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General relativity provides a well-established theory for gravity from sub-millimeter up to cosmic scales [1]. It has been extremely successful in predicting phenomena like the bending of light by a gravitational field, the gravitational redshift of photons or the existence of gravitational waves. Another striking feature of general relativity is that its solutions rather generically contain specific points where the curvature of spacetime diverges, so-called singularities [2]. Well-known examples are the curvature singularities of classical black holes. This feature is often paraphrased as "general relativity predicting its own breakdown" [3] and provides one of the central motivations for the search of a more complete theory of gravity, commonly referred to as “quantum gravity”. Conversely, any candidate for such a theory should explain the fate of these spacetime singularities. In Loop Quantum Gravity, black hole singularities may be removed by quantum geometry effects [4–6], also see [7] for a recent review. Similarly, the fuzzball proposal [8, 9] provides a mechanism for obtaining regular black holes in the framework of string theory. For the gravitational asymptotic safety program [10–14], the method of renormalization group improvement suggests that black hole singularities may be removed by quantum effects [15, 16], also see [17, 18] for the current status and further references. The present work takes a key step towards understanding the fate of spacetime singularities within asymptotic safety, based on a first principles computation. Our main finding is displayed in Fig. 3, showing that the short-distance divergence in the spin 2-channel of Newton’s gravitational potential is resolved by quantum gravity effects.

In order to exhibit this effect we follow the path taken in the effective field theory treatment of quantum gravity [19, 20] and construct the gravitational potential \( V(r) \) arising from the one-graviton exchange between two scalar fields with masses \( m_1 \) and \( m_2 \) minimally coupled to gravity. Taking the static limit where the two scalars have infinite mass one has [20, 21]

\[
V(r) = -\frac{1}{2m_1} - \frac{1}{2m_2} \int \frac{d^3q}{(2\pi)^3} e^{i\mathbf{q} \cdot \mathbf{r}} \mathcal{M}.
\]

Denoting Newton’s coupling by \( G \), the scattering amplitude associated with the Feynman diagram Fig. 1, evaluated in general relativity is \( \mathcal{M} = 16\pi G m_1^2 m_2^2 / |\mathbf{q}|^2 \), evaluated for the non-relativistic limit of the propagator \( q^a = (0, \mathbf{q}) \). Evaluating the Fourier integral one recovers the classical Newtonian gravitational potential \( V_c(r) = -G m_1 m_2 / r \).

In the following we will focus on the contribution of the transverse-traceless (spin 2) mode to \( \mathcal{M} \). Performing the tensor contractions and taking the static limit one finds

\[
\mathcal{M}^{TT} = \frac{64\pi G}{3} m_1^2 m_2^2 \mathcal{G}^{TT}(q^2),
\]

where \( \mathcal{G}^{TT} \) is the scalar part of the spin-2 propagator carrying the momentum dependence, and is obtained from the full propagator by a contraction with the transverse-traceless projector. For the Einstein-Hilbert action \( \mathcal{G}^{TT} = 1/ q^2 \) so that the resulting potential \( V^{TT} \propto 1/r \) diverges as \( r \to 0 \). In this sense, the non-relativistic limit already includes many of the essential features related to the curvature singularity encountered in black hole physics.

Treating gravity as an effective field theory allows to compute the leading (long-distance) quantum corrections.

Figure 1. Tree-level amplitude describing the interaction of two scalars of mass \( m_1 \) and \( m_2 \) (dashed lines) due to the exchange of a graviton (double line).
to \( V_c(r) \) using perturbation theory \([19, 20]\). These corrections do not resolve the divergence in \( V_c(r) \) though. Eq. (2) then suggests to compute the non-perturbative propagator \( G^{TT}(q^2) \) and to investigate the resulting short-distance behavior of the quantum corrected potential \( \Gamma^{(2)}_q(r) \). In this work we perform such a computation within the gravitational asymptotic safety program.

**Structure functions for Gravity.** A canonical tool for computing properties of a quantum field theory beyond the realm of perturbation theory is the Wetterich equation \([23, 24]\)

\[
k \partial_k \Gamma_k = \frac{1}{2} \text{Tr} \left[ \left( \Gamma^{(2)}_k + \mathcal{R}_k \right)^{-1} k \partial_k \mathcal{R}_k \right] ,
\]

(3)
governing the change of the effective average action \( \Gamma_k \) when quantum fluctuations around the momentum scale \( k \) are integrated out. Here \( \Gamma^{(2)}_k \) denotes the second functional derivative of \( \Gamma_k \) with respect to the fluctuation field, \( \mathcal{R}_k \) is a suitable regulator function which provides a mass-term for fluctuations with momenta \( p^2 < k^2 \) and vanishes for \( p^2 \gg k^2 \), and the trace contains a sum over fluctuation fields as well as an integral over loop momentum. By now, the Wetterich equation has proven its merits in statistical physics \([25]\), non-equilibrium physics \([26]\) and gauge theories \([27]\). Starting from the pioneering work \([28]\), which introduced the functional renormalization group in the context of gravity, there is now solid evidence supporting the existence of a non-trivial renormalization group fixed point for four-dimensional gravity \([11, 29–50]\) and many gravity-matter systems potentially including the standard model of particle physics \([13, 51–62]\). In particular, the full momentum dependence of the gravitational propagators starting from \( \Gamma_k \) given by the Einstein-Hilbert action has been studied in \([63, 64]\). Assuming that this fixed point controls the short distance behavior of the gravitational interaction for lengths \( \ell \) smaller than the Planck length \( \ell_{Pl} \approx 10^{-35}m \) would put gravity in the class of non-perturbatively renormalizable quantum field theories along the lines of Weinberg’s asymptotic safety scenario \([65]\).

A virtue of Wetterich’s equation (3) is that one can extract non-perturbative information about a given quantum theory by making a suitable ansatz for \( \Gamma_k \) and studying the flow of the effective average action on the corresponding subspace. A suitable ansatz capturing the momentum-dependence of the gravitational propagator involves scale-dependent structure functions acting on curvature tensors. In this work, we will focus on the non-trivial momentum dependence of the spin-2 propagator captured by

\[
\Gamma_{TT}^{\text{spin-2}} = \frac{1}{16\pi^2} \int d^4 x \sqrt{g} \left[ -R + 2\Lambda_k + C_{\mu\nu\rho\sigma} W_k(\Delta) C^{\mu\nu\rho\sigma} \right] .
\]

(4)

Here \( R, C_{\mu\nu\rho\sigma} \), and \( \Delta \equiv -g^{\mu\nu} D_\mu D_\nu \) denote the Ricci scalar, Weyl tensor, and Laplacian constructed from the spacetime metric \( g_{\mu\nu} \), respectively. Furthermore, the ansatz contains a scale-dependent Newton’s coupling \( G_k \), cosmological constant \( \Lambda_k \) as well as the scale-dependent structure function \( W_k(\Delta) \). Expanding (4) in fluctuations around flat space and restricting the result to the transverse-traceless sector yields the graviton propagator

\[
G^{TT}(q^2) = \left( q^2 + 2(q^2)^2 W_k(q^2) \right)^{-1} .
\]

(5)

Thus the structure function \( W_k(q^2) \) captures non-trivial corrections to the graviton propagator. The Einstein-Hilbert result is recovered by setting \( W_k(q^2) = 0 \).

The scale-dependence of \( G_k, \Lambda_k \) and \( W_k(q^2) \) can be obtained by supplementing the ansatz (4) by suitable gauge-fixing and ghost terms, substituting the resulting expression into Wetterich’s equation (3) and projecting the trace on the subspace spanned by the ansatz. The calculation of the flow equations for Newton’s coupling and the cosmological constant takes into account the full fluctuation spectrum. Owing to the formidable complexity of the computation, the flow of \( W_k \) is evaluated in the conformally reduced setting \([66, 67]\) where the right-hand side of the flow equation retains the fluctuations of the conformal mode only. In this case, the spacetime metric \( g_{\mu\nu} \) is taken to be of the form \( g_{\mu\nu} = (1 + \frac{1}{2} h) g_{\mu\nu} \), where \( h \) is the fluctuation field and \( g_{\mu\nu} \) is a fixed, but arbitrary reference metric. From analogous computations in the framework of \( f(R) \)-gravity \([37, 68–71]\), it is expected that the resulting qualitative behavior of the structure function matches the one obtained from including all metric fluctuations.

Our goal is to find a self-consistent flow equation retaining the full information on the functional form of \( W_k(\Delta) \), i.e., without making approximations related to the momentum dependence. We achieve this goal by combining two computational techniques tailored to the two classes of curvature terms appearing in the trace evaluation. Terms containing less than two powers of a (potentially contracted) Riemann tensor are evaluated using flat space momentum-space techniques. The resulting flow equations are conveniently expressed in terms of the dimensionless, scale-dependent couplings

\[
g \equiv G_k k^2 , \ \lambda \equiv \Lambda_k k^{-2} , \ \omega(q^2) \equiv k^{-2} W_k(\Delta/k^2) .
\]

(6)

Neglecting the contribution of the structure function, the
flow in the Einstein-Hilbert sector is governed by \cite{28, 80}

\begin{equation}
\begin{aligned}
k \partial_k \lambda &= (\eta_N - 2) \lambda \\
&+ \frac{g}{2\pi} \left( 10 \Phi^3_{\lambda}(-2\lambda) - 8 \Phi^3_{\lambda}(0) - 5 \eta_N \Phi^3_{\lambda}(-2\lambda) \right), \\
k \partial_k g &= (2 + \eta_N) g,
\end{aligned}
\end{equation}

with the anomalous dimension of Newton’s coupling

\begin{equation}
\eta_N = k \partial_k \ln G_k \text{ being given by }
\end{equation}

\begin{equation}
\eta_N = \frac{\frac{g}{2\pi} [5 \Phi^3_{\lambda}(-2\lambda) - 18 \Phi^3_{\lambda}(0) - 6 \Phi^3_{\lambda}(-2\lambda)]}{1 + \frac{g}{2\pi} [5 \Phi^3_{\lambda}(-2\lambda) - 18 \Phi^3_{\lambda}(0)]}.
\end{equation}

The threshold functions

\begin{equation}
\begin{aligned}
\Phi^p_n(\mu) &= \frac{1}{\Gamma(n)} \int_0^\infty dz z^{n-1} R(z - zR'(z)) (z + R(z) + \mu)^p, \\
\tilde{\Phi}^p_n(\mu) &= \frac{1}{\Gamma(n)} \int_0^\infty dz z^{n-1} R(z) (z + R(z) + \mu)^p,
\end{aligned}
\end{equation}

contain the dimensionless profile function \(R(z)\) related to \(R_k\) \cite{28}.

The flow of \(w(q^2)\) is treated in the conformally reduced approximation. This leads to the linear integro-differential equation

\begin{equation}
\begin{aligned}
k \partial_k w(q^2) &= (2 + \eta_N)w(q^2) + 2q^2w'(q^2) + \frac{g}{24\pi} \int_0^\frac{1}{2} du \left( 1 - 4u \right) \left( 2 - \eta_N \right) R(uq^2) - 2uq^2 R'(uq^2) \\
&+ \frac{16g}{3\pi^2} \int_0^\infty dp \int_{-1}^1 dx p^3 \sqrt{1 - x^2} \left( 2 - \eta_N \right) R(p^2) - 2p^2 R'(p^2) \left[ \frac{1}{8} (w(p^2 + 2pqx + q^2) - w(q^2)) \\
&+ \frac{2a^4 + 4(q^2 - p^2)(pqx) + 2q^2(7 - 6x^2)}{16(p^2 + 2pqx)} (w(p^2 + 2pqx + q^2) - w(q^2)) + \frac{3p^4 - 2q^4 + 2p^2(q^2) - 5p^2 q^2(1 - 6x^2)}{16(p^2 + 2pqx)} w'(q^2) \right].
\end{aligned}
\end{equation}

Here the primes denote derivatives with respect to the argument and \(q\) is the dimensionless external momentum. The inhomogeneous term appearing in the first line originates from the Einstein-Hilbert sector. Thus the quantum fluctuations from classical gravity will induce a non-trivial structure function \(w(q^2)\) unless \(g = 0\). While the denominators in the square brackets suggest that the equation could contain collinear divergences, expanding the integrand at these points shows that this is not the case. All potential poles are canceled by zeros of the numerator.

Our primary interest is in non-trivial fixed point solutions\(^3\) \((g_*, \lambda_*, w_*(q^2))\) of eqs. (7) and (10) where, by definition, the couplings become independent of \(k\). Eq. (7) entails that at such a fixed point \(\eta_N = -2\). Substituting this value into eq. (10) one finds that the resulting fixed point equation is invariant under a constant shift of \(w\). Thus the equation contains one free parameter which will be denoted by \(w_\infty\). This freedom constitutes an artefact of the conformally reduced approximation and does not persist once fluctuations of transverse-traceless modes are included. In order to obtain the global form of the structure function \(w_*(q^2)\) we first perform an asymptotic expansion of eq. (10) at infinite momentum. This establishes the leading order behavior

\begin{equation}
w_*(q^2) \sim w_\infty + \frac{\rho}{q^2} + \ldots.
\end{equation}

The parameter \(w_\infty\) fixes the value of \(w_*(q^2)\) at asymptotically large momenta, and \(\rho\) is a regulator-dependent positive number.

The system of fixed point equations can then be further analyzed numerically. For this purpose we resort to the regulator \(R(z) = e^{-\alpha z}\). Importantly, this regulator is smooth and leads to a rapid convergence when the threshold integrals are evaluated numerically. All numerical values and illustrations are obtained with \(\alpha = 1\) and we checked that all results are robust with respect to changing \(\alpha\).

Since the Einstein-Hilbert sector is independent of \(w\), its fixed point structure can be analyzed before solving eq. (10). It permits a non-Gaussian fixed point at \(g_* = 0.374, \lambda_* = 0.285\). This fixed point acts as an ultraviolet attractor for the renormalization group flow in the \(g\)-\(\lambda\)-plane.

The global solution for \(w_*(q^2)\) is then obtained through pseudo-spectral methods \cite{76, 77} using rational Chebyshev functions as a basis set \cite{78, 79}. This leads to the solution shown in Fig. 2. This result has a number of

\(^3\) It is straightforward to see that the system has a trivial fixed point \(g_* = \lambda_* = w_*(q^2) = 0\).
remarkable properties. Firstly, the solution is globally well-defined and unique up to the constant \( w_\infty \). The structure function interpolates between a constant for low momenta and the asymptotic behavior (11) for large momenta. The crossover occurs for the dimensionless momentum \( q^2 \approx 1 \). Secondly, the solution is positive definite for all values \( w_\infty > 0 \). This entails that the flat-space propagator (5) has a single first-order pole at \( q^2 = 0 \). In particular there are no additional poles for \( q^2 > 0 \). Thirdly, the propagator only grows polynomially for asymptotically large momenta, indicating that the resulting theory is actually local. For the asymptotic parameter, we find \( \rho \approx 0.0149 \).

Remarkably, the numerical solution can be parameterized with very high precision by

\[
    w_\ast (q^2) \approx \frac{\rho}{\kappa + q^2} + w_\infty, \quad \kappa \approx 0.00817. \tag{12}
\]

We expect that this analytic approximation will be very useful when analyzing properties of the quantum theory in the future.

The stability analysis should not be extended to structure functions related to propagators. Conceptually, such structure functions ought to be considered as a part of a momentum-dependent wave function renormalisation. The critical properties of these structure functions are thus related to a momentum-dependent generalisation of the anomalous dimension rather than to the critical exponents, see [63].

**Quantum corrected Newtonian potential.** As a first application of our computation, we calculate the quantum corrected Newtonian potential \( V_q^{\text{TT}}(r) \) by evaluating eq. (1) for the quantum corrected flat-space propagator. This requires reintroducing a scale in \( w(q^2) \). The analysis [47, 80–82] then indicates that \( k^2 \) should be identified

---

4 While it would be interesting to extend the analysis of the pole-structure to the complex plane, this is beyond the scope of this letter.

5 We thank J. M. Pawlowski for discussion on this point.
argued in Ref. [84] that complex mass poles in the gravitational propagator could be associated with extended objects which could screen spacetime singularities from being probed by physical processes thus leading to singularity avoidance in the context of black hole physics.

Conclusions. This work constitutes a major step towards computing the quantum-corrected propagators in asymptotic safety. The non-perturbative short-distance corrections to the Newtonian potential shown in Fig. 3 outline the path for resolving the spacetime singularities plaguing classical gravity. This result differs from the perturbative treatment of gravity as an effective field theory [19, 20] since the propagator underlying $V^{TT}_q(r)$ is manifestly non-perturbative. In principle, the modifications in the Newtonian potential can be tested experimentally (see [85] for a related discussion), even though probing Newton’s law on Planckian scales is far beyond current experimental possibilities.

Naturally, our findings bear a close connection to the ghost-free, non-local gravity program [86–89] and to non-commutative geometry [90–92] where structure functions of the type (4) play a key role. In non-local, ghost-free gravity they constitute an input, defining the fundamental action while the non-commutative geometry approach generates these terms through the non-local heat-kernel. In both cases, the structure function exhibits an exponential fall-off at momentum scales above the non-locality scale. In [93, 94] this has been paraphrased as “high-energy bosons do not propagate”. The result of our first-principles computation differs qualitatively from these constructions as the quantum corrected propagator arising from (10) grows as $q^4$ for large momenta. This suggests that these approaches are in a different universality class than our (microscopically) manifestly local theory.
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