

## PDF hosted at the Radboud Repository of the Radboud University Nijmegen

The following full text is a publisher's version.

For additional information about this publication click this link.

<http://hdl.handle.net/2066/202002>

Please be advised that this information was generated on 2019-11-19 and may be subject to change.

## **Article 25fa pilot End User Agreement**

This publication is distributed under the terms of Article 25fa of the Dutch Copyright Act (Auteurswet) with explicit consent by the author. Dutch law entitles the maker of a short scientific work funded either wholly or partially by Dutch public funds to make that work publicly available for no consideration following a reasonable period of time after the work was first published, provided that clear reference is made to the source of the first publication of the work.

This publication is distributed under The Association of Universities in the Netherlands (VSNU) 'Article 25fa implementation' pilot project. In this pilot research outputs of researchers employed by Dutch Universities that comply with the legal requirements of Article 25fa of the Dutch Copyright Act are distributed online and free of cost or other barriers in institutional repositories. Research outputs are distributed six months after their first online publication in the original published version and with proper attribution to the source of the original publication.

You are permitted to download and use the publication for personal purposes. All rights remain with the author(s) and/or copyrights owner(s) of this work. Any use of the publication other than authorised under this licence or copyright law is prohibited.

If you believe that digital publication of certain material infringes any of your rights or (privacy) interests, please let the Library know, stating your reasons. In case of a legitimate complaint, the Library will make the material inaccessible and/or remove it from the website. Please contact the Library through email: [copyright@ubn.ru.nl](mailto:copyright@ubn.ru.nl), or send a letter to:

University Library  
Radboud University  
Copyright Information Point  
PO Box 9100  
6500 HA Nijmegen

You will be contacted as soon as possible.

## **Correction to: Why lower educated people are more likely to cast their vote for radical right parties: Testing alternative explanations in The Netherlands**

**Michael Savelkoul<sup>1</sup> · Peer Scheepers<sup>1</sup>**

Published online: 3 January 2019  
© Springer Nature Limited 2019

**Correction to: Acta Politica (2017) 52, 544–573**  
<https://doi.org/10.1057/s41269-016-0031-z>

In the original publication of the article, Table 2 (Model 6) and Tables A1, A2, A3 in Appendix section contained some minor incorrectnesses. This has no impact on our conclusions, which remain unchanged. The correct Tables [2](#), [A1](#), [A2](#) and [A3](#) are given below:

---

The original article can be found online at <https://doi.org/10.1057/s41269-016-0031-z>.

✉ Michael Savelkoul  
[m.savelkoul@maw.ru.nl](mailto:m.savelkoul@maw.ru.nl)

<sup>1</sup> Department of Sociology, Radboud University Nijmegen, P.O. Box 9104, 6500 HE Nijmegen, The Netherlands



**Table 2** Influence of educational attainment and mediators on radical right voting (N = 774)

	Model 1		Model 2		Model 3		Model 4	
	B	SE	B	SE	B	SE	B	SE
Intercept	- 1.520	1.242	- 1.173	1.269	- 1.648	1.243	- .924	1.264
Educational attainment (years)	- .268	.066***	- .279	.067***	- .234	.067***	- .237	.067***
Interethnic contact			- .489	.329				
Associational involvement					- .305	.164~		
Social trust							- .371	.156*
Eurocepticism								
Perceived ethnic threat								
Anti-Muslim attitudes								
Nationalistic attitudes								
Authoritarian attitudes								
Present relative deprivation								
Future economic decline								
Political trust								
Nagelkerke R <sup>2</sup>	.201		.207		.211		.216	
	Model 5		Model 6		Model 7		Model 8	
	B	SE	B	SE	B	SE	B	SE
Intercept	- 2.284	1.300~	- 4.651	1.385***	- 5.427	1.431***	2.570	1.336~
Educational attainment (years)	- .244	.067***	- .185	.072*	- .196	.069***	- .270	.066***
Interethnic contact								
Associational involvement								
Social trust								
Eurocepticism	.393	.187*						
Perceived ethnic threat			1.395	.218***				



Table 2 (continued)

	Model 5		Model 6		Model 7		Model 8			
	B	SE	B	SE	B	SE	B	SE		
Anti-Muslim attitudes					1.354	.244***				
Nationalistic attitudes							.415	.191*		
Authoritarian attitudes										
Present relative deprivation										
Future economic decline										
Political trust					.294					
Nagelkerke $R^2$	.213		.327				.215			
	Model 9		Model 10		Model 11		Model 12		Model 13	
	B	SE	B	SE	B	SE	B	SE	B	SE
Intercept	-3.009	1.311*	-2.045	1.278	-2.156	1.297~	-1.111	1.296	-6.579	1.648***
Educational attainment (years)	-.232	.067***	-.249	.066***	-.254	.066***	-.253	.067***	-.127	.076~
Interethnic contact										
Associational involvement									-.220	.180
Social trust									-.133	.174
Eurocepticism									.015	.227
Perceived ethnic threat									.965	.250***
Anti-Muslim attitudes									.648	.286*
Nationalistic attitudes									.088	.219



Table 2 (continued)

	Model 9		Model 10		Model 11		Model 12		Model 13	
	B	SE	B	SE	B	SE	B	SE	B	SE
Authoritarian attitudes	.566	.156***							.329	.167*
Present relative deprivation			.305	.167~					.109	.199
Future economic decline					.249	.151~			-.066	.179
Political trust							-.092	.083		
Nagelkerke R <sup>2</sup>	.241		.210		.208		.204		.365	

Source: Religion in Dutch Society (SOCON, 2011/2012)

All models are controlled for age, gender, religiosity, marital status, occupational status and region (results available upon request)

\*\*\* Significant  $p < .001$ ; \*\* significant  $p < .01$ ; \* significant  $p < .05$ ; ~ significant  $p < .10$





## Appendix

**Table A1** Confirmatory factor analyses with oblique rotation ( $N = 774$ )

	Factor					
	1	2	3	4	5	6
Decline of neighbourhood due to ethnic minorities	.779	.081	.062	-.009	-.065	.001
Presence minorities increases criminality Netherlands	.499	-.071	.084	.061	.274	-.137
Minorities get turn before Dutch people	.466	-.039	-.165	.046	.095	.099
Dutch people fired because of minorities	.531	-.007	-.136	-.012	.006	.138
Minorities are threat to our own culture	.652	.053	-.078	.125	.078	-.104
Education for ethnic children at expense of Dutch children	.651	-.019	-.066	-.016	.051	-.048
Presence minorities increases criminality neighbourhood	.596	.000	.014	.022	.021	.084
Muslims easily resort to violence	.220	.043	-.071	.014	.543	-.008
Muslim husbands dominate their wives	.007	-.014	-.030	.088	.620	.094
Muslims raise their children in authoritarian way	-.036	-.007	-.026	-.023	.550	.098
Muslims lock themselves out of Dutch society	.167	.032	-.001	-.018	.627	-.040
Most Muslims have no respect for homosexuals	-.029	.040	-.006	.036	.600	-.061
Be able to afford less coming years	-.066	.903	-.007	-.006	.085	-.084
Adjusting present lifestyle coming years	.011	.679	-.030	.028	-.048	.125
Worries about decline of financial prospects	.078	.743	.035	-.014	.001	.043
Difficulties to buy necessary things from salary	.073	.245	-.114	.057	-.026	.511
Very unsatisfied with present social standing	.016	.041	.002	-.079	.060	.468
Very unsatisfied with present income	.000	-.017	.036	.063	.023	.724
Netherlands have much to gain from EU membership	.005	-.047	-.639	-.062	.018	.009
EU poses a threat against the Dutch culture	.044	.045	-.755	.083	.001	-.073
Netherlands should cancel EU membership	-.022	.020	-.794	-.008	.017	.024
Proud to be Dutch	-.039	-.027	.021	.698	.065	.035
Dutchman should honour national symbols	.047	.028	-.013	.725	-.048	-.025

Source: *Religion in Dutch Society (SOCON, 2011/2012)*

**Table A2** Correlation matrix attitudinal explanatory variables (N = 774)

	<i>Social trust</i>	<i>Eurocepticism</i>	<i>Perceived ethnic threat</i>	<i>Anti-Muslim attitudes</i>	<i>Nationalistic attitudes</i>	<i>Authoritarian attitudes</i>	<i>Present relative deprivation</i>	<i>Future economic decline</i>	<i>Political trust</i>
Social trust	1.000	-.254***	-.225***	-.219***	.049	-.085*	-.179***	-.079*	.191***
Eurocepticism		1.000	.353***	.280***	.035	.204***	.180***	.135***	-.354***
Perceived ethnic threat			1.000	.618***	.253***	.314***	.218***	.225***	-.123**
Anti-Muslim attitudes				1.000	.215***	.294***	.245***	.211***	-.126**
Nationalistic attitudes					1.000	.230***	.027	.082*	.178***
Authoritarian attitudes						1.000	.144***	.138***	-.095**
Present relative deprivation							1.000	.438***	-.204***
Future economic decline								1.000	-.237***
Political trust									1.000

Source: *Religion in Dutch Society (SOCON, 2011/2012)*

\*\*\*Significant  $p < .001$ ; \*\*significant  $p < .01$ ; \*Significant  $p < .05$





**Table A3** Descriptive statistics (N = 774)

	<i>Range</i>	<i>Mean/per cent</i>	<i>Sid. Dev.</i>
<i>Dependent variable</i>			
Radical right voting (voting for the PVV)	0/1	8.40 per cent	
<i>Independent variable</i>			
Educational attainment (years) (6=0)	0–15	6.45	2.85
<i>Mediating variables</i>			
Interethnic contact	0/1	31.65 per cent	
Associational involvement (# org.)	0–3	1.03	1.11
Social trust	0–4	2.48	0.85
Euroscepticism	0–4	1.52	0.74
Perceived ethnic threat	0–4	1.82	0.75
Anti-Muslim attitudes	0–4	2.44	0.69
Nationalistic attitudes	0–4	2.82	0.79
Authoritarian attitudes	0–4	2.48	1.12
Present relative deprivation	0–4	1.24	0.84
Future economic decline	0–4	2.16	0.99
Political trust	0–10	5.63	1.58
<i>Controls</i>			
Age (18 = 0)	0–52	28.12	13.10
<i>Gender</i>			
Male (ref.)	0/1	43.93 per cent	
Female	0/1	56.07 per cent	
<i>Religiosity—church att. never/hardly ever (ref.)</i>			
Religiosity—church att. sometimes	0/1	26.10 per cent	
Religiosity—church att. once a month or more	0/1	17.83 per cent	
<i>Marital status—not married (ref.)</i>			
Marital status—married	0/1	57.49 per cent	
Marital status—divorced/widowed	0/1	13.31 per cent	
<i>Occupational status high (ref.)</i>			
Occupational status low	0/1	14.34 per cent	
Occupational status mid	0/1	37.34 per cent	
Occupational status other (unemployed/students etc.)	0/1	29.59 per cent	
<i>Region north (ref.)</i>			
Region large cities	0/1	5.30 per cent	
Region west	0/1	25.06 per cent	
Region east	0/1	15.25 per cent	
Region south	0/1	27.00 per cent	
Region suburban district	0/1	3.10 per cent	

Source: *Religion in Dutch Society (SOCON, 2011/2012)*

