Magnesium Transport between Carp and Water Studied with $^{28}$Mg$^{2+}$ as Radiotracer
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Neutron activation analysis and $^{28}$Mg$^{2+}$ as radiotracer were used to measure magnesium distribution in fish and magnesium flows between carp and water. A novel tracer kinetic approach was applied to determine magnesium flows "to fish from water" ($F_{fw}$) and "to water from plasma (fish)" ($F_{wp}$). This revealed that $F_{fw} < F_{wp}$ and thus pointing to another magnesium source than water, namely food.

Introduction

Magnesium is an essential element for all living organisms. In animal cells it is the most abundant divalent cation. In animals magnesium ions are, for example, required as an activator for many enzymatic reactions and for the initiation of DNA synthesis (Aikawa, 1981). It has been suggested that magnesium serves as a static regulator of cell function as well (Alvarez-Leefmans et al., 1987). Despite the pivotal role of magnesium in the physiology of vertebrates including fish, only a few studies (e.g. Renfro and Shustock, 1985) have dealt with the topic of transepithelial magnesium transport in fish. Recent availability of radioactive $^{28}$Mg$^{2+}$—an ideal tracer for magnesium ions—prompted us to study the magnesium transport between fish and water.

This investigation deals with carp. It was designed to establish the relative importance of the integument (gills and body surface) for magnesium intake by measuring the unidirectional flows of magnesium between fish and water. To our knowledge no such measurements have been reported in the literature so far. The distribution of magnesium in carp was also a subject of investigation.

Tracer Kinetic Approach

With regard to magnesium the present water–fish system may be considered as a closed multicompartment system with a number of intercompartmental connections (magnesium flows). One of the magnesium compartments represents magnesium (dissolved) in water. The other magnesium compartments are confined to the fish and represent magnesium in bones, scales, muscles, blood plasma, etc. To determine the outflow of a tracer from a compartment of such a multicompartment system, a tracer should be added to this compartment and subsequently the time curve for the tracer quantity in this compartment measured (Shipley and Clark, 1972). At zero time the tracer moves only out of this compartment while no tracer is returning from any other compartment, since none is present there. The instantaneous (down)slope of the curve for tracer quantity in the compartment to which tracer initially had been added, normalized to the tracer quantity in this compartment at zero time, is—except for the sign—equal to the rate constant of outflow of tracer. Thus for time $t = 0$,

$$-\frac{d[q(t)]}{dt} = k$$

where $q(t)$ and $q(0)$ are the tracer quantities (in Bq) at time $t$ (in s) and $t = 0$, respectively, and $k$ is the rate constant (in s$^{-1}$), defined as

$$k = \frac{F}{Q}$$
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where $F$ is the (out)flow of tracer (in mol·s$^{-1}$) and $Q$ is the tracee quantity (in mol) in the compartment into which the tracer was added at $t = 0$. Combining equations (1) and (2) one obtains:

$$F = -Q \frac{d[q(t)/q(0)]}{dt} \tag{3}$$

or the outflow is equal to the product of the tracer quantity and the absolute value of the instantaneous (down)slope at $t = 0$.

Note that no assumption has been made with regard to the number of compartments and their connections. In this respect, the present tracer kinetic approach to ion transport in fish–water systems differs from that used by other authors (Maetz, 1956; Potts et al., 1967; Kirschner, 1970).

The outflow of magnesium from water and thus the flow of magnesium to fish from water $F_w$ can in principle be calculated from the magnesium quantity in water $Q_w$ and the slope at $t = 0$ of time curves for the tracer quantity in water $q_w(t)$ normalized to the tracer quantity in water at $t = 0$, $q_w(0)$, using equation (3). However, in practice it may be difficult to estimate the initial (down)slope of the $q_w(t)$/$q_w(0)$ curve due, for example, to the poor alignment of data points or inadequate steepness of the curve. In such a case one can try to measure the tracer quantity in alive fish $q_f(t)$ and in water at $t = 0$, $q_w(0)$. $F_w$ can then in principle be calculated from $Q_w$ and the (up)slope of the $q_f(t)$/$q_w(0)$ curves at $t = 0$ using equation (3) but omitting the negative sign. However, when the measurement of $q_f(t)$ is not feasible and when only the quantity of tracer in the fish at the end of an experiment $q_f(t_e)$ and the tracer quantity in water at $t = 0$ can be determined, one has to use the approximation:

$$F_w = Q_w \frac{[q_f(t_e)/q_w(0)]}{t_e} \tag{4}$$

where $t_e$ stands for the duration of the experiment. The underlying assumption for equation (4) is that $q_f(t_e)/t_e$ is equal to $d[q_f(t)/q_w(0)]/dt$ at $t = 0$.

The outflow of magnesium from plasma and thus—by assuming that there are no other outflows from plasma to water—the flow of magnesium across the integument to water from fish, $F_{p,w}$, can in principle be calculated from the magnesium quantity in plasma, $Q_p$, and the (down)slope of the time curve for the tracer quantity in plasma, $q_p(t)$, normalized to the tracer quantity in plasma at $t = 0$, $q_p(0)$, using equation (3). However, none of these quantities are easily assessable. However, the tracer quantity in water, $q_w(t)$, and the specific activity of magnesium in plasma at the end of the experiment, $S_p(t_e)$ (in Bq·mol$^{-1}$), may well be determined. $S_p(t_e)$ is defined as $q_p(t_e)/Q_p$ and equal to $C_p(t_e)/C_p(t_0)$ where $C_p(t)$ is the tracer concentration in plasma (in Bq·L$^{-1}$) and $C_p(t_0)$ is the magnesium concentration in plasma (in mol·L$^{-1}$) both at $t = t_0$. By rearranging equation (3) and assuming that at zero time $-d[q_p(t)/q_p(0)]/dt = d[q_w(t)/q_w(0)]/dt$ one obtains:

$$F_{p,w} = [Q_p/q_p(0)] d[q_w(t)/dt] \tag{5}$$

or

$$F_{p,w} = \frac{[1/S_p(t_e)]}{d[q_p(t)/dt]} \tag{6}$$

where $S_p(t_e)$ is the specific activity of magnesium and $d[q_p(t)/dt]$ is the (up)slope of the time curve for tracer quantity in water, both at $t = 0$. In practice it may be difficult to determine $S_p(t_e)$ in fish. However, for a limited time period $S_p(t)$ is approximately constant and consequently $S_p(t_e)$ may be used instead of $S_p(0)$. This yields an approximation:

$$F_{p,w} = \frac{[1/S_p(t_e)]}{d[q_w(t)/dt]} \tag{7}$$

A determination of $F_{p,w}$ starts by introducing a certain quantity of tracer into the fish via intraperitoneal injection. To condition the fish for the actual experiments, the fish have to be kept in a large volume of water for an extended period of time. This procedure results in a partial transfer of tracer to water from fish and simultaneously in a distribution of tracer among the magnesium compartments of the fish, resulting in a period of a more or less steady specific activity in the plasma. An actual experiment starts ($t = 0$) by placing a thus conditioned fish into a small volume of water.

**Materials and Methods**

**Experimental animals and water**

Carp (Cyprinus carpio) weighing from 34.0 to 92.3 g were obtained from laboratory stock. Up to 10 fish were held in 120 L glass aquaria filled with 80 L artificial freshwater (initially) containing (mmol L$^{-1}$): 0.5 NaCl, 0.06 KCl, 0.2 MgSO$_4$ and 0.2 CaCl$_2$. The pH of water was maintained at 7.5 by means of an end-point titration system (ETSS222, Radiometer, Copenhagen, Denmark) adding a sodium hydroxide solution. The water was kept at 24°C and filtered by recirculation through a nylon-wool thermostifil (2113, Eheim, Deizisau, Germany) and constantly aerated. Twice a week one third of the aquarium content was replaced with freshly prepared water. The photo-period was 12 h. Daily the fish received six rations of dry fish food (TetraMin, Tetra, Melle, Germany) containing about 31 μmol·g$^{-1}$ magnesium. The quantity of food was adjusted to match the maintenance level (Huisman, 1976).

**Radiotracer**

$\text{^{28}Mg}^+$ was used as radiotracer for Mg$^{2+}$. It was produced either by irradiation of Li–Mg alloys with thermal neutrons in the IRI nuclear reactor (van der Velden et al., 1989b) or by irradiation of PI$_3$ with high energy bremsstrahlung obtained from the NIKHEF 500 MeV electron linear accelerator (Polak et al., 1989). In both cases the irradiation was followed by separation of magnesium from irradiated material leading to aqueous solutions of MgCl$_2$ containing $\text{^{28}Mg}^+$ and its decay product $\text{^{28}Al}_3^+$. The specific activities of these preparations calculated from the activities as determined with Ge(Li) detectors.
just before the experiments and magnesium concentrations as determined by spectrophotometric titration with EDTA or by Atomic Absorption Spectrometry, were about 0.2 and 26 GBq mol\(^{-1}\) for the reactor and the accelerator production mode, respectively.

The radioisotope \(^{28}\text{Mg}\) decays with the half-life of 20.93 h by \(^{28}\text{Al}\) by emitting \(^{\beta}\)-particles of \(E_{\text{max}} = 0.418\) (95%) and 0.459 (5%) MeV and \(\gamma\)-rays of 0.031 (95%), 0.401 (36%), 0.942 (36%), 1.342 (54%), 1.373 (5%) and 1.589 (5%) MeV. \(^{28}\text{Al}\) decays with the half-life of 2.24 min to stable \(\text{Si}\) by emitting \(^{\beta}\)-particles of \(E_{\text{max}} = 2.878\) MeV (100%) and \(\gamma\)-rays of 1.779 MeV (100%) (Lederer and Shirley, 1978).

**Magnesium distribution**

The quantities of magnesium in hard tissues, soft tissues and thus in the whole carp were assessed as follows. The fish were anesthetized in 0.4 mmol \(\cdot\) L\(^{-1}\) solution of "MS-222" (3-aminobenzoic acid ethyl ester. Methanesulphonate Salt, Sigma, St Louis, U.S.A.) for 2–5 min and weighed. Blood samples (mixed arterial and venous blood) of up to 2 mL were taken by puncture of the caudal vessels behind the anal fin using heparinized syringe. The fish were then killed by spinal transection. The blood was separated into cells and plasma by centrifugation at 9000 \(\times\) g for 3 min. A portion of the plasma was ultrafiltered using microcollodion bags (SM 13202, Sartorius, Gottingen, Germany). Each carp was divided into hard (bones and scales) and soft (muscle and organs) tissue. The tissues were weighed, lyophilized and weighed again.

The magnesium concentrations in the blood plasma and in its ultrafiltrate were determined colorimetrically (Diagnostic kit 595, Sigma) whereas the magnesium concentrations in the lyophillized tissues of carp were determined by instrumental neutron activation analysis (van der Velden et al., 1989a).

**Mg\(^{2+}\) flow to fish from water**

To determine \(F_w\), a fish was weighed and placed into an all-glass vessel with 2 L artificial freshwater in which \(\text{MgSO}_4\) was replaced by \(\text{MgCl}_2\) containing 80 kBq \(^{28}\text{Mg}\). The water was constantly aerated with pre-humidified air and kept at 24°C. By means of a peristaltic pump the water was recirculated (mean flow to water from plasma

To determine \(F_w\), the fish were weighed and injected intraperitoneally with 0.1–0.2 mL saline (0.9% NaCl) with 2–4 \(\mu\)mol \(\text{MgCl}_2\) and approximately 80 kBq \(^{28}\text{Mg}\). After the injection the fish were put into a 50 L all-glass aquarium with 30 L constantly aerated, artificial freshwater which was kept at 24°C. After 9–14 h the fish were taken out, rinsed with artificial freshwater for 2 min and the experiment was started by placing a fish into an all-glass vessel with 1.7 L artificial freshwater which was constantly aerated with pre-humidified air and kept at 24°C. Water samples of 20 mL were taken 1, 5, 10, 15, 30, 45, 60 min and then every 30 min thereafter. To each of these samples \(\text{Al}^{3+}\) (as \(\text{AlCl}_3\) dissolved in dilute sulphuric acid) was added to serve as hold-back carrier for \(^{28}\text{Al}^{3+}\) and thus prevent its adsorption onto the inner wall of the counting vial. The experiments, just before the experiments and magnesium concentrations as determined by spectrophotometric titration with EDTA or by Atomic Absorption Spectrometry, were about 0.2 and 26 GBq mol\(^{-1}\) for the reactor and the accelerator production mode, respectively.
during which the fish were not fed, lasted for 5 h and were stopped by transferring the fish into 2 L artificial freshwater containing 0.4 mmol L\(^{-1}\) "MS 222" for 2-5 min. A blood sample was taken and the fish was killed, minced and put into counting vials. See above for details of these procedures. Counting samples containing 0.250-0.863 mL blood plasma and water adding up to 20 mL were prepared.

The \(^{28}\text{Mg}\) activity in liquid samples was determined by Cerenkov counting of \(\beta^+\)-particles of (mainly) \(^{28}\text{Al}\) by means of a Liquid Scintillation Counter (Tri-carb 300c, Packard Instruments). The samples were measured at least 30 min after sampling to allow for the establishment of a \(^{28}\text{Mg}-^{28}\text{Al}\) equilibrium. The counting data were corrected for background and decay of \(^{28}\text{Mg}\). The tracers quantities in water for all sampling times were calculated by taking into account the amount of tracer removed from water by subsequent sampling:

\[
q_w(t) = \{R_w(i)[V_w - (i - 1)v_w] + \sum_{i=1}^{n} R_w(i - 1)v_w\}/\varepsilon \quad (10)
\]

where \(i\) is the sequential sample number, \(R_w(i)\) is the counting rate of \(i\)th water sample (in counts \(\cdot\) s\(^{-1}\) \(\cdot\) L\(^{-1}\)), \(v_w\) is the sample volume (in L) and \(\varepsilon\) is the counting efficiency (in counts \(\cdot\) s\(^{-1}\) \(\cdot\) Bq\(^{-1}\)). In the following calculations the counting efficiency \(\varepsilon\) cancels out and therefore knowledge of its actual value is not required. Note that for \(i = 1\) \(t = 1\) min, \(i = 2\) \(t = 5\) min etc. Hence for \(i = 1\), \(R_w(i - 1) = R_w(0) = 0\).

\(F_{wp}\) (in mol \(\cdot\) h\(^{-1}\)) was calculated using equation (7) in which:

\[
S_p(t_w) = [R_p(t_w)/\varepsilon]/C_p \quad (11)
\]

where \(R_p(t_w)\) is the counting rate of plasma (in counts \(\cdot\) s\(^{-1}\) \(\cdot\) L\(^{-1}\)) pertaining to \(t_w = 5\) h and \(C_p\) the magnesium concentration in plasma (in mol \(\cdot\) L\(^{-1}\)): a single value (determined in separate measurements as described above) for all fish instead of \(C_p(t_w)\) values for each individual fish was used (this because all the plasma was needed for the preparation of the counting samples).

The (up)slope at \(t = 0\) of the \(q_w(t)\) vs time (in h) curve (in counts \(\cdot\) s\(^{-1}\) \(\cdot\) h\(^{-1}\)), required for equation (7), was determined by fitting a straight line through data points for \(t \leq 1\) h calculated by equation (10). This was carried out by linear regression method. Figure 1 shows a typical example of such a procedure.

**Results and Discussion**

**Magnesium distribution**

The total magnesium concentration in blood plasma of carp, \(C_p = (0.96 \pm 0.06) \times 10^{-3}\) mol \(\cdot\) L\(^{-1}\) (mean value \pm standard deviation of \(n = 12\) fish). This result is close to \(0.93 \pm 0.05\) and \((1.15 \pm 0.16) \times 10^{-3}\) mol \(\cdot\) L\(^{-1}\), reported for carp by Hunn (1972) and Houston (1985), respectively. The magnesium concentration in plasma ultrafiltrate was \((0.61 \pm 0.01) \times 10^{-3}\) mol \(\cdot\) L\(^{-1}\) \((n = 4)\) and this indicates that about 32% of magnesium is protein bound and about 67% is in ionic and/or complexed form.

The amounts of dry hard and dry soft tissues (including blood) per fish, the magnesium concentration in these tissues and the calculated quantities of magnesium therein are given in Table 1. For carp, weighing 42.3-83.1 g, about 53% of the magnesium is confined to the soft tissue. The quantity of magnesium in these fish is approximately proportional to their weights (13.0 \(\mu\)mol g\(^{-1}\)). Tacon *et al.* (1984) found in carp (mean weight 55 g) a somewhat higher value of 17.3 \(\mu\)mol g\(^{-1}\).

![Fig. 1. The tracer quantity in the water (in counts s\(^{-1}\) \(\cdot\) h\(^{-1}\)) curve as obtained in a "flow to water from plasma" experiment with a 46.2 g carp injected with tracer 11 h before \(t = 0\). The slope of the curve at \(t = 0\) was calculated by fitting a straight line through data points for \(t \leq 1\) h.](image)

This was carried out by linear regression method. Figure 1 shows a typical example of such a procedure.

**Table 1. Magnesium distribution in carp**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>(W_f)</th>
<th>(W_h)</th>
<th>(W_s)</th>
<th>(C_s)</th>
<th>(Q_s)</th>
<th>(Q_{w})</th>
<th>(Q_r)</th>
<th>(Q_{p})</th>
<th>(Q_{wp})</th>
<th>(C_p)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>42.3</td>
<td>3.23</td>
<td>87.8</td>
<td>284</td>
<td>5.39</td>
<td>49.1</td>
<td>265</td>
<td>549</td>
<td>0.48</td>
<td>13.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>62.2</td>
<td>4.24</td>
<td>77.1</td>
<td>327</td>
<td>8.02</td>
<td>47.8</td>
<td>383</td>
<td>710</td>
<td>0.54</td>
<td>11.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>72.4</td>
<td>5.64</td>
<td>81.6</td>
<td>460</td>
<td>9.33</td>
<td>53.7</td>
<td>539</td>
<td>999</td>
<td>0.54</td>
<td>13.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>83.1</td>
<td>6.63</td>
<td>92.9</td>
<td>523</td>
<td>11.13</td>
<td>57.9</td>
<td>644</td>
<td>1167</td>
<td>0.55</td>
<td>14.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Mean value:

\(0.53\) \(\pm\) \(13.0\)

Standard deviation of the mean:

\(0.03\) \(\pm\) \(1.0\)

\(W_f\) is the weight of the fish, \(W_h\) and \(W_s\) are the weights of respectively dry hard and dry soft tissue (all in g); \(C_s\), \(C_r\) and \(C_p\) are the magnesium concentrations in respectively dry hard tissue, dry soft tissue and (wet) fish (all in \(\mu\)mol \(\cdot\) g\(^{-1}\)); \(Q_s\) (\(=\sum W_h C_s\)) \(\mu\)mol \(\cdot\) g\(^{-1}\); \(Q_{w}\) \(=\sum W_s C_r\) \(\mu\)mol \(\cdot\) g\(^{-1}\) and \(Q_{p}\) \(=\sum Q_s + Q_{wp}\) \(\mu\)mol.
Mg$^{2+}$ flow to fish from water

The $F_{fw}$ values, obtained for fish weighing 36.7 to 92.3 g, are shown in Fig. 2 as function of the fish weight. Linear regression of $\ln F_{fw}$ values on $\ln W_f$ values yielded a power function for which the equation is:

$$F_{fw} = a(W_f)^b$$  \hspace{1cm} (12)

where $F_{fw}$ is here expressed in nmol·h$^{-1}$, $a = 0.16$ and $b = 1.48$ (Fig. 2). The correlation coefficient ($r$) for the above-mentioned linear regression was 0.880.

Magnesium dissolved in water may enter the fish through the integument (gills and body surface) and via the gut by drinking. The $F_{fw}$ values as obtained in this study may comprise both of these routes. The drinking related part of $F_{fw}$ may be estimated by using a drinking rate value of 51 nl·h$^{-1}$·(100 g)$^{-1}$, as obtained for Carassius auratus (Motais et al., 1969). This results in 5 nmol·h$^{-1}$ magnesium for a 50 g carp which is only about 10% of $F_{fw}$.

Mg$^{2+}$ flow to water from plasma

The $F_{wp}$ values, obtained for fish weighing 34.0–77.6 g, are shown in Fig. 2 as function of the fish weight. Linear regression of $\ln F_{wp}$ values on $\ln W_f$ values showed that the trend of the plotted data points may be represented by a power function for which the equation is analogous to equation (12) but with $a = 1.16$ and $b = 1.51$ (Fig. 2). Here $r$ was 0.886.

The magnesium may leave the fish through the integument and by urinary and faecal excretion. The $F_{wp}$ values as obtained in this study represent the integumental route viz the flow of magnesium along the route: plasma→gills and body surface→water, only. However, this statement is subject to the condition that during the very first hour of the experiment the urinary and faecal excretion of $^{28}$Mg$^{2+}$ does not significantly contribute to the tracer quantity in water.

As the specific activity of plasma was determined 5 h—thus not really shortly—after the start of an experiment, the question may arise whether the use of $S_p(t_e = 5\ h)$ instead of $S_p(0)$ does not lead to a significant overestimation of $F_{wp}$ due to the possible loss of tracer from plasma and consequently: $S_p(t_e)/S_p(0) < 1$. One may estimate this ratio by supposing a uniform specific activity of magnesium throughout the extracellular fluid and consequently equal specific activities in its two components, namely plasma and interstitial fluid ($S_i$) i.e. $S_p(t_e) = S_i(t_e)$ for $0 < t < t_e$. The tracer balance for extracellular fluid and water results in the relation:

$$S_p(t_e)/S_p(0) = 1/[1 + q_e(t_e)/S_i(t_e)(Q_p + Q_f)] \hspace{1cm} (13)$$

where $Q_i$ is the magnesium quantity in interstitial fluid (in mol). The tracer quantity in water at the end of the experiment $q_e(t_e)$ was calculated by means of equation (10) (for $t_e = 5\ h$). Hence $Q_i = V_pC_p$ where $V_p$ is the volume of plasma in carp (in L) and $C_p$ is the magnesium concentration in the interstitial fluid (in mol·L$^{-1}$). According to Thorson (1961) in carp $V_p = 1.8 \times 10^{-3} W_f$ and $V_i = 13.7 \times 10^{-3} W_f$. For $C_p$ the magnesium concentration in plasma ultrafiltrate was used. The foregoing estimation procedure yields $S_p(t_e)/S_p(0)$ ranging from 0.69 to 0.86 or equal to 0.78 ± 0.05 (mean value for seven fish± standard deviation). Apparently the specific activity of magnesium in plasma of carp changes during the 5 h lasting experiments. Although the decrease of specific activity in plasma remains limited to 31% or less, the preceding $F_{wp}$ values were corrected by multiplying each of them with the corresponding $S_p(t_e)/S_p(0)$ ratio (Fig. 2). Linear regression of (new) $\ln F_{wp}$ values on $\ln W_f$ values resulted in a power function analogous to equation (13) with $a = 1.23$ and $b = 1.43$ (Fig. 2, middle curve). For this regression $r = 0.924$.

Magnesium balance

Growing fish constantly incorporate magnesium in their body. The uptake or net flow of magnesium into the fish, $F_{net}$ is the resultant of two oppositely directed flows: $F_{in}$ being the inflow of magnesium to fish from both water ($F_{wp}$) and food ($F_f$) and $F_{out}$ being the outflow of magnesium to water from fish along both the integumental ($F_{wp}$) and excretal routes ($F_f$).

The present study deals with fish fed at maintenance level thus with nongrowing fish. The whole body magnesium quantity in these fish is expected to remain constant before and during the experimentation. Obviously $F_{net} = 0$ which means that $F_{in} = F_{out}$ or:

$$F_{fw} + F_{ff} = F_{wp} + F_{ef} \hspace{1cm} (14)$$

The curves in Fig. 2 point out that for the carp under consideration $F_{fw} < F_{wp}$ for all $W_f$ values. For both the fish weighing 40 and 80 g $F_{fw}/F_{wp}\ (corrected) = 0.16$.
and thus it may be regarded as independent on \( W_f \).

By rearrangement of equation (14) and using the preceding \( F_{fr}/F_{ep} \) value one obtains:

\[
F_f = 5.3F_{fr} + F_{ef}
\]  

(15)

Evidently \( F_f \gg F_{fr} \) and amounts to at least 84\% of the magnesium inflow into the carp. Consequently \( F_f \) represents the most important route for magnesium inflow to these nongrowing fish. Ogino and Chiou (1976) came to a similar conclusion, namely "that carp cannot absorb sufficient amount of magnesium from the rearing water to meet their requirement". Note that the conclusion of these authors was based on measurements of the magnesium content of growing fish subjected to diets containing graded amounts of magnesium.

The above-mentioned and our own study indicate that, in contrast to calcium (Flik et al., 1985), a significant fraction of the magnesium inflow in carp is realized along another route than the integumental one, i.e. the intestinal route.
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