A New Class of Changing-look LINERs
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19 Runnoe et al. 2016; Sheng et al. 2017, but the mechanism(s) driving these sudden changes is (are) still not well understood (e.g., Lawrence 2018; Stern et al. 2018). One of the known changing-look quasars (CLQs), iPTF16b-co (Gezari et al. 2017), was caught “turning-on” in the iPTF survey into a broad-line quasar from a low-ionization nuclear emission-line region galaxy (LINER). LINERs are distinguished from Seyfert 2 (Sy 2) spectra via the relatively strong presence of low-ionization or neutral-line emission from [O I] λ6300, [O II] λ3727, [N II] λ6548, 6583, and [S II] λλ6717, 6731, a lower [O III] λ5007/Hβ flux ratio, and a lower nuclear luminosity. However, the status of LINERs as low-luminosity AGN remnants is a topic of debate, as weak emission in some LINERs could also be powered by shocks, winds, outflows, or photoionization from the galaxy hosting the LINER. The status of LINERs as low-luminosity AGN remnants is a topic of debate, as weak emission in some LINERs could also be powered by shocks, winds, outflows, or photoionization from the galaxy hosting the LINER.

Abstract

We report the discovery of six active galactic nuclei (AGNs) caught “turning on” during the first nine months of the ZwickyTransientFacility (ZTF) survey. The host galaxies were classified as low-ionization nuclear emission-line region galaxies (LINERs) by weak narrow forbidden line emission in their archival SDSS spectra, and detected by ZTF as nuclear transients. In five of the cases, we found via follow-up spectroscopy that they had transformed into broad-line AGNs, reminiscent of the changing-look LINER iPTF16bco. In one case, ZTF18aajupnt/AT2018dyk, follow-up Hubble Space Telescope ultraviolet and ground-based optical spectra revealed the transformation into a narrow-line Seyfert 1 with strong [Fe VII, X, XIV] and He II λ 4686 coronal lines. Swift monitoring observations of this source reveal bright UV emission that tracks the optical flare, accompanied by a luminous soft X-ray flare that peaks ~60 days later. Spitzer follow-up observations also detect a luminous mid-infrared flare, implying a large covering fraction of dust. Archival light curves of the entire sample from CRTS, ATLAS, and ASAS-SN constrain the onset of the optical nuclear flaring from a prolonged quiescent state. Here we present the systematic selection and follow-up of this new class of changing-look LINERs, compare their properties to previously reported changing-look Seyfert galaxies, and conclude that they are a unique class of transients well-suited to test the uncertain physical processes associated with the LINER accretion state.
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1. Introduction

The observed diversity in the optical spectra of active galactic nuclei (AGNs), with well-defined systematic trends known as the eigenvector relations, are understood to be a function of both orientation as well as accretion rate (e.g., Shen & Ho 2014). “Changing-look” AGNs (CLAGNs) are a growing class of objects that are a challenge to the orientation-based unification picture, in that they demonstrate the appearance (or disappearance) of broad emission lines and a non-stellar continuum, changing their classification between type 1.8–2 (narrow-line) to type 1 (broad-line) AGNs (or vice versa) on a timescale of years. The nature of this spectral transformation is most often attributed to changes in accretion rate (Shappee et al. 2014; MacLeod et al. 2016; Oknyansky et al. 2017; Ruan et al. 2016; Runnoe et al. 2016; Sheng et al. 2017), but the mechanism(s) driving these sudden changes is (are) still not well understood (e.g., Lawrence 2018; Stern et al. 2018). One of the known changing-look quasars (CLQs), iPTF16b-co (Gezari et al. 2017), was caught “turning-on” in the iPTF survey into a broad-line quasar from a low-ionization nuclear emission-line region galaxy (LINER). LINERs are distinguished from Seyfert 2 (Sy 2) spectra via the relatively strong presence of low-ionization or neutral-line emission from [O I] λ6300, [O II] λ3727, [N II] λ6548, 6583, and [S II] λλ6717, 6731, a lower [O III] λ5007/Hβ flux ratio, and a lower nuclear luminosity. However, the status of LINERs as low-luminosity AGN remnants is a topic of debate, as weak emission in some LINERs could also be powered by shocks, winds, outflows, or photoionization from...
post-asymptotic giant branch stellar populations (Ho et al. 1993; Filippenko 1996; Bremer et al. 2013; Singh et al. 2013). LINER galaxies are the largest AGN sub-population, and may constitute one third of all nearby galaxies (Heckman 1980; Ho et al. 1997b), yet iPTF16bco was one of only three cases of a CLAGN in a LINER out of the nearly 70 known CLAGNs at the time.21 Furthermore, as a LINER, iPTF16bco had a lower inferred accretion rate in its low state ($L/L_{\text{Edd}} \lesssim 0.005$; Gezari et al. 2017) compared to the majority of previously discovered CLAGNs (MacLeod et al. 2019), implying a much more dramatic transformation.

We report the discovery of six new CLAGNs, all classified as LINER galaxies by their archival SDSS spectra, detected as nuclear transients by the Zwicky Transient Facility (ZTF; Bellm et al. 2019a; Graham et al. 2019), and spectroscopically confirmed as “changing-look” to a narrow-line Seyfert 1 (NLS1) or broad-line (type 1) AGN spectral class. One of these nuclear transients, ZTF18ajupnt/AT2018dyk, was initially classified as a candidate tidal disruption event (TDE) from the presence of Balmer and He II emission lines (Arcavi et al. 2018). Here, we show that the ZTF light curve, together with our sequence of follow-up optical spectra and UV and X-ray monitoring with Swift and follow-up UV spectra with the Hubble Space Telescope (HST), are more consistent with a CLAGN classification. It was previously thought that, although they are commonly found in Seyferts, coronal emission lines (such as [Fe VII] λ6088) should never be exhibited by LINER-like galaxies by definition (e.g., Corbett et al. 1996). However, here we report the surprising appearance of coronal lines coincident with an increase in UV/optical and soft X-ray continuum emission and broad Balmer emission consistent with an NLS1 in this galaxy previously classified as a LINER.

This paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we present our sample selection of nuclear transients in LINERs, information on the host galaxies, ZTF and archival optical light curves, optical spectroscopic observations, and multiwavelength follow-up observations of ZTF18ajupnt/AT2018dyk, including details of the data reduction involved. In Section 3, we introduce a new class of CL. LINERs, and compare their properties to previously reported Seyfert CLAGNs, focusing on the particularly interesting case of ZTF18ajupnt/AT2018dyk, which transformed from a LINER to an NLS1. In Section 4 we discuss the results of our analysis, the conclusions of which are summarized in Section 5.

Throughout the paper we use UT dates, and assume the following cosmology for luminosity calculations: $H_0 = 70 \text{ km s}^{-1} \text{Mpc}^{-1}$, $\Omega_\Lambda = 0.73$, and $\Omega_M = 0.27$. We have corrected for Galactic extinction toward the sources where explicitly stated. All magnitudes are in the AB system, and all uncertainties are at the 1σ level unless otherwise noted. We adopt the definition22 for a quasar from the SDSS DR7 quasar catalog (Schneider et al. 2010), as having an absolute r-band magnitude brighter than $-22$.

2. Discovery and Observations

2.1. Sample Selection Criteria

We selected CLAGN candidates first flagged as nuclear transients in the ZTF alert stream (described further in Section 2.2) and with a cross-match within 1″ of a LINER or type 2 Seyfert galaxy in the Portsmouth Catalog’s narrow-line ratio BBT (Baldin, Phillips & Terlevich) classifications23 (Bolzonella et al. 2000; Thomas et al. 2013). Those classifications, described further in Section 3.1, are based on stellar population and emission-line fits to SDSS DR12 spectra, performed with penalized pixel fitting (pPXF; Cappellari & Emsellem 2004; Cappellari 2016) and gas and absorption line fitting (GANDALF; Sarzi et al. 2017). In this study, we focus on the “LINER CLAGNs” that emerged as a new class of CLAGNs and display the most dramatic spectral variability of the CLAGNs in our ZTF sample (we reserve discussion of the complementary sample of Seyfert CLAGNs for a forthcoming publication).

2.2. ZTF Light Curve

ZTF surveys the extragalactic24 Northern sky in two modes: a public Mid-Scale Innovations Program (MSIP) survey of 15,000 deg$^2$ of sky every three nights in $g$ and $r$ filters, and a high-cadence ZTF partnership survey of 3400 deg$^2$ with a dense cadence of six epochs each in $g$ and $r$ filters per night. It also surveys in i-band every four nights with a footprint of 10,725 deg$^2$ (Bellm et al. 2019b). The Palomar Transient Factory (PTF) and intermediate Palomar Transient Factory (ipPTF) (2009–2016; Law et al. 2009; Rau et al. 2009) also utilized Palomar Observatory’s Samuel Oschin 48” Schmidt telescope; the camera upgrade for ZTF has a 47 deg$^2$ field of view and reaches 25.5 r-band mag in 30 s exposures, with a more efficient areal survey speed of 3760 deg$^2$ hr$^{-1}$. Images are processed each night by the Infrared Processing and Analysis Center (IPAC) pipeline (Masri et al. 2019), where difference imaging and source detection are performed to produce a transient alert stream (Patterson et al. 2019), distributed to the GROWTH Marshal (Kasliwal et al. 2019) and other brokers via the University of Washington Kafka system. van Velzen et al. (2019) presented details of the nuclear transients filtering procedure.

All transients in the sample were discovered in 2018 between April and November, all in the ZTF MSIP survey (specific dates are summarized in Table 1). ZTF18ajupnt was also detected in the ZTF Partnership survey on 2018 May 31, and (as it was detected in both surveys in the same night) was registered publicly to the Transient Name Server (TNS) as AT2018dyk. Transients were required to have a real–bogus (RB) score $\geq 0.5$ as classified by ZTF machine learning (Mahabal et al. 2019). Further details on the transients, including discovery difference absolute magnitudes, are in Table 1.

The optical photometry for ZTF18ajupnt/AT2018dyk, ZTF18aasuray, ZTF18aahiqi, ZTF18aadlyq, ZTF18aasszw, and ZTF18aabltn is comprised of 398, 200, 35, 143, and 207 images, respectively, shown in Figure 1. We consider only observations with difference image detections classified as real.

21 We note that the other two known so-called CL. LINERs, NGC 1097 (Storchi-Bergmann et al. 1993) and NGC 3065 (Ercolano & Halpern 2001) are, or are reminiscent of, transient double-peaked emitters, which may be distinct from CLAGNs.
22 Our sample is not limited to these magnitudes; this criterion is merely used to distinguish quasars from Seyfert AGNs.
23 https://www.sdss.org/dr12/spectro/galaxy_portsmouth/#kinematics
24 Additional public and private allocations are made to survey the Galactic plane at higher cadence. See Bellm et al. (2019b) for details.
25 As ZTF given names are typically a mouthful of letters (appropriately so, due to the requirement of naming upwards of a million alerts per night), the ZTF Black Holes Working Group has informally begun naming TDEs from a fictional world with no shortage of characters: HBO’s Game of Thrones. As it was initially thought to be a TDE, ZTF18ajupnt/AT2018dyk was affectionately dubbed “Tyrion Lannister.”
### Table 1
Basic Data for the Changing-look LINER Sample

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>R.A.</th>
<th>Decl.</th>
<th>$z$</th>
<th>$D_{\text{host}}$ (Mpc)</th>
<th>Discovery/Follow-up</th>
<th>$M_{\text{Discovery}}$ (mag)</th>
<th>$\delta t$ (yr)</th>
<th>Host$^a$</th>
<th>log SFR ($M_{\odot}$ yr$^{-1}$)</th>
<th>$\Delta m_{\text{var}}$ (mag)</th>
<th>High State</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>(A) ZTF18aajupnt$^b$</td>
<td>15:33:08.01</td>
<td>+44:32:08.2</td>
<td>0.0367</td>
<td>158</td>
<td>2018 May 31$^c$/Jun 12$^d$</td>
<td>$-16.59$</td>
<td>$&lt;0.3$</td>
<td>SBB D</td>
<td>0.177</td>
<td>$-0.18$</td>
<td>NLS1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(B) ZTF18aaasaray$^a$</td>
<td>11:33:55.83</td>
<td>+67:01:08.0</td>
<td>0.0397</td>
<td>171</td>
<td>2018 May 10/Jun 21</td>
<td>$-17.80$</td>
<td>$&lt;0.6$</td>
<td>SBa(r)$^g$</td>
<td>0.147</td>
<td>$-0.06$</td>
<td>Seyfert 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(C) ZTF18aahiqf$^e$</td>
<td>12:54:03.80</td>
<td>+49:14:52.9</td>
<td>0.0670</td>
<td>296</td>
<td>2018 Apr 8/11</td>
<td>$-18.25$</td>
<td>$&lt;0.6$</td>
<td>Elliptical</td>
<td>$-0.058$</td>
<td>$-0.12$</td>
<td>quasar</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(D) ZTF18aaalqy$^b$</td>
<td>09:15:31.06</td>
<td>+48:14:08.0</td>
<td>0.1005</td>
<td>457</td>
<td>2018 Apr 11/May 6</td>
<td>$-19.09$</td>
<td>$&lt;0.7$</td>
<td>Sb D</td>
<td>0.092</td>
<td>$-0.29$</td>
<td>quasar</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(E) ZTF18aaabml$^i$</td>
<td>08:17:26.42</td>
<td>+10:12:10.1</td>
<td>0.0458</td>
<td>199</td>
<td>2018 Sep 15/Dec 9$^j$</td>
<td>$-17.62$</td>
<td>$&lt;2.6$</td>
<td>Elliptical</td>
<td>0.227</td>
<td>$-0.81$</td>
<td>quasar</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(F) ZTF18aaaszwr$^k$</td>
<td>12:25:50.31</td>
<td>+51:08:46.5</td>
<td>0.1680</td>
<td>813</td>
<td>2018 Nov 1/Dec 3</td>
<td>$-20.40$</td>
<td>$&lt;5.3$</td>
<td>Elliptical</td>
<td>1.267</td>
<td>$-0.72$</td>
<td>quasar</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Notes. We list redshifts from the Portsmouth SDSS DR12 catalog (Thomas et al. 2013), which is described in Section 2.1. Transition timescales $\delta t$ (observer frame) are roughly constrained based on the time delay between the onset of variability detected in the host in the archival light curves, and the time of the first spectrum taken in the type 1 AGN state. Estimates of star formation rate by Chang et al. (2015) are from SDSS + WISE spectral energy distribution model fitting. $\Delta m$ is the variability magnitude change defined in Equation (3) of Hung et al. (2018) as $\Delta m = -2.5 \log (10^{-m_{\text{host}}/2.5} + 10^{-m_r/2.5}) - m_r$, where $m_r$ represents the brightest transient $r$-band magnitude in the difference-imaging light curve. ZTF18aajupnt/AT2018dyk, described further in Section 3.6 is the least luminous transient, and has the nearest host of the sample.

$^a$ Kuminski & Shamir (2016).
$^b$ Hosted in SDSS J153308.02+443208.4/IRAS F15313+4442/2MASX J15330803+4432086.
$^c$ In ZTF Partnership Survey.
$^d$ Listed is the first spectroscopic follow up of ZTF18aajupnt/AT2018dyk. The full campaign of optical spectroscopic follow-up of this source is summarized in Table 3.
$^e$ TNS name AT2018kcep; hosted in SDSS J113555.93+670107.0/2MASX J11355602+6701073.
$^f$ Hernández-Toledo et al. (2010) (Kuminski & Shamir 2016 reported nearly a 50% probability for both spiral and elliptical type for this host galaxy).
$^g$ Hosted in SDSS J125403.78+491452.8/2MASX J12540375+4914533.
$^h$ TNS name AT2018iwp; hosted in SDSS J091531.04+481407.7.
$^i$ TNS name AT2018dk; hosted in SDSS J081726.41+101210.1/2MASX J08172642+1012101.
$^j$ Listed is the first spectroscopic follow up of ZTF18aaabml. Additional high-resolution spectroscopic follow-up of this source was taken on 2019 May 2 and is shown in Figure 4.
$^k$ Hosted in SDSS J122550.30+510846.3/2MASX J12255033+5108461.
The ZTF optical difference imaging light curves show only the transient nuclear emission in the $g$- and $r$-bands. The transients are localized to within $0.19 \pm 0.28$, $0.11 \pm 0.33$, $0.06 \pm 0.06$, $0.10 \pm 0.20$, and $0.15 \pm 0.15$ of their host galaxy nuclei, well within our nuclear selection criterion of $<0.5$.

To quantify the amplitude of the flux increase relative to the host galaxy flux, and to compare to variability of CLAGNs measured from imaging surveys that do not perform image subtraction, as in Hung et al. (2018), we add the flux of the host galaxy to the transient flux, to get a variability amplitude, $\Delta m_{\text{var}} = m_{r,\text{tot}} - m_{r,\text{host}}$, where $m_{r,\text{tot}} = -2.5 \log(10^{-m_r/2.5} + 10^{-m_{r,\text{host}}/2.5})$, $m_r$ represents the brightest transient ZTF $r$-band magnitude, and $m_{r,\text{host}}$ is the archival host magnitude from SDSS DR14. We find $\Delta m_{\text{var}}$ values ranging from $-0.12$ to $-0.81$ mag for the sources in our sample, with three out of five below the CLAGN candidate selection criteria of an amplitude of $\Delta r > 0.5$ mag between SDSS and Pan-STARRS1 imaging observations adopted by MacLeod et al. (2019).

ZTF18aajupnt (AT2018dyk; discussed more in Section 3.6), ZTF18aasuray, and ZTF18aasszwr display a slow, months-long rise and plateau (although a visibility gap makes this unclear for ZTF18aasuray) with a constant color, and gradual decline, with ZTF18aasszwr exhibiting a second rise and ZTF18aajupnt/AT2018dyk growing redder in the latest observations. All other transients in the sample show flaring in the light curves (see Figure 1) but with less distinct trends, characteristic of broad-line AGN variability viewed in difference imaging (Choi et al. 2014).

2.3. Capturing the Transition in Archival Light Curves

Although difference imaging is a useful real-time discovery mechanism for these nuclear transients, archival optical photometric observations can fill in the details of the timing of the
transition to its “on” state. With archival light curves extending over a baseline of 13 yr from the Catalina Real-time et al. 2009, the All-Sky Automated Survey for Supernovae (ASAS-SN; Shappee et al. 2014; Kochanek et al. 2017), the Terrestrial-impact Last Alert System (ATLAS; Tonry et al. 2018), and ZTF aperture photometry from the IPAC pipeline measured from the static images, we uncover an intriguing uniformity in the events (Figure 2). Each source in the sample went from lacking any significant variability to flaring dramatically and, for those observed long enough, subsequently declining (ZTF18aasbltn continues to rise smoothly). As not all sources in the sample have peaked, we define the transition timescale for each source reported in Table 1 as being from the onset of each flare to the spectroscopic confirmation of the appearance of a blue continuum and broad-line emission (except iPTF16bco, for which the onset time was constrained by archival and follow up X-ray observations; Gezari et al. 2017). Turn-on timescales, absolute r-band magnitudes at the time of detection with ZTF, variability amplitude relative to the host galaxy flux, and new AGN class following the change are summarized in Table 1 for all transients in the sample. We discuss the details of each source’s flaring individually below.

(A) ZTF18aajupnt/AT2018dyk. ZTF-matched aperture photometry in the g-band shows that ZTF18aajupnt/AT2018dyk began flaring some time before 2018 March (58200 MJD), around two months prior to discovery in difference imaging on 2018 May 31, and three months prior to confirmation of a spectroscopic change. The most recent difference imaging photometry shows a slow decline at constant color. Transition timescale: <0.3 yr, the fastest in the sample.

(B) ZTF18aasuray. Discovery with ZTF difference imaging occurred on 2018 May 10 and shows a slow symmetric rise and decline lasting 300 days. ZTF18aasuray displayed flaring in ASAS-SN data beginning around 2011 August (55800 MJD), 6.8 yr prior to spectroscopic confirmation of the CL which occurred on 2018 June 21. Prior to this flaring, CRTS observations in the V-band (shown in the left panel of Figure 2; Drake et al. 2009) showed no variability above the 0.1 mag level. Transition timescale: <6.8 yr.

(C) ZTF18aahiqfi. The rise (seen in ZTF g-band matched photometry) starts approximately at 2017 September (58000 MJD), seven months prior to its spectroscopic change. It peaks around 2018 May (58250 MJD; around one month after discovery with ZTF difference imaging on 2018 April 8) and subsequently shows a sharp decline. Prior to this flaring, CRTS observations in the V-band (shown in the left panel of Figure 2; Drake et al. 2009) and ASAS-SN showed no variability above the 0.1 mag level. Transition timescale: <0.6 yr.

(D) ZTF18aaidlyq. This source displayed a slight flare in ASAS-SN data just after 2017 September (58000 MJD), seven months prior to detection in ZTF difference imaging and eight

---

26 http://www.astronomy.ohio-state.edu/~assassin

---

**Figure 2.** Archival light curves of the CL LINER sample summarized in Section 2.3. The left panel shows years to decades of quiescence (in the “off” state while these were still LINER galaxies) observed by CRTS, followed by slow flares in the faintest sources ZTF18aaszzwr, ZTF18aaba, ZTF18aahiqfi, and ZTF18aaidlyq. The right panel shows the rise, flaring, and decline of the sources caught by ZTF+ATLAS+ASASSN g-band observations at these various stages. The estimated transition time listed in Section 2.3 for each object is marked by a black “×.” This was determined by inferring by-eye approximately when in time (observer frame) the onset of prolonged optical variability from quiescence took place in each source. When two filters are shown for the same instrument, the redder is shown as more transparent, as in the case of the ASAS-SN g and V photometric points shown.
months prior to spectroscopic confirmation of the existence of a BLR, but was faint and quiescent in CRTS beginning in 2005 May (note that this source is near a bright star). Transition timescale: <0.7 yr.

(E) ZTF18aaabln. CRTS, ATLAS, and ASAS-SN show a continuous rise starting around 2016 April (57500 MJD) but this disregards some slight flaring (by 0.2 mag) events at 2008 November and just before 2014 December (57000 MJD), with both returning to very flat pre-activity levels. This constrains the spectroscopic change to happening within 1000 days (<2.7 yr) of the flare start time, the first large flare occurring within nine months of being observed to be a LINER in 2007 February. Transition timescale: <2.6 yr.

(F) ZTF18aasszw. The rise is visible in CRTS around 2018 July (56500 MJD), after which it may have plateaued for a time. Most recently there has been a sharp rise and decline around 2018 May (58250 MJD), with the peak reaching >1 mag above original levels. The transition from quiescence thus happened roughly in real time, and was observed with difference imaging four months after the flaring began, with the spectroscopic change confirmed within 5.3 yr of the initial rise time, and within five months of the onset of the most recent flare. We note that, two decades ago, ZTF18aasszw was a variable (rms = 0.14 mJy) radio source between the NRAO VLA Sky Survey and Faint Images of the Radio Sky at Twenty centimeters (FIRST; Ofek & Frail 2011), with a peak flux density at 1.4 GHz of $F_\nu = 2.17$ mJy. Transition timescale: <5.3 yr.

iPTF16bco. CRTS photometry shows a flare beginning around 2012 March (56000 MJD), 8 yr after being observed to be a LINER and 4 yr prior to discovery and classification of a quasar in iPTF, and the latest ZTF g-band data show it declining rapidly. However, archival XMM-Newton Slew Survey observations constrain the onset of the X-ray source detected by Swift in its broad-line state to <1.1 yr before (Gezari et al. 2017). Transition timescale: <1.1 yr.

2.4. Host Galaxy Morphology

Images of the six transients’ host galaxies from SDSS are shown in Figure 3, and basic data including the hosts’ names, matched coordinates, redshifts, luminosity distances, morphological types, and star formation rates (SFRs) are summarized in Table 1. The SFR estimates by Chang et al. (2015) were obtained through Multi-wavelength Analysis of Galaxy Physical Properties (da Cunha et al. 2012) model fitting of dust extinction/emission, and spectral energy distributions (SEDs) constructed from WISE+SDSS (WISE: Wright et al. 2010) matched photometry of present-epoch galaxies (we note that SFRs for only two AGNs in our sample were measured by Chang et al. 2015; the rest did not fit their criteria). The bulges of the LINERs’ hosts are similar in apparent color and extent, but the host of ZTF18aaidlyq exhibits evidence for a bar and ring, and the host of ZTF18aaabln exhibits apparent elongation. The host of ZTF18aaabln/AT2018dyk stands out in the sample as the only gas-rich spiral galaxy, and we note that NLS1s typically occur in spiral-type galaxies (Crenshaw et al. 2003). Black hole masses estimated from the host galaxy luminosity, bulge mass, and velocity dispersions derived from the SDSS host imaging and spectra have been measured in Section 3.2 and are summarized in Table 2.

![Figure 3](image)

2.5. Optical Spectroscopy

We obtained spectral follow-up of nuclear transients in known LINERS and Sy 2 galaxies as described in Section 2.1 to confirm CLAGN candidates, as neither “true” narrow-line Sy 2s nor LINERs are expected to vary significantly.27 We observed ZTF18aaaiqfq, ZTF18aaaidlyq, and ZTF18aaasuray with the Deveny spectrograph on the Discovery Channel Telescope (DCT; spectral coverage of 3600–8000 Å) with a 1.5′′ wide slit, central wavelength of 5800 Å and exposure times of 2 × 900, 2 × 1200, and 1400 s on 2018 April 11, May 6, and June 21, respectively. The DCT spectra were reduced with standard IRAF routines, corrected for bias and flat-fielding, and combined into a single 2D science frame. Wavelength and flux calibration were done via a comparison

27 Curiously, long-term X-ray (e.g., Hernández-García et al. 2013) and compact nuclear UV (Maoz et al. 2005) variability by a factor of a few has been observed in a number of both broad- and narrow-type LINERs, attributed to an advection-dominated accretion flow mechanism in an AGN component in the former work and a “scaled-down” Seyfert analog in the latter.
Table 2
Properties of the Host Galaxies of Our Sample of Changing-look LINERs from ZTF and iPTF

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>$M_{\text{host}}$ $^{a}$</th>
<th>log $M_{\text{Bulge}}$ $^{b}$</th>
<th>$\sigma_e$ $^{c}$</th>
<th>$\lambda L_{1000}$ Å $^{d}$</th>
<th>FWHM$_{H\alpha}$ (km s$^{-1}$)</th>
<th>log $M_{\text{BH,eq}}$ $^{e}$</th>
<th>log $M_{\text{BH,Bulge}}$ $^{e}$</th>
<th>log $M_{\text{BH,eq}}$, $^{f}$</th>
<th>log $M_{\text{BH,vir}}$ $^{g}$</th>
<th>$L/L_{\text{Edd}}$ $^{h}$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ZTF18aajupnt</td>
<td>$-22.00$</td>
<td>$10.66 \pm 0.15$</td>
<td>$150$</td>
<td>$0.23 \pm 0.02$</td>
<td>$939 \pm 28$</td>
<td>$8.0$</td>
<td>$7.8$</td>
<td>$7.6$</td>
<td>$5.5$</td>
<td>$0.004$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ZTF18aasuray</td>
<td>$-21.70$</td>
<td>$10.73 \pm 0.15$</td>
<td>$230$</td>
<td>$0.62 \pm 0.05$</td>
<td>$4270 \pm 218$</td>
<td>$7.9$</td>
<td>$7.9$</td>
<td>$8.4$</td>
<td>$7.1$</td>
<td>$0.002$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ZTF18aaidlyq</td>
<td>$-21.64$</td>
<td>$\ldots$</td>
<td>$120$</td>
<td>$1.15 \pm 0.04$</td>
<td>$7726 \pm 458$</td>
<td>$7.9$</td>
<td>$\ldots$</td>
<td>$8.2$</td>
<td>$7.8$</td>
<td>$0.005$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ZTF18aaiufi</td>
<td>$-21.63$</td>
<td>$\ldots$</td>
<td>$210$</td>
<td>$0.40 \pm 0.01$</td>
<td>$8809 \pm 723$</td>
<td>$7.9$</td>
<td>$\ldots$</td>
<td>$7.2$</td>
<td>$7.6$</td>
<td>$0.02$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ZTF18aasszwr</td>
<td>$-22.19$</td>
<td>$11.19 \pm 0.15$</td>
<td>$180$</td>
<td>$5.7 \pm 0.3$</td>
<td>$6461 \pm 846$</td>
<td>$8.1$</td>
<td>$8.3$</td>
<td>$7.9$</td>
<td>$8.1$</td>
<td>$0.05$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ZTF18aaabhtn</td>
<td>$-20.62$</td>
<td>$\ldots$</td>
<td>$140$</td>
<td>$0.56 \pm 0.05$</td>
<td>$3057 \pm 648$</td>
<td>$7.3$</td>
<td>$\ldots$</td>
<td>$7.5$</td>
<td>$6.8$</td>
<td>$0.01$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>iPTF16bco</td>
<td>$-22.21$</td>
<td>$\ldots$</td>
<td>$176$</td>
<td>$6.9 \pm 0.2$</td>
<td>$4183 \pm 213$</td>
<td>$8.4$</td>
<td>$\ldots$</td>
<td>$7.9$</td>
<td>$7.8$</td>
<td>$0.06$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Notes. We also show $M_{\text{BH}}$ calculated in Section 3.2 from the host galaxy luminosity, mass, and velocity dispersion, respectively.

$^{a}$ Computed from the $r$-band de Vaucouleurs/exponential disk profile model fit magnitude from the SDSS DR14 photometric catalog.

$^{b}$ Computed from broadband SED fits to photometric measurements of SDSS DR 7 galaxies (Mendel et al. 2014).

$^{c}$ Measured from the SDSS spectrum using the $p$PXF method.

$^{d}$ McLure & Dunlop (2002).

$^{e}$ Häring & Rix (2004).

$^{f}$ Tremaine et al. (2002).

$^{g}$ In high state; $M_{\text{BH,eq}}$ was employed to obtain the black hole masses used in computing the Eddington ratio (see Section 3.5).
with spectra of an arc lamp and the flux standard Feige 34, respectively. The spectra were not corrected for telluric absorption. We found that the Balmer lines of ZTF18aahiqfi, ZTF18aaidlyq, and ZTF18aasuray had become dramatically stronger and broader compared to archival SDSS spectra of their hosts, obtained more than a decade prior (in 2003 April, 2002 December, and 2001 February, respectively).

ZTF18aasswzr and ZTF18aabln showed similar striking spectral changes when they were followed up on 2018 December 3 and 9 using the Spectral Energy Distribution Machine (SED; Blagorodnova et al. 2018) IFU spectrograph on the Palomar 60 inch (P60; Cenko et al. 2006) operating as part of ZTF. Both displayed broader emission lines and bluer continua compared to archival LINER spectra (from 2007 February and 2004 June, respectively). The SEDM data were reduced with pySEDM (Rigault et al. 2019). ZTF18aabln was later followed up with the DCT on 2019 May 2.

See the spectral comparisons for all CLAGNs in the sample in Figure 4, and zoom-ins of the emission lines in the “off” states in Figures 18, 19, and “on” states in Figure 20 of the Appendix. The hosts of all six transients in this sample were originally classified as LINERs in the SDSS; however, we re-measured the diagnostic narrow-line ratios in Section 3.1, and found that the majority of the sample is on the borderline between a LINER and Seyfert classification.

Due to its similarity to a TDE at early times, we promptly initiated a multi-wavelength follow-up campaign of ZTF18ajupnt/AT2018dyk which we describe in the following sections. Following the discovery of a blue continuum with the Double Spectrograph (DBSP) of the Palomar 200 inch Hale telescope on 2018 June 12 (PI: David Cook), we monitored ZTF18ajupnt/AT2018dyk with five additional epochs of optical spectroscopy with the SEDM on Palomar’s 60 inch on 2018 July 22 and August 12, LRIS on the Keck I telescope on 2018 August 7 (PI: Kulkarni), Gemini GMOS-N on 2018
August 21 (PI: Hung), and with Deveny on the DCT on 2018 September 12 (PI: Gezari). We detail the configurations of the spectroscopic follow-up observations of ZTF18aajupnt/AT2018dyk in Table 3. During this time, its optical light curve plateaued in a manner strikingly similar to iPTF16bco, whereas the decline appears slower but similar in shape to that of ZTF18aasswzr.

Table 3

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Obs UT</th>
<th>Instrument</th>
<th>Exposure (s)</th>
<th>References</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2002 Jul 11</td>
<td>SDSS</td>
<td>28816</td>
<td>Abolfathi et al. (2018)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2018 Jun 12</td>
<td>Palomar 200° DBSP</td>
<td>2400</td>
<td>This work</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2018 Jul 22</td>
<td>Palomar 60° SEDM</td>
<td>2430</td>
<td>This work</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2018 Jul 30</td>
<td>Swift XRT</td>
<td>40400</td>
<td>This work</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2018 Aug 7</td>
<td>Keck LRIS</td>
<td>300</td>
<td>This work</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2018 Aug 11</td>
<td>XMM EPIC pn</td>
<td>11906</td>
<td>This work</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2018 Aug 12</td>
<td>Palomar 60° SEDM</td>
<td>2430</td>
<td>This work</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2018 Aug 12</td>
<td>Palomar 50° SEDM</td>
<td>2430</td>
<td>This work</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2018 Aug 21</td>
<td>Gemini GMOS-N</td>
<td>600</td>
<td>This work</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2018 Sep 1</td>
<td>HST STIS</td>
<td>2859</td>
<td>This work</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2018 Sep 12</td>
<td>DCT Deveny</td>
<td>2400</td>
<td>This work</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

As seen in Figure 5, ZTF18aasswzr behaves quite differently, potentially because of a lower UV flux. The latter is consistent with the fact that ZTF18aasswzr is a supergiant blue (SGB) star. Its red color suggest that it is associated with the host galaxy, whereas ZTF18aajupnt is redder and has a much slower evolution.

Figure 5. Difference imaging light curves of the CL LINERs with the best-sampled P48 observations in the ZTF sample (ZTF18aajupnt/AT2018dyk, ZTF18aasswzr, and ZTF18aassuray) plotted in absolute magnitude compared to that of CL LINER iPTF16bco (triangle-shaped points). Red and green colors represent r- and g-band observations, respectively, with slightly different shades used only to distinguish the different sources. ZTF18aasswzr and iPTF16bco are similar in luminosity and more luminous than ZTF18aajupnt/AT2018dyk and ZTF18aassuray by about 2.5 mag. ZTF18aassuray has a much slower evolution and is constantly redder in color, whereas ZTF18aajupnt/AT2018dyk reddens ~280 days into its evolution. The rise of ZTF18aajupnt/AT2018dyk mirrors that of iPTF16bco, whereas the decline appears slower but similar in shape to that of ZTF18aasswzr.

Figure 6 shows a complete series of spectra obtained for ZTF18aajupnt/AT2018dyk, as well as comparisons to some examples of other AGNs and transient types, including the class of extreme coronal line emitters (ECLEs) and the luminous SN IIn SN 2005ip which demonstrated strong coronal line emission (Smith et al. 2009). These spectra were reduced with standard pipelines and procedures for each instrument. Measurements of the flux, luminosity, radial velocity, full-width at half-maximum (FWHM), and equivalent width of the emission lines, including the coronal emission lines ([Fe XIV] λ5304, [Fe VII] λ5721, 6088, [Fe X] λ6376) in the spectrum with the highest signal-to-noise detection of the coronal lines, are given in Table 4. The FLOYDS-N spectrum from 2018 August 12 was reported by Arcavi et al. (2018) to have broad Hα, and both broad and narrow Hβ and He II. At that time, a blue continuum was not obvious in their spectrum. However, we show a power-law blue excess is clearly detected in the residuals of the spectra after subtracting a model for the host galaxy light (Figure 7).

We have corrected for Galactic extinction in the spectra in Figure 6, with color excess E(B−V) = 0.0164 mag (from the Schlafly & Finkbeiner 2011 dust map28). We use the optical correction curve for K_v = 3.1 given by Equations 3(a) and (b) in Cardelli et al. (1989), such that f_{corr} = f_{obs} 10^{-A_v/2.5}.

2.6. UV Imaging and Spectroscopy

We obtained 17 epochs of follow-up imaging of ZTF18aajupnt/AT2018dyk with the Neil Gehrels Swift Observatory’s (Gehrels et al. 2004) Ultraviolet/Optical Telescope (UVOT; Roming et al. 2005; Poole et al. 2008) from 2018 July 30 to 2019 March 17 with 2–3 ks per epoch in the UVW2 filter (λ_eff = 2030 Å; see Figures 1 and 8). We detected NUV brightening in the nucleus relative to its archival Galaxy Evolution Explorer (GALEX; Martin et al. 2005) All-Sky Imaging Survey magnitude of NUV = 19.0 mag (measured with a 6″ radius aperture).

The source was initially detected with a Swift UVW2 = 17.7 mag (measured within a 5″ radius aperture), which then faded to UVW2 = 18.0 mag 20 days later, and then remained roughly at that UV flux over the next 50 days. Note that while some of the UV flux measured by Swift contains a contribution from extended star formation (detected in the UV out to a radius of 15″), the fact that it is variable, and brighter than the archival GALEX UV central flux, indicates that it is associated with the transient. The UV–optical color of ZTF18aajupnt/AT2018dyk after subtracting off the GALEX flux is UVW2 − r = −0.45 mag, very similar to iPTF16bco (which had NUV − r = −0.5 mag, already 0.5 mag bluer than the color

28 https://irsa.ipac.caltech.edu/applications/DUST/
range of AGNs in both *GALEX* and SDSS; Agüeros et al. 2005; Bianchi et al. 2005).

We obtained UV spectroscopy of ZTF18aajupnt/AT2018dyk with the Space Telescope Imaging Spectrograph (STIS) FUV and NUV Multi-Anode Microchannel Array detectors aboard the *HST* for a 2 ks exposure with 0″2 slit width, and G140L (λ = 1425 Å) and G230L (λ = 2376 Å) gratings on 2018 September 1, 2019 January 18 (only in the FUV29), and 2019 March 3, shown in Figure 9 (Proposal ID: 15331, PI: S.B. Cenko).

The high spatial resolution of the *HST* (∼0″5) enables better isolation of the nuclear emission from the host galaxy light.

29 The second *HST* epoch had no NUV coverage due to losing lock on the guide stars, and was retaken.

---

**Figure 6.** Host and follow-up spectra of ZTF18aajupnt/AT2018dyk, alongside various AGNs and coronal line emitters for comparison. AGN emission lines are annotated in gray and are labeled above the figure. Coronal lines are annotated in red and are labeled in the middle of the figure. The ☉ symbols indicate atmospheric telluric absorption bands. The flux of the Hα line (only) in SN 2005ip has been truncated for visual purposes (as it lies well above the upper boundary of the plot). Spectra have been rebinned by a factor of four for visual purposes.
The blueshift measured significantly only in Fe X translates to $\approx 0.0005 \, c$.

The UV continuum, when masking the emission lines and correcting for Galactic extinction as in Section 2.5, is an equally good fit to both a blackbody (remaining consistent for both observations within $T = (4.5 \pm 0.3) \times 10^4 \, K$) and a power law with spectral index $\alpha = -2.6 \pm 0.1$ where $F_\lambda = F_{\lambda0} \lambda^\alpha$ or $\alpha_v = -\alpha - 2 = 0.6$, with the continuum $F_{\lambda0}$ decreasing in flux by a factor of 10.7 over 140 days, while the strength of the emission lines remain roughly at the same level. This blackbody temperature is not unusual for TDEs (e.g., van Velzen et al. 2011; Gezari et al. 2012; Arcavi et al. 2014; Holoiyen et al. 2016a, 2016b; Hung et al. 2017), and the power-law index is within the range of UV slopes observed in quasars ($-1.5 < \alpha_v < 1.5$; Davis et al. 2007), but steeper than the UV slopes observed in NLS1s ($-2 < \alpha_v < 0$; Constantin & Shields 2003). Figure 9 shows similarities of the emission features to HST Faint Object Spectrograph (FOS) spectra of the prototypical NLS1s Mrk 335 and Mrk 478, noting that, compared to the NLS1s, the UV spectrum of ZTF18aajupnt/AT2018dyk initially has a weaker low-ionization line Mg II $\lambda 2798$, which tends to exhibit weak responsivity in CLAGNs (e.g., MacLeod et al. 2016; Gezari et al. 2017). In the latest HST/STIS epoch, around six months after the optical peak, a broad multi-component Mg II line profile appeared, reminiscent of recently “awakened” CLAGN.

Table 4
Line Measurements for ZTF18aajupnt/AT2018dyk from Fits in Figure 21 and Used in Figures 16, 13 and 17

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>$\lambda$ (Å)</th>
<th>$F_\lambda (10^{-15} , \text{erg} , \text{s}^{-1} , \text{cm}^{-2} , \text{Å}^{-1})$</th>
<th>$L (10^{37} , \text{erg} , \text{s}^{-1})$</th>
<th>$v_c (, \text{km} , \text{s}^{-1})$</th>
<th>FWHM (km s$^{-1}$)</th>
<th>EW (Å)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>H\textalpha</td>
<td>6562.80</td>
<td>27.67 $\pm$ 0.59</td>
<td>82.4 $\pm$ 1.2</td>
<td>57 $\pm$ 4</td>
<td>1061 $\pm$ 19</td>
<td>56.9 $\pm$ 1.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[N II]6548</td>
<td>6548.05</td>
<td>0.21 $\pm$ 0.19</td>
<td>0.64 $\pm$ 0.37</td>
<td>$-612$ $\pm$ 19</td>
<td>212 $\pm$ 59</td>
<td>0.4 $\pm$ 0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[N II]6583</td>
<td>6583.45</td>
<td>1.11 $\pm$ 0.15</td>
<td>3.31 $\pm$ 0.29</td>
<td>954 $\pm$ 10</td>
<td>335 $\pm$ 28</td>
<td>7.9 $\pm$ 0.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H\beta</td>
<td>4861.30</td>
<td>9.02 $\pm$ 0.32</td>
<td>26.85 $\pm$ 0.94</td>
<td>76 $\pm$ 8</td>
<td>939 $\pm$ 28</td>
<td>18.0 $\pm$ 0.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[O III]</td>
<td>5006.84</td>
<td>0.96 $\pm$ 0.16</td>
<td>2.86 $\pm$ 0.47</td>
<td>73 $\pm$ 24</td>
<td>489 $\pm$ 59</td>
<td>2.1 $\pm$ 0.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>He II</td>
<td>4686.00</td>
<td>3.48 $\pm$ 0.29</td>
<td>10.37 $\pm$ 0.85</td>
<td>10 $\pm$ 28</td>
<td>1157 $\pm$ 69</td>
<td>6.7 $\pm$ 0.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[Fe XIV]</td>
<td>5304.00</td>
<td>0.45 $\pm$ 0.14</td>
<td>1.33 $\pm$ 0.40</td>
<td>37 $\pm$ 44</td>
<td>546 $\pm$ 115</td>
<td>1.0 $\pm$ 0.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[Fe VII]6571</td>
<td>5721.00</td>
<td>0.81 $\pm$ 0.14</td>
<td>2.40 $\pm$ 0.41</td>
<td>62 $\pm$ 40</td>
<td>795 $\pm$ 98</td>
<td>1.6 $\pm$ 0.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[Fe VII]6088</td>
<td>6088.00</td>
<td>1.08 $\pm$ 0.13</td>
<td>3.22 $\pm$ 0.39</td>
<td>68 $\pm$ 22</td>
<td>600 $\pm$ 54</td>
<td>2.3 $\pm$ 0.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[Fe X]</td>
<td>6376.00</td>
<td>1.83 $\pm$ 0.19</td>
<td>5.44 $\pm$ 0.56</td>
<td>$-160$ $\pm$ 36</td>
<td>1301 $\pm$ 94</td>
<td>3.9 $\pm$ 0.4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note. The blueshift measured significantly only in Fe X translates to $\approx 0.0005 \, c$.
Corresponds to lower panels of Figures 10 and 11.


Figure 9. HST UV spectrum of ZTF18aajupnt/AT2018dyk compared to two prototypical NLS1s. Note the presence of high-ionization lines He II, N V, O IV, and C IV, and the relative weakness of the low-ionization line Mg II λ2796 in ZTF18aajupnt/AT2018dyk until later times. In the second epoch (orange), the continuum of ZTF18aajupnt/AT2018dyk has faded with respect to the first epoch (blue), but broad Mg II appears to be a lot stronger.

Table 5

Swift UVOT/XRT Photometry for ZTF18aajupnt/AT2018dyk

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Obs UT</th>
<th>UVOT/XRT Exposure Times (s)</th>
<th>Count Rate (10^{-2} s^{-1})</th>
<th>UVW2 (AB mag)</th>
<th>Unabsorbed $F_{\nu,1-10}$ keV (10^{13} erg s^{-1} cm^{-2} Å^{-1})</th>
<th>$L_{\nu,2}$ keV (10^{23} erg s^{-1} Hz^{-1})</th>
<th>$L_{\nu,2500}$ Å (10^{27} erg s^{-1} Hz^{-1})</th>
<th>$\alpha_{OX}$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2018 Jul 30</td>
<td>931/941</td>
<td>0.4 ± 0.3</td>
<td>17.72 ± 0.04</td>
<td>1.21</td>
<td>0.88</td>
<td>8.52</td>
<td>-1.91</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2018 Aug 12</td>
<td>312/2022</td>
<td>0.8 ± 0.3</td>
<td>17.90 ± 0.06</td>
<td>2.64</td>
<td>1.85</td>
<td>7.22</td>
<td>-1.76</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2018 Aug 20</td>
<td>451/3001</td>
<td>1.7 ± 0.3</td>
<td>18.05 ± 0.05</td>
<td>4.43</td>
<td>3.71</td>
<td>6.29</td>
<td>-1.62</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2018 Aug 22</td>
<td>298/2252</td>
<td>1.0 ± 0.2</td>
<td>18.03 ± 0.06</td>
<td>2.55</td>
<td>2.13</td>
<td>6.40</td>
<td>-1.72</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2018 Aug 27</td>
<td>375/3164</td>
<td>1.6 ± 0.3</td>
<td>17.99 ± 0.06</td>
<td>4.18</td>
<td>3.50</td>
<td>6.64</td>
<td>-1.64</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2018 Sep 1</td>
<td>286/2874</td>
<td>2.4 ± 0.3</td>
<td>18.05 ± 0.06</td>
<td>6.48</td>
<td>5.41</td>
<td>6.29</td>
<td>-1.56</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2018 Sep 18</td>
<td>807/3006</td>
<td>1.7 ± 0.3</td>
<td>18.10 ± 0.05</td>
<td>5.43</td>
<td>3.91</td>
<td>6.00</td>
<td>-1.61</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2018 Sep 23</td>
<td>165/3011</td>
<td>2.5 ± 0.3</td>
<td>18.05 ± 0.08</td>
<td>7.93</td>
<td>5.69</td>
<td>6.29</td>
<td>-1.55</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2018 Sep 28</td>
<td>324/1877</td>
<td>2.1 ± 0.4</td>
<td>18.20 ± 0.06</td>
<td>6.47</td>
<td>4.65</td>
<td>5.47</td>
<td>-1.56</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2018 Oct 3</td>
<td>353/3149</td>
<td>3.4 ± 0.4</td>
<td>18.08 ± 0.06</td>
<td>10.47</td>
<td>7.52</td>
<td>6.11</td>
<td>-1.50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2018 Oct 8</td>
<td>582/2447</td>
<td>3.1 ± 0.4</td>
<td>18.18 ± 0.05</td>
<td>9.60</td>
<td>6.89</td>
<td>5.58</td>
<td>-1.50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2018 Oct 13</td>
<td>1677/1695</td>
<td>3.4 ± 0.5</td>
<td>18.23 ± 0.04</td>
<td>10.53</td>
<td>7.56</td>
<td>5.33</td>
<td>-1.48</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2018 Nov 23</td>
<td>1329/2931</td>
<td>2.8 ± 0.3</td>
<td>18.33 ± 0.05</td>
<td>8.68</td>
<td>6.22</td>
<td>4.86</td>
<td>-1.49</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2018 Nov 28</td>
<td>1380/2854</td>
<td>2.3 ± 0.3</td>
<td>18.36 ± 0.05</td>
<td>7.27</td>
<td>5.22</td>
<td>4.72</td>
<td>-1.52</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2018 Dec 3</td>
<td>1281/2484</td>
<td>3.8 ± 0.4</td>
<td>18.28 ± 0.05</td>
<td>11.82</td>
<td>8.48</td>
<td>5.09</td>
<td>-1.45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2018 Dec 8</td>
<td>629/2452</td>
<td>4.0 ± 0.5</td>
<td>18.33 ± 0.05</td>
<td>12.54</td>
<td>9.00</td>
<td>4.86</td>
<td>-1.43</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2019 Mar 17</td>
<td>191/2874</td>
<td>3.9 ± 0.4</td>
<td>18.55 ± 0.09</td>
<td>12.24</td>
<td>8.78</td>
<td>3.97</td>
<td>-1.40</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note. Corresponds to lower panels of Figures 10 and 11.

Mrk 590 (Mathur et al. 2018). This suggests that a light travel time delay, and not low responsivity, is responsible for Mg II being only marginally detected in the initial observation. This also implies that Mg II is not co-spatial with the Balmer-line-emitting region.

Galactic extinction has been corrected in these spectra in the same way as in Section 2.5, but instead using the UV correction curve for $R_V = 3.1$ given by Equations 4(a) and (b) in Cardelli et al. (1989).

2.7. X-Ray

We observed ZTF18aajupnt/AT2018dyk concurrently with 17 exposures of the Swift XRT, detailed in Table 5. The XRT data were processed by the XRT Products Page30 (Evans et al. 2009) using HEASOFT v6.22.31 We assessed best-fit models

30 http://www.swift.ac.uk/user_objects/
31 https://heasarc.gsfc.nasa.gov/docs/software/heasoft/
utilizing $\chi^2$ statistics and XSPEC version 12.9.1a (Arnaud 1996). Uncertainties are quoted at 90% confidence intervals. The XRT light curve in the lower panel of Figure 10 shows that ZTF18aajupnt/AT2018dyk is a strongly variable X-ray source, caught rising steadily by an order of magnitude in flux over several months. The coadded spectrum (shown in the upper panel of Figure 10) is well-modeled by a power law with a spectral index of $\Gamma = -2.82^{+0.26}_{-0.35}$ and assuming a Galactic extinction of $N_H = 1.76 \times 10^{20}$ cm$^{-2}$ (computed by the NHtot tool; Schlegel et al. 1998; Kalberla et al. 2005), with no intrinsic absorption and an observed flux between 0.3 and 10 keV of $(3.0 \pm 0.5) \times 10^{-13}$ erg cm$^{-2}$ s$^{-1}$.

We then observed ZTF18aajupnt/AT2018dyk with the XMM-Newton EPIC pn camera (Strüder et al. 2001) on 2018 August 11 for a 12 ks exposure (Observation ID: 0822040701, PI: S. Gezari). We reduced the data using the XMM-Newton Science Analysis System (SAS) v16.0 (Gabriel et al. 2004). We extracted products with circular source and background (source-free) regions with radii of 35″ and 108″, respectively. To mitigate background flaring and maximize signal-to-noise ratio (S/N), we filtered for high background (defined by 13–15 keV) count rates below 1.75 cts s$^{-1}$. We also adopted CCD event patterns 0–4, corresponding to single- and double-pixel events. We used XMM-Newton EPIC-pn calibration database files updated as of 2018 September. We fit the XMM-EPIC-pn data (shown in the upper panel of Figure 11) to a simple power law with spectral index $\Gamma = 3.02 \pm 0.15$ and only Galactic extinction, characteristic of a steep soft excess, and consistent with the range of photon indices observed for NLS1s ($\Gamma = 2.8 \pm 0.9$; Boller et al. 1996; Forster & Halpern 1996; Molthagen et al. 1998; Rakshit et al. 2017).

Using the PIMMS count rate calculator,\textsuperscript{32} the conversion factor between counts and unabsorbed flux is $3.1 \times 10^{-11}$ for XRT, and $1.5 \times 10^{-12}$ for XMM-Newton.

\textbf{2.8. Infrared}

Spitzer Infrared Array Camera (Fazio et al. 2004) observations were triggered for five epochs on 2018 August 13 under the approved ToO program (PI: Yan, PID:13251). At each epoch, the data were taken for both 3.6 and 4.5 $\mu$m, each with a total of 600 s exposure time. A 50 point cycling dither pattern was used. The first three epochal data were taken and used for the analysis when this paper was prepared. The coadded and

\textsuperscript{32} https://heasarc.gsfc.nasa.gov/cgi-bin/Tools/w3pimms/w3pimms.pl
mosaiced images were produced by the standard Spitzer pipeline and are directly used by our analysis. We measured a maximum increase of 0.14 mag compared to archival WISE observations. We correct the difference magnitude for the small difference between the bandpass of the two instruments: 0.19, 0.03 mag for channels 1 and 2, respectively, as measured using stars in the field. In Figure 8, we show that this $\nu L_\nu$ at 3.6/4.5 $\mu m$ (subtracting our estimate of the host galaxy baseline as measured by WISE) is greater than $\nu L_\nu$ in the UV, suggesting a large dust covering factor (the fraction of solid angle from the central source obscured by dust).

NEOWISE data (Wright et al. 2010) showed there was no variability from the host galaxy of ZTF18aajunpnt/AT2018dyk for 1 yr prior to its discovery in ZTF, despite the hint of optical variability observed in 2016 June by iPTF (Section 2.2).

2.9. Radio

We measure an archival FIRST VLA survey intensity upper limit (including CLEAN bias) of 0.89 mJy beam$^{-1}$ at the location of the host of ZTF18aajunpnt/AT2018dyk in 1997.

3. Analysis

3.1. Host Galaxy Classification

We compare the SDSS spectra of the LINER hosts, observed more than a decade prior to the changing looks caught by ZTF, with follow-up observations taken using the P60 telescope and the DCT in Figure 4. We fit stellar absorption and narrow emission lines to the host spectra with pPXF and results are in Figure 12. To distinguish them from star-forming galaxies,
Kauffmann et al. (2003) define a galaxy as a Seyfert if
\[
\log([\text{O III}]/\text{H}\beta) > 0.61/(\log([\text{N II}]/\text{H}\alpha) - 0.05) + 1.3
\]
and Kewley et al. (2001) demarcate a composite galaxy if
\[
0.61/(\log([\text{N II}]/\text{H}\alpha) - 0.47) + 1.19 < \log([\text{O III}]/\text{H}\beta)
\]
is true. These functions are represented as the dashed and solid
and Kewley et al. 2001, 2006; Kauffmann et al. 2003

Kauffmann et al. (2003) define a galaxy as a Seyfert if
\[
\log([\text{O III}]/\text{H}\beta) > 0.61/(\log([\text{N II}]/\text{H}\alpha) - 0.05) + 1.3
\]
and Kewley et al. (2001) demarcate a composite galaxy if
\[
0.61/(\log([\text{N II}]/\text{H}\alpha) - 0.47) + 1.19 < \log([\text{O III}]/\text{H}\beta)
\]
is true. These functions are represented as the dashed and solid
lines (respectively) in the BPT \([\text{O III}]/\text{H}\beta\) versus \([\text{N II}]/\text{H}\alpha\)
narrow-line diagnostic diagram shown in the upper left panel of
Figure 13. Figure 13 also shows various other line ratio diagnostic diagrams involving the line ratios \([\text{O III}]/\text{H}\beta\), \([\text{N II}]/\text{H}\alpha\), \([\text{O I}]/\text{H}\alpha\), and \([\text{O III}]/[\text{O II}]\) (Baldwin et al. 1981; Kewley et al. 2001, 2006; Kauffmann et al. 2003), including the
WHAN diagram (Cid Fernandes et al. 2011), accounting for the equivalent width of \(\text{H}\alpha\) and the fact that the typical BPT LINER classification contains both “weak AGNs” and “retired
galaxies” that have ceased star formation.

Analysis of the archival SDSS spectra of the individual sources in this sample finds that all but CLQ iPTF16bco exist in the borderline region between LINER and Seyfert classifications for all five diagnostics shown in Figure 13. According to the diagram of Cid Fernandes et al. (2011), both weak and “fake” AGN scenarios are plausible within the 1σ error bars for three LINERs in this sample, excluding the host of iPTF16bco, which is considered a retired galaxy in this
diagnostic, and the hosts of ZTF18aasszwr and ZTF18aaabln, which are Seyfert-like (see the lower left panel of Figure 13).

We note that the broad \(\text{H}\alpha\) component of ZTF18aaabln is not completely gone in the spectrum representing its “off” state. Although it passed the sample selection criteria of being identified as a LINER in the Portsmouth SDSS DR12 catalog (described in Section 2.1), re-fitting of the line ratios of ZTF18aaabln reveals that it is a Seyfert rather than a LINER.

As we measured a broad base in \(\text{H}\alpha\), we classify it instead as a Sy 1.9 (this is also consistent with prior radio and X-ray detections of this source). ZTF18aasuray displayed double-peaked broad Balmer emission indicative of a persistent BLR with unchanging kinematics in both its low and high states. As the peaks did not represent high enough velocities or asymmetric enough profiles to require separate components, we fit a single broad Gaussian base in this source when measuring the narrow-line ratios. Unlike ZTF18aaabln, those measurements were in agreement with the LINER classification.

Similar to this work, Thomas et al. (2013) also used pPXF to fit stellar kinematics and the \([\text{S II}]/\text{H}\alpha\) ratio diagnostic from Schawinski et al. (2007) (upper right panel of Figure 13) to classify a source as a LINER; however, they used the GANDALF v1.5 code (Sarzi et al. 2017) to fit emission lines, whereas we use a simple multi-component Gaussian profile fit to the narrow lines in the stellar-template-subtracted spectra (see Figure 18 in the Appendix for these model fits). There may

Figure 13. Narrow-line diagnostics for the CL LINER sample in the “off” state (i.e., their host galaxies), including iPTF16bco (values from Gezari et al. 2017). The majority of the sample is on the borderline between a LINER and Seyfert classification. Note the differences in scale. Upper limits are used when lines are not significantly detected. Lower left panel: AGN diagnostic diagram from Cid Fernandes et al. (2011). Only three of the sources in the CL LINER sample require a Seyfert to power the Balmer emission lines in their low state, also indicated by the \(\text{H}\alpha\) line profiles requiring broad components, shown in the fits in Figure 18.

Kauffmann et al. (2003) define a galaxy as a Seyfert if
\[
\log([\text{O III}]/\text{H}\beta) > 0.61/(\log([\text{N II}]/\text{H}\alpha) - 0.05) + 1.3
\]
and Kewley et al. (2001) demarcate a composite galaxy if
\[
0.61/(\log([\text{N II}]/\text{H}\alpha) - 0.47) + 1.19 < \log([\text{O III}]/\text{H}\beta)
\]
also be a discrepancy stemming from GANDALF correcting for dust—the majority of this sample have Balmer decrement $f_{\text{H}x}/f_{\text{H}\beta} > 3.1$, indicative of strong intrinsic reddening. However, we choose not to apply a dust correction since it is an uncertain measurement for this sample, due to the weak emission line intensities.

3.2. Black Hole Masses

In order to shed light on the physical differences between the individual AGNs in this sample, we estimate the black hole (BH) masses of the CLAGN hosts using several methods. The broad H$\beta$ line is the most common virial estimator for BH masses at low redshift ($z \lesssim 0.4$; e.g., Marziani & Sulentic 2012).

$$M_{\text{BH, vir}} = 1.5 \times 10^{5} \frac{R_{\text{BLR}}}{\text{light days}} \left(\frac{\text{FWHM}(\text{H}\beta)}{10^{3} \text{ km s}^{-1}}\right)^{2} M_{\odot}$$

where $R_{\text{BLR}} = 32.9 \left(\frac{L_{\text{bol}}}{10^{44} \text{ erg s}^{-1}}\right)^{0.7}$ lt-day (Kaspi et al. 2000).

We also calculate $M_{\text{BH}}$ from the host galaxy luminosity following McLure & Dunlop (2002) such that

$$M_{\text{BH, host}} = -0.5 M_{\odot} - 2.96,$$

the host bulge stellar mass using the relation from Häring & Rix (2004)

$$\log(M_{\text{BH, bulge}}[M_{\odot}]) = \log(0.0014M_{\text{bulge}}[M_{\odot}]).$$

and from the stellar velocity dispersion ($\sigma$; measured from the SDSS spectrum using the pPXF method) using the $M_{\text{BH}}$-$\sigma$ relation from Tremaine et al. (2002)

$$\log M_{\text{BH, \sigma}}[M_{\odot}] = 8.13 + 4.02 \log(\sigma_{*}/200 \text{ km s}^{-1}).$$

The results of these measurements are summarized in Table 2, and discussed further in Section 3.3.

3.3. Comparison to Tidal Disruption Events

It is important to compare the properties of this class of AGNs “turning-on” from quiescence with a related phenomenon of TDEs. When a star passes close enough to a central BH to be ripped apart by tidal forces, roughly half of the stellar debris will remain bound to the BH and provide a fresh supply of gas to accrete onto it. The evolution of the flare of radiation from a TDE is regulated by the fallback timescale ($t_{\text{fb}}$), the time delay for the most tightly bound debris to return to pericenter after disruption, and the circularization timescale, which is dependent on the efficiency at which the debris streams shock and circularize due to general relativistic precession. Interestingly, the virial BH mass for all the CL LINERS in the iPTF/ZTF sample are above the BH mass for which a solar-type star can be disrupted outside the event horizon ($M_{\text{BH}} < 10^{5} M_{\odot}$). The only exception is ZTF18aaajupnt/AT2018dyk, which as an NLS1 in its “on” state, thus with narrower lines, naturally implies a smaller BH mass for equal luminosity with this method. However, the BH mass inferred from the host galaxy velocity dispersion and bulge mass suggest a larger BH mass of $\log(M_{\text{BH}}/M_{\odot}) = 7.6$–7.8. This trend of the BH mass from the virial method being much smaller is consistent with the work of Rakshit et al. (2017), who suggest that the smaller Balmer line widths measured in NLS1s which lead to lower BH

3.4. Comparison to Seyfert CLAGNs

We measure the H$\alpha$ and [O III] $\lambda$5007 luminosities for this sample in their “on” state in Figure 17 and compare to those of SDSS Sy 1s (including NLS1s; Mullaney et al. 2013) and quasars (Shen et al. 2011). All AGNs in this sample display [O III] $\lambda$5007 luminosities significantly below average for their observed broad H$\alpha$ luminosity in their “on” state, consistent with the findings of Gezari et al. (2017), that CLQs with appearing (disappearing) broadlines were in general closer to the fringe (average) of the quasar distribution. However, for ZTF18aaasswr and ZTF18aaabtn, only upper limits of [O III] were possible due to the low S/N for narrow lines of the low-resolution ($R \sim 100$) follow-up spectra.

MacLeod et al. (2019) systematically obtained spectra for highly variable candidate CLQs (defined as type 1 AGNs transitioning to type 2s or vice versa) within the SDSS footprint, requiring Pan-STARRS 1 variability exceeding $|\Delta q| > 1$ mag and $|\Delta r| > 0.5$ mag. We find agreement with their measured positive correlation between broad emission line and continuum flux changes, but find that our sample of CL LINERs is more extreme in the parameter space of continuum and H$\beta$ flux ratios (ranging from 2 to 800 and 12 to 400,
respectively) than the CLQ sample from MacLeod et al. (2019) (with $f_{\text{high}}/f_{\text{row}} = 1–7$ and $2–8$ for continuum and H$\beta$, respectively), shown in Figure 14. Although the range of redshifts of the two samples differs, we confirm through a comparison with measurements of published local Seyfert CLAGNs that their continuum and H$\beta$ ratios are consistent with that of the CLQ sample. When rest-frame flux at 3240 Å was not available to us due to inconsistent spectral coverage, we measured flux at the shortest available comparable wavelength.

### 3.5. Eddington Ratio Estimates

We compute the Eddington ratio ($L_{\text{bol}}/L_{\text{Edd}}$) for the sample in their “on” state assuming $L_{\text{bol}} = 9L_{\odot}1000$ Å (Kaspi et al. 2000), summarized in the final column of Table 2. $L_{\text{bol}}$ in the “on” state is measured using difference imaging in the filter with central wavelength closest to rest-frame 5100 Å for each source ($r$-band for higher-redshift sources iPTF16bco, ZTF18aaidlyq, and ZTF18aahiqfi, and $g$-band for all others). $L_{\text{bol}}$ in the “off” state is measured from the reddening corrected $L_{\text{bol}}$, narrow-line luminosity correlation to $L_{\text{bol}}$ for type 2 AGNs (Equation (1) in Netzer et al. 2006) and using the bolometric correction for LINER-like AGNs from Ho (2009), $L_{\text{bol}} = 15.8L_{\odot}$. We confirm that the reported luminosities are robust to systematics introduced by our choice of the bolometric corrections by computing $L_{\text{bol}}$ in the high state for those sources with available $L_{2–10\text{keV}}$ measurements, and find that the two methods are consistent within a factor of ~4.

While virial black hole masses based on the broad H$\beta$ line and continuum luminosity are more generally used for AGNs, those relations are based on reverberation mapping studies which were never done specifically for NLS1s. Thus, for the remainder of this work, we adopt BH mass estimates for the sample to be consistent with $M_{\text{BH}}$ from stellar velocity dispersions as described in Section 3.2 and summarized in Table 2.

The Seyfert CLAGNs with broad emission lines appearing in the variability-selected MacLeod et al. (2019) sample (summarized in Section 3.4) have $-2 \lesssim \log(L/L_{\text{Edd}}) \lesssim -1$, slightly below that of a control sample of extremely variable quasars and normal SDSS DR7 quasars. For the range of this small sample ($-2.7 \lesssim \log(L/L_{\text{Edd}}) \lesssim -1.2$), the Eddington ratios of the CL LINERs are well matched to the population of CLQs in their “on” state. The corresponding upper limits of log $(L/L_{\text{Edd}}) < -2$ in the “off” states of the LINER host galaxies are in good agreement with those of the MacLeod et al. (2019) CL population that has dimmed. Elitzur et al. (2014) predict a natural sequence within the disk-wind scenario in which AGNs evolve from displaying lacking broad optical emission lines. This evolution is driven by variations in accretion rate (with the critical value parameterized by $L_{\text{bol}}/L_{\text{Edd}}^3$), as well as the availability of ionizing radiation from the central engine. The BLR is therefore postulated to be assembled following an increase in accretion rate (likely due to instabilities to match the fast timescales observed; Rumbaugh et al. 2018). Due to an insufficient cloud flow rate and lack of ionizing photons, no BLR can be sustained below the critical accretion rate or bolometric luminosity ($L_{\text{bol}} \lesssim 5 \times 10^{39} M_{\odot}^{-1/3} \text{ erg s}^{-1}$, Elitzur & Ho 2009). This spectral evolutionary pathway is supported by modeling an SDSS-selected sample of Seyferts of various types and spanning $L/L_{\text{Edd}} \sim 10^{-3}$ to 0 (Stern & Laor 2012), for which accretion rate progressively decreased with luminosity from type 1 to type 2-like AGNs. In Figure 15 we recreate this sequence represented by AGNs with different spectral classifications occupying distinct regions of the $L_{\text{bol}} - M_{\text{BH}} - L_{\text{Edd}}$ parameter space and roughly separated by the critical threshold of Elitzur & Ho (2009). We overplot the CL LINER sample in their “on” states which overlap roughly with the Seyfert type 1 and intermediate type sources, and in the “off”
LINER states which overlap largely with the type 2s and border on the intermediate type 1.2/1.5 Seyferts.

The bolometric luminosities (and therefore the Eddington ratios) are upper limits in Figure 15 due to the “off” spectra being almost entirely host-dominated. iPTF16bco, ZTF18aasuray, ZTF18aaidlyq, and ZTF18aasszwrr approach the quasars in their “on” states, and ZTF18aajupnt/AT2018dyk does not fall squarely among the NLS1s but instead in the border region between types. The least luminous sources in the sample, ZTF18aajupnt/AT2018dyk and ZTF18aasuray, approach most closely the critical Eddington ratio for the existence of a BLR in their “off” states, and the most luminous iPTF16bco is closest to the intermediate types in its LINER state.

3.6. ZTF18aajupnt/AT2018dyk: A LINER Changing-look to an NLS1

For the following analysis we focus on ZTF18aajupnt/AT2018dyk, for which we have the most extensive follow-up data, and which showed the appearance of coronal lines along with X-ray variability. The difference imaging light curve of this event displays a plateau similar to that of iPTF16bco (Gezari et al. 2017; see the comparison in Figure 5), before fading gradually over several months in a manner similar to that of CL LINER ZTF18aasszwrr, rather than the power-law decline characteristic of an optical TDE light curve (e.g., Hung et al. 2018).

The lack of IR variability in NEOWISE leading up to the turn-on of ZTF18aajupnt/AT2018dyk constrains the presence of any IR AGN activity or dust echo in this host to <10 months. W1 – W2 is never greater than ~0.02 during this time, far below the 0.8 threshold AGN diagnostic value from Stern et al. (2012). Stability in the CRTS light curve similarly confirms that no AGN-like variability was present for 13 yr prior to its discovery with ZTF. There was, however, a hint of some ~0.1 mag flaring in the CRTS light curve in 2006 June and 2007 April. Additionally, we extracted forced photometry (Masci et al. 2017) for ZTF18aajupnt/AT2018dyk from the PTF database covering 2011–2016 June, and there were only eight marginal detections near the limiting magnitude of PTF (from 20 to 20.9 r-band mag) for the last 15 days of this range.

To reproduce the photometry of ZTF18aajupnt/AT2018dyk, any physical explanation must explain a rise time of ~50 days and a slow decline rate of ~0.5 mag in 60 days, both quite unusual for a TDE or supernova (e.g., van Velzen et al. 2019). Arcavi et al. (2018) note that the difference imaging light curve of ZTF18aajupnt/AT2018dyk peaks at an absolute magnitude of −17.4 mag, which is much fainter than the majority of TDEs by several magnitudes, excluding iPTF16fml (Blagorodnova et al. 2017). A power law and blackbody give nearly identical fits to the UV spectra (with $L_{\text{bol}} = 4.5 \times 10^{18}$ K) with no change in the slope as the continuum fades over ~140 days; Figure 9). The optical continuum in Figure 7 is well fitted with a power law, consistent with the Rayleigh–Jeans tail of a blackbody.

In the UV, the observed spectrum does not resemble that of a TDE in a LINER (e.g., ASASSN-14li, Cenko et al. 2016). Instead, the UV spectrum of ZTF18aajupnt/AT2018dyk is very similar to those of normal NLS1s, with a similar spectral slope and peaked, broad emission-line shapes (see Figure 9). In particular, ZTF18aajupnt/AT2018dyk has a strong C IV $\lambda\lambda 1548,1551$ line and C III] $\lambda 1909$ line, which is typical of NLS1s, but not detected in all the TDEs with HST UV spectra: ASASSN-14li (Cenko et al. 2016), iPTF15af (Blagorodnova et al. 2019), iPTF16fml (Brown et al. 2018), and AT2018zr (Hung et al. 2019). Interestingly though, ZTF18aajupnt/AT2018dyk does show N IV] $\lambda 1486$ emission, which is just barely detected in NLS1s (Constantin & Shields 2003) and is detected in the UV spectrum of TDE ASASSN-14li, which was argued to be N-rich. The critical density $3.4 \times 10^{10}$ cm$^{-3}$ of the intercombination N IV] $\lambda 1486$ line provides an upper limit to the density of this gas in ZTF18aajupnt/AT2018dyk (Nussbaumer & Storey 1979). The late-time increase in the Mg II line has not been detected in a TDE; in fact the opposite trend has potentially been observed: the brightening of broad Mg II with the fading of

Figure 15. AGNs, when separated by spectral classification, show the rough evolutionary sequence in parameter space of black hole mass $M_{\text{BH}}$, bolometric luminosity $L_{\text{bol}}$, and Eddington ratio $L_{\text{bol}}/L_{\text{Edd}}$ described in Section 3.5. The dotted lines denote the critical values above which a BLR can be sustained from Elitzur & Ho (2009) described in Section 3.5, to which we compare the measured values for the sample (filled purple shapes with same mapping as in Figure 14) and their hosts (unfilled black, primarily upper limits). The CL LINER sample in their “on” state is consistent with the type 1s (orange points) and intermediate types (green points). We note that the type 2 sample from Ho (2009) contains LINERs and low-luminosity AGNs (LLAGNs). Adapted from Figure 1 in Elitzur et al. (2014). The error bars on bolometric luminosity are comparable to the size of the points.
the transient in TDE AT2018zr (Hung et al. 2019). Finally, ZTF18aajupnt/AT2018dyk demonstrates none of the broad absorption features seen in the UV spectra of TDEs, and has been associated with powerful outflows launched by the accretion process in a TDE.

3.6.1. Coronal Line Emission from ZTF18aajupnt/AT2018dyk

We report line measurements of the Keck spectrum of ZTF18aajupnt/AT2018dyk in Table 4 and show the coronal line fits in Figure 21 in the Appendix. We choose to analyze the spectrum from this instrument because of its sufficiently high S/N and spectral resolution to measure the presence of coronal lines. For each of these measurements, the stellar population of the host galaxy represented by the pPXF fit has been subtracted (see Figure 12 for a visual of the stellar model template).

The width of the majority of the coronal lines is narrower than the widths of the broad permitted AGN emission lines (see Figure 16), as is expected from forbidden high-ionization collisionally excited emission because it originates from a larger distance from the ionization source. However, there is no strong evidence that the coronal emission lines in ZTF18aajupnt/AT2018dyk are observed with widths between the broad-line and narrow-line emission, as expected in the scenario in which gas is outflowing from an intermediate coronal line region (CLR; e.g., Mullaney & Ward 2008). The [Fe X] line is unlikely to be broader than expected due to blending with the [O I] λ6364 line (e.g., Pelat et al. 1987), as it is in a 1:3 ratio with the [O I] λ6300 line which is observed to be weaker than [Fe X] in this source. In Sy 1s, [Fe X] tends to be relatively stronger than the other coronal lines (e.g., Pfeiffer et al. 2000). However, in Seyferts the coronal line emission is typically measured to be only a few percent of the strength of [O III] λ5007.

The fact that [Fe X] λ6374 is stronger than [O III] λ5007 places ZTF18aajupnt/AT2018dyk away from other Seyferts and instead among the <10 known ECLEs in this parameter space. We discuss further the ECLE scenario in Section 4.2. We note that the weakness of [O III] may be due to light travel time effects, and thus may strengthen with time.

Figure 16. FWHM of Hα, Hβ, and the coronal lines for each high-resolution optical observation of ZTF18aajupnt/AT2018dyk in its “on” state. The stellar population of the host galaxy has been subtracted.

We note the significant spectral differences between ZTF18aajupnt/AT2018dyk and SN 2005ip post-peak (Smith et al. 2009). SN 2005ip has much more prominent coronal lines than even the example ECLEs, as well as a strong hydrogen emission series, much broader than the quasar iPTF16beco -plotted alongside it.

Korista & Ferland (1998) presented a model by which coronal lines are the result of interstellar medium (ISM) interaction with bare Seyfert nuclei, i.e., AGNs lacking any X-ray/UV evidence of intrinsic absorption by ionized gas along the line of sight to the AGN. This model is consistent with our finding of no intrinsic absorption in the X-ray spectra of ZTF18aajupnt/AT2018dyk.

3.6.2. ZTF18aajupnt/AT2018dyk as an NLS1 in Its “On” State

At the other extreme of eigenvectors of AGN spectral properties are NLS1s, a subclass of AGNs that are characterized by relatively narrow Balmer lines (FWHM < 2000 km s\(^{-1}\)), strong broad Fe II emission, [O III] λ5007/H\(\beta\)tot < 3, a prominent soft X-ray excess (e.g., Pucharewicz et al. 1992), and dramatic variability, especially in the X-rays (e.g., Pogge 2000; Frederick et al. 2018). These spectral properties of NLS1s are attributed to lower-mass central BHs (5 < log($M_{\text{BH}}[M_{\odot}]$) < 8; e.g., Mathur et al. 2001) that are thought to accrete at high Eddington ratios (Pounds et al. 1995; Wang et al. 1996; Grupe et al. 2010; Xu et al. 2012).

We measure 1000 \(< FWHM(H/β) < 2000 \text{ km s}^{-1}\) which is indicative of an NLS1 galaxy in the AGN interpretation (Goodrich 1989), as well as the fact that the Balmer lines are significantly better fits to Lorentzian line profiles than Gaussians (Nikolajuk et al. 2009). However, the FWHM limits between Sy 2s, NLS1s, and Sy 1s is somewhat arbitrary (Véron-Cetty et al. 2001; Mullaney & Ward 2008), and may even be better set at 2200 km s\(^{-1}\) (Rakshit et al. 2017). The fact that some of the line measurements fall short of this cutoff could speak to the intermediate nature of this transitioning object in the CL scenario. The virial mass measurement for ZTF18aajupnt/AT2018dyk is consistent with the NLS1
interpretation, as NLS1s display properties consistent with AGNs with lower masses (Grupe & Mathur 2004), though it is toward the high end of the NLS1 mass distribution (Xu et al. 2012). Also consistent with the NLS1 scenario is that [O III] \( \lambda 5007 / H\beta = 0.1 < 3 \) (Osterbrock & Pogge 1985). However, [O III] \( \lambda 5007 \) appears to be relatively weak when compared to that of prototypical NLS1, Mrk 618, in Figure 6. It should also be noted that the coronal lines in ZTF18aaajupt/AT2018dyk appear to be symmetric and at the same systematic redshift as the Balmer series and low-ionization forbidden lines, whereas coronal lines in Seyferts can be significantly broadened, asymmetric, and blueshifted consistent with an outflowing wind launched between the BLR and narrow-line region (NLR) (Rodríguez-Ardila et al. 2006; in NLS1s: Erkens et al. 1997; Porquet et al. 1999; Mullane & Ward 2008). This is less common, but not unheard of, for ECLess (see Section 4.2).

It is evident from all follow-up spectra of ZTF18aaajupt/AT2018dyk in Figure 6 that it is also missing the prominent Fe II pseudo-continuum complex characteristic of NLS1s. Therefore we do not utilize an FeII template in subsequent optical or UV spectral fitting. The intense ionizing radiation and high temperatures inferred from the presence of the coronal line emission should make visible the multiply ionized Fe II were it present. The fact that Fe II lags behind H\( \beta \) in reverberation mapping studies of AGNs (Barth et al. 2013) could mean that not enough time has passed for this component to be irradiated, consistent with the weak presence of [O III] (Figure 17). Runnoe et al. (2016) also found that, for some CLAGNs, the Fe II complex was only present in the "off" state. In AGNs there is a robust negative correlation between [O III] and Fe II (the so-called Eigenvector 1; Boroson & Green 1992), which manifests typically as weak [O III] in NLS1s (e.g., Rakshit et al. 2017), possibly indicating we should expect Fe II to become stronger in ZTF18aaajupt/AT2018dyk after the light-travel delay time.

Narrow He II is frequently observed in AGNs; however, we measure strong Fe II broader than the Balmer emission lines (Figure 16), possibly revealing an inner nuclear region not typically probed by the Balmer emission lines alone. This has been seen in a number of Seyferts such as the Sy 1 Mrk 509, but is far less common. He II \( \lambda 1640 \) and [C III] \( \lambda 1909 \) observed in the UV spectrum are consistent with the presence of higher-ionization coronal lines in the optical. All prominent emission features are similar in strength and width to those in the HST FOS spectrum of NLS1 Mrk 335 and Mrk 478, shown in Figure 9 for comparison, but with a Mg II \( \lambda 2798 \), which is only marginally detected in the first HST/STIS epoch, and then brightens significantly 4 months later. However, like [O III], the late-time brightening of Mg II is likely a result of light travel time delays if the Mg II and [O III] line emitting gas resides further out from the central BH.

### 3.6.3. The Accretion Rate of ZTF18aaajupt/AT2018dyk

The Eddington ratio of ZTF18aaajupt/AT2018dyk ranged between 0.004 and 0.001 from 2018 May to 2019 July, assuming the BH mass of \( M_{\text{BH}} [M_{\odot}] = 7.6 \) (estimate described in Section 3.2 from stellar velocity dispersion). Note that we assume a constant for the bolometric correction, but the SED is likely changing throughout the evolution of this source given the dramatic variability in \( \varepsilon_{\text{ox}} \) described below. This \( L / L_{\text{Edd}} \) is below that of the NLS1 distribution, and on the high end for that of the low-state CLQs (Xu et al. 2012; MacLeod et al. 2019). The range of Eddington ratios for the remainder of the sample is 0.002–0.06. ZTF18aaajupt/AT2018dyk is probing a critical region in \( \varepsilon_{\text{ox}} \) and Eddington ratio space related to accretion rate-driven state changes analogous to those of X-ray binaries (Ruan et al. 2019).

### 3.6.4. X-Ray Light Curve and Spectra of ZTF18aaajupt/AT2018dyk

We initially measure a soft X-ray luminosity of a few \( 10^{41} \) erg s\(^{-1} \) from the first Swift XRT observations of ZTF18aaajupt/AT2018dyk on 2018 July 30. Wang et al. (2011) required at least a few \( 10^{42} \) erg s\(^{-1} \) to power the CLR, a level which ZTF18aaajupt/AT2018dyk did not reach until \( \sim 40 \) days later. The XRT light curve in the lower panel of Figure 10 shows that ZTF18aaajupt/AT2018dyk is a variable X-ray source (we note that high-amplitude X-ray variability is characteristic of NLS1s; e.g., Nikołajuk et al. 2009). The excess variance (or fractional amplitude of variability) defined by Nandra et al. (1997) as

\[
\sigma_{\text{rms}}^2 = \frac{1}{N_{\text{in}}} \sum_{i=1}^{N_{\text{in}}} (x_i - \bar{x})^2 - \delta^2(0.3–10 \text{ keV} 130 \text{ day light curve}) \approx 0.41, \text{ similar to that of the most variable NLS1s,}
\]

but high for Sy 1s (Grupe et al. 2000). We measure a maximum luminosity of \( L_{\text{X}} = (3.7 \pm 0.4) \times 10^{42} \) erg s\(^{-1} \). This X-ray luminosity is difficult to obtain with even the brightest supernova explosions, which have been observed up to \( \sim 10^{44} \) erg s\(^{-1} \) (Immler & Lewin 2003), and it is toward the lower end for both Seyferts and NLS1s (Hasinger 2008). The hardness ratio light curve in the lower panel of Figure 10 shows that the X-ray flare is primarily in the soft band i.e., 0.3–1.5 keV, while the 1.5–10 keV light curve tracks the variability but with a much smaller amplitude. In contrast, the optical and UV photometry display a plateau during this time, reminiscent of that of iPTF16bco (Figures 1, 5), before declining over several months in a manner similar to ZTF18aaasswr.
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4. Discussion

While the number of CLAGNs is steadily increasing, there has yet to be a large-scale systematic study of newly discovered candidates that simultaneously tracks the appearance of continuum variability and the broad-line emission in real-time using high-cadence difference imaging photometry.

The best-studied target of interest in this sample was identified from ZTF based on its TDE-like rise time, and therefore we obtained several epochs of supporting data in real-time throughout its evolution. Its months-long plateau, UV/optical spectra, and high-energy properties were indicative of having changed look to an NLS1. Although they are typically highly X-ray variable, such dramatic optical variability of an NLS1 has only been seen in seven other sources to-date, including CLAGN NGC 4051 (Guainazzi et al. 1998; Uttley et al. 1999), and SDSS J123359.12+084211.5 (MacLeod et al. 2019), although they both changed from an obscured Sy 2 and not a LINER.\(^{34}\) ZTF18aajupnt/AT2018dyk is therefore unique not only among this sample, but among CLAGNs overall.

4.1. A New Class of CL LINERs

We establish this particular class of CLAGNs associated with extreme order-of-magnitude changes in continuum and emission-line flux compared to less dramatic CLs occurring in Seyferts (shown in Figure 14).

Although most CLAGNs reported to date are Seyferts, this may be due to sample selection bias, as the high numbers of LINERs may cause them to be seen as galaxy contaminants in such searches. Difference imaging offers a unique mechanism to discover variability in known LINERs.

4.2. Is ZTF18aajupnt/AT2018dyk a TDE or AGN Activity?

We focus specifically on ZTF18aajupnt/AT2018dyk, which shows the appearance of broad Balmer and coronal lines within 16 yr of being spectroscopically confirmed as a LINER, accompanied by an order-of-magnitude soft X-ray flare. Given a ROSAT All-Sky Survey flux upper limit of \(F_{\text{0.1-2.4 keV}} < 5 \times 10^{-13} \text{ erg s}^{-1} \text{ cm}^{-2}\) at the location of the host from 1990 to 1991 (Voges et al. 1999), ZTF18aajupnt/AT2018dyk has therefore displayed a CL in both the optical and X-ray usages of this term. The lower limit for this change in soft X-ray flux (0.1–2.4 keV) was by a factor of 7 at the time of the most recent observation.

Although highly photometrically variable on their own, flares due to non-AGN mechanisms are not unheard of in NLS1s. For example, CSS100217:102913+404220 displayed a high state \((M_V = -22.7 \text{ at 45 days post-peak})\) accompanied by broad H\(\alpha\) and was interpreted either as a Type IIn supernova (Drake et al. 2011) or TDE (Saxton et al. 2018) near the nucleus \((\sim 150 \text{ pc})\) of an NLS1. It eventually faded back to its original level after one year. PS16dm (or iPTF16ezh/SN 2016ezh) was a \(\sim 1.7 \times 10^5 \text{ K}\), and near-Eddington but X-ray-quiet nuclear transient with strong Fe II emission which plateaued over \(\sim 100\) days while maintaining a constant blackbody temperature. The event was interpreted as a TDE exciting the BLR in an NLS1 (Blanchard et al. 2017), although Oknyanskaya et al. (2018) claimed it may instead be a CLAGN.

\(^{34}\) The remaining objects are CSS100217:102913+404220 (Drake et al. 2011), ULRIG F01004-2237 (Tadhunter et al. 2017), PS16dm (Blanchard et al. 2017), OGLE17ajg (Gromadzki et al. 2019), and AT2017bgt (Trakhtenbrot et al. 2019), all of which are discussed further in Section 4.2.
transitioning into a Sy 1. No X-rays were observed during follow-up, dimming at least by an order of magnitude compared to archival observations, but were predicted to reappear after the obscuring debris had dissipated. SDSS J1233 +0842 was discovered as a CLQ when it changed into a composite-type galaxy or transition object (with [O III]/Hβ = −0.10 and [N II]/Hα = −0.17 from Figure 2(a) in MacLeod et al. 2019). It shows variable Fe II emission (similar to PS16dtm), with the broad-line emission disappearing between 2005 and 2016.

A nuclear transient in the nearby ULIRG F01004-2237 was classified as a TDE—despite an unusually long peak time of 1 yr—partially based on the strength of its He II compared to Hβ (Tadhunter et al. 2017). This ratio was unprecedented for AGN activity, even for AGNs in the high state of a CL. We note that, although it is broad, He II/Hβ ∼ 0.4 for ZTF18aajupnt/AT2018dyk is far below that measured for F01004-2237. It was later argued that the nature of this transient may instead be due to changes in the accretion flow, similar to that of OGLE17aaj, which also showed a slow optical rise and long plateau and slow decline and UV and X-ray properties similar to that of ZTF18aajupnt/AT2018dyk, although it lacked spectral classification prior to discovery of the transient (Gromadzki et al. 2019). The transient AT2017bgt was classified as a dramatic supermassive BH (SMBH) UV/optical flare which irradiated the BLR and was interpreted as the result of increased accretion onto the SMBH (Trakhtenbrot et al. 2019). Unlike ZTF18aajupnt/AT2018dyk, it showed no decrease in flux over several months. The persistence of the UV emission distinguished it from supernovae and TDEs, and the extremely intense nature of the UV continuum as well as presence of Bowen fluorescence He II, [N III] λ4640, and [O III] double-peak features in the unobscured optical spectrum distinguished it from CLAGNs. As in the “on” state of ZTF18aaajupnt/AT2018dyk, the Balmer FWHM in all three sources are consistent with that of NLS1 galaxies.

ECLEs are most typically thought to be the echoes of TDEs via the accretion of tidal disruption streams by previously non-active SMBHs (Wang et al. 2012). However, less than 10 ECLEs have been reported in the literature, most notably SDSS J0952+2143 (Komossa et al. 2008, 2009; Palaversa et al. 2016; also techni- ally an NLS1 using the unconventional cutoff in Rakshit et al. 2017; see Section 3.6.2 for details), and SDSS J0748+4712 (Wang et al. 2011). We confirm that ZTF18aajupnt/AT2018dyk is technically an “extreme” CL by the definition put forth by Wang et al. (2012), because the strength of [Fe X] λ6376 is comparable to that of [O III] λ5007, as well as by the presence of [Fe XIV] in the optical spectrum (seen in Figures 6 and 21) following the independent definition of Palaversa et al. (2016). We note, however, that it is the present weakness of [O III] that is driving this diagnostic, and the coronal lines overall do not appear nearly as strong when compared to the prototypical ECLEs, SDSS J0952+2143 and J0748+4712, in Figure 6. This strong, slowly variable transient nuclear coronal line emission necessitates soft X-ray flaring outbursts from an accretion disk, which may be formed as tidal debris settles, illuminating the outermost debris as well as the intervening ISM (Komossa & Bade 1999). The coronal lines in these sources, some blueshifted, faded on timescales of 1–5 yr, with strong [O III] appearing even later. Because strong coronal line emission is not a TDE diagnostic in isolation, some ECLE galaxies with persistent coronal lines may instead be Seyferts.

IC 3599 is an optical CL (displaying dramatic variability in not only Balmer lines but also [Fe VII] and [Fe XIV]) Sy 1.9 galaxy with strong soft X-ray repeating outbursts from its galactic nucleus which can be modeled by a disk instability with a rise time of ∼1 yr whereby the inner disk is vacated and subsequently refills (Brandt et al. 1995; Grupe et al. 1995, 1997; Komossa & Bade 1999; Campana et al. 2015). It is the only AGN that has shown fading of its coronal lines (though this variability is common among non-active ECLEs).

The Swift/XRT and XMM spectra of ZTF18aajupnt/AT2018dyk fit well to a steep power law (Γ ∼3 ± 0.2) below 2 keV, not a disk blackbody as would be expected in the TDE scenario (see Figures 10 and 11). Fitting the higher signal-to-noise XRT data to a blackbody+power law with Galactic absorption worsened the fit significantly (χ² = 227.5/247 compared to χ² = 171.00/245 for a simple absorbed power law). The large covering factor measured for ZTF18aajupnt/AT2018dyk by Spitzer is also more consistent with mid-IR studies of CLAGNs (Sheng et al. 2017) than the covering factor derived for TDEs with dust echoes (with f_dust = E_{dust}/F_{Foehnert} at the ∼1% level; van Velzen et al. 2016). This could imply appreciable accretion occurring recently, because that is very likely required for a dusty torus with a large covering factor. In an accretion event unrelated to disk physics, a self-gravitating molecular cloud with low enough angular momentum could also be efficiently accreted on the correct timescales, activating radiation which subsequently illuminates the BLR (e.g., Hopkins et al. 2006). One way to obtain a larger covering factor would also be via chaotic cold accretion, by which interaction via inelastic collisions is made easier, boosting the funneling of molecular clumsy clouds toward the SMBH, and therefore enhancing the accretion rate (Gaspari & Sadowski 2017). The high blackbody temperature measured from UV spectroscopy implies the line of sight to the transient is not significantly dust obscured. Sheng et al. (2017) argue that mid-IR light echoes of CLAGNs (with ΔW1/W2 ≥ 0.4 mag) is additional evidence to support the reprocessing scenario driven by changing accretion rate instead of variable obscuration. W1 − W2 for that sample varied between 0.1 and 1.2 mag, so [3.6]−[4.5] μm = 1.4 mag for ZTF18aajupnt/AT2018dyk was consistent with the lowest end of that sample for mid-IR color (it would not have been selected based on its variability amplitude for the short duration of the Spitzer observations reported here).

LINERs may have inefficient accretion disks surrounding a low-luminosity AGN, occupying a unique physical parameter space compared to other CLAGNs. Similar to the unification scheme derived for AGNs (Antonucci 1993; Urry & Padovani 1995), broad- and narrow-line LINERs can be categorized into LINER1s and LINER2s (e.g., Ho et al. 1997a, 1997b; González-Martín et al. 2015). Yan et al. (2019) reported the discovery of the “turning on” of a type 1 Seyfert occurring in LINER SDSS1115+0544 which flared for ∼1 yr and subsequently plateaued, followed by a mid-IR dust echo delayed with respect to the optical by 180 days and a late-time UV flare, although no soft X-rays were detected then. Narrow coronal lines appeared in the spectrum along with Hα and Hβ consistent with broad-line emission. As was done in Yan et al. (2019), we measured the soft X-ray−[Fe VII] ratio for ZTF18aajupnt/AT2018dyk to be log L_{2 keV}/L_{[Fe VII]} = 0.88 ∼ 1.25 at maximum, still significantly below the average of 3.37 and pointing to an X-ray deficit compared to normal AGNs (Gelbord et al. 2009), although we
Note that the soft X-rays changed by a factor of 10 and likely continued to rise beyond our last Swift observation. We also measure a minimum $L_i/L_{Edd}$ equivalent to that of SDSS1115+0544. Yan et al. (2019) concluded an instability was required to “turn on” an AGN from a quiescent galaxy within hundreds of days. They argued that (despite a rate in tension with the AGN duty cycle) given the discovery of iPTF16bco and SDSS1115+0544 one year apart, such events should not be uncommon, a prediction this sample supports. There must be a connection between the LINER hosts and the state that is enabling these rapid transitions.

This delayed X-ray response may tell us something fundamental about the origin of the soft X-ray excess in AGNs in general. The long delay of the soft X-ray flare relative to the expected light-travel time delays between the UV/optical emitting accretion disk and the compact, hot corona suggests that we are witnessing the real-time assembly of the corona plasma itself, possibly due to structural changes arising from the dramatic change of state in the inner accretion disk (García et al. 2019).

If the Balmer emission is indeed from a BLR, we predict the Hα and Hβ lines should get broader as the UV luminosity decreases. Continued spectroscopic monitoring to look for evolution in line widths and strengths, particularly the narrow [O III] emission line and Mg II, and monitoring of the soft X-rays will be critical to map out the structure of this system and distinguish between the scenarios presented here.

5. Conclusions

We present the changing looks (CLs) of six known LINERs caught turning on into type-1-like AGNs found in year 1 of the ZTF survey. It is the first systematic study of its kind performed in real time using difference imaging variability as the discovery mechanism for selecting nuclear transients in these previously quiescent galaxies.

1. We establish a class of CL LINERs, distinct from Seyfert CLAGNs, with unique spectroscopic and photometric variability properties intrinsically due to the LINER accretion state.

2. In their “on state” the changing-look LINERs have suppressed narrow [O III] line emission compared to normal AGNs of the same broad Hα luminosity, and inferred Eddington ratios 1–3 orders of magnitude above their LINER state.

3. This sample includes a multiwavelength study between 2018 June and 2019 March of the first case of a LINER changing look to a NLS1—ZTF18aajupmt/AT2018dyk—which transitioned within three months based on its archival light curve.

4. We observe the delayed response of the NLR and broad Mg II with respect to the appearance of broad (yet <2000 km s$^{-1}$) Balmer lines, and X-ray flaring delayed ~60 days with respect to the optical/UV rise of this nuclear transient, indicative of an “outside-in” transition.

5. We interpret this particular object to be a dramatic change of state in a pre-existing LINER accretion disk, which eventually forms an optically thick inner structure that up-scatters the UV/optical seed photons to produce a delayed soft X-ray excess.

This class of previously weak AGNs has the potential to be a laboratory with which to map out the structure of the accretion flow and surrounding environment. We plan to continue to monitor the behavior of these transients, and expect to build upon the sample at a rate of around four per year for the next two years of the ZTF survey.
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Appendix

In Figures 18 and 19 we show fits to the emission line profiles of the CL LINER sample in the “off” states, and show the “on” states in Figure 20. In Figure 21, we present emission line fits to the coronal lines observed in the Keck spectrum of ZTF18aajupnt/AT2018dyk after it had changed look.
Figure 18. Stellar-continuum-subtracted Hα line complex, Hβ, and [O III] (first, second, and third columns, respectively) with best fits to Gaussians for the sample in their “off” state used in Figure 13. While fitting Hβ in ZTF18aaidlyq only, the FWHM in the model fit has been fixed to the FWHM of [N II] λ6585, due to Hβ being only marginally detected in that host. Note the differences in scale.
Figure 19. Stellar-continuum-subtracted [O II], [O I], and the [S II] doublet (first, second, and third columns, respectively) line profiles and best fits to Gaussians for the sample in their “off” state used in Figure 13. Note the differences in scale.
Figure 20. Balmer line profiles and best fits to Gaussians/Lorentzians for the sample in their “on” state. Note the difference in scales. The ⊕ symbols indicate atmospheric telluric absorption bands. These affect only the [N II] measurements of ZTF18aabltn, which we do not use to reach any conclusions. See Section 3 for more details.
Figure 21. Coronal line profiles and best fits to Gaussians for the ZTF18aaquptn/AT2018dyk in its “on” state. The residual of the spectrum to the stellar continuum was fit. See Section 3.6.1 for more details.
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