Maintaining Tropical Beaches with Seagrass and Algae: A Promising Alternative to Engineering Solutions
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Tropical beaches provide coastal flood protection, income from tourism, and habitat for flagship species. They urgently need protection from erosion, which is being exacerbated by changing climate and coastal development. Traditional coastal engineering solutions are expensive, provide unstable temporary solutions, and often disrupt natural sediment transport. Instead, natural foreshore stabilization and nourishment may provide a sustainable and resilient long-term solution. Field flume and ecosystem process measurements, along with data from the literature, show that sediment stabilization by seagrass in combination with sediment-producing calcifying algae in the foreshore form an effective mechanism for maintaining tropical beaches worldwide. The long-term efficacy of this type of nature-based beach management is shown at a large scale by comparing vegetated and unvegetated coastal profiles. We argue that preserving and restoring vegetated beach foreshore ecosystems offers a viable, self-sustaining alternative to traditional engineering solutions, increasing the resilience of coastal areas to climate change.
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Beaches are key ecosystems in coastal zones, making up 31% of the world’s shoreline in ice-free regions of the world (Luijendijk et al. 2018). They have a vital role in flood defense, provide a source of income as a tourist attraction, and are essential habitats for various tropical flagship species, such as sea turtles and sea birds (Defeo et al. 2009). Beach erosion, however, has become a major global problem, with a recent analysis showing that 24% of the world’s sandy beaches experience chronic erosion (Luijendijk et al. 2018). The development of human infrastructure along the coast and waterways (figure 1a–c) has led to the rapid loss of natural systems that accumulate and stabilize sediment—such as coastal dunes, seagrass meadows, and mangroves—disrupting the regular pathways of sediment transport (Feagin et al. 2015, Luijendijk et al. 2018). Moreover, the combination of sea-level rise with increasing storm occurrence and intensity will exacerbate beach erosion in the future (Defeo et al. 2009, Nicholls and Cazenave 2010). This is of great concern for many tropical areas, which typically have a high dependency on beaches for flood safety and also economically, for local tourism (see the red shading in figure 1d). For example, the Caribbean islands together received over 23 million tourist visitors in 2015, creating a revenue of $26.5 billion (UNWTO 2016). On average, 23% of the gross domestic product (GDP) of countries within the Caribbean is obtained from tourism (figure 1d), with most tourists being attracted by the sandy beaches. Cost-effective solutions to prevent or mitigate beach erosion are therefore urgently needed for the long-term economic sustainability in these countries (UN 2016, Morris et al. 2018).

Many tropical countries lack the infrastructure and finances to undertake engineering solutions for beach protection. Therefore, beaches continue to disappear into the sea, increasing the vulnerability of coastal areas to flooding, and threatening coastal structures and beach tourism (figure 1b). Where there are sufficient resources, two schemes of coastal engineering strategies are used to counter beach erosion: hard and soft (Finkl and Walker 2005, Castelle et al. 2009, Stive et al. 2013, Silva et al. 2016), both of which incur a high capital cost. Hard coastal defense schemes are employed to mitigate wave attack and reduce local erosion (figure 1a; Ranasinghe and Turner 2006, Ruiz-Martínez et al. 2015, Walker, Dong, and Anastasiou 1991). Such physical barriers typically inhibit the natural sand transport pathways, thereby depleting sand from neighboring areas (Ranasinghe and Turner 2006, Ruiz-Martínez et al. 2015, Luijendijk et al. 2018). Soft defense schemes, such as beach or foreshore nourishments, have recently become more popular
Although it is effective, soft engineering requires continuous maintenance, resulting in repeated smothering and disturbance of the natural beach communities (Bishop et al. 2006, Defeo et al. 2009) and their neighboring ecosystems (e.g., coral reefs). In the long term, nourishments can alter beach grain characteristics (Hanson et al. 2002), which can potentially cause permanent changes to the benthic community (Bishop et al. 2006).

By combining experimental field measurements with data from the literature, we demonstrate that the combination of foreshore stabilization by seagrass and natural foreshore nourishment by calcifying macroalgae can provide long-term maintenance of tropical beaches. In general, foreshore nourishment (both natural or engineered) is effective in beach protection, because a shallow foreshore reduces wave attack on the beach (Hanson et al. 2002, Christianen et al. 2013). Because a natural foreshore stabilization and nourishment regime requires no maintenance and operates gradually over long timescales with locally produced sediment, it offers a cost-effective and sustainable alternative to human-engineered solutions. Comparing unique long-term beach profiles of vegetated, transitioning, and unvegetated coasts illustrates the effectiveness of this approach.

Natural foreshore nourishment by vegetation: Sediment stabilization and production

Shallow inter- and subtidal foreshores of natural tropical sandy beaches are predominately composed of locally produced calcium carbonate (CaCO$_3$) sediments. These carbonate sediments are biogenically produced and need to be continually captured and retained within the foreshore for a beach to resist erosion and remain stable, something that seagrass is extremely effective at achieving.

With a newly developed portable flume designed to be used in the field, the ability of different vegetation types—bare, vegetated with only calcifying macroalgae, sparse seagrass (50% cover of *Thalassia testudinum*) and dense seagrass (100% cover of *T. testudinum*)—to stabilize sediment was measured directly within Galion Bay, Saint Martin (in the Caribbean). Regulating the speed of two motor-driven propellers allowed the flow velocity within the flume tunnel to be modified (see the photo in figure 2a and further methods in supplement 1). The point at which the surface sediment began to move was recorded as the threshold shear velocity. We found that, in bare areas and areas with only calcifying macroalgae, the coarse carbonate sediments (median grain size = 337 micrometers [µm], standard error [SE] = 33) that are present in these areas start eroding already at flow speeds caused by moderate breezes (i.e., a wind of 10 meters [m]...
average erosion rate of 21.3 mm per year. Seagrasses reduce erosion and cause sediment accretion by stabilizing the sediment with their root–rhizome mat (Potouroglou et al. 2017) and by attenuating water flow and waves. Hansen and Reidenbach (2012) reported that dense seagrass canopies of *Zostera marina* can attenuate flow velocity by 70%–90%, whereas Fonseca and Cahalan (1992) showed a wave energy reduction of 34%–44% for four varying species of seagrass, including *T. testudinum*. Flow and wave attenuation cause sediment particles to settle and reduces resuspension; in addition, seagrass leaves can bend over the sediment surface, further stabilizing the sediments. For a beach to remain stable over the long term, however, a continuous supply of sediment is required to offset any erosion that occurs during storm events or from seaward currents that may transport unprotected sediment out of the beach system. The breakdown and erosion of nearby coral reefs can provide a large contribution of sediment when the reefs are present (Chave et al. 1972, Hallock 1981). Another sediment contributor is calcifying macroalgae from the Halimedaceae family, which are composed of 70%–90% CaCO$_3$ (van Tussenbroek and Van Dijk 2007). Because they grow directly within and adjacent to seagrass meadows on tropical beach foreshores, the sediment they produce is deposited where it is most valuable for providing a natural foreshore nourishment.
This sediment production does vary significantly, depending on the season, species, and their abundance; however, the fast growth and rapid turnover rates mean that the average sediment production reported for *Halimeda* spp. growing within seagrass meadows in the Pacific region is 337 grams dry weight ($g_{sol}$) CaCO$_3$ per square meter (m$^2$) per year (SE = 70, n = 10; supplement 2; Garrigue 1991, Merten 1971, Payri 1988), and in the Caribbean region, 166 $g_{sol}$ CaCO$_3$ per m$^2$ per year (SE = 93, n = 8; supplement 2; Neumann and Land 1975, Wefer 1980, Armstrong and Miller 1988, Multer 1988, Freile 2004, van Tussenbroek and Van Dijk 2007). Although this average rate contributes less than 0.28 (Pacific) and 0.15 mm (Caribbean) of sediment to the bed level per year (assuming a dry bulk density of 1.08 grams per cubic centimeter), the deposition of this CaCO$_3$ occurs directly within the foreshore, where seagrass is present. The algae-produced sediment is therefore immediately captured and retained within the beach foreshore ecosystem by the seagrass, thereby supplying a continuous and natural nourishment.

**Engineering and natural nourishment as contrasting management regimes**

We postulate engineering solutions and natural foreshore nourishment as contrasting management regimes, each having its own positive feedback (figure 3a). The engineered regime involves an unvegetated disturbed foreshore ecosystem with little or no biogenic sand production and highly mobile sediments. Such a regime results in a beach vulnerable to erosion and, therefore, requires regular engineering nourishments of the beach foreshore system to maintain its form. The alternative regime, a natural self-sustaining foreshore ecosystem with seagrass and calcifying macroalgae fronting a stable beach, forms a self-stabilizing and self-nourishing system.

The combined sediment stabilization by seagrass and sediment production by calcifying algae yields a biologically driven landscape with self-maintaining feedback loops. Specifically, by attenuating waves, preventing excessive erosion, and replenishing lost sediments, seagrass meadows, and calcifying algae together create a self-reinforcing loop (Maxwell et al. 2017). Stable sediment has been shown to be a main requirement for the long-term persistence of seagrass meadows (Reise and Kohlus 2008, Christianen et al. 2014, Suykerbuyk et al. 2016) and, in areas with fine sediment, can lead to a higher water transparency needed to sustain growth (van der Heide et al. 2007, Adams et al. 2018). This means that disruption of these self-reinforcing feedback loops may result in rapid losses of the seagrass–algae community (Maxwell et al. 2017). That is, in beach foreshore systems without seagrasses and algae, the sediment surface is freely agitated by currents and waves, yielding highly mobile sediments (Widdows et al. 2008, Marbà et al. 2015). Such unstable sediment conditions make it very difficult for seagrasses and algae to establish or reestablish (Williams 1990, Infantes et al. 2011, Balke et al. 2014, Suykerbuyk et al. 2016) and can increase turbidity levels if smaller sediment particles become suspended in the water column (van der Heide et al. 2007, Adams et al. 2018).

Human engineering through frequent beach nourishments can increase the sand supply to such disturbed beach foreshore systems (Finkl and Walker 2005, Castelle et al. 2009, Stive et al. 2013). However, these repeated nourishments smother establishing seagrasses and algae and create an unstable sediment surface that is more likely to erode (figure 3a). Therefore, although engineered nourishments may save the beach in the short term, they may, paradoxically, generate the necessity for recurrent beach nourishments in the long run (Trembanis and Pilkey 1998), creating an expensive and unsustainable management cycle in developing tropical regions (Silva et al. 2014).

Examples of the two alternative management regimes and one in transition are found along the coast of Mexico (see map in supplement 1). In coastal areas in which seagrass and calcifying macroalgae dominate the system, beach shore profiles conducted from 2008 to 2012 (methods detailed in supplement 1) are stable (figure 3b). In contrast, areas devoid of these species are typified by continuous erosion, which persists after engineered nourishments (figure 3d). A transition between these contrasting management regimes is observed in a third area. In the present article, extensive seagrass meadows of *T. testudinum* disappeared from the first 60 m of the foreshore in 2015 because of a large brown tide of drifting *Sargassum* spp. (van Tussenbroek et al. 2017). As a result of these losses, beach profiles taken in 2007 and 2017 show the beach foreshore experienced strong vertical erosion, up to 0.4 m in some areas (figure 3c). However, a small area of the beach foreshore on which seagrass was not lost, experienced only minor erosion and remained relatively stable (figure 3c). Overall, these examples impressively illustrate the effectiveness of vegetated foreshore ecosystems for maintaining stable beaches and shorelines.

**Implications and challenges for future management of tropical beaches**

To create stable long-term management solutions for tropical beaches, beach management would benefit from shifting away from frequent engineered nourishments and hard structures, toward maintenance by natural ecosystems. With current insights, anthropogenic use of beaches could be designed to halt and reverse current decline of natural foreshore ecosystems. Tropical seagrass and *Halimeda* spp. usually co-occur and can be found in tropical sandy regions all around the world (figure 1d; Green and Short 2003, UNEP-WCMC 2005), so there is widespread potential to restore these systems (Orth et al. 2006) to create a natural, self-sustaining beach management regime.

Conservation of areas in which natural foreshore vegetation still persists will improve the condition of foreshore ecosystems, maximizing their ability to protect beaches against erosion. Where foreshore vegetation has become degraded, an effort to protect what remains and to restore the ecosystem to a healthy self-reinforcing state may be necessary to implement effective natural beach management regimes. Preserving and restoring foreshore vegetation that still exists
is especially important as climate-driven disturbance events, such as extreme wave action, cyclones (Saunders and Lea 2008), and the occurrence of brown tides from Sargassum spp. drifts (van Tussenbroek et al. 2017), become more frequent with rising global temperatures. As climate-driven factors are hard to manage at a local scale, management should primarily aim at reducing local human-induced impacts (Scheffer et al. 2001). Local impacts, such as greater turbidity (Orth et al. 2006), nutrient enrichment and pollution (Kemp et al. 2005), physical damage to seagrass meadows from trampling and boat anchoring (Eckrich and Holmquist 2000), and modification of natural sediment transport and increased wave reflection caused by the construction of hard structures (DeFeo et al. 2009, Ruiz-Martínez et al. 2015, Luijendijk et al. 2018), are all intensifying as coastlines develop further. The installation of sewage treatment plants and limiting construction of hard structures along the coast are the most obvious steps to help protect and restore natural foreshore vegetation. Another is to limit accessibility of people to vulnerable areas and provide boat anchoring facilities outside regions of vegetation. Ensuring coral reefs remain in abundance and their sediment input to tropical beaches persist would also improve the prospects of tropical beaches to keep up with sea-level rise.

Given that the engineering management regime of a disturbed beach is self-reinforced by a feedback that maintains sediment instability (figure 3a), it will be difficult to induce a transition to the natural beach systems in areas in which...
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