Peeking across Grain Boundaries in a Solid-State Ionic Conductor
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ABSTRACT: The development of high-performance all-solid-state batteries relies on charge transport in solid electrolytes, where transport across grain boundaries often limits their bulk conductivity. The argyrodite Li₆PS₅X (X = Cl, Br) solid electrolyte has a high conductivity; however, macroscopic diffusion in this material involves complex jump processes, which leads to an underestimation of the activation energy. Using a comprehensive frequency- and temperature-dependent analysis of the spin–lattice relaxation rates, a complete estimation of Li self-diffusion is demonstrated. Another experimental challenge is quantifying the impact of grain boundaries on the total bulk conductivity. Li₆PS₅Cl and Li₆PS₅Br have identical crystalline structures, but with ⁶Li MAS NMR, their resonance peaks have different chemical shifts. Exploiting this with two-dimensional ⁶Li–⁶Li exchange NMR on a mixture of Li₆PS₅Br and Li₆PS₅Cl, we observe Li exchange between particles of these two materials across grain boundaries, allowing direct and unambiguous quantification of this often limiting process in solid-state electrolytes.

Life today is unimaginable without our mobile devices, the functioning of which is predominantly facilitated by the use of Li-ion batteries based on their high energy density. With the increasing demands on batteries, all-solid-state Li-ion batteries are conceptually very attractive, providing several advantages over current liquid electrolyte-based Li-ion batteries.¹–³ The main benefit is that safety hazards associated with the flammability of conventional liquid electrolytes can be circumvented by using solid electrolytes.⁴ The use of solid electrolytes could potentially allow more freedom in cell design, modified packaging that could allow for an increase in energy density. In addition, several solid electrolytes have been purported to offer a larger electrochemical stability window, enabling the use of high-voltage cathode materials that are often limited by the low stability of liquid electrolytes.⁴ The main factors that have hindered the application of solid electrolytes in Li-ion batteries are the high charge transport resistance between the solid electrolyte and the battery electrode as well as resistance across the grain boundaries of individual solid electrolyte particles,⁴ limiting the bulk conductivity.

Solid-state nuclear magnetic resonance (NMR) is a versatile and nondestructive technique that can be used to characterize the structure and dynamics of materials. The bulk Li-ion self-diffusion parameters for a Li-ion solid-state conductor can often be determined from an NMR spin–lattice relaXometry (SLR) analysis.⁷,⁸ Additionally, it is possible to follow Li transport across the electrode–electrolyte interface, both with solid⁴ and liquid⁴ electrolytes, allowing for quantification of interfacial charge transport using both one- and two-dimensional exchange NMR spectroscopy (2D-EXSY). This is based on the ability to identify the individual spectral contributions of each species due to a difference in chemical shift arising from the different chemical environments in which Li resides in the electrode and electrolyte. One aspect of Li-ion transport, however, remains difficult to unambiguously assess with solid-state NMR, which is Li transport across grain boundaries within a solid-state Li-ion conductor. This is because each grain has the same spectral signature, making them “identical” from an NMR perspective within the limited chemical shift windows of ⁶Li and ⁷Li.

The argyrodite Li₆PS₅X (X = Cl, Br) solid electrolyte belongs to the family of sulfur-based solid electrolytes, which have become extremely popular recently because their conductivity approaches that of liquid electrolytes.⁵,¹⁰–¹³ They possess high room-temperature conductivity on the order of 10⁻³–10⁻² S/cm,⁵,¹¹–¹³ and the precursors needed to synthesize the solid electrolyte are cheap, and synthesis is straightforward.¹⁴ Chlorine- and bromine-containing Li₆PS₅Cl and Li₆PS₅Br have identical crystalline structures and exhibit comparable bulk conductivities.¹²,¹⁴–¹⁶ Previous relaxation NMR experiments resulted in low activation energies for bulk diffusion, especially for the argyrodite Li₆PS₅X (X = Cl, Br) synthesized by the solid-state method,¹⁴,¹⁵,¹⁷ possibly indicat-
Figure 1. $^7$Li SLR rates of Li$_6$PS$_5$Br (a) and Li$_6$PS$_5$Cl (c) plotted as a function of reciprocal temperature, measured at three different field strengths (9.4, 14.1, and 20 T). The lines on the curves represent an Arrhenius fit of the low-temperature and high-temperature flanks corresponding to short- and long-range diffusion processes, respectively. (b,d) Lithium jump rates extracted from the SLR data in (a,c) determined by using the relation $\omega_0 \tau \approx 1$. The solid line represents a global Arrhenius fit yielding an activation energy $E_a$. Jump rates reported by Epp and co-workers$^{17}$ from $^6$Li SLR ($1/T_1(\rho)$) measurements of Li$_6$PS$_5$Br and Yu and co-workers$^5$ for Li$_6$PS$_5$Cl have been included for comparison.

The argyrodite structure is built around a PS$_4$ backbone with P atoms occupying the 4b sites and S atoms belonging to the PS$_4$ unit occupying the 16e sites.$^{10}$ The remaining S and halogen atoms occupy the 4a and 4c sites, while approximately 50% of the surrounding 48b sites are occupied by Li atoms.$^{22}$ Within the crystal, as determined from first-principle molecular dynamics (MD) simulations, three main kinds of Li jumps dominate.$^{23}$ The first is between neighboring Li sites (pairs) separated by 1.9 Å, of which only one Li site per pair is occupied, and jumps between these neighboring sites are called back-and-forth jumps. Twelve 48b sites, i.e., six pairs, form a cage arranged around each 4c site. The jumps within this cage are on the order of MHz and the spin-lock frequencies $\omega_1$ are on the order of kHz, diffusion can be probed on several length scales. At the maximum SLR rate $1/T_1(1/T_1)$, the condition $\omega_0 \tau \approx 1$ ($\omega_1 \tau \approx 0.5$) holds, a consequence of efficient energy transfer between the Li-ions and their environment. The SLR rates in the laboratory ($1/T_1$) and rotating ($1/T_1(\rho)$) frames of reference are directly related to the spectral density function,$^{21}$ and assuming a three-dimensional diffusion process, the SLR rates in the high-temperature regime, $\omega_0 \tau \ll 1$, are proportional to the Li-ion residence time $\tau$, while the SLR rates in the low-temperature regime, $\omega_0 \tau \gg 1$, are proportional to $\tau^{-1} \omega_0(1-\beta)^{-1}$ $\ll 1$ (with $1 < \beta \leq 2$). Because it is assumed that the residence time $\tau$ shows typical Arrhenius behavior, the slopes of the high- and low-temperature regions of the SLR rate curves can be used to determine the activation energies for the Li-ion diffusional process, where the low- and high-temperature slopes correspond to short- and long-range motional processes, respectively.
final kind of jump occurs between Li atoms belonging to different cages and is called the intercage jump, the jump length of which is variable. Intuitively, on the basis of the short jump distances and confirmed via MD simulations, the back-and-forth jumps are energetically the cheapest and most abundant, possessing a high jump rate with an activation barrier of $\sim 0.10$ eV for both the Cl- and Br-doped variants determined at 300 K. The intercage jumps appear only slightly more expensive with an activation barrier of $\sim 0.11$ eV but with a lower jump frequency. The intercage jumps require the largest activation barrier of $\sim 0.18$ eV but with a very low jump rate, likely the limiting factor to macroscopic diffusion. For Li$_6$PS$_5$Br, an activation energy ($E_a$) of 0.2 eV was reported by Epp and co-workers for the high-temperature region, which is representative of “bulk” or long-range Li-ion mobility in the solid electrolyte. Our previously published results on a material with the same composition, albeit prepared using a different method, resulted in an even lower activation energy of 0.15 eV for bulk Li-ion diffusion. All of these values are on the lower side for bulk diffusion, especially in comparison with those deduced for bulk diffusion from AC impedance spectroscopy, of 0.38 and 0.58 eV as reported by Dieseroth and co-workers and $\sim 0.45$ and $\sim 0.3$ eV reported by Kraft and co-workers for the Li$_6$PS$_5$Cl and Li$_6$PS$_5$Br solid electrolytes, respectively. The discrepancy could originate from the contribution of grain boundaries to the AC impedance spectroscopy-derived activation energies where bulk and grain boundary contributions are more difficult to decouple. This is also seen in a study performed by Dawson and co-workers, where it is demonstrated computationally that unless contributions from grain boundaries are taken into consideration the overall activation energy is underestimated.

In addition, the aforementioned high complexity of the diffusion process for the argyrodite, involving a combination of three kinds of jumps to achieve macroscopic diffusion, most likely results in broadening of the hopping-induced spectral density, leading to an underestimation of the activation energy to bulk diffusion as derived from SLR NMR. Additionally, the activation energy obtained from the slope of the high-temperature flank possibly reflects only the back-and-forth jumps and intracage jumps, which have high jump rates and the lowest-energy barriers.

To quantify the bulk diffusion in both the Cl- and Br-doped variants of the argyrodites synthesized by the method described in Yu et al., SLR NMR measurements as a function of temperature and resonance frequency were measured at three different $^7$Li Larmor frequencies ($\omega_0/2\pi$), i.e., 330.3, 233.3, and 155.5 MHz. From the SLR rate curves shown in Figure 1 ac for the Li$_6$PS$_5$Br and Li$_6$PSCl argyrodites, respectively, maxima were obtained for each of the relaxation curves within the measured temperature range and are given in Tables 1 and 2. The low- and high-temperature flanks of the SLR rate curves could be fit with an Arrhenius relation, and the activation energies and pre-exponential factors thus obtained are in Tables 1 and 2.

Table 2. Values Obtained for the SLR NMR Curves Shown in Figure 1a for the Li$_6$PS$_5$Cl Argyrodite

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$\omega_0/2\pi$ (MHz)</th>
<th>$E_{\text{low}}^{\text{av}}$ (eV)</th>
<th>$E_{\text{high}}^{\text{av}}$ (eV)</th>
<th>$\beta$</th>
<th>$T_{\text{max}}$ (K)</th>
<th>$\tau_0^{\text{av}}$ (s$^{-1}$)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>155.5</td>
<td>0.076 $\pm$ 0.004</td>
<td>0.162 $\pm$ 0.003</td>
<td>1.47</td>
<td>290</td>
<td>6.4 $\times$ 10$^{11}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>233.3</td>
<td>0.063 $\pm$ 0.004</td>
<td>0.164 $\pm$ 0.006</td>
<td>1.38</td>
<td>303</td>
<td>7.8 $\times$ 10$^{11}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>330.3</td>
<td>0.056 $\pm$ 0.003</td>
<td>0.139 $\pm$ 0.004</td>
<td>1.40</td>
<td>323</td>
<td>3.1 $\times$ 10$^{11}$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

As reported previously, we observe that the SLR rate curves of the Li$_6$PS$_5$Br argyrodite exhibit maxima at lower temperatures than those of the Li$_6$PS$_5$Cl argyrodite across all three frequencies measured, which is an indication of faster local Li mobility at ambient temperatures. The activation energy of the low-temperature flank typically represents short-range jumps; therefore, on the basis of the values obtained from the fits, i.e., 0.05–0.08 eV for Li$_6$PS$_5$Br and 0.12–0.14 eV for the Li$_6$PS$_5$Cl argyrodite, it is likely that this represents back-and-forth diffusion of Li-ions in the 48h pairs. The activation energies obtained from the high-temperature flank are 0.13–0.17 eV for the Li$_6$PS$_5$Br and 0.17–0.20 eV for the Li$_6$PS$_5$Cl argyrodite, which should represent longer-range diffusion processes, mediated by the intracage and intercage Li jumps. These values are in good agreement with the activation energies for the intercage jumps predicted by DFT MD simulations, where it is demonstrated computationally that despite contributions from grain boundaries, indicates that even the high-temperature flank is representative of back-and-forth and at best intracage diffusion. This indicates that a single SLR rate curve may, despite having a well-defined maximum, be an unreliable measure for systems where bulk diffusion comprises different kinds of complex jump processes.

Even though SLR rates measured in the rotating frame offer information about diffusion occurring on longer time and diffusion length scales, i.e., jump rates on the order of $10^4$–$10^5$ s$^{-1}$, the $T_{\text{max}}$ values that we obtained from spin-lock measurements performed previously required a stretched exponential to fit, providing two and sometime three $T_{\text{max}}$ components. On the other hand, each relaxation rate ($1/T_{\text{rel}}$) obtained from the saturation recovery experiment could be fit relatively easily with a single exponent, yielding a single $T_\text{1}$ value. To evaluate the bulk diffusion within both the Li$_6$PS$_5$Br and Li$_6$PS$_5$Cl argyrodite variants, a global Arrhenius fit was performed using the jump rates $\tau^{-1}$ obtained from the maxima of the SLR rate curves measured at the various $^7$Li Larmor frequencies depicted in Figure 1 bd for the Li$_6$PS$_5$Br and Li$_6$PS$_5$Cl argyrodites, yielding $\tau^{-1} = 1 \times 10^{13}$ s$^{-1}$ exp$(-0.18(3)$ eV/ k$_\text{B}$T) and $\tau^{-1} = 5 \times 10^{13}$ s$^{-1}$ exp$(-0.29(7)$ eV/k$_\text{B}$T), respectively. The values for the Arrhenius pre-exponential factor obtained from the global fit fall within the range of typical phonon frequencies, unlike the values for $\tau_0^{\text{av}}$ obtained from fitting the individual SLR rate data seen from Tables 1 and 2, where the values are underestimated. The activation energy values obtained from the global fit of 0.18(3) and 0.29(7) eV for the Li$_6$PS$_5$Br and Li$_6$PS$_5$Cl argyrodite, respectively, are both higher than values obtained from the high-temperature flank of the individual relaxation rate curves (Tables 1 and 2) and more representative of actual bulk diffusion within the argyrodite crystals. The differences

Table 1. Values Obtained for the SLR NMR Curves Shown in Figure 1a for the Li$_6$PS$_5$Br Argyrodite
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<td>233.3</td>
<td>0.063 $\pm$ 0.004</td>
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<td>330.3</td>
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</table>
between the activation energies for the Br- and Cl-doped variants have been ascribed to the difference in lattice softness between the Li$_6$PS$_5$Br and Li$_6$PS$_5$Cl argyrodites, where the softer lattice of the former results in a lower activation energy and also a lower pre-exponential factor, which are not necessarily concomitant with a higher ionic conductivity.

2D-EXSY NMR allows one to track the mobility of Li-ions from one site to the other, based on the difference in chemical shift arising from differences in local chemical environments. Li motion can be tracked as a function of both temperature and exchange time, also called the mixing time "T$_{\text{mix}}$". The final factor that effects the 2D-EXSY measurement is the SLR time or T$_1$ of the Li species that are under study because in order to be measured, quantifiable exchange must occur at a T$_{\text{mix}}$ which is shorter than T$_1$. Both $^7$Li and $^6$Li are NMR "active" nuclei, with the higher natural abundance of the former often making it the nucleus of choice to be studied. On the other hand, despite its lower natural abundance and low gyromagnetic ratio, the weaker dipolar and quadrupolar interactions associated with $^6$Li often result in spectra having better resolution than that achieved for $^7$Li. In addition, T$_1$'s tend to be longer for $^6$Li than those for $^7$Li.

When studying exchange between individual Li-containing species, i.e., different materials vs Li-ion sites within a material or crystallite, often the interfacial contact area is relevant because there have to be sufficient points of access to track measurable Li-ion mobility. To achieve this condition for the mixture of Br- and Cl-doped argyrodite materials, the electrolyte materials were each individually ball-milled to reduce particle size and thereby increase surface area, before they were mixed together gently in a mortar with a pestle. The mixture was then subsequently pressed into a pellet with ∼5 ton/cm$^2$ pressure. The pellet was then crushed into a fine powder, which was then used for NMR measurements. A one-dimensional (1D) single-pulse MAS spectrum of the mixture is given in Figure 2. It is seen that the individual contributions from the Li$_6$PS$_5$Br and Li$_6$PS$_5$Cl argyrodite powders are preserved (Figure 2), where the Li$_6$PS$_5$Br fraction gives a peak at ∼2 ppm and the Li$_6$PS$_5$Cl fraction gives a peak at ∼1 ppm.

There is some broadening observed for the Br-doped argyrodite, which could be a result of the ball-milling, which gives rise to a large amorphous fraction, resulting in line broadening. Another strategy was tried that entailed ball-milling of individual powders of Li$_6$PS$_5$Cl and Li$_6$PS$_5$Br together to create a mixture with sufficient interfacial contact. This strategy however proved unsuccessful because even at low rpm ball-milling speeds (110 rpm) a mixed Li$_6$PS$_5$Br,Cl species with a single Li environment was seen via a single NMR peak in the $^6$Li spectrum.

2D $^6$Li−$^6$Li exchange spectra of the mixture were measured at various temperatures and mixing times, of which the spectra measured at 25 °C are depicted in Figure 3. As seen for T$_{\text{mix}}$ = 10 ms, no appreciable cross-peak intensity is observed, which is a measure of lithium that has moved from the Li$_6$P$_5$Br phase to the Li$_6$PS$_5$Cl phase in the mixture and vice versa. Upon increasing the mixing time, T$_{\text{mix}}$ toward 100 ms−1 s, a clear increase in cross-peak intensity is observed. The evolution of normalized cross-peak intensity as a function of T$_{\text{mix}}$ measured at 25, 50, 75, and 100 °C within a T$_{\text{mix}}$ range of 1 ms−2 s (up to 1 s for 100 °C) is depicted in Figure 4a. Spectra measured for T$_{\text{mix}}$ values of 2 s (Figure 4a), showed a sharp drop in cross-peak intensity likely due to a decline in diagonal intensity due to T$_1$. Exchange between the Li$_6$PS$_5$Br and Li$_6$PS$_5$Cl phases was quantified by fitting the evolution of the cross-peak intensity as a function of T$_{\text{mix}}$ to a diffusion model derived from Fick’s law, which has been described by us in detail elsewhere. From the fit, the diffusion coefficient (D) as a function of temperature can be obtained, which in this case pertains to Li-ion transport across the electrolyte–electrolyte interface. Here we make the assumption that diffusion occurs from the center of a Li$_6$PS$_5$Br particle to the center of a Li$_6$PS$_5$Cl particle. From the peak width of the XRD patterns of the nanosized Br- and Cl-doped argyrodites, we get an average crystallite size of ∼20 nm for each phase, which we assume to be the maximum diffusion distance. The diffusion coefficients as a function of temperature obtained from the fit are given in Figure 4b. The values obtained for the diffusion coefficient are directly related to the diffusion distance, which in this case may be an overestimation. The data for electrolyte–electrolyte diffusion across grain boundaries can be fit to an Arrhenius law, yielding an activation energy of 0.27(4) eV. This is comparable to the activation energy obtained for bulk diffusion from global fit of the NMR relaxometry measurements for the Li$_6$PS$_5$Cl argyrodite, which indicates that (a) it is possible to determine the activation energy for diffusion across grain boundaries and (b) diffusion across grain boundaries is not rate-limiting for the case of the argyrodite solid electrolytes.

In conclusion, using a comprehensive frequency- and temperature-dependent analysis of the SLR rates in the laboratory frame of reference, we are able to perform a complete estimation of Li self-diffusion within the argyrodite Li$_6$PS$_5$X (X = Br, Cl) solid electrolyte. It is found that a multiple frequency SLR analysis is essential, especially for systems with complex local diffusion processes. Exploiting the difference in chemical shift of the Cl- and Br-containing variants of the argyrodite, we use 2D $^6$Li−$^6$Li solid-state NMR to determine the barrier for Li-ion transport across grain boundaries, which are found not to be rate-limiting for the case of the argyrodite solid electrolyte. This is not surprising because thiophosphates are known to be highly ductile materials, which can be considered responsible for the low grain boundary resistance.
grain boundaries is tedious but essential if the potential bulk conductivity of solid electrolytes is to be completely exploited. The presented NMR "labeling" method makes it possible to attain formerly difficult to access information about ionic transport across grain boundaries.

EXPERIMENTAL METHODS

The argyrodites Li₆PS₅Cl and Li₆PS₅Br used have been synthesized as described in ref 14. A detailed description of the materials and synthesis is given in the Supporting Information. ⁷Li SLR NMR measurements were performed using a saturation recovery experiment at magnetic field strengths of 9.4, 14.1, and 20 T for both argyrodite solid electrolytes. 2D-EXSY MAS NMR measurements of a mixture of Li₆PS₅Cl and Li₆PS₅Br was performed at a magnetic field strength of 14.1 T, with a Tmix range of 1 ms−2 s and in a temperature range of 25−100 °C. A detailed description is given in the Supporting Information.
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