

PDF hosted at the Radboud Repository of the Radboud University Nijmegen

The following full text is a publisher's version.

For additional information about this publication click this link.

<http://hdl.handle.net/2066/201494>

Please be advised that this information was generated on 2019-04-24 and may be subject to change.

RESEARCH ARTICLE

Associations between impulsivity, risk behavior and HIV, HBV, HCV and syphilis seroprevalence among female prisoners in Indonesia: A cross-sectional study

Rachel M. Arends¹*, Erni J. Nelwan², Ratna Soediro³, Reinout van Crevel⁴, Bacht Alisjahbana⁵, Herdiman T. Pohan², A. Katinka L. von Borries⁶, Aart H. Schene^{1,7}, André J. A. M. van der Ven⁴, Arnt F. A. Schellekens^{1,7,8}



1 Department of Psychiatry, Division of Research, Radboud University Medical Center, Nijmegen, The Netherlands, **2** Department of Internal Medicine, Division of Tropical and Infectious Diseases, University of Indonesia, Depok, Java, Indonesia, **3** Pondok Bambu Prison, Jakarta, Java, Indonesia, **4** Department of Internal Medicine, Radboud University Medical Center, Nijmegen, The Netherlands, **5** Department of Internal Medicine, Division of Tropical and Infectious Diseases, University of Padjadjaran, Bandung, Java, Indonesia, **6** Landersverband Rheinland LVR-klinik, Bedburg-Hau, North Rhine-Westphalia, Germany, **7** Radboud University, Donders Institute for Brain, Cognition and Behavior, Nijmegen, The Netherlands, **8** Nijmegen Institute for Scientist-Practitioners in Addiction, Nijmegen, The Netherlands

OPEN ACCESS

Citation: Arends RM, Nelwan EJ, Soediro R, van Crevel R, Alisjahbana B, Pohan HT, et al. (2019) Associations between impulsivity, risk behavior and HIV, HBV, HCV and syphilis seroprevalence among female prisoners in Indonesia: A cross-sectional study. *PLoS ONE* 14(2): e0207970. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0207970>

Editor: Ben Bullock, Swinburne University of Technology Faculty of Health Arts and Design, AUSTRALIA

Received: November 5, 2018

Accepted: January 31, 2019

Published: February 15, 2019

Copyright: © 2019 Arends et al. This is an open access article distributed under the terms of the [Creative Commons Attribution License](https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.

Data Availability Statement: All relevant data are within the manuscript and its Supporting Information files. Additional explanatory files are available from the corresponding author on request.

Funding: The authors received no specific funding for this work.

Competing interests: The authors have declared that no competing interests exist.

* These authors contributed equally to this work.

* rachel.arends@radboudumc.nl

Abstract

HIV, hepatitis B and C, and syphilis share common transmission routes of which primarily unsafe sexual contact and injecting drug use are important. Impulsivity is a major factor contributing to this transmission risk behavior; however comprehensive studies within female, prison, and Asian populations are scarce. This cross-sectional study aims to delineate the contributions of different aspects of impulsivity to risk behavior, among female inmates living in a prison in Jakarta (N = 214). The relationships between various aspects of impulsivity, risk behaviors and seropositivity were tested using analyses of variance and logistic regression analyses. Motor impulsivity was related to alcohol use, reward-related impulsivity to drug use, and cognitive/goal-directed impulsivity to sexual risk behavior. Finally, goal-directed impulsivity was also directly associated with seropositivity. Specific aspects of impulsivity are associated with different types of risk behavior in Indonesian female prisoners, which can be relevant for future studies on infection prevention strategies for such a population.

Introduction

HIV, hepatitis B (HBV) and C (HCV), and syphilis pose a great global health burden [1, 2]. Global Burden of Disease (GBD) studies have shown that the total number of people living with HIV has been steadily increasing and reached around 36,9 million in 2017 [3]. This can be explained by rising epidemics in some parts of the world, like in Asia and the Pacific [4].

Indonesia is among the most afflicted countries in this region, with some of the highest numbers of new infections and people living with HIV [5].

The prevalence of syphilis and hepatitis also remain high in key populations across the globe [6]. With globally around 6 million new cases of syphilis infections, syphilis still poses a major health burden [2]. Moreover, viral hepatitis remains a leading cause of death and disability-adjusted life years (DALYs) worldwide, with the greatest burden in south-east Asia [7]. Including such, infections as HIV, HBV, HCV and syphilis have a serious impact on sexual and public health across the globe, particularly in Southeast Asian countries like Indonesia [8].

HIV, HBV, HCV and syphilis share common ways of transmission through blood or other body fluids, mainly as a result of unsafe sexual intercourse or intravenous drug use (IDU) [8]. In Southeast Asia 21% of the burden of HIV cases and 28% of HCV were attributable to IDU in 2013, frequently found among populations such as sex workers, men who have sex with men (MSM) or inmates [1].

General prevention programs often fail to reach these specific key populations and the decline in those HIV infections has slowed down in recent years, also among inmates [9, 10]. The prevalence of HIV infection in 2016 was estimated to be five times higher in prison populations and 24 times higher when inmates inject drugs, compared to the general population [9], possibly due to persisting or increasing risks such as drug use, rape and unsafe sex [11]. As 30 million people spend time in prisons every year, it is crucial to unravel factors associated with persistent risk behavior among inmates, in order to target the ongoing epidemics of HIV, HBV, HCV, and syphilis [11].

A major determinant of risky behavior is impulsivity. People who are more impulsive can, for example show more negative life outcomes such as criminal activities, problems with substance use, and incidence of STIs [12, 13]. Impulsivity is a broad construct indicating a tendency to act without deliberate thinking, reflection, or consideration of the consequences [12]. It includes a number of independent components, such as impaired inhibitory motor or cognitive control, preference for immediate rewards and goal-directed or sensation seeking behavior [14].

Because impulsivity is associated with various risk behaviors and therefore increased probabilities of contracting infections [12–14], impulsivity represents an important construct contributing to public health concerns. Previous studies showed that specific aspects of impulsivity may contribute to different types of risk behavior. To illustrate, goal-directed impulsivity has been associated with sexual risk behavior among HIV-positive persons [15], while for instance motor impulsivity has been associated with substance use and sharing drug equipment [16]. A major limitation of these studies is that they take only one aspect of impulsivity in consideration in the context of a single type of risk behavior.

Another major issue with current studies into the relationship between risk behavior and impulsivity in infection key populations, is that they are mostly conducted among male, Western populations. Yet, in recent years female prisoners have increasingly contributed to the transmission of HIV and co-infections [11]. Overall numbers of female patients are increasing and they seem to acquire HIV at a younger age compared to males [17]. Finally, associations between risk behaviors like substance abuse and unsafe sexual practices seem to be higher among women [18].

In this study we investigate the role of different aspects of impulsivity in various types of risk behavior among female prisoners in Indonesia. Specifically, we achieved to examine if: a) goal-directed, cognitive and motor impulsivity are associated with sexual and/or substance use related risk behavior, and if b) the associations between different types of impulsivity and seropositivity for HIV, HBV, HCV and syphilis are mediated by distinct types of risk behavior.

Materials and methods

Design

To investigate the specific role of impulsivity in risk behavior, this cross-sectional bio-behavioral study combined self-reported behavioral data with a serosurvey on the presence of infectious diseases (HIV, HBV, HCV and syphilis) in the Jakarta Pondok Bambu women's penitentiary.

Setting & participants

All incoming prisoners at the time of the study ($N = 300$) were offered free serological testing (for diagnosis of HIV, HBV, HCV and/or syphilis), as the first part of the study. Subsequently, inmates participating in the serosurvey were also asked to engage in the behavioral part of the study, consisting of 6 self-report questionnaires. Two Indonesian field physicians and two Dutch psychology students were available during the data collection to explain the study procedure and answer questions. Of the 300 approached prisoners, 6 refused serosurvey, 50 declined or were untraceable for behavioral assessment and 30 were excluded due to a lack of comprehension of the questionnaires (because of, for example, illiteracy). In total 214 participants had serology and all six questionnaires available (Fig 1). Before participation, inmates were given detailed information about the study. Every woman willing to participate provided voluntary written consent and was informed she could stop participation at any time. Participation did not affect treatment of inmates. The study was conducted in accordance with the Helsinki Declaration [19] and approved by the ethical committee of the Faculty of Medicine from the University of Indonesia (protocol number: 731/UN2.F1/ETIK/2014).

Measurements

Demographics. Demographic variables including age, education, marital status and occupation, were assessed using a short self-report questionnaire.

Assessment of impulsivity. The Barratt Impulsiveness Scale (BIS-11) was used to assess cognitive and motor impulsivity [20]. It consists of 30 items, scoring on a four-point Likert scale, covering 3 domains of impulsivity. Motor impulsivity: BIS-motor (acting without thinking, 11 items), and two cognitive subscales: BIS-attentional (quick decision-making, 8 items), and BIS-non-planning (lack of forethought, 11 items). The English version of the BIS-11 has good internal consistency and retest reliability [20].

The Behavioral Inhibition System and Behavioral Activation System (BIS/BAS) was used to assess two self-regulatory systems, one of which bears on appetitive motivation, the other on aversive motivation [21]. It consists of 20 items, scored on a four-point Likert scale measuring self-control: the ability to regulate emotions, thoughts, and behavior in the face of temptations and impulses in order to achieve specific goals. The BIS-scale measures neuroticism and negative affect (avoidance tendencies), consisting of 7 items about regulating aversive motives. The BAS-scale measures extraversion and positive affect (approach tendencies) and consists of 3 subscales: BAS-drive (goal-directed impulsivity, 4 items), BAS-fun-seeking (sensation seeking-impulsivity, 4 items) and BAS-reward (reward-impulsivity, 5 items). The English version of the BIS/BAS has adequate psychometric properties [21].

The Sensitivity to Punishment and Sensitivity to Reward Questionnaire (SPSRQ) was used to assess reward-related impulsivity [22]. It consists of 48 items (yes/no) and measures punishment and reward responsiveness. Half of the items are summed in an index of 'sensitivity to punishment' and half as an index of 'sensitivity to reward'. The English version of the SPSRQ is a reliable measure, independent of personality factors [22].




Fig 1. Study inclusion flow chart.

<https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0207970.g001>

The questionnaires concerning impulsivity were not available in Indonesian language. These were first translated from the English versions into Indonesian, by two native Indonesian speakers fluent in English. This was followed by a back-translation into English by an English speaker, also fluent in Indonesian language (see acknowledgements). Any discrepancies were discussed and solved in a consensus meeting with all translators and executive researchers, in line with the WHO guidelines [23].

Assessment of risk behaviors. The Alcohol Use Disorders Identification Test (AUDIT) is a method developed by the World Health Organization (WHO) to screen for excessive drinking patterns [24]. It consists of 10 items with a five-point Likert scale. The first 3 items cover the consumption pattern, the other 7 assess alcohol dependence and the consequences of

alcohol use. For women a score > 7 indicates harmful alcohol use. The AUDIT has excellent psychometric properties and has been used in different populations worldwide [24].

The Drug Abuse Screening Test (DAST) is a self-report questionnaire including 10 dichotomous items (yes/no), used to screen for problematic drug use (scores > 2) [25]. The reliability of this commonly used instrument is shown in various populations [25].

The Sexual Risk Behavior (SRB) questionnaire was used to assess sexual risk behavior [26]. It entails 20 items regarding (risky) sexual behavior such as condom use and amount of sexual partners [26]. Questions not regarding risk behavior or applying only to male respondents were excluded, leaving 16 questions. Three items have a four-point scale, 5 items have a six-point scale, and 8 items have binary outcomes (yes/no).

The three questionnaires concerning risk behaviors were available in Indonesian language. Although reliability data of these translated versions were not available, we used these measurements based on mainly good psychometric characteristics of the English versions.

Serosurvey. Screening for HIV, HBV, HCV and syphilis was done by serology testing. Screening for HIV was done by chemiluminescent magnetic microparticle immunoassay (CMIA), a rapid diagnostic HIV-1/2 test (PT Oncoprobe Utama) and confirmed by enzyme-linked fluorescence assay (ELFA) (using VIDAS HIV DUO Ultra). HBV serology was performed by using CMIA method for hepatitis B surface antigen (HBsAg) (using ARCHITECT HBsAg Qualitative assay and ARCHITECT HBsAg Qualitative Confirmatory assay by Abbott Diagnostics) and antibody hepatitis B core antigen (Anti-HBc) (using ARCHITECT Anti-HBc IgM assay and ARCHITECT Anti-HBc II assay by Abbott Diagnostics). Active HBV infection was diagnosed if HBsAg and anti-HBc were positive. HCV was determined by using in vitro immunoassay for the detection of immunoglobulin G (IgG) antibodies to Hepatitis C Virus (using ADVIA Centaur HCV assay). Finally, syphilis was tested with the Rapid Plasma Reagin (RPR) test (using IMMUTREP RPR by Omega Diagnostics). A positive result of the RPR test was then confirmed by *Treponema pallidum* haemagglutination (TPHA) assay (using SD BIOLINE Syphilis 3.0 by Standard Diagnostics), a solid-phase immunochromatographic assay for qualitative detection of antibodies of all isotypes (IgG, immunoglobulin M [IgM], immunoglobulin A [IgA]) against *Treponema pallidum*.

Data-analysis

First, demographic variables (age, education, occupation, marital status, committed crime) and infection rates (one or multiple infections) were analyzed. An univariate Analysis of Variance (ANOVA) was used to examine the continuous variable age, the other four categorical variables were examined using Chi-square tests.

Next, subscale scores and total scores were calculated for all questionnaires. For the three impulsivity measurements this included nine subscales (BIS-11, BIS/BAS and SPSRQ subscales). Two subscales were not included in the analyses, as these did not measure impulsivity (BIS scale of the BIS/BAS and the punishment scale of the SPSRQ), leaving seven continuous impulsivity variables. Three total scores were calculated for the three types of risk behavior, which were transformed into categorical variables (low vs. high risk behavior) according to established cut-off scores (alcohol-: AUDIT > 7 , drug-: DAST > 2 , and sex-related: SRB > 0).

Finally, to test the hypotheses, binary and multinomial logistic regression analyses were conducted, using 5000 bootstrap samples and enter method. The first hypothesis, that different aspects of impulsivity are associated with various types of risk behavior, was tested using three separate logistic regression analyses for each form of risk behavior (alcohol-, drug-, and sex-related). The impulsivity variables were tested for multicollinearity. Moreover, age was included in the model, because risk behavior has shown to be associated with age [27–29]. The

second hypothesis, that associations between impulsivity and the prevalence of infectious diseases (HIV, HBV, HCV or syphilis) can be mediated by risk behavior, was tested using the significant impulsivity factors of the first analyses in a final logistic regression analysis.

Results

Descriptive statistics

The mean age of participants was 33.4 years (range = 15–62 years, ± 9.9). Participants were classified into two groups: one with risk behavior, including alcohol-, drug- and/or sex-related ($n = 144$); and one without risk behavior ($n = 70$). On average, females in the risk behavior group were younger ($F(1, 214) = 5.77, p < .05$) and were more often convicted for drug-related crimes, compared to those without reporting risk behavior ($\chi^2(1) = 23.56, p < .001$). Other demographic variables did not differ between participants with and without risk behavior, all p 's $> .05$. Infectious diseases were diagnosed in 38 inmates (17.8%) including HIV (3.7%), syphilis (7.0%), HBV (3.3%), and HCV (2.3%), and did not differ between both groups. Detailed study characteristics are shown in [Table 1](#).

Associations between impulsivity and risk behaviors

The first set of regression analyses showed a significant prediction model for problematic alcohol use, based on impulsivity measures ($\chi^2(8) = 30.95, p < .001$, Nagelkerke $R^2 = .20$), with an overall correct prediction of 76%. The Wald criterion demonstrated that BIS-Motor impulsivity ($p < .05$) and age ($p < .005$) significantly contributed to the prediction. Similarly, impulsivity reliably predicted problematic drug use ($\chi^2(8) = 23.99, p < .005$, Nagelkerke $R^2 = .15$). The overall correct prediction of the model was 71%, with SPSRQ-reward significantly contributing to the prediction ($p < .05$). Impulsivity measures also predicted sexual risk behavior ($\chi^2(8) = 22.64, p < .005$, Nagelkerke $R^2 = .13$). The overall correct prediction of the model was 65%. BIS-attentional ($p < .05$) and BAS-drive ($p < .05$) made a significant contribution to the prediction ([Table 2](#)). No multicollinearity was present between the predictor variables.

Mediation of impulsivity on infections by risk behavior

The final regression analysis showed a significant prediction model for infectious diseases (HIV, HBV, HCV and syphilis) based on impulsivity measures ($\chi^2(5) = 14.39, p < .05$, Nagelkerke $R^2 = .11$). The overall correct prediction of the model was 82%. According to the Wald criterion, BAS-drive impulsivity significantly contributed to the prediction ($p < .05$). When adding risk behavior as predictors, the model remained significant ($\chi^2(8) = 18.29, p < .05$, Nagelkerke partial $R^2 = .14$) and the total overall correct prediction did not change (82%). So, the relationship of impulsivity and these infectious diseases could not be explained by a mediation effect of risk behavior. See [Table 3](#) and [Fig 2](#) for further details.

Discussion

The results found in this study are in line with research showing a relationship between impulsivity and substance use or the incidence of infectious diseases such as HIV, HBV, HCV and syphilis [12, 13]. Furthermore, these findings confirm prior research on the relationship between various aspects of impulsivity and risk behavior [12, 30]. Interestingly, sexual risk behavior has become an increasingly important risk factor for the above mentioned infections in South-East Asia [31]. Our finding that goal-directed impulsivity was related with sexual risk behavior, and seropositivity for HIV, HBV, HCV or syphilis might clarify these observations.

Table 1. Demographic and medical characteristics of female inmates with and without reporting risk behavior.

Demographics	Total group		Risk behavior		No risk behavior		Pearson χ^2	p
	N	%	n	%	n	%		
	(214)		(144)		(70)			
Education ^a							3.217	.200
No education	11	5.1	5	3.5	6	8.6		
Low education	77	36.0	50	34.7	27	38.6		
High education	126	58.9	89	61.8	37	52.9		
Occupation							1.297	.523
Unemployed	47	22.0	29	20.1	18	25.7		
Employee/entrepreneur	166	77.6	114	79.2	52	74.3		
Marital status							5.356	.069
Married	120	56.1	73	50.7	47	67.1		
Divorced	61	28.5	24	16.7	14	20.0		
Single	33	15.4	47	32.6	9	12.9		
Crime							23.562	<.001*
Drug-related ^b	109	50.9	90	62.5	19	27.1		
Other ^c	105	49.1	54	37.5	51	72.9		
Serology							8.344	.138
HIV	8	3.7	7	4.9	1	1.4		
HBV	15	3.3	8	5.6	7	10.0		
HCV	7	2.3	7	4.9	0	0.0		
Syphilis	5	7.0	4	2.8	1	1.4		
Multiple infections ^d	3	1.4	3	2.1	0	0.0		
Risky behavior								
Alcohol-related	56	26.2						
Drug-related	66	30.8						
Sex-related	119	55.6						

* p < .05

^a Low education: elementary school and junior high school, high education: high school and university

^b Drug-related crimes include using, buying or dealing drugs

^c Other crimes include burglary, stealing and other offences

^d Having a combination of infections, in this sample found: HBV-syphilis; HCV-HIV; HBV-HCV-HIV

<https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0207970.t001>

One of the few studies that studied the subject of impulsivity and risk behavior in the context of HIV infection, distinguished two types of impulsivity: hot and cool [32]. Hot impulsivity concerns affectively-mediated preferences for immediate gratification in the presence of anticipatory cues (such as reward and goal-related impulsivity). Cool impulsivity involves a more affectively neutral tendency towards rapid, premature responses (such as motoric and attentional impulsivity). In line with our finding that goal-directed behavior is related to sexual risk behavior and seropositivity for HIV, HBV, HCV or syphilis, they found that hot dimensions in particular were related to sexual risk behaviors [32]. Our results also confirm the previously suggested association between motor impulsivity (or cool impulsivity) and alcohol use [33]. These observations also affirm the hypothesis that there might be separate and independent processes involved in the relationship between impulsivity and risk behavior.

It is unclear whether distinctive brain areas are involved in these different aspects of impulsivity and risk behavior. Different forms of impulsivity have been associated with specific brain circuits [32]. For instance, reward impulsivity has been associated with alterations within

Table 2. Associations between impulsivity and risk behavior (RB) among female inmates.

	Variable	R ²	χ ² (df)	B [95% CI]	p
Outcome	Alcohol-related RB				
Predictors	Impulsivity	.20	30.95 (8)		< .001*
	BIS-attentional			-.09 [-.27, -.04]	.189
	BIS-motor			.11 [.02, .22]	< .05*
	BIS-nonplanning			.02 [-.06, .10]	.624
	BAS-drive			.05 [-.08, .18]	.461
	BAS-fun			-.04 [-.16, .23]	.653
	BAS-reward			-.06 [-.24, .10]	.402
	SPSRQ-reward			.07 [-.01, .17]	.089
	Age			-.06 [-.11, -.02]	< .005*
Outcome	Drug-related RB				
Predictors	Impulsivity	.15	23.99 (8)		< .005*
	BIS-attentional			.04 [-.09, .18]	.500
	BIS-motor			.01 [-.08, .10]	.746
	BIS-nonplanning			.03 [-.05, .11]	.431
	BAS-drive			-.02 [.15, .10]	.668
	BAS-fun			.09 [-.09, .29]	.308
	BAS-reward			.02 [-.12, .19]	.771
	SPSRQ-reward			.10 [.02, .20]	< .05*
	Age			-.03 [-.07, .00]	.058
Outcome	Sex-related RB				
Predictors	Impulsivity	.13	22.64 (8)		< .005*
	BIS-attentional			-.15 [-.30, -.03]	< .05*
	BIS-motor			.07 [-.02, .16]	.115
	BIS-nonplanning			-.00 [-.07, .07]	.938
	BAS-drive			.11 [.01, .23]	< .05*
	BAS-fun			-.01 [-.18, .15]	.852
	BAS-reward			.07 [-.06, .23]	.281
	SPSRQ-reward			.02 [-.06, .10]	.623
	Age			-.03 [-.06, .00]	.061

* p < .05

<https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0207970.t002>

the ventromedial orbitofrontal-limbic brain circuits regulating motivation whereas cognitive impulsivity has been associated with alterations within more dorsolateral prefrontal cortex areas [34]. Furthermore, based on our findings it is impossible to delineate state and trait related factors contributing the different types of impulsivity. Which genetic and developmental trait influences and which state dependent influences of infection or medication side effects might be involved, can be a focus of future research.

The relevance of the current findings for prevention strategies for transmission of HIV, hepatitis B and C and syphilis remains to be explored. As risky behaviors often continue or even increase in prison settings [35], the current findings warrant further studies into the potential of impulse-control or self-regulation programs to target risky behaviors. For instance mindfulness training and behavioral training strategies have been shown to successfully alter impulsivity and risk behavior in several high impulsive populations [36].

The current findings should be seen in the light of some study limitations. Psychometric information on the Indonesian versions of the questionnaires that were used is lacking, and

Table 3. Associations between impulsivity, risk behavior (hypothesis 1, step 2) and seropositivity for HIV, hepatitis B, hepatitis C or syphilis (hypothesis 2, step 1 and 3) among female inmates.

	Variable	R ²	χ ² (df)	B [95% CI]	p
Step 1					
Outcome	Tested infections				
Predictors	Impulsivity	.11	14.39 (5)		<.05*
	BIS-attentional			-.14 [-.31, .04]	.059
	BIS-motor			.09 [-.02, .16]	.083
	BAS-drive			.16 [.03, .33]	<.05*
	SPSRQ-reward			.02 [-.08, .12]	.723
	Age			.03 [-.01, .08]	.072
Step 2					
Outcome	Alcohol-related RB				
Predictors	Impulsivity	.19	29.69 (5)		<.001*
	BIS-attentional			-.08 [-.23, .06]	.253
	BIS-motor			.11 [.03, .21]	<.05*
	BAS-drive			.04 [-.07, .17]	.486
	SPSRQ-reward			.07 [-.01, .16]	.065
	Age			-.06 [-.10, -.02]	<.005*
Outcome	Drug-related RB				
Predictors	Impulsivity	.13	21.40 (5)		.001*
	BIS-attentional			.07 [-.05, .20]	.252
	BIS-motor			.02 [-.06, .11]	.584
	BAS-drive			.01 [-.09, .12]	.837
	SPSRQ-reward			.10 [.03, .19]	<.01*
	Age			-.03 [-.06, .00]	.082
Outcome	Sex-related RB				
Predictors	Impulsivity	.13	21.26 (5)		.001*
	BIS-attentional			-.16 [-.29, -.05]	<.01*
	BIS-motor			.06 [-.01, .15]	.106
	BAS-drive			.12 [.03, .23]	<.01*
	SPSRQ-reward			.02 [-.05, .10]	.539
	Age			-.03 [-.06, .00]	.069
Step 3					
Outcome	Tested infections				
Mediator	Risk behavior				
	Alcohol-related RB			-.64 [-1.99, .39]	.228
	Drug-related RB			.68 [-.30, 1.70]	.141
	Sex-related RB			.27 [-.57, 1.29]	.529
Predictors	Impulsivity	.14	18.29 (8)		<.05*
	BIS-attentional			-.14 [-.34, .01]	.072
	BIS-motor			.10 [-.02, .23]	.086
	BAS-drive			.16 [.03, .35]	<.05*
	SPSRQ-reward			.01 [-.10, .12]	.840
	Age			.04 [-.09, .08]	.088

* p <.05

<https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0207970.t003>




Fig 2. Associations of impulsivity and age with risk behaviors and infectious diseases. * $p < .05$.

<https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0207970.g002>

only self-report measurements for impulsivity factors and risk behaviors were used. This might have affected the validity of the results. Future studies should perform psychometric evaluation of the Indonesian versions of the applied questionnaires and add behavioral tasks to have more direct behavioral measurements of impulsivity. Furthermore, all serological testing was performed at time of entry into prison, indicating that infections were most likely acquired prior to imprisonment. Similarly, the assessment of risk behavior took place during the first weeks of detention, and concerned the period prior to imprisonment. The observed associations between impulsivity, risk behavior, and infectious diseases thus most likely reflect impulsivity trait effects. However, a potential confounding effect of a vulnerable situation of the participants related to the current or prior incarcerations cannot be fully ruled out. Finally, despite the adequate sample size ($n = 300$), the number of seropositive participants was relatively low. Therefore, the associations between impulsivity, risk behaviors and infection rates could only be studied using a combined group of seropositive participants. Future studies should further explore whether different mechanisms might be involved in different infections and explore generalizability of the current findings to other key populations.

Conclusions

The present study is an exclusive examination of the role of different aspects of impulsivity in different types of risk behavior among female prisoners in Indonesia. The results show that motor impulsivity (BIS-motor) predicted alcohol-related risk behavior, sensitivity to reward

(SPSRQ-reward) predicted drug-related risk behavior, and cognitive impulsivity (BIS-attentional) and goal-directed impulsivity (BAS-drive) predicted sexual risk behavior and being seropositive for HIV, HBV, HCV or syphilis. The associations between impulsivity and seropositivity were not mediated by risk behavior.

This study shows an association between different types of impulsivity, distinct forms of risk behavior and viral infections (HIV, hepatitis B and C and syphilis). The findings indicate that distinct, independent mechanisms might be involved in different types of risk behavior. Future studies should explore the clinical relevance of these findings for targeted prevention strategies in key populations, such as inmates.

Supporting information

S1 Dataset. All variables including demographic and medical characteristics, questionnaire items and subscales.

(SAV)

Acknowledgments

We are grateful to the Jakarta Pondok Bambu Prison for enabling this study. Furthermore, we especially like to thank the prison clinic team: Dr. Jusi, Dr. Nadia and nurse Endah, as well as all other clinical staff who provided us with their help. We also want to thank M. Lenders, N. Kreuzberger, D. Halim and F. Gunawan for collecting the data. Furthermore, we want to thank R. Adiwinata and R. Febrian for preparing the data for further analysis, Prof. L.A. Lesmana and Prof. Z. Djoerban for their advice during the study and A. Rahmalia, M. Herlina Sitinjak and H. Puspa Indah for their help with professional translation of the questionnaires.

Author Contributions

Conceptualization: Rachel M. Arends, Erni J. Nelwan, Ratna Soediro, Reinout van Crevel, Bachti Alisjahbana, Herdiman T. Pohan, A. Katinka L. von Borries, André J. A. M. van der Ven, Arnt F. A. Schellekens.

Data curation: Rachel M. Arends.

Formal analysis: Rachel M. Arends, A. Katinka L. von Borries.

Investigation: Erni J. Nelwan.

Methodology: Arnt F. A. Schellekens.

Project administration: Ratna Soediro.

Resources: Erni J. Nelwan, Ratna Soediro.

Supervision: Erni J. Nelwan, A. Katinka L. von Borries, Arnt F. A. Schellekens.

Validation: Arnt F. A. Schellekens.

Visualization: Rachel M. Arends.

Writing – original draft: Rachel M. Arends, Erni J. Nelwan.

Writing – review & editing: Rachel M. Arends, Erni J. Nelwan, Ratna Soediro, Reinout van Crevel, Bachti Alisjahbana, Herdiman T. Pohan, A. Katinka L. von Borries, Aart H. Schene, André J. A. M. van der Ven, Arnt F. A. Schellekens.

References

1. Degenhardt L, Charlson F, Stanaway J, Larney S, Alexander LT, Hickman M, et al. Estimating the burden of disease attributable to injecting drug use as a risk factor for HIV, hepatitis C, and hepatitis B: findings from the Global Burden of Disease Study 2013. *The Lancet Infectious Diseases*. 2016; 16(12):1385–98. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S1473-3099\(16\)30325-5](https://doi.org/10.1016/S1473-3099(16)30325-5). PMID: 27665254
2. Kojima N, Klausner JD. An Update on the Global Epidemiology of Syphilis. *Current Epidemiology Reports*. 2018; 5(1):24–38. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s40471-018-0138-z> PMID: 30116697
3. UNAIDS. Global HIV & AIDS statistics—2018 fact sheet. 2018.
4. UNAIDS. Global HIV & AIDS Statistics—2018 fact sheet 2018. http://www.unaids.org/sites/default/files/media_asset/UNAIDS_FactSheet_en.pdf.
5. UNAIDS. UNAIDS DATA 2017 Geneva: Joint United Nations Programme on HIV/AIDS; 2017. http://www.unaids.org/sites/default/files/media_asset/20170720_Data_book_2017_en.pdf.
6. WHO. Report on global sexually transmitted infection surveillance 2015. Geneva (CH): 2016.
7. Stanaway JD, Flaxman AD, Naghavi M, Fitzmaurice C, Vos T, Abubakar I, et al. The global burden of viral hepatitis from 1990 to 2013: findings from the Global Burden of Disease Study 2013. *The Lancet*. 2016; 388(10049):1081–8. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736\(16\)30579-7](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736(16)30579-7).
8. WHO. Sexually transmitted infections (STIs). WHO; 2016 [cited 2017 22 May]. <http://www.who.int/mediacentre/factsheets/fs110/en/>. Accessed 16 April 2018.
9. UNAIDS. Prevention Gap Report Geneva: Joint United Nations Programme on HIV/AIDS; 2016 [16 April 2018]. <http://www.unaids.org/en/resources/documents/2016/prevention-gap>.
10. Rubenstein LS, Amon JJ, McLemore M, Eba P, Dolan K, Lines R, et al. HIV, prisoners, and human rights. *The Lancet*. 2016; 388(10050):1202–14.
11. UNAIDS. Prisoners: The gap report. Geneva 2014. http://www.unaids.org/sites/default/files/media_asset/03_Prisoners.pdf. Accessed 16 April 2018.
12. Dantas-Duarte A, Morais-de-Jesus M, Nunes AP, Miranda-Pettersen K, Araújo-de-Freitas L, Netto LR, et al. Risk-taking behavior and impulsivity among HCV-infected patients. *Psychiatry research*. 2016; 243:75–80. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.psychres.2016.04.114>. PMID: 27371803
13. Giotakos O, Bourtsoukli P, Paraskeyopoulou T, Spandoni P, Stasinou S, Boulougouri D, et al. Prevalence and risk factors of HIV, hepatitis B and hepatitis C in a forensic population of rapists and child molesters. *Epidemiol Infect*. 2003; 130(3):497–500. Epub 2003/06/27. PMID: 12825735.
14. Hamilton KR, Sinha R, Potenza MN. Hazardous drinking and dimensions of impulsivity, behavioral approach, and inhibition in adult men and women. *Alcohol Clin Exp Res*. 2012; 36(6):434–49.
15. Semple SJ, Zians J, Grant I, Patterson TL. Methamphetamine use, impulsivity, and sexual risk behavior among HIV-positive men who have sex with men. *Journal of Addictive Diseases*. 2006; 25(4):105–14. https://doi.org/10.1300/J069v25n04_10. PMID: 17088230
16. Margolin A, Schuman-Olivier Z, Beitel M, Arnold RM, Fulwiler CE, Avants SK. A preliminary study of spiritual self-schema (3-S+) therapy for reducing impulsivity in HIV-positive drug users. *Journal of clinical psychology*. 2007; 63(10):979–99. <https://doi.org/10.1002/jclp.20407>. PMID: 17828761
17. Dellar RC, Dlamini S, Karim QA. Adolescent girls and young women: key populations for HIV epidemic control. *Journal of the International AIDS Society*. 2015; 18(2Suppl 1). <https://doi.org/10.7448/IAS.18.2.19408>.
18. Connell CM, Gilreath TD, Hansen NB. A multiprocess latent class analysis of the co-occurrence of substance use and sexual risk behavior among adolescents. *Journal of Studies on Alcohol and Drugs*. 2009; 70(6):943–51. PMID: 19895772
19. WMA. Declaration of Helsinki: Ethical Principles for Medical Research Involving Human Subjects 2008 [Accessed on 1 September 2013]. <https://www.wma.net/wp-content/uploads/2016/11/DoH-Oct2008.pdf>.
20. Patton JH, Stanford MS. Factor structure of the Barratt impulsiveness scale. *J Clin Psychol*. 1995; 51(6):768–74. [https://doi.org/10.1002/1097-4679\(199511\)51:6%3c768::AID-JCLP2270510607%3e3.0.CO;2-1](https://doi.org/10.1002/1097-4679(199511)51:6%3c768::AID-JCLP2270510607%3e3.0.CO;2-1). PMID: 8778124
21. Carver CS, White TL. Behavioral inhibition, behavioral activation, and affective responses to impending reward and punishment: the BIS/BAS scales. *J Pers Soc Psychol*. 1994; 67(2):319–33. <https://doi.org/10.1037/0022-3514.67.2.319>.
22. Torrubia R, Avila C, Moltó J, Caseras X. The Sensitivity to Punishment and Sensitivity to Reward Questionnaire (SPSRQ) as a measure of Gray's anxiety and impulsivity dimensions. *Pers Individ Dif*. 2001; 31(6):837–62. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0191-8869\(00\)00183-5](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0191-8869(00)00183-5).
23. WHO. Process of translation and adaptation of instruments. 2013. http://www.who.int/substance_abuse/research_tools/translation/en/. Accessed 1 October 2013.

24. de Meneses-Gaya C, Zuardi AW, Loureiro SR, Crippa JAS. Alcohol Use Disorders Identification Test (AUDIT): an updated systematic review of psychometric properties. *Psychol Neurosci*. 2009; 2(1):83–97. <https://doi.org/10.3922/j.psns.2009.1.12>.
25. Yudko E, Lozhkina O, Fouts A. A comprehensive review of the psychometric properties of the Drug Abuse Screening Test. *J Subst Abuse Treat*. 2007; 32(2):189–98. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jsat.2006.08.002>. PMID: 17306727
26. Boekeloo BO, Schiavo L, Rabin DL, Conlon RT, Jordan CS, Mundt DJ. Self-reports of HIV risk factors by patients at a sexually transmitted disease clinic: audio vs written questionnaires. *American Journal of Public Health*. 1994; 84(5):754–60. PMID: 8179044
27. Steinberg L, Albert D, Cauffman E, Banich M, Graham S, Woolard J. Age differences in sensation seeking and impulsivity as indexed by behavior and self-report: evidence for a dual systems model. *Dev Psychol*. 2008; 44(6):1764–78. <https://doi.org/10.1037/a0012955>. PMID: 18999337
28. Duell N, Steinberg L, Icenogle G, Chein J, Chaudhary N, Di Giunta L, et al. Age patterns in risk taking across the world. *Journal of youth and adolescence*. 2018; 47(5):1052–72. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10964-017-0752-y> PMID: 29047004
29. Dir AL, Coskunpinar A, Cyders MA. A meta-analytic review of the relationship between adolescent risky sexual behavior and impulsivity across gender, age, and race. *Clinical Psychology Review*. 2014; 34(7):551–62. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cpr.2014.08.004> PMID: 25261740
30. Kreek MJ, Nielsen DA, Butelman ER, LaForge KS. Genetic influences on impulsivity, risk taking, stress responsivity and vulnerability to drug abuse and addiction. *Nature neuroscience*. 2005; 8(11):1450–7. <https://doi.org/10.1038/nn1583>. PMID: 16251987
31. UNAIDS. Global AIDS Update 2017: Ending AIDS, Progress Towards The 90-90-90 Targets. Geneva: Joint United Nations Programme on HIV/AIDS, 2017.
32. Wilson MJ, Vassileva J. Neurocognitive and psychiatric dimensions of hot, but not cool, impulsivity predict HIV sexual risk behaviors among drug users in protracted abstinence. *The American journal of drug and alcohol abuse*. 2016; 42(2):231–41. <https://doi.org/10.3109/00952990.2015.1121269>. PMID: 26837332
33. Perry RI, Krmpotich T, Thompson LL, Mikulich-Gilbertson SK, Banich MT, Tanabe J. Sex modulates approach systems and impulsivity in substance dependence. *Drug Alcohol Depend*. 2013; 133(1):222–7. Epub 2013/06/04. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.drugalcdep.2013.04.032>. PMID: 23725607
34. Rubia K. “Cool” inferior frontostriatal dysfunction in attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder versus “hot” ventromedial orbitofrontal-limbic dysfunction in conduct disorder: a review. *Biological psychiatry*. 2011; 69(12):e69–e87. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biopsych.2010.09.023>. PMID: 21094938
35. Belaunzaran-Zamudio PF, Mosqueda-Gomez JL, Macias-Hernandez A, Rodríguez-Ramírez S, Sierra-Madero J, Beyrer C. Burden of HIV, Syphilis, and Hepatitis B and C Among Inmates in a Prison State System in Mexico. *AIDS research and human retroviruses*. 2017. <https://doi.org/10.1089/aid.2016.0271>.
36. van Limbeek Johansen S. Sensation seeking and impulsivity in relation to youth decision making about risk behavior: Mindfulness training to improve self-regulatory skills: Colorado State University; 2014.