometry (1769); Emission line galaxies (459); Radio continuum emission (1340); LINER galaxies (925); Black holes (162); Galaxy mergers (608)

1. Introduction

All massive galaxies are believed to host supermassive black holes in their centers (Kormendy & Richstone 1995; Ferrarese & Ford 2005). Hierarchical galaxy formation models therefore imply the presence of two or more supermassive black holes at the centers of galaxy merger remnants (Volonteri et al. 2003; Komossa 2012). When these black holes eventually coalesce, they emit gravitational radiation and leave behind even more massive remnant black holes (Centrella et al. 2010; Burke-Spolaor et al. 2019). If a triplet of black holes is initially present, three-body interactions would facilitate the coalescence of the inner binary (Hoffman & Loeb 2007; Bonetti et al. 2016). Alternatively, in a system with two black holes initially, the merged black hole could “recoil” due to the asymmetric release of gravitational radiation (e.g., Bekenstein 1973; Campanelli et al. 2007). This could result in an active galactic nucleus (AGN) being “offset” from its host galaxy center (e.g., Blecha & Loeb 2008; Gualandris & Merritt 2008; Lena et al. 2014). Here we report an intriguing parsec-scale radio structure in an “offset AGN” candidate, viz., KISSR 102.

This source belongs to the KPNO Internal Spectroscopic Red Survey (KISSR) of emission line galaxies (Salzer et al. 2000). It was one among several low ionization nuclear emission line region (LINER) and Seyfert 2 galaxies that showed double peaks in their SDSS5 narrow emission line spectra and were detected in the Very Large Array (VLA) FIRST7 survey; the latter being a criteria for the authors to propose for Very Long Baseline Array (VLBA) observations. Double peak emission line AGNs are potential candidates for binary black hole systems (Zhang et al. 2007).

KISSR 102 is hosted by an elliptical galaxy at a redshift of 0.066320 (Figure 1). At this distance, 1 milliarcsec (mas) corresponds to a linear extent of 1.237 parsec. The SDSS and Pan-STARRS9 optical images of KISSR 102 show the presence of two nuclei (Figure 4); the brighter reddish nucleus (referred to hereafter as N1) is located closer to the galactic photocenter and is coincident with the radio AGN, while N2 is a bluish nucleus located 1.2 (=1.54 kpc at the distance of KISSR 102) to the northwest of N1.

This source was identified as an “offset AGN” candidate by Comerford & Greene (2014) because its AGN emission lines are offset in the line-of-sight velocity from the stellar absorption lines by $\approx 200$ km s$^{-1}$. A radio point source with a flux density of 11.3 mJy at 1.4 GHz is detected in KISSR 102 in its VLA FIRST (beam $5''/4 = 6.7$ kpc) image. The SDSS spectrum shows the tentative presence of double peaks in the H$\beta$ line, but not in other lines. Throughout this paper, the spectral index $\alpha$ is defined such that the flux density at frequency $\nu$ is $S_\nu \propto \nu^\alpha$.

7 Faint Images of the Radio Sky at Twenty-Centimeters (Becker et al. 1995).
8 Cosmology: $H_0 = 73$ km s$^{-1}$ Mpc$^{-1}$, $\Omega_m = 0.27$, $\Omega_{\Lambda} = 0.73$.
2. Radio Data Analysis

We observed KISSR 102 with all ten antennas of the VLBA in a phase-referencing experiment at 4.9 and 1.5 GHz, on 2018 December 26, and 2019 January 6, respectively (Project IDs: BK219A, BK219B). However, data from the Brewster antenna was unusable at 1.5 GHz, resulting effectively in nine antennas for the experiment at that frequency. All data were acquired at an aggregate bit rate of 2048 Mbits s$^{-1}$ with dual polarization, 16 channels per subband, each of bandwidth 32 MHz and an integration time of 2 s. The compact calibrator 1246 + 285 (1778 away from KISSR 102) with a small ($\chi$, $\gamma$) positional uncertainty of ($0.31$, $0.47$) mas, was used as the phase reference calibrator. The target and the phase reference calibrator were observed in a “nodding” mode in a 5 minutes cycle (2 minutes on calibrator and 3 minutes on source) for good phase calibration. After including scans of the fringe-finder 3C 345 and the phase-check source 1502+291, the experiment lasted a total of 8 hr at each frequency.

The data were reduced in the Astronomical Image Processing System (AIPS) using standard calibration procedures as described in the AIPS Cookbook. The source was detected with an offset of (360, 27) mas from the center of the image; the task UVFIX was used to bring the target to the center. The final uniformly weighted images (made with a ROBUST parameter of $-5$) are presented in Figures 2 and 3. The final rms noise in the images is $\sim$90 $\mu$Jy beam$^{-1}$ at 1.5 GHz and $\sim$75 $\mu$Jy beam$^{-1}$ at 4.9 GHz. The restoring beams at 1.5 and 4.9 GHz are ($8.1 \times 2.8$ mas$^2$) at PA $= 5^\circ.2$ and ($3.1 \times 0.9$ mas$^2$) at PA $= 5^\circ.5$), respectively. The flux density values as well as the positions quoted in this paper have been obtained by using the Gaussian-fitting AIPS task JMFIT. The 1.5–4.9 GHz spectral index was made after convolving both frequency images with a beam of 8.0 $\times$ 3.0 mas, PA = $5^\circ$ and the AIPS task COMB; pixels with intensity values below three times the rms noise were blanked at both frequencies. The spectral index image is presented in the bottom panel of Figure 2.

3. Optical Data Analysis

Upon visual inspection of the optical image present in the SDSS and Pan-STARRS1 databases, the host galaxy does not show any evident signs of recent mergers or strong interactions with nearby galaxies, appearing rather as a featureless elliptical. Two objects, perhaps galaxies, are located approximately 10$''$ and 14$''$ from the galaxy center, but the physical distance between the members of the triplet is unknown. The galaxy shows, however, a peculiar color gradient and what seems like a double nucleus. The brighter nucleus N1 is at a projected separation of 1.54 kpc from the nucleus N2.

We used GALFIT (v3.0.5, Peng et al. 2002), to model the Pan-STARRS1 DR2 $i$-band image. To derive the point-spread function (PSF), we fitted the following model to the bright star located at ($r$, $\theta$) $= (77^\circ, -138^\circ)$ from N1:

$$f(z) = A \times (1 + k z + z^{1.67})^{-1},$$

$$z = \left( \frac{x^2}{2\sigma_{xx}} + \frac{y^2}{2\sigma_{yy}} + \sigma_{xy}xy \right),$$

obtaining $A = 7.8 \times 10^3$, $k = 0.487$, $\sigma_{xx} = 2.38$ pixels, $\sigma_{yy} = 2.3$ pixels, $\sigma_{xy} = -0.01$ pixels. A model PSF was built with $A = 1$, and provided to GALFIT as an input parameter.

An area of 24$''$ in radius, centered on the galaxy, was fitted with a sky background, a Sérsic profile for the host galaxy, a Sérsic profile for the faint nearby galaxy at ($r$, $\theta$) $= (11^\circ, -24^\circ)$, and a point source for the faint object at ($r$, $\theta$) $= (14^\circ, 56^\circ)$. To fit the nucleus we attempted a number of approaches, namely: two point sources, two point sources with an edge-on disk, one point source and an edge-on disk. Furthermore, point sources were fitted using as a template the semi-empirical model specified above, a Moffat profile, or a nearby isolated star. The best result is obtained with the semi-empirical PSF, and the adoption of two nuclear point sources. Models including an edge-on disk did not converge to a solution. A zoom-in on the nucleus, best-fitting model, and residuals are shown in Figure 4. The residual image in the rightmost panel of Figure 4 casts doubt on the presence of two point sources in the galaxy, showing that N2 is poorly modeled. At visual inspection it is clear that the morphology of the nucleus varies with wavelength: N2 is brighter at shorter wavelengths ($g$-band) where the nucleus appears boxy and the light centroid falls in between N1 and N2. At longer wavelengths, however, N1 becomes more prominent and determines the light centroid. We used the IRAF task ELLIPSE (Jedrzejewski 1987) to perform an isophotal decomposition of the $i$-band PanSTARRS image, finding that N1 is coincident to within 0.018 (223 parsec, or 0.7 pixels) with the intensity-weighted center defined by the inner isophotes, that is with semimajor axis in the range 2$''$.5 $\leq r \leq$ 10$''$.0 ($3 \leq r \leq 12$ kpc).

We fitted the SDSS spectrum$^{12}$ using the pPXF (Penalized Pixel-Fitting stellar kinematics extraction) code of Cappellari & Emsellem (2004) and Cappellari (2017). Details of the

$^{10}$ http://www.aips.nrao.edu/CookHTML/CookBookap3.html#x179-387000C
$^{11}$ All position angles are measured in degrees East of North.

$^{12}$ SDSS spectra are acquired through a fiber of diameter 3$''$, or 3.7 kpc at the distance of KISSR 102.
The line-fitting procedure have been presented earlier in Kharb et al. (2017, 2019). The observed stellar velocity dispersion was $340 \pm 10 \text{ km s}^{-1}$. A single Gaussian component was adequate to describe the [S II] lines which are used as a template for other narrow lines. No broad component was detected in the H$\alpha$ line, making this a Type 2 LINER galaxy. There were no signatures of an outflow component in [O III]. The results of the analysis are shown in Figure 5. The reddening-corrected spectra are shown in black and the best-fit model is over-plotted in blue. The best-fit model was subtracted from the de-reddened observed spectrum to obtain the pure emission line spectrum, shown in the middle panel in green. Interactive Data Language (IDL) programs that use the MPFIT function for non-linear least-square optimization were used to fit the emission line profiles with Gaussian functions and to obtain the best-fit parameters and the associated errors that are reported in Table 1.

Finally, the MAPPINGS III shock and photoionization modeling code (Dopita & Sutherland 1996; Allen et al. 2008) was used to predict the line ratios in order to match the data in KISSR 102. The IDL Tool for Emission-line Ratio Analysis (Groves & Allen 2010) was used for generating the line ratio diagrams. In Figure 6 we show the optical line ratio diagrams for AGN photoionization and shock-only models. These models are run for a density of 100 cm$^{-3}$ and solar metallicity. The shock-only model, with velocities in the range $200$–$300 \text{ km s}^{-1}$, produces line ratios consistent with the observed [N II]/H$\alpha$ and [O I]/H$\alpha$ line ratios. However, a higher shock velocity of $\sim 600 \text{ km s}^{-1}$ is needed to reproduce the [S II]/H$\alpha$ line ratio. The AGN photoionization model underpredicts the observed line ratios. In order to reconcile the AGN model with the observed data, either a higher density or a higher metallicity is required. The possibility of higher densities can be ruled out, however, by the high [S II]/H$\alpha$ line ratio observed in this source. This therefore implies that the shock-only model is more credible for the case of KISSR 102.

4. Results

The 1.5 GHz VLBA image reveals two radio components (A and B in Figure 2) at a projected separation at 4.8 parsec. The
4.9 GHz image detects only component A, but seems to again show two barely resolved radio components at a projected separation at 1.7 parsec (components A1, A2 in Figure 2). We note that the radio AGN is coincident with the optical nucleus N1 (see Figure 1). The peak surface brightness of components A and B are ~2.7 mJy beam⁻¹ and ~1.7 mJy beam⁻¹, respectively. Their total flux densities are ~4.4 mJy beam⁻¹ and ~2.6 mJy beam⁻¹, respectively. The task JMFIT was not able to provide unique constraints on the flux densities of components A1 and A2 at 4.9 GHz. We therefore relied on the intensity-slice Gaussian-fitting task SLFIT to obtain the peak intensities of the two Gaussian components, which were ~4.7 mJy beam⁻¹ for A1 and ~5.0 mJy beam⁻¹ for A2. We fixed these for running JMFIT and obtained component sizes, which were subsequently used to obtain the brightness temperatures. The bottom panels of Figure 2 show the two Gaussian components fitted to intensity cuts across the radio source at both frequencies.

The 1.5–4.9 GHz spectral index image in Figure 2 shows that the mean spectral index value of component A is inverted at +0.64 ± 0.08. This is consistent with component A being the self-absorbed base of a radio jet, like in radio-powerful AGNs. Using five times the rms noise in the 4.9 GHz image, the 1.5–4.9 GHz spectral index limit for component B is very steep with α ≤ −1.6, consistent with “aged” optically thin synchrotron emission. Alternatively, component B could be radio emission from a second black hole belonging to a low luminosity AGN; steep-spectrum radio cores are often found in low luminosity AGNs (Deane et al. 2014; Fu et al. 2015; Kharb et al. 2015, and references therein).

We note that the “implied” steep spectrum of component B could in principle also mean that it is diffuse emission that gets resolved out at 5 GHz. This is reminiscent of the single radio component that was detected by Kharb et al. (2015) in KISSR 1494, which was not centrally concentrated in emission and got resolved out in images with different weighting schemes. Kharb et al. (2015) suggested that component in KISSR 1494 resembled the base of a possible coronal wind, rather than an AGN jet component on mas-scales, which is typically more compact. If this is also true for component B, then it makes it further consistent with the binary black hole picture.

Using the relation from Ulvestad et al. (2005) for unresolved radio components, the brightness temperatures of components A and B at 1.5 GHz are ~2.0 × 10⁸ K and ~2.5 × 10⁸ K, respectively. Similarly, the brightness temperatures of components A1 and A2 at 4.9 GHz are ~1.1 × 10⁸ K and ~4.0 × 10⁸ K, respectively. These high brightness temperatures are consistent with emission originating in AGN components (Falcke et al. 2000).

The black-hole mass based on the M–σ relation (McConnell & Ma 2013) is 1.7 × 10⁸ M☉. The star formation rate (SFR) from the Hα narrow line luminosity (~3.8 × 10⁸ erg s⁻¹) and the Kennicutt (1998) relation is a modest 0.30 ± 0.01 M☉ yr⁻¹. Clearly, any ongoing merger activity in KISSR 102, has not resulted in a boosted SFR. The bolometric luminosity based on the [O III] line luminosity and the scaling relation from Heckman et al. (2004) is ~6.4 × 10⁷ erg s⁻¹. The Eddington luminosity is 2.1 × 10⁷ erg s⁻¹, while the Eddington ratio is extremely low at 3 × 10⁻⁶. This low estimate is, however, consistent with what is observed in LINER nuclei (Ho 2008).

5. Discussion

We present two different scenarios to explain the multiband data of KISSR 102, and discuss their pros and cons along with possible implications.

5.1. Scenario 1: A and B are from a Single AGN

The inverted spectral index and high brightness temperature of radio component A at 1.5 GHz is consistent with it being the synchrotron self-absorbed base of an AGN jet. Component B, at a projected distance of 4.8 parsec from component A and with a steep spectral index, could be an optically thin jet component belonging to this AGN. Components A1 and A2, which are coincident with component A, and are at a projected separation of 1.7 parsec from each other, could also be a “core-jet” structure. In this scenario, the 5 GHz data are further resolving the 1.5 GHz radio component A.
Figure 4. From left to right: PanSTARRS1 $i$-band image of KISSR 102 (zoomed on the nucleus), GALFIT model (two point sources on top of a Sérsic profile and a sky background), and the corresponding residual.

5.1.1. Scenario 1: Pros

This scenario can explain the line ratios as being from a fast jet that is shock-heating the narrow line region (NLR) clouds, in tandem with the putative recoiling AGN. That is, the required shock velocities of $\sim 600 \text{ km s}^{-1}$ needed to explain the observed line ratios could be a combination of the AGN recoil velocity ($\sim 200 \text{ km s}^{-1}$) and a fast radio jet along the same direction.

The double-peaked H$\beta$ emission line, which although has a weak signature due to the noisy SDSS spectrum, could be explained as a result of jet-NLR interaction (e.g., Kharb et al. 2017, 2019). Detecting double peaks in other (or any) emission lines would require a higher resolution optical spectrum, similar to what has been observed in the “offset AGN” CXO J101527.2 + 625911 by Kim et al. (2019) using Keck spectra.

5.1.2. Scenario 1: Cons

The spectral indices of components A and B are drastically different. In the case of a “core-jet” structure from a single AGN, a more gradual change in the spectral indices would be expected (e.g., Kharb et al. 2009). If, instead, A and B are the mini-lobes of a compact symmetric object (CSO), their spectral index values should be similar (e.g., Argo et al. 2015).

Moreover, a “core-jet” structure requires the jet to be highly relativistic so that the counterjet emission is Doppler-dimmed. Using the peak surface brightness of component B and the noise in the 1.5 GHz image along the counterjet direction, the jet-to-counterjet surface brightness ratio is $R_J \approx 20$. Assuming the jet structural parameter to be $p = 3.6$ (i.e., a continuous jet with $\alpha = -1.6$; Urry & Padovani 1995), and the jet orientation w.r.t. line of sight to be greater than or equal to $\sim 50^\circ$ (i.e., torus half opening angle; Simpson et al. 1996) for this type 2 AGN, we derive a lower limit on the jet speed to be $\sim 0.6c$. It is unclear if radio-quiet LINERs can harbor such highly relativistic radio jets. However, LINER nuclei do show an inverse correlation between Eddington accretion rate and (X-ray) radio-loudness (Ho 2008). Therefore, a relativistic jet in KISSR 102 cannot be ruled out in the absence of multi-epoch VLBI data that can determine jet speeds.

If the relatively brighter component A2 is the radio core and the relatively dimmer component A1 is jet emission, then this jet is actually in the counterjet direction based on components A and B. If true, this would be more consistent with Scenario 2, where components A and B are two separate AGNs. However, the caveat is that components A1 and A2 are not fully resolved, and their flux densities are not uniquely constrained (Section 4). This makes it difficult to confirm the core-jet direction at 5 GHz with present data.

5.1.3. Scenario 1: Implications

Strong interaction between the radio jet and surrounding inhomogenous media that can result in a drastic spectral steepening of the jet (e.g., van Breugel et al. 1984), is needed for the “core-jet” picture to be feasible. Scenario 1 could therefore imply strong jet-medium interaction; the dense surrounding media could also be responsible for the synchrotron self-absorption of the counterjet emission, alleviating the need for large Doppler jet speeds on parsec-scales.

5.2. Scenario 2: A and B are a Binary AGN

In this scenario, both components A and B are individual AGNs at a projected separation of 4.8 parsec. The inverted radio spectrum of component A as well the steep spectrum of component B are both consistent with originating in LINER or Seyfert nuclei (Ho 2008). Here, components A1 and A2 would be a “core-jet” structure associated with the AGN at position A. In addition, there would be a third black hole coincident with the optical nucleus N2.

5.2.1. Scenario 2: Pros

The projected separation of $\sim 5$ parsec between components A and B is roughly the “hard” binary separation for a black-hole binary with a total mass of $\sim 10^9 M_\odot$. A supermassive black-hole binary is deemed to be “hard” (Merritt 2013) if its separation is less than $a_h$ (in parsec), where

$$a_h = \frac{G\mu}{4\sigma^2} \approx 0.27(1 + q)^{-1} \left( \frac{M_2}{10^7 M_\odot} \right) \left( \frac{\sigma}{200 \text{ km s}^{-1}} \right)^{-2}.$$  

Here, $q \equiv M_2/M_1$ and $\mu \equiv M_1 M_2/(M_1 + M_2)$, where $M_1$ and $M_2$ are the two black-hole masses. Assuming $M_1 + M_2 = 1.7 \times 10^9 M_\odot$, $\sigma = 340$ km s$^{-1}$, and $q = 1$ yields $a_h \approx 7.9$ parsec; broadly consistent with the projected separation of A and B.

At the separation $\sim a_h$, a massive binary inside a galactic stellar nucleus is expected to “stall.” This is because the binary has already ejected all stars intersecting its orbit and capable of carrying away orbital energy (Merritt 2013). In the case of KISSR 102, the orbital hardening could have been aided by a three-body interaction due to the presence of three supermassive black holes. This would be consistent with the presence of the second optical nucleus N2 and KISSR 102’s classification as an “offset AGN.” We discuss this in more detail.
Figure 5. SDSS optical spectrum fitted with Gaussian components. Only the H β line shows a weak double peak. A higher resolution spectrum is needed to observe double peaks in other emission lines.
Table 1
Fitted Line Parameters for KISSR 102

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Line</th>
<th>(\lambda_0)</th>
<th>(\lambda_0 \pm \text{Error})</th>
<th>(\Delta \lambda \pm \text{Error})</th>
<th>(f_r \pm \text{Error})</th>
<th>(F \pm \text{Error})</th>
<th>(L \pm \text{Error})</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>[S II]</td>
<td>6718.3</td>
<td>6718.71 ± 0.35</td>
<td>6.74 ± 0.09</td>
<td>20.22 ± 0.74</td>
<td>341.78 ± 13.28</td>
<td>2.82 ± 0.11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[S II]</td>
<td>6732.7</td>
<td>6732.72 ± 0.35</td>
<td>6.76 ± 0.01</td>
<td>14.32 ± 0.73</td>
<td>242.71 ± 12.33</td>
<td>2.00 ± 0.10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[N II]</td>
<td>6549.9</td>
<td>6550.58 ± 0.12</td>
<td>6.57 ± 0.01</td>
<td>14.67 ± 0.23</td>
<td>241.71 ± 3.79</td>
<td>2.00 ± 0.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[N II]</td>
<td>6585.3</td>
<td>6585.60 ± 0.12</td>
<td>6.61 ± 0.00</td>
<td>43.29 ± 0.67</td>
<td>716.97 ± 11.15</td>
<td>5.92 ± 0.09</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H(\alpha)</td>
<td>6564.6</td>
<td>6565.42 ± 0.20</td>
<td>6.59 ± 0.01</td>
<td>28.05 ± 0.64</td>
<td>463.09 ± 10.64</td>
<td>3.82 ± 0.08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H(\beta)</td>
<td>4862.7</td>
<td>4863.48 ± 0.33</td>
<td>4.82 ± 0.01</td>
<td>10.00 ± 0.48</td>
<td>120.88 ± 5.87</td>
<td>1.00 ± 0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[O III]</td>
<td>4960.3</td>
<td>4961.23 ± 0.18</td>
<td>4.63 ± 0.17</td>
<td>6.44 ± 0.22</td>
<td>74.68 ± 3.78</td>
<td>0.62 ± 0.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[O III]</td>
<td>5008.2</td>
<td>5009.19 ± 0.18</td>
<td>4.68 ± 0.04</td>
<td>18.99 ± 0.65</td>
<td>222.55 ± 7.86</td>
<td>1.84 ± 0.06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H(\gamma)</td>
<td>4341.7</td>
<td>4342.62 ± 0.56</td>
<td>4.28 ± 0.01</td>
<td>5.57 ± 0.50</td>
<td>59.73 ± 5.41</td>
<td>0.49 ± 0.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[O I]</td>
<td>6302.0</td>
<td>6302.62 ± 0.37</td>
<td>6.08 ± 0.36</td>
<td>8.42 ± 0.48</td>
<td>128.29 ± 10.53</td>
<td>1.06 ± 0.09</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[O I]</td>
<td>6365.5</td>
<td>6366.93 ± 0.38</td>
<td>6.14 ± 0.06</td>
<td>2.90 ± 0.37</td>
<td>44.58 ± 5.68</td>
<td>0.37 ± 0.05</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note. Column 1: emission lines that were fitted with Gaussian components. Column 2: rest wavelength in vacuum in Å. Columns 3, 4: central wavelength and line width (\(\sigma\)) in Å along with respective errors. Column 5: peak line flux in units of \(10^{-17}\) erg cm\(^{-2}\) s\(^{-1}\) Å\(^{-1}\) with error. (All line flux densities have been corrected for galactic or foreground reddening.) Column 6: total line flux in \(10^{-17}\) erg cm\(^{-2}\) s\(^{-1}\) Å\(^{-1}\). Column 7: line luminosity in units of \(10^{40}\) erg s\(^{-1}\).

5.3. Could N1 or N2 be an Infalling Dwarf Galaxy?

Could the optical nuclei N1 be a falling dwarf galaxy moving toward the galactic center, similar to what is observed in the “offset AGN,” NGC 3341 (Bianchi et al. 2013)? Similar to KISSR 102, NGC 3341 has a line-of-sight velocity that is (blue-)shifted by 200 km s\(^{-1}\) relative to the host galaxy nucleus, which lies at a projected separation of 9.5 kpc from the AGN. Bianchi et al. (2013) find that the Seyfert 2 AGN hosted by a dwarf galaxy and detected at radio frequencies, is infalling toward the LINER/H II region at the center of the larger primary galaxy, and this infall is triggering the Seyfert activity. Because of the large mass ratio of the merging galaxies, star formation is mostly triggered in the dwarf galaxy due to tidal forces but not much in the primary galaxy (e.g., as observed in the simulations of Cox et al. 2008). A scenario similar to that taking place in NGC 3341 could explain the low SFR observed in the primary galaxy of KISSR 102.

However, no obvious signatures of an ongoing merger, like the presence of tidal tails, shells, or asymmetric isophotes, are observed in KISSR 102. Wide-field Infrared Survey Explorer (WISE) colors are used to identify heavily obscured AGNs; these are identified with colors \(W1 - W2 \geq 0.8\) (Stern et al. 2005). For KISSR 102, \(W1 - W2 = 0.1\), indicating that the nuclear regions are not dust obscured. No infrared emission is detected in the WISE W3 and W4 images. All these point to one thing: there is no hot dust heated by AGN continuum and no warm/cold dust heated by young stellar population. Moreover, as the dwarf galaxy would be nearly coincident with the photometric center of the galaxy (within 0’18 or 223 parsec), it seems unrealistic that the dwarf galaxy fails to get disrupted before reaching the host galaxy center. As the optical nucleus N2 could be fitted with a Sérsic profile, could it be an infalling dwarf galaxy instead? The current optical data are not particularly constraining to confirm or reject this hypothesis.

6. Summary and Conclusions

We report the detection of an intriguing parsec-scale radio source in the kinematically offset AGN, KISSR 102. The host galaxy of KISSR 102 includes two optical nuclei at a projected separation of 1.54 kpc, N1 and N2, to the southeast and...
Phase-referenced VLBA observations at 1.5 and 4.9 GHz of this LINER galaxy, have detected double radio components (components A and B) at the projected separation of 4.8 parsec at 1.5 GHz, and a partially resolved double radio structure at 4.9 GHz that is coincident with component A at 1.5 GHz; these radio detections are at the position of the optical nucleus, N1. The brightness temperatures of all the detected radio components are high, $\gtrsim 10^8$ K, consistent with them being components of a radio AGN. The 1.5–4.9 GHz spectral index is inverted ($\alpha \sim +0.64 \pm 0.08$) for component A and steep for component B ($\alpha \lesssim -1.6$). The sharp change between the spectral index values of A and B is inconsistent with it being a “core-jet” structure from a single AGN, or the mini-lobes of a CSO; a more gradual spectral index change would be expected in the former case, while a similarity in spectral indices would be required in the latter. However, strong jet-medium interaction with dense surrounding media could be invoked to reconcile with the core-jet scenario. Alternatively, there could be a parsec-scale-separated binary AGN in KISSR 102, which could be the end result of a

Figure 6. Results from the MAPPINGS III code suggest that shock-heating (the three right panels) rather than AGN photoionization (the three left panels) is responsible for the observed line ratios in KISSR 102.
three-body interaction involving three supermassive black holes.
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