The following full text is a publisher's version.

For additional information about this publication click this link.
http://hdl.handle.net/2066/201837

Please be advised that this information was generated on 2020-02-13 and may be subject to change.
Aerobic Epoxidation of Low-Molecular-Weight and Polymeric Olefins by a Supramolecular Manganese Porphyrin Catalyst

Ivan Bernar, Floris P.J.T. Rutjes*, Johannes A.A.W. Elemans * and Roeland J.M. Nolte *

Institute for Molecules and Materials, Radboud University, Heyendaalseweg 135, 6525 AJ Nijmegen, The Netherlands; ibibernar@gmail.com (I.B.); F.Rutjes@science.ru.nl (F.P.J.T.R.)
* Correspondence: j.elemans@science.ru.nl (J.A.A.W.E.); r.nolte@science.ru.nl (R.J.M.N.);
Tel.: +31-024-36-52143 (R.J.M.N.)

Received: 29 January 2019; Accepted: 16 February 2019; Published: 21 February 2019

Abstract: We report on the highly efficient epoxidation of low-molecular-weight and polymeric olefins catalyzed by a supramolecular manganese porphyrin complex using molecular oxygen as an oxidant and an aldehyde as a co-reductant. At ambient temperature and under optimized reaction conditions, the catalyst showed high activity and stereoselectivity. The efficiency of the supramolecular manganese porphyrin was higher than that of a reference porphyrin catalyst, possibly because it was more stable under the applied reaction conditions. Mechanistic studies suggest that a manganese oxo porphyrin complex may be an intermediate in the epoxidation reaction.
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1. Introduction

Metalloporphyrins are amongst the vital chemical compounds that are crucial for life on earth. For example, the iron porphyrin complex heme plays a key role in the transport and delivery of molecular oxygen to animal tissues [1]. Over the years, great advances have been made in the synthesis of metalloporphyrin complexes [2] and their application in catalysis [3–5]. Our group has been active in the use of supramolecular metalloporphyrins as mimics of processive enzymes, e.g., DNA polymerase, which are biological catalysts that stay bound to a substrate while performing multiple rounds of catalysis [6]. We have previously shown that a U-shaped receptor based on glycoluril with a covalently attached manganese(III) porphyrin roof, MnPC, can thread onto polymeric olefins (e.g., polybutadiene) and epoxidize them in a processive manner (Figure 1) [7–9]. To ensure that catalysis only takes place inside the cavity of the catalyst, a bulky axial ligand (4-tert-butylpyridine) was coordinated to the manganese center at the outside of the cage compound. The choice of the right oxidants was crucial for the effectiveness of the catalytic process. Thus, in the presence of oxygen donors such as iodosylbenzene (PhIO) or sodium hypochlorite (NaOCl), the catalyst MnPC fully converted polybutadiene (Mw ≈ 300 kDa, 98% cis) into the corresponding polyepoxide within 2 hours [7]. More recently, we have reported on the use of molecular oxygen as the oxidant and an aldehyde as the co-reductant for the epoxidation of low-molecular-weight olefins, such as cis-stilbene, catalyzed by manganese porphyrin trimers [10]. The utilization of molecular oxygen is an attractive approach towards an environmentally friendly and cost-effective process for the production of epoxides [11]. This oxidant was introduced by Mukaiyama in the early 1990s and employed an aldehyde as the co-reductant and β-diketonate complexes of transition metals as catalysts [12–14]. Nowadays, a variety of catalysts are known [15], including metalloporphyrins [5,10,16], which display a high catalytic performance for the aerobic oxidation of alkenes in the presence of an aldehyde, and some of them can even be used to produce chiral products [17–19].
We decided, therefore, to use this oxidant in the previously reported processive epoxidation of polymeric alkenes. In this paper we show that molecular oxygen in combination with an aldehyde reductant can be used as the oxidant in the epoxidation of low- and high-molecular-weight olefins catalyzed by a supramolecular manganese porphyrin cage catalyst.

![Figure 1. Processive epoxidation of polybutadiene by a manganese porphyrin cage catalyst [7]: (a) structure of the catalyst MnPC (green) and bulky axial ligand (blue); (b) epoxidation of the double bonds of polybutadiene in combination with the macrocyclic catalyst slides along the polymer chain while epoxidizing its double bonds. Reproduced with permission from [6]. Copyright 2014, Wiley.](image)

### 2. Results and Discussion

#### 2.1. Reaction Optimization

Manganese porphyrin cage catalyst MnPC was prepared following a multistep procedure developed previously in our group, starting from tetrakis(2-hydroxyphenyl)porphyrin and diphenylglycoluril (for detailed information, see the Supporting Information). This catalyst was used in the epoxidation reactions in combination with a large excess of the bulky ligand 4-tert-butylpyridine (ligand:catalyst = 1000:1) in order to induce the formation of the catalytic species and to ensure that the subsequent catalytic reaction takes place inside the cavity of MnPC. Cyclohexene was used as a model compound to optimize the reaction conditions with molecular oxygen as the oxidant and isobutyraldehyde as a co-reductant.

In the presence of the isobutyraldehyde and in the absence of a catalyst, cyclohexene was converted into the corresponding epoxide, although in poor yield (up to 18% conversion after 4 hours; Table 1, entry 1) [20]. The addition of manganese catalyst MnPC (0.5 mol% with respect to the substrate) considerably enhanced the conversion up to 99% (93% yield after isolation and purification) after 4 hours (Table 1, entry 4). Even when the amount of catalyst was only 0.01 mol%, an epoxide yield of 65% was obtained (Table 1, entry 2). Optimization studies revealed that catalyst loadings ranging from 0.1 to 0.5 mol% were optimal for a smooth and fast product formation (Table 1, entries 3 and 4).

Subsequently, we studied the effect of the amount of the co-reductant isobutyraldehyde on the epoxidation process. Halving the amount of isobutyraldehyde led to a dramatic drop in conversion to 31%, even in the presence of an additional 0.5 mol% of the catalyst (Table 1, entry 5). No reaction was observed in the absence of isobutyraldehyde, and only the starting materials were recovered (Table 1, entry 6). These results show that the porphyrin cage catalyst cannot activate molecular oxygen without aldehyde as an oxygen reductant.
Next, we investigated the performance of our catalytic system in various solvents (Table 1, entries 7–9). The reaction proceeded smoothly in halogenated hydrocarbons, such as DCM or DCE, because of the better solvation of the manganese porphyrin cage catalyst MnPC compared to that of MeCN or MeOH. Moreover, because of its lower volatility, DCE was the preferred solvent to be used for the reaction running under O2-balloon flow.

Finally, we compared our catalytic system to the one in which the previously reported manganese meso-tetraphenylporphyrin chloride MnTPPCl was used (Table 1, entry 10) [21]. Both catalysts showed a similar catalytic activity, with almost quantitative conversion to cyclohexene oxide after 4 h, indicating a similar nature of the epoxidation process for both catalysts.

Table 1. Aerobic epoxidation of cyclohexene catalyzed by manganese porphyrin cage catalyst MnPC in the presence of isobutyraldehyde. 

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Entry</th>
<th>Catalyst Loading (mol%)</th>
<th>Solvent</th>
<th>Conversion (%)</th>
<th>Yield (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>DCM</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>DCM</td>
<td>62</td>
<td>49</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>DCM</td>
<td>96</td>
<td>84</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>DCM</td>
<td>&gt;99</td>
<td>93</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>DCM</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>DCM</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>DCE</td>
<td>&gt;99</td>
<td>92</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>MeCN</td>
<td>89</td>
<td>83</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>MeOH</td>
<td>56</td>
<td>42</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>DCE</td>
<td>&gt;99</td>
<td>91</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Reaction conditions, unless stated otherwise: cyclohexene (0.2 mmol), isobutyraldehyde (2.0 mmol), 4-tert-butylpyridine (1.0 mmol), solvent (4 mL), O2-balloon bubbling, 4 h, 23 °C. b Based on the 1H NMR spectrum of the crude reaction mixture. c Isolated yield. d Isobutyraldehyde (0.5 mmol). e No isobutyraldehyde present. f MnTPPCl was used as the catalyst.

2.2. Scope of the Aerobic Epoxidation of Olefins Catalyzed by Manganese Porphyrin Cage Compound MnPC

To evaluate the scope of our catalytic system, we subjected various olefins to the optimized reaction conditions. As shown in Table 2, most substrates were smoothly converted into the corresponding epoxides with high conversion rates and excellent stereoselectivities.

Thus, 1-methylcyclohexene gave an excellent yield of the corresponding epoxide (94%), similar to the results obtained with cyclohexene (Table 2, entry 1). The influence of steric effects as well as the electronic properties of the substituents were observed when styrene and its derivatives were epoxidized. Thus, after 4 h of reaction, the conversion rates of styrene, indene, and cis-stilbene were 95%, 89%, and 86%, respectively (Table 2, entries 2–4), which are slightly lower values than those observed for cyclohexene. Moreover, trans-stilbene was found to be a significantly less reactive substrate compared to its cis-isomer (Table 2, entry 5). After 8 h of reaction time and using the standard catalytic protocol, the corresponding trans-stilbene oxide was formed with only 32% conversion. The addition of an extra 0.5 mol% of catalyst and the stirring of the reaction mixture for 24 h resulted only in a slightly increased conversion to 45%. This distinct difference between the reactivity of cis- and trans-1,2-disubstituted olefins has already been reported for other manganese or iron porphyrin catalysts and further supports the role of steric and electronic factors on the effectiveness of the epoxidation reaction [22,23].
Thus, the epoxidation of epoxidized. Thus, after 4 h of reaction, the conversion rates of styrene, indene, and the electronic properties of the substituents were observed when styrene and its derivatives were

Table 2. Scope of the aerobic epoxidation of olefins catalyzed by manganese porphyrin catalyst MnPC. 

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Entry</th>
<th>Substrate</th>
<th>Product</th>
<th>Time (h)</th>
<th>Yield (%) b</th>
<th>cis/trans Ratio b</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>4</td>
<td>94</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>4</td>
<td>89</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>4</td>
<td>72</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>4</td>
<td>91</td>
<td>95:5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>8</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>&lt;1:99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>24</td>
<td>45 d</td>
<td>&lt;1:99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>8</td>
<td>30 c</td>
<td>5:95</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>8</td>
<td>67</td>
<td>&lt;1:99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>8</td>
<td>61 c</td>
<td>3:97</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

a Reaction conditions: olefin (0.2 mmol), isobutyraldehyde (2.0 mmol), 4-tert-butyl-pyridine (1.0 mmol), MnPC catalyst (1.0 μmol), DCE (4 mL), O2-balloon bubbling, 23 °C. b Yields and cis/trans ratios were determined by 1H NMR spectroscopy using furan as an internal standard. c MnTPPCl was used as the catalyst. d 1.0 mol% of catalyst MnPC was used.

For both the epoxidation of cis- and trans-stilbene we observed excellent stereoselectivities. Thus, the epoxidation of cis-stilbene proceeded with a 95:5 cis/trans stereoselectivity, whereas for trans-stilbene the trans-epoxide was almost exclusively formed. Interestingly, the corresponding stereoselectivities and yields were somewhat lower when the reference catalyst MnTPPCl was used (90:10 cis/trans ratio, 86% yield for cis-stilbene and 5:95 cis/trans ratio, 30% yield for trans-stilbene). Similar results were obtained for the epoxidation of cinnamyl acetate (Table 2, entry 6)—the reaction using MnPC as the catalyst gave a yield of 67% with excellent stereoselectivity (>99% trans-isomer), while the reaction using MnTPPCl led to 61% yield and 3:97 cis/trans stereoselectivity. These results may indicate the presence of a “cage effect” for the catalyst MnPC, i.e., the olefin substrate experiences additional steric hindrance when it approaches the metal-oxo species within the confined space of the macrocyclic receptor, reducing its conversion and improving its stereoselectivity. Moreover, the lower epoxide yields in the control experiment with MnTPPCl tentatively suggest that the better performance of MnPC could be the result of a higher stability of this catalyst as compared to that of MnTPPCl.

In line with our hypothesis that the epoxidation takes place inside the cavity of the catalyst rather than on the outside, the catalytic epoxidation of cis-cyclooctene proceeded rather slowly with MnPC compared to that of the reference substrate cyclohexene (Table 2, entry 7, after 24 h only 48%
conversion was achieved). Further support for the "cage effect" is the fact that the epoxidation of cis-cyclooctene under the same reaction conditions, but using MnTPPCl as the catalyst, resulted in 89% yield after only 8 h. Finally, the epoxidation of olefins in linear chains—for example, allylbenzene and non-1-ene—smoothly proceeded with high conversions (Table 2, entries 8 and 9). The similar epoxidation results for both substrates show that when the C=C double bond in the linear chain is at a terminal position the reactivity is not very much affected.

2.3. Aerobic Epoxidation of Polymers Catalyzed by Manganese Porphyrin Cage Catalyst MnPC

The results obtained for the aerobic epoxidation of low-molecular-weight olefins catalyzed by the supramolecular catalyst MnPC encouraged us to further explore the processive catalytic epoxidation of polymers. We used commercially available polybutadiene A (M_w = 200,000–300,000; 98% cis), polybutadiene B (M_w = 5,000; 80% cis- and trans-1,4, 20% vinyl), and polyisoprene (97% cis) as polymeric substrates for the epoxidation studies. Additionally, control experiments were performed with MnTPPCl to compare the effectiveness of the reaction for both catalysts (Table 3).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Entry</th>
<th>Substrate</th>
<th>Catalyst</th>
<th>Conversion (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>polybutadiene A</td>
<td>MnPC</td>
<td>83</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>MnTPPCl</td>
<td>57</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>polybutadiene B</td>
<td>MnPC</td>
<td>76</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>MnTPPCl</td>
<td>52</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>polyisoprene</td>
<td>MnPC</td>
<td>79</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>MnTPPCl</td>
<td>54</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 3. Aerobic epoxidation of polymers catalyzed by manganese porphyrin cage catalyst MnPC and reference catalyst MnTPP in the presence of isobutyraldehyde. a

a Reaction conditions: polymer (0.2 mmol of C=C), isobutyraldehyde (2.0 mmol), 4-tert-butylypyridine (1.0 mmol), DCE (4 mL), O_2-balloon bubbling, 8 h, 23 °C. b Conversions were determined by 1H NMR spectroscopy.

After 8 h, 83% of the alkene moieties in polybutadiene A were converted by MnPC to epoxides, as was judged by 1H NMR (Table 3, entry 1). Based on the chemical shifts of the starting material (δ = 5.42 ppm, olefinic cis-polybutadiene proton) and the epoxide product (δ = 3.01 ppm, cis-proton), no trans-epoxide (δ = 2.72 ppm, trans-proton in the epoxidized molecule) was formed [24]. This result is different when compared to our previous studies with iodosylbenzene as the oxidant. In the latter case, an appreciable amount of trans-epoxide was generated [9]. According to 13C NMR spectroscopy, possible side products containing hydroxyl or ester groups (formed as a result of further epoxy group conversion) were not formed in detectable amounts [25]. The control reaction using MnTPPCl as the catalyst also proceeded with the exclusive formation of the cis-polyepoxide, although with a much lower conversion (57%). Furthermore, we investigated how polybutadiene B behaved as a substrate for the aerobic epoxidation (Table 3, entry 2). Due to the presence of vinyl groups along the chain, this substrate is sterically more crowded than polybutadiene A, and therefore might slow down the rate of movement of MnPC along the polymeric chain, if such a process occurs. Our studies revealed that the reaction rate of this polymer was similar to that of polybutadiene A with up to 76% conversion after 8 h. Again, the epoxidation of polybutadiene B catalyzed by MnTPPCl was slower, reaching only 52% conversion. Finally, cis-1,4-polyisoprene was subjected to the catalytic epoxidation reaction (Table 3, entry 3). In this case the degree of epoxidation was determined by comparing the relative areas of
the signals in the $^1$H NMR spectra at $\delta = 5.11$ ppm (olefinic cis-1,4-polyisoprene proton) and $\delta = 2.81$ ppm (proton in the epoxidized molecule) [26]. Similar to what was observed for the epoxidation of the previous polymeric substrates, the conversion of cis-1,4-polyisoprene with MnPC after 8 h was higher than that with MnTPPCl (79% and 54%, respectively). These results are again in favor of the suggestion that MnTPPCl is less stable under the reaction conditions compared to MnPC, in line with our previous studies [27].

2.4. Plausible Mechanism for the Aerobic Epoxidation Catalyzed by Manganese Porphyrin Cage Catalyst MnPC

Multiple reports of metalloporphyrin-catalyzed oxidations suggest that high-valent metal intermediates play key roles in the oxidation of organic substrates [28–30]. Particularly, our previous work on manganese porphyrin-catalyzed epoxidations suggests the formation of catalytically active high-valent manganese(IV or V) oxo porphyrins [9,10,31,32]. The presence of such a reactive species was also confirmed for catalyst MnPC by monitoring the changes in the UV-vis spectra during the oxidation of cyclohexene. Spectral changes of the reaction mixture were recorded with intervals of 1 h (Figure 2a). As the reaction proceeded, the absorbance of the Soret band at 480 nm gradually decreased, accompanied by decreases in the absorbance of the Q-bands at 581 nm and 617 nm. At the same time, the color of the reaction mixture changed from green to yellow, indicating the gradual decomposition of the catalyst. We also recorded the changes in the UV-vis spectra of the reaction mixture in the absence of the substrate (Figure 2b). In that case, we also observed a decrease in the absorbance of the Soret and Q bands, but with a concomitant emergence of two new bands at 428 and 525 nm. These new bands provide evidence for the formation of an active manganese oxo species [33]. After the mixture was left to stand, the intensities of these bands gradually decreased, accompanied by a color change from brown to yellow. As proposed above, this might be due to the degradation of the catalyst over time.

It is generally accepted that the formation of manganese oxo porphyrins in the presence of molecular oxygen and aldehyde as a reductant is a free radical reaction [34]. To investigate if this free radical mechanism also applies for the catalyst MnPC, a radical trapping experiment was conducted. Hence, butylated hydroxytoluene (BHT), a well-known radical scavenger, was added to the reaction mixture with cyclohexene as the substrate (Scheme 1). Only a trace of cyclohexene oxide was detected, indicating that radical species are also involved in the epoxidation reaction catalyzed by MnPC.

![Figure 2](image-url)

**Figure 2.** In situ UV-vis spectra of the manganese porphyrin cage catalyst MnPC during the aerobic epoxidation of cyclohexene: (a) in the presence of cyclohexene (cyclohexene (0.2 mmol), isobutyraldehyde (2.0 mmol), 4-tert-butyl-pyridine (1.0 mmol), MnPC catalyst (1.0 μmol), DCE (4 mL), O$_2$-balloon bubbling, 23 °C); (b) in the absence of cyclohexene (isobutyraldehyde (0.1 mmol), 4-tert-butyl-pyridine (0.5 mmol), MnPC catalyst (2.0 μmol), DCE (4 mL), O$_2$-balloon bubbling, 23 °C).
Based on the observed results and previous reports, a plausible mechanism can be proposed for the aerobic epoxidation of olefins in the presence of MnPC and isobutyraldehyde (Scheme 2). First, an acyl radical is formed via a hydrogen atom abstraction from the aldehyde. This step can occur without the mediation of the manganese porphyrin; however, the conversion of cyclohexene in the absence of the catalyst is rather low (compare entries 1 and 4, Table 1), suggesting that the manganese porphyrin plays a role. A subsequent reaction between the acyl radical and \( \text{O}_2 \) generates an acyl peroxy radical. Hydrogen atom abstraction from a second equivalent of aldehyde produces a peracid and another acyl radical. Once the peracid is formed, it decomposes in the presence of manganese porphyrin. One of the products of this reaction is a catalytically active high-valent manganese oxo species, either a Mn(IV) oxo or Mn(V) oxo complex, which can readily oxidize an olefin to an epoxide while it is being reduced itself to a manganese(II) complex. This process repeats until all manganese oxo species are decomposed to \( \mu \)-oxo dimeric manganese porphyrin and other catalytically inactive species [35], which can be judged by the change in color of the reaction mixture (light yellow solution).

3. Materials and Methods

3.1. Experimental Section

Dichloromethane was distilled over CaH\(_2\) under nitrogen just before use. All other solvents and chemicals were used as received from the supplier without further purification. Polybutadiene A (\( M_w = 200,000-300,000, 98\% \text{ cis} \)), polybutadiene B (\( M_w = 5000; 80\% \text{ cis- and trans-1,4, 20\% vinyl} \)), and polyisoprene (97\% cis) were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich Co. (St. Louis, MO, USA) and used without further purification. Flash chromatography was performed over silica gel. UV-vis spectra were recorded in quartz cuvettes using a Cary 100 Conc (Varian, Middelburg, Netherlands) UV-vis spectrometer. All NMR spectra were collected on a Bruker 500 MHz Avance III spectrometer (Bruker, Billerica, MA, USA) equipped with a Prodigy BB cryoprobe in deuterated chloroform (CDCl\(_3\)) unless stated otherwise. Manual phase correction and automatic baseline correction were applied to all spectra. Reported chemical shifts are in positive ppm downfield and negative ppm upfield from the internal tetramethylsilane standard (0.00 ppm). \(^1\)H NMR spectra were obtained using 128 scans with a relaxation delay of 4 s. \(^{13}\)C\(^\text{H}\) NMR spectra were obtained using 1024 scans with a relaxation delay of 2 s. Ultraviolet-visible (UV-vis) absorbance spectra were recorded on Jasco J-650 spectrometer (Jasco, Easton, MD, USA) at ambient temperature. Maldi-TOF mass spectroscopy was performed on a Bruker Biflex III spectrometer (Bruker, Billerica, MA, USA).
3.2. Synthesis of Manganese Porphyrin Cage Catalyst Mn1

The experimental procedure for the preparation of manganese porphyrin cage catalyst Mn1 has been reported before [36] and can be found in the supporting information to this manuscript.

3.3. General Procedure for the Catalytic Epoxidation of Olefins to Epoxides

Dioxygen was bubbled through a solution of olefin (0.2 mmol), isobutyraldehyde (2.0 mmol), 4-tert-butyl-pyridine (1.0 mmol), and manganese porphyrin (either MnPC or MnTPP) (1.0 µmol) in 1,2-dichloroethane (4 mL) at 23 °C. Once the reaction was completed (4–24 h, as checked by TLC or ¹H NMR), the solvent was removed under vacuum and the crude reaction mixture was analyzed by ¹H NMR to determine the yield of the epoxide and the cis:trans ratio. Signal assignment of protons of the products in the ¹H NMR spectra of the reaction mixture were based on bibliographical data and yields were calculated by the integration of the corresponding peaks, using furan as an internal standard, which was added to the deuterated solvent [37].

3.4. General Procedure for the Catalytic Epoxidation of Polyenes to Epoxides

Dioxygen was bubbled through a solution of polymer (0.2 mmol, C=C), isobutyraldehyde (2.0 mmol), 4-tert-butyl-pyridine (1.0 mmol), and manganese porphyrin (either MnPC or MnTPP) (1.0 µmol) in 1,2-dichloroethane (4 mL) at 23 °C. Once the reaction was completed (8 h, as checked by TLC or ¹H NMR) the solvent was removed under vacuum and the crude reaction mixture was analyzed by ¹H NMR to determine the conversion of the alkene functions into epoxides. Signal assignment of protons of the products in the ¹H NMR spectra of the reaction mixture were based on bibliographical data and conversions were calculated by the integration of the corresponding peaks of the starting material and final products.

4. Conclusions

In summary, a highly efficient procedure for the epoxidation of olefins with the manganese porphyrin cage complex MnPC as a catalyst, isobutyraldehyde as a co-reductant, and dioxygen as an oxidant was developed. The effects of the amounts of aldehyde and catalyst, as well as the use of various solvents, were studied and optimized. The supramolecular catalytic system conferred higher activity and stereoselectivity to the epoxidation of olefines compared to the system in which MnTPP was used as the catalyst. Epoxidation studies with polybutadiene and polyisoprene showed that the MnPC catalytic system also smoothly converts these polymers into polyepoxides with good conversions. For the catalytic aerial epoxidation, a reaction mechanism involving a radical process with the intermediate formation of a manganese oxo species was proposed. The formation of the high-valent manganese porphyrin species was confirmed by UV-vis spectroscopy and the radical character of the reaction by a radical trapping experiment. The catalytic reaction described in this work could pave the way towards the economical and environmentally friendly production of simple and polymeric epoxides.

Supplementary Materials: The following are available online at http://www.mdpi.com/2073-4344/9/2/195/s1,
Scheme S1: Preparation of manganese porphyrin cage catalyst MnPC. Figure S1: ¹H NMR spectrum of a sample of the crude reaction mixture of a catalytic epoxidation of polybutadiene A. Figure S2: ¹H NMR spectrum of a sample of the crude reaction mixture of a catalytic epoxidation of polyisoprene. Figure S3: In situ UV-vis spectra of the manganese porphyrin cage catalyst MnPC during the aerobic epoxidation of cyclohexene. Figure S4: In situ UV-vis spectra of the manganese porphyrin cage catalyst MnPC under the same conditions but in the absence of cyclohexene.
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