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Vaccine development against tuberculosis (TB) is based on the induction of adaptive immune responses endowed with long-term memory against mycobacterial antigens. Memory B and T cells initiate a rapid and robust immune response upon encounter with Mycobacterium tuberculosis, thus achieving long-lasting protection against infection. Recent studies have shown, however, that innate immune cell populations such as myeloid cells and NK cells also undergo functional adaptation after infection or vaccination, a de facto innate immune memory that is also termed trained immunity. Experimental and epidemiological data have shown that induction of trained immunity contributes to the beneficial heterologous effects of vaccines such as bacille Calmette-Guérin (BCG), the licensed TB vaccine. Moreover, increasing evidence argues that trained immunity also contributes to the anti-TB effects of BCG vaccination. An interaction among immunological signals, metabolic rewiring, and epigenetic reprogramming underlies the molecular mechanisms mediating trained immunity in myeloid cells and their bone marrow progenitors. Future studies are warranted to explore the untapped potential of trained immunity to develop a future generation of TB vaccines that would combine innate and adaptive immune memory induction.
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Introduction

Vaccination has made an enormous contribution to improving human and animal health over the last two centuries (1–6). Despite progress in developing vaccines against a large number of infections, vaccine development against global chronic infectious disease threats such as tuberculosis (TB), HIV infection, and malaria has remained a challenge, and achievements to date have been modest. TB kills more than 1.3 million people a year (7). The current vaccine, bacille Calmette-Guérin (BCG), is an attenuated strain of Mycobacterium bovis and has been in use since the 1920s; approximately 100 million infants receive BCG annually. BCG is efficient in children, with greater than 50% protection against lung disease and more than 80% protection against disseminated forms of TB (8). Importantly, however, children do not spread TB, while adolescents and adults do (9). Unfortunately, BCG has shown variable and mostly poor protection against TB in adolescents and adults. Therefore, new vaccines that target both children and adult populations are needed.

Multiple TB vaccine candidates have entered clinical trials. In 2018, two major clinical advances were reported. The novel subunit vaccine M72/AS01, was shown to prevent progression to pulmonary TB disease in adults with prior immunological sensitization to Mycobacterium tuberculosis (Mtb), measured by QuantiFERON-TB (QFT; QIAGEN), with an efficacy of 54% (95% CI, 3%–78%) (10). In a second trial, BCG revaccination in adolescence was shown to protect QFT-negative adolescents against sustained QFT conversion, indicative of established Mtb infection, with an efficacy of 45% (95% CI, 6%–68%) (5). These results require confirmation but provide early proof of principle that adolescent/adult TB vaccination strategies may be feasible. In the preclinical space, a CMV-vected vaccine has shown the best protection reported to date against Mtb challenge in nonhuman primates and is on track to enter clinical trials (11).

Despite this progress, new TB vaccine discovery and development remain impeded by our limited understanding of host re-
sponses required to control *Mtb*, as well as technical gaps such as animal models that are predictive of human immune responses (3). Whereas traditional approaches to TB vaccine development have focused on optimal engagement of the adaptive immune system, mainly due to the memory capacity of T cells, according to an emerging paradigm the innate immune system has memory-like capacity (termed trained immunity) (2, 6) and plays a role in the “nonspecific” beneficial effects of vaccines. In this Review, we explore the rationale for targeting the innate immune mechanisms for improving vaccines against TB.

**Classical design of vaccines: targeting adaptive immunity**

Classical design of vaccines is based on priming of antigen-specific naive B and T cells to generate memory B and T cells, which are able to initiate a rapid and robust immune response upon encounter with the same pathogen, thus achieving long-lasting protection from initial infection or disease (Figure 1).

B and T cell specificity is dependent on somatic rearrangement of genes coding for receptors that recognize specific epitopes and can distinguish self and non-self (12). When naive lymphocytes are presented with their cognate antigen in the appropriate cytokine milieu, they become activated and undergo clonal expansion, thereby exponentially increasing the magnitude of antigen-specific cells over several days. During priming, B and T cell transcriptional profiles are epigenetically modulated and drive differentiation into effector and memory cells (13) (Figure 1). Activated B cells undergo immunoglobulin class switching and somatic hypermutation to produce high-affinity Abs with diverse effector functions. Activated T cells rapidly produce effector molecules, such as cytokines and cytotoxic mediators (14), and experience metabolic reprogramming (15). Activation-induced changes in gene expression also affect expression of surface molecules that mediate homing to lymphoid and peripheral tissues, where pathogens are most likely to be encountered. Effector T cells migrate to the infected tissues to control infection, then contract, leaving a small pool of long-lived memory cells, mostly residing in the bone marrow (BM) or lymphoid tissues. If they encounter the same pathogen again, antigen-specific memory cells react faster and more efficiently than during primary infection. Less differentiated T cells, such as memory stem cells (16) and central memory cells (17), retain high proliferative capacity, associated with long-term anamnestic responses after vaccination (18). More differentiated T cells, such as circulating effector (17) and tissue-resident memory T cells (19), exhibit strong effector functions that are important for immediate protection against pathogens (20) (Figure 1). A balanced induction of T cells endowed with long-term memory as well as the capacity to rapidly migrate to the lung parenchyma is important for T cell–mediated protection against TB (21).

Figure 1. Sequential activation of innate and adaptive immunity during infection, and activation of a long-term memory response through T and B lymphocytes. The life cycle of memory T cells is depicted in corresponding phases of pathogen infection, clearance, and memory response. In secondary lymphoid organs (e.g., lymph node [LN]), APCs process and present microbial antigen to naive T (T<sub>n</sub>) cells and convert them to effector T (Teff) cells. These Teff cells then migrate to infected peripheral tissues (e.g., lung) to control infection. After pathogen clearance, Teff cells substantially contract, but a small fraction of antigen-experienced Teff cells convert to: effector memory T (Mem Teff) cells, circulating between lymphoid organs and peripheral tissues; tissue-resident memory T (Mem TTR) cells, residing in peripheral tissues; and central memory T (Mem T<sub>CM</sub>) cells, which are long-lived and reside in secondary lymphoid organs.
nition, possibly to drive lung tissue damage and TB transmission, but T cell recognition could also contribute to protection, since most individuals do not develop TB following exposure. Indeed, decoy mechanisms such as export of mycobacterial antigens from infected cells (29) divert T cell recognition to promote bacterial persistence. The development of MTBVAC, a live attenuated vaccine retaining most antigens present in virulent Mtb, therefore, represents a radical approach to circumvent biased antigen selection (30).

The functional attributes of protective T cells are not fully understood. Several lines of evidence in humans and animal models point toward a protective role for Th1 and Th17 cells (21). A direct comparison of six candidate TB vaccines in clinical testing showed remarkable similarities in the Th1 functional profiles of vaccine-induced CD4+ T cells, with M72/AS01 E inducing the highest magnitude of memory responses (31). Whether such potent T cell responses are associated with the partial protection against TB afforded by M72/AS01 E (10) will be established in correlates of protection studies. On the other hand, accumulating evidence indicates that unleashing T cell responses via reprogramming T cell metabolism (32) or checkpoint inhibitors (e.g., programmed cell death 1 [PD-1]) (33, 34) increases susceptibility to TB.

The role of B cells in mediating TB vaccine efficacy is emerging but incompletely understood. Animal models and human TB provide clear evidence that proliferating antigen-specific B cells localize within protective granulomas, highly specialized spatial structures that develop for the containment of infection (35). More recent evidence suggests that Abs from latently infected individuals drive enhanced phagolysosomal maturation, inflammasome activation, and macrophage killing of intracellular Mtb when compared with Abs isolated from TB patients (36). These differences in functional outcomes have been linked to the Fc functional profiles of the Abs, selective binding to the Fcγ receptor FcγRIII, and distinct Ab glycosylation patterns found in Abs from healthy persons with Mtb infection compared with TB patients. Also, while Mtb-exposed individuals and patients with TB generate Ab responses against mycobacterial surface antigens and are protective against cell invasion, the inhibitory activity of anti-Mtb Abs appears to be limited to the IgA isotype (37). Recently, individuals highly exposed to Mtb who tested negative by QFT and tuberculin skin test (TST) were considered “resisters” and shown to possess IgM, class-switched IgG Ab responses, and non–IFN-γ T cell responses to Mtb-specific proteins (38). In TB, localization of CXCR5-expressing CD4+ T cells within B cell follicles in TB granulomas near Mtb-infected macrophages mediates superior Mtb control (35, 39). Thus, it is possible that in addition to T cells, B cells also engage and participate in effective generation of vaccine-induced immune immunity against TB.

Vaccine choice and delivery impact immune responses
Vaccine formulation (e.g., choice of adjuvants and live attenuated vectors) can affect the magnitude and functional quality of vaccine-induced adaptive immune responses (40); therefore, knowledge about appropriate responses to a pathogen is essential for rational vaccine design. Adjuvants and live vectors provide a danger signal for a large variety of innate immune cells, such as neutrophils, macrophages, monocytes, and DCs. In the traditional setting, adjuvants initiate priming and expansion of naive T cell responses through DC activation that leads to DC maturation and migration to the draining lymph node, where they prime naive B and T cells. Depending on the pattern recognition receptor (PRR) activated on DCs, different costimulatory and cytokine milieus are induced, thus affecting differentiation and polarization of adaptive immunity (41, 42). However, by broadly activating diverse innate immune cell types, adjuvants can also alter the activation state and epigenetic reprogramming of innate immune cells, including those potentially exposed to Mtb.

In addition to adjuvants, the choice of vaccine delivery (such as route and schedule of immunizations) can influence tissue localization and longevity of vaccine-induced immune responses (43, 44). This appears particularly important in TB, where T cells homing to the lung parenchyma are associated with superior protection compared with those that remain intravascular in a mouse model (45, 46). Intravenous or lung mucosal BCG administration is associated with reduced TB pathology compared with intradermal vaccination in nonhuman primates (47, 48). Mucosal vaccination with an attenuated Mtb vaccine candidate, Mtb sigH1, induces B cell–harboring lymphoid follicles in the lung that serve as local immune structures to mediate highly effective T cell immune responses and better protection than even mucosal BCG vaccination (39). Live BCG can also induce a specific cytokine profile in human APCs to promote T follicular helper (Tfh) cell differentiation (49).

Another TB vaccine candidate based on a recombinant CMV vector (RhCMV/TB) conferred complete protection in approximately 40% of nonhuman primates (11). Although this vaccine, administered subcutaneously, induced and maintained highly differentiated circulating and tissue-resident memory T cells, protection was associated with innate cell (particularly neutrophil) activation (11). Furthermore, as discussed further below, targeting innate immune activation pathways, specifically CD40/CD40L and CD103+ DCs in mouse models, can induce rapid antigen-specific T cell responses, formation of B cell lymphoid follicles, and superior near-sterilizing immunity in the lungs of BCG-vaccinated mice (50). These studies together provide new avenues to target innate immune responses to improve T and B cell responses for TB vaccines. Ultimately, adaptive and innate immune responses work in a coordinated fashion during both priming and effector phases of a successful immune response.

Available adjuvants and their functions
Innate immunity plays a key role in TB vaccine responses through an increase in the function of antigen-presenting cells by vaccine adjuvants. Although some types of vaccines, such as live attenuated (e.g., yellow fever), whole cell (e.g., BCG), and certain killed vaccines (e.g., inactivated polio vaccine [IPV]), do not need specialized adjuvants as part of the vaccine formulation, most if not all subunit/protein vaccines require adjuvants to trigger innate immune activation for efficient humoral and/or T cell responses for effective vaccine efficacy (51). Few adjuvants are licensed for use in humans, including the aluminum-containing adjuvants CPG 1018, MF59, and AS01, but recently, there has been progress in advancing more formulations for clinical use.
Adjuvants are categorized based on composition, delivery systems, and their ability to trigger innate immune activation (52). Aluminum-containing adjuvants (e.g., alum) have been used for more than 70 years and are suggested to stimulate the inflammatory response (53), but their mode of action is still not fully characterized. Oil-in-water emulsions such as MF59 and AS03 attract neutrophils and traffic antigen to lymph nodes (54). TLR4 agonists mimic specific danger signals (e.g., bacterial LPS, microbial DNA, or single- or double-stranded RNA) that trigger surface or intracellular receptors to induce innate pathways in antigen-presenting cells to program adaptive immunity. The plant-derived saponin QS21 elicits the release of alarmins, which activate DCs and the inflammosome to generate CD8+ T cell responses and IgG1 and IgG3 Ab production (51, 55). Thus, one key commonality of many adjuvants is the stimulation of IL-1 cytokine family members (56).

The mechanisms associated with the performance of licensed adjuvanted vaccines is the subject of a recent review (57).

There are several experimental adjuvanted subunit TB vaccines in clinical testing (see Global Clinical Portfolio of TB Vaccine Candidates, http://www.aeras.org/pages/global-portfolio). The M72/AS01E vaccine formulation, which was recently reported to have efficacy against pulmonary TB, contains the combination adjuvant AS01, which is part of the successful commercial vaccine Shingrix (GSK) and a recombinant fusion protein antigen (55). AS01 is composed of QS21, liposomes, and the TLR4 agonist monophosphoryl lipid A (MPL; a detoxified derivative of bacterial lipopolysaccharide). The proposed mechanism of action of the adjuvant involves triggering naturally occurring innate immune pathways including early release of alarmins by innate immune cells and IFN-γ by NK cells (58, 59).

The adjuvant glucopyranosyl lipid adjuvant (GLA) is a synthetic lipid A molecule and TLR4 agonist formulated into a stable oil-in-water nanoemulsion (SE) that drives Th1 immune responses (55). GLA-SE is part of an experimental TB vaccine formulation with fusion of four Mtb protein antigens called ID93 (60). First-in-human studies of ID93 showed improved Ab and CD4+ T cell responses in GLA-SE-adjuvanted versus unadjuvanted vaccine (61). The vaccine was safe and immunogenic in previously BCG-vaccinated adults (62). A phase IIa clinical trial in treated TB patients (NCT02465216) has completed, and a lyophilized formulation has entered phase I (NCT03722472).

In addition, two cationic particulate adjuvants, IC31 (Valneva Technologies) and CAF01 (Statens Serum Institut), are being tested among experimental TB vaccines. A vaccine comprising the adjuvants is the stimulation of IL-1 cytokine family members (56). Thus, the choice of adjuvant for a vaccine candidate should depend on properties tailored to the specific vaccine Target Product Profile (TPP).

**Interpretation of trained immunity as innate immune memory**

In addition to the classical role of innate immunity in amplifying T/B cell immune memory through adjuvant activity, recent studies provide evidence that a prolonged increase in the antimicrobial function of innate immune cells can itself contribute to protection from reinfection. This functional reprogramming of innate immune cells such as myeloid and NK cells, termed trained immunity, represents a de facto innate immune memory (6). Trained monocytes and macrophages display functional and epigenetic reprogramming, leading to increased production of cytokines and chemokines, and improved phagocytosis and killing capacity (66). Studies have demonstrated that immunological signals, metabolic rewiring of cell metabolism, and epigenetic reprogramming are integrated, representing the molecular substrates for induction of trained immunity (6). The first step involves immunological signals induced through PRRs such as dectin-1 (in the case of β-glucans) and NOD2 (for BCG). The molecular link between these signals and the epigenetic changes in the nucleus has recently been attributed to changes in cellular metabolism. An initial study reported that induction of trained immunity is accompanied by a shift from oxidative phosphorylation to glycolysis, or the Warburg effect (67). Subsequent studies have shown that the Krebs cycle is replenished through glutaminolysis, leading to accumulation of succinate and especially fumarate. In turn, fumarate inhibits the KDM5 family of demethylases, which are specific for H3K4me3; this inhibition permits the retention of this active histone mark (68). Induction of trained immunity leads to an increase in the mevalonate pathway; mevalonate in turn amplifies this process through an insulin-like growth factor 1 (IGF-1R)/Akt/mTOR pathway (69) (Figure 2).

The changes described above have an important impact on the epigenetic program of trained myeloid cells. The best-studied epigenetic changes to immune stimuli involve the post-translational modification of histone tails at promoter and enhancer regions (70, 71). In response to training signals, histone acetylation and methylation play an important role in regulation of gene expression and remodeling of the epigenome, which are important molecular mechanisms implicated in modulating innate immune cell signaling (6). Prior to gene expression, the promoters of innate immune genes are “preloaded” with poised RNA polymerase II, and neighboring histones possess active histone marks such as H3K4me3 (72, 73). A rise in the level of this active mark, as well as other active marks such as H3K4me1 and H3K27Ac, is a hallmark of trained immunity (74). Indeed, training with β-glucan leads to H3K4me3 accumulation at specific locations in the genome (74). H3K4me3 is directed to specific promoters in the genome by the presence of a class of long non-coding RNAs (lncRNAs) called immune gene–proximal lncRNAs (IPLs) (75). IPLs are positioned within topological associating domains (TADs), regions of enriched chromatin long-range looping interactions bringing together multigene complexes (76). Within TADs, IPLs recruit a histone modification complex, the COMPASS complex, which in turn directs the trimethylation of H3K4me3 (75, 77, 78). IPLs are transcribed in an nuclear factor of activated T cells–dependent (NFAT-dependent) manner. Silencing of IPLs, disruption of the COMPASS complex, or abolition
these cells have a relatively short lifespan and are less likely to transmit their memory phenotype to their progeny and provide sustainable protection. In contrast, hematopoietic stem cells (HSCs) are long-lived, with self-renewal properties that reside in the BM, and their transcriptional and functional reprogramming can explain trained immunity induction (Figure 3). In vertebrates, HSCs are generated from endothelial cells in the embryo, which depends on type II IFN signaling (79). The BM is the seat of hematopoiesis, where HSCs constantly undergo asymmetric division, giving rise to the full repertoire of myeloid and lymphoid cell types while maintaining the HSC niche. Importantly, HSCs can directly respond to acute and chronic infections. For example, in a model of acute *Escherichia coli* infection (80) or chronic *Mycobacterium avium* infection (81), there was a significant expansion in HSC populations. Similarly, trained immunity induced by BCG vaccination (82) or β-glucan (83) was recently shown to be mediated through increased myelopoiesis in the BM. Although the exact mechanisms of precursor proliferation/differentiation are not well understood, persistent activation of HSCs can result in BM exhaustion and even complete depletion of HSCs over time, leading to devastating effects on the systemic immune compartment (84–86). Thus, the balance between HSC self-renewal and differentiation must be tightly regulated to maintain the numbers of HSCs for the generation of trained immunity.

Recently, several mechanisms have been proposed to explain HSC activation (87) in the setting of infectious disease, including the following: (i) Direct infection: HSCs are thought to lack the molecular machinery required for phagocytosis. Pathogens including *Salmonella*, *Listeria*, *Yersinia*, *M. avium*, and BCG are unable to infect HSCs (81, 82, 88), but *Mtb* infection can gain access to HSCs (89, 90). Recent data indicate that *Mtb* infects BM mesenchymal stem cells, which are phagocytic, and bacteria can survive within these cells (91, 92). (ii) PRR signaling: HSCs express both cell-surface TLRs (e.g., TLR4, which recognizes bacterial LPS) and cytosolic NOD-like receptors (e.g., NOD2, which recognizes bacterial MDP) that not only play important roles in anti-Mtb immunity, but also drive infection-induced myelopoiesis (generation of monocytes and macrophages), cell mobilization from BM into infection site, and trained immunity (93–96). Thus, the balance between HSC self-renewal and differentiation must be tightly regulated to maintain the numbers of HSCs for the generation of trained immunity.

Trained immunity: the impact on myeloid cell progenitors

Although trained immunity was first established in cells of the mononuclear phagocytic lineage (i.e., monocytes and macrophages), of NFAT signaling results in loss of H3K4me3 accumulation at trained immune genes (75). IPLs are generally conserved across mammals at the sequence and syntenic level; however, a key IPL regulating trained immunity of the chemokine locus is absent in rodents, so CXCL1–3 cannot be trained in mice. Training in mice can be restored by inserting the human IPL (UMLILO) proximal to the mouse chemokines via gene editing (75).

Figure 2. Molecular mechanisms contributing to the induction of trained immunity in myeloid cells. Activation of myeloid cells by microbial β-glucan or BCG activates PRRs that in turn activate gene transcription, but also cellular metabolism through an Akt/mTOR-dependent pathway. Activation of lncRNAs such as UMLILO determines chromatin changes by activation and transport of histone methyltransferases. Long-term metabolic changes such as fumarate accumulation maintain these changes by inhibiting KDM5 histone demethylases. In turn, mevalonate release amplifies these changes through an IGF-1R-dependent loop. TCA, tricarboxylic acid.
and type II IFN (IFN-γ) can increase HSC proliferation (81, 84, 86, 97), but they have opposing effects in the killing of Mtb by macrophages (98, 99). Furthermore, increased activation of HSCs following M. avium infection or the generation of HSC-mediated trained immunity via BCG intravenous vaccination was shown to be IFN-γ dependent, highlighting the pivotal role of IFNs in HSC responses to mycobacterial infection (81, 82). While basal levels of IFN signaling are required to maintain the balance between HSC self-renewal and differentiation during homeostasis, chronic exposure of HSCs to IFNs during chronic infections may lead to BM aplasia (79). Interestingly, the role of IFN-dependent pathways in regulating HSC responses and downstream consequences following infection with virulent strains of Mtb is still unknown. Although this study indicates that type II IFN is required for generating trained immunity by HSCs after BCG vaccination (82), another study showed that β-glucan–induced trained immunity by HSCs was mediated via GM-CSF and IL-1 signaling (83). These observations suggest that different stimuli (e.g., live pathogen versus pathogen-derived products) may imprint distinct molecular signatures in HSCs that each lead to trained immunity.

The molecular mechanisms required for inducing trained immunity in the BM require the same epigenetic rewiring events described earlier for mature myeloid cells. It was recently demonstrated that BCG can reprogram HSCs toward myelopoiesis, leading to generation of protective monocytes/macrophages against subsequent Mtb infection. Most importantly, this protective signature was transmitted from HSCs to multipotent progenitors (MPPs) to macrophages. Epigenetic analysis of BCG-trained, BM-derived macrophages indicates that the H3K4me3 and H3K27Ac marks are significantly enriched for genes associated with resistance to Mtb infection. These results provide a mechanism for how in vivo BCG vaccination epigenetically primes BM-derived macrophages to initiate a robust protective response against Mtb infection (82). These data are supported by studies describing similar mechanisms at the HSC level induced by β-glucan (83).

**Targeting NK memory for TB vaccines**

NK cells are prominent components of the innate immune system that play a central role in resistance to microbial pathogens. NK cells protect against viruses, bacteria, and parasites by destroying infected cells and secreting cytokines that shape the adaptive immune response (100–103). NK cells contribute to immunity against Mtb infection by lysing Mtb-infected human monocytes and alveolar macrophages and upregulating CD8+ T cell responses (104, 105). The pleural fluid of TB patients is enriched for NK cells, which are the predominant source of IFN-γ (106), and a subpopulation expresses the memory-associated marker CD45RO, thus exerting robust immune responses when stimulated by IL-12 (107). NK cells lyse Mtb-expanded Tregs (108), and eliminating NK cells at the time of BCG vaccination enhances Treg expansion and inhibits BCG-induced protection against challenge with Mtb (109). Accordingly, in mice, memory-like NK cells develop during BCG vaccination, expand, and provide protection against challenge with Mtb (110). Recently, a multicohort study found higher numbers of cytotoxic NK cells in persons with latent TB than in uninfected individuals. These NK cells decreased during the progression from latent infection to active disease and returned to the baseline level after TB treatment, suggesting a protective role for NK cells during Mtb infection (111). BCG revaccination of adults with latent TB infection also induces long-lived BCG-reactive NK cell responses (112). Studies suggest that NK cells distinguish antigens, and memory NK cells expand and protect against viral pathogens (113-115). These stud-
ies provide new evidence that memory-like NK cells survive long-term and could be targeted to promote vaccine-induced protective immunity against *Mtb* infection. A comprehensive evaluation of the role of memory-like NK cell phenotype and function in household contacts who develop active TB will improve our understanding of the protective mechanisms against infection and subsequently facilitate development of interventions to prevent development of active TB. Further understanding of these mechanisms will also lay the groundwork for developing novel methods to stimulate memory-like NK cell-mediated immunity against TB.

**Insights gained from BCG vaccination in human studies**

Historically, it was not known whether the benefits of BCG were conferred predominantly through prevention of *Mtb* infection or through prevention of progression to TB disease in those infected. Autopsy data from 150 people and national tuberculin data supported the conclusion that there was no evidence to support the suggestion that BCG vaccination can prevent the establishment of infection in an exposed human (116). Since there was no difference in TST positivity between BCG-vaccinated and unvaccinated individuals, but BCG vaccination was accompanied by differences in TB pathology, it was assumed that BCG protected mainly against progression to disease.

With the introduction of IFN-γ release assays (IGRAs), which assess the T cell response to *Mtb* antigens that are not secreted by BCG, protection against *Mtb* infection could be assessed without confounding test results based on BCG itself. Soysal et al. studied more than 900 child household TB case contacts in Turkey and found a significant reduction in ELISPOT positivity in those who were BCG vaccinated (117). A subsequent systematic review (118) by Roy et al. confirmed this result across 14 cross-sectional studies, with an estimated protection against infection of 19% (95% CI, 8%-29%). Longitudinal studies whereby an IGRAs test was repeated after about 3 months in those initially negative, suggested that the protective effect is even greater in relation to a specific exposure. Hill et al. showed that BCG vaccination was associated with a 50% (95% CI, 20%-100%) reduction in the risk of ELISPOT conversion after 3 months in Gambian contacts (119). A remarkably similar estimate (45%; 95% CI, 24%-60%) was obtained by Verrall et al. in Indonesian case contacts (120). Furthermore, in the Indonesian contacts, BCG protection decreased with increasing exposure, suggesting that the immune mechanism for protection could be overcome with increased exposure to the pathogen. This latter finding could have implications for understanding different levels of protection from BCG across populations with varying intensity of *Mtb* transmission, protection being weakest in high-TB-burden settings (121). In addition, the study by Verrall et al. found evidence of BCG protection against infection up until the age of 30 years, which is consistent with recent studies suggesting that BCG protection against TB disease lasts at least 20 years when given at birth or at school age (122,123).

A recent randomized controlled trial among adolescents in South Africa provided further support for a protective effect of BCG against *Mtb* infection. Among 990 HIV- and QFT-negative adolescents, sustained QFT conversion was 11.2% in those who received placebo and 6.7% among those who were BCG revaccinated over 2 years of follow-up, representing a 45.5% reduction (5).

The mechanisms of protection against *Mtb* infection induced by BCG vaccination are likely to involve innate immune mechanisms, including trained immunity. Interestingly, in the recently published randomized trial that showed a protective effect of BCG revaccination against *Mtb* infection, unrelated respiratory tract infections were also significantly less common (5,124), supporting a strong increase in heterologous protection against infections, which is suggestive of trained immunity. Epidemiological reports suggest protection against nonspecific infections by BCG for up to 4–5 years after vaccination in infants (125), and experimental studies in humans have shown the persistence of trained immunity for at least one year after BCG vaccination (126). In addition, BCG-induced protection against *Mtb* infection was associated with higher production of proinflammatory cytokines following heterologous stimulation with *Streptococcus pneumoniae* and *E. coli* (120), an observation reminiscent of trained immunity (127,128). Thus, while murine studies suggest that training of HSCs requires access to the BM (82), whether intradermal BCG vaccination in humans similarly accesses the BM is not known and will no doubt be the target of future research. We envision that there are two major pathways that can lead to trained immunity in HSCs during vaccination: (i) a direct pathway, functioning via access of the vaccine (e.g., BCG) in the BM, likely by generation of an inflammatory milieu that leads to HSC training; and (ii) an indirect pathway, via systemic release of exogenous (e.g., PAMPs) or endogenous mediators (e.g., DAMPs, cytokines, colony-stimulating factors). HSCs express receptors that are important in the activation/mobilization of HSCs (95,129). Thus, systemic release of DAMPs as well as cytokines (e.g., GM-CSF, IFN-γ) (81) during vaccination can reach the BM to train HSCs. Following intradermal BCG vaccination in humans, the systemic release of PAMPs, DAMPs, and/or cytokines is most likely responsible for training HSCs.

**Harnessing innate immunity for better vaccines**

The studies reviewed above provide evidence that innate immune processes and, in particular, adjuvant activity and trained immunity, are important components of the protective effects of BCG vaccination against TB. A detailed understanding of these processes brings hope that they can be used for the design of new and more effective vaccines.

Which processes may be enlisted for this end? First, understanding the molecular mechanisms that mediate the biological action of adjuvants is a step toward precise fine-tuning of the type of T or B cell needed in an infection in general, and in TB in particular. For example, understanding the PRR and signaling pathways leading to the induction of a Th1/Th2/Th17/T H1 response will permit the design of adjuvants with specific activity toward inducing a particular type of Th response. Second, integration of trained innate immunity within the biological effects of future vaccines holds promise for improved vaccine effectiveness. Indeed, a vaccine that combines improved antimicrobial effects of both innate (trained immunity) and adaptive (classical immune memory) mechanisms would likely be more effective than current vaccines. Third, these developments should improve the outcome...
of vaccination in populations at higher risk from infection, such as the elderly. Indeed, adaptive immune responses are defective at extreme ages, and incorporation of trained immunity into vaccines designed for use in the elderly may circumvent this problem.

In conclusion, future studies are needed to investigate the impact of the induction of trained immunity on vaccination effects. These studies must focus on the mechanisms involved and how to effectively trigger them. We predict that the impact of combining trained immunity with classical adaptive immune memory will be fully realized in the vaccine community in the years to come. Further definition of trained immunity should enhance approaches to our vaccine armamentarium.
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