Seronegative patients form a distinctive subgroup of immune-mediated necrotizing myopathy

Johan Lim, MD, Anke Rietveld, MD, Jan L. De Bleecker, PhD, Umesh A. Badrising, PhD, Christiaan G.J. Saris, PhD, Anneke J. van der Kooi, PhD,* and Marianne de Visser, PhD*

Neurol Neuroimmunol Neuroinflamm 2019;6:e513. doi:10.1212/NXI.0000000000000513

Abstract
Objectives
To investigate the characteristics of different clinico-serologic subgroups of immune-mediated necrotizing myopathy (IMNM).

Methods
We retrospectively reviewed data from medical charts of 64 patients diagnosed with IMNM between 2012 and 2017 in 3 neuromuscular referral centers in The Netherlands and 1 in Belgium.

Results
Seventeen patients had anti-3-hydroxy-3-methylglutaryl-coenzyme A reductase (HMGCR) autoantibodies (Abs), of whom 11 had a history of statin use, 15 had anti-signal recognition particle (SRP) Abs, 2 had anti-melanoma differentiation-associated protein 5 (MDA5) Abs, 22 patients were seronegative, and 9 patients did not have a complete Ab assessment. Moderate to severe disability in HMGCR Ab–positive and anti-SRP Ab–positive IMNM was common (71% and 60%, respectively) despite multimodality treatment. Compared with statin-associated anti-HMGCR Ab–positive IMNM, statin-naive anti-HMGCR Ab–positive IMNM patients were more often men (67% vs 45%), had lower rates of dysphagia (17% vs 45%), and more frequently had third-line therapy (50% vs 9%) and poor to fatal outcome (50% vs 0%). Compared with seropositive IMNM, seronegative IMNM was characterized by female predominance (1:3), frequent occurrence of associated connective tissue disorders (22% vs 9%), and significantly higher rates of extramuscular disease activity (50% vs 16%, \( p = 0.014 \); 2-sided Fisher exact), also after excluding patients with an associated connective tissue disease (35% vs 7%, \( p = 0.038 \); 2-sided Fisher exact).

Conclusions
Our findings indicate that seronegative IMNM forms a subgroup with distinctive features from seropositive IMNM.
A majority of patients with immune-mediated necrotizing myopathy (IMNM)—a relatively new entity within the spectrum of idiopathic inflammatory myopathies (IIMs)—suffer from disability despite treatment, indicating inadequate management. Indeed, disease management is complicated due to the heterogeneity of IMNM. On the one hand, key features of IMNM—i.e., progressive and often severe proximal muscle weakness—are generally shared among patients. On the other hand, disease manifestations such as dysphagia, axial and respiratory muscle weakness, and cancer vary significantly between patients. No clear data exist with regard to the variance in extramuscular disease activity (EMA) or associated connective tissue diseases (CTDs) between different patients with IMNM.

Progress in further characterizing IMNM has been made by the discovery of 2 myositis-specific autoantibodies (MSAs), i.e., anti-3-hydroxy-3-methylglutaryl-coenzyme A reductase (HMGCR) and anti-signal recognition particle (SRP) autoantibodies (Abs), which allowed for a serologic subclassification of IMNM. This has resulted in the identification of 2 subgroups of patients with IMNM with distinct clinico-serologic profiles. However, in a third of IMNM patients, these MSAs cannot be detected in sera and are therefore called “seronegative” IMNM. This group has not yet been characterized in detail, except for an association with cancer. We conducted a cross-sectional study to investigate clinical and serologic characteristics in a case series of IMNM, including seronegative IMNM, diagnosed in tertiary referral hospitals in The Netherlands and Belgium.

Methods

Standard protocol approvals, registrations, and patient consents

We retrospectively reviewed data from medical charts of patients diagnosed with IMNM between 2012 and 2017 in 3 neuromuscular referral centers in The Netherlands and 1 in Belgium. Diagnosis was based on the European Neuromuscular Centre criteria. Adult patients with subacute, symmetric, and predominantly proximal muscle weakness and a muscle biopsy characterized by muscle fiber necrosis and sparse to no inflammatory infiltrates were included. Toxic myopathy, active endocrinopathy, amyloidosis, family history of muscular dystrophy or proximal motor neuropathies, and any histopathologic features characteristic of other IIM subtypes were exclusion criteria. Furthermore, we excluded patients with anti-synthetase syndrome. Muscle biopsies (including immunostains) were re-evaluated in case of diagnostic uncertainty. The study was conducted in accordance with the Declaration of Helsinki and the research codes provided by the regional review board guidelines.

A predefined set of data was extracted from the medical charts: presence of MSAs and/or myositis-associated Abs (MAAs), age at onset, sex, duration of follow-up, history of statin use, the presence of proximal muscle weakness and dysphagia, EMA, the presence of CTDs or cancer, serum creatine kinase (CK) activity at diagnosis, treatment, and outcome. The following MSAs were assessed by a commercial semi-quantitative line blot essay (Euroimmun, Lubeck, Germany): anti-Mi2 α and β, anti-transcriptional intermediary factor 1γ (TIF1γ), anti-melanoma differentiation-associated protein 5 (MDA5), anti-nuclear matrix protein 2 (NXP2), anti-small ubiquitin-like modifier activating enzyme 1 (SAE1), anti-histidyl-tRNA synthetase (Jo1), anti-SRP, anti-threonyl-tRNA synthetase (PL7), anti-α-antitrypsin tRNA synthetase (PL12), anti-glycyl-tRNA synthetase (EJ), and anti-isoleucyl-tRNA synthetase (OJ). On the same line blot assay, the following MAAs were assessed: anti-Ku, anti-poly-myositis-scleroderma 100 protein (PM-Scl100), anti-poly-myositis-scleroderma 75 protein (PM-Scl75), and anti-Ro52. A negative or a weak titer (1+) was considered negative, whereas moderately elevated (2+) and high titers (3+) were considered positive. In addition, anti-HMGCR Abs and anti-anti-HMGCR assays. Patients were subsequently serologically grouped as follows: (1) anti-HMGCR Ab-positive IMNM, further subclassified in statin-associated and statin-naïve disease; (2) anti-SRP Ab-positive IMNM; (3) IMNM with MSAs other than anti-HMGCR or anti-SRP Abs; (4) seronegative IMNM in case no MSAs were found; and (5) IMNM with incomplete serologic status in case serum could not be analyzed by both the line blot essay and the quantitative anti-HMGCR ELISA. If a patient had a combination of MSAs and MAAs, the patient was classified into the respective MSA subgroup. EMA included interstitial lung disease (ILD), arthritis, Raynaud phenomenon, and skin or cardiac symptoms if considered IIM related. An associated CTD was considered present if a formal diagnosis of CTD was established at any time during the disease. Cancer was considered disease related if present from 3 years before to 3 years
after a diagnosis of IMNM. Serum CK activity was expressed as the number of times the upper limit of normal (ULN) of the local laboratory. The ULN for serum CK activity of the Dutch laboratories was 145 IU/L for women and 171 IU/L for men, and in the Belgian laboratory, this was 170 IU/L for women and 195 IU/L for men. Treatment was scored as follows: (1) glucocorticoid monotherapy; (2) second-line therapy consisting of azathioprine and/or methotrexate and/or calcineurin inhibitors and/or mycophenolate mofetil; and (3) third-line therapy consisting of intravenous immunoglobulins (IVIgs), cyclophosphamide, rituximab, or other biologicals. Outcome was defined as good to excellent in case of normal or near-normal functioning (with no apparent disability), moderate in case of slight to moderate disability (e.g., use of cane), poor in case of severe disability (e.g., wheelchair-bound), or dead.

**Statistical methods**

Results were primarily described using simple descriptive statistics. In addition, statistical tests for comparison of clinically relevant disease characteristics between seropositive and seronegative patients were performed, consisting of a Mann-Whitney U test for age and a 2-sided Fisher exact test for sex, the presence of dysphagia, EMA, associated CTDs, the use of third-line treatment, and favorable outcome. The explorative nature of this study did not allow for multiple comparisons.

**Data availability**

Any data not published within the article will (after anonymization) be shared on request from any qualified investigator.

**Results**

In total, 64 patients were included: 33 from Amsterdam, 16 from Ghent, 12 from Nijmegen, and 3 from Leiden. In 1 patient, the diagnosis was based on the presence of anti-HMGCR Abs without pathologic confirmation. All other patients had undergone a muscle biopsy showing necrotizing myopathy with no or minimal lymphocytic infiltrates.

Seventeen patients (27%) had anti-HMGCR Abs, of whom eleven (65%) had a history of statin use. Fifteen patients (23%) had anti-SRP Abs, of whom 1 patient (7%) also had another MSA (anti-MDAS) and 3 patients (20%) also had MAAs (anti-Ro52 Abs, anti-polymyositis-scleroderma-75 [PM-SCl75] Abs, and anti-Sjögren syndrome-A Abs, respectively). One patient (2%) had anti-MDAS Abs only. Twenty-two patients (34%) had no MSAs, of whom 4 (18%) had MAAs: 2 anti-Ku Abs, one anti-Ro52 Ab, and one anti-PM-ScI75 Ab. Nine patients (14%) had incomplete Abs data: data on myositis line blot assay and anti-HMGCR Abs ELISA were not available in 7 and 2 patients, respectively. These 9 patients were excluded from further analysis. The demographics and disease characteristics of the remaining 55 patients are shown in table 1.

Median age at onset was 54 years (range 16–82), and 33 patients (61%) were women. The age at onset of patients with seronegative IMNM (median age 51 years, range 16–79 years) was comparable to that of patients with anti-SRP Ab–positive IMNM (median age 49 years, range 20–82 years), but lower than that of patients with HMGCR Ab–positive IMNM (median age 60 years, range 54–74 years). No statistical significant difference in age between seropositive and seronegative patients (p 0.189; Mann-Whitney U test) was found.

Female preponderance was observed in seronegative IMNM (M:F ratio 1:3) compared with patients with seropositive IMNM (M:F ratio 1:1). This finding did not reach statistical significance (p 0.158; 2-sided Fisher exact test). Median follow-up was 2 years (range 1 week to 24 years). The patient with a 1-week follow-up died of respiratory weakness and myocardial infarction. In total, 5 patients had a follow-up duration of less than 1 year.

All patients presented with proximal muscle weakness consisting of upper arm and upper leg weakness (legs more than arms), except 1 patient who had only proximal leg weakness. Dysphagia was present in 21 patients (38%). Compared with statin-associated HMGCR Ab–positive (45%) and anti-SRP Ab–positive (53%) IMNM, dysphagia was found less frequently in statin-naive anti-HMGCR Ab–positive (17%) IMNM and seronegative (27%) IMNM. No statistical significant difference in the prevalence of dysphagia between seropositive and seronegative patients (p 0.262; 2-sided Fisher exact test) was found.

EMA and associated CTDs were found predominantly in seronegative IMNM. Eight patients (15%) of whom 5 (67%) with seronegative IMNM had an associated CTD. Four patients had systemic sclerosis (SSc), 2 patients mixed CTD, 1 patient systemic lupus erythematosus (SLE), and 1 patient SLE and Sjögren’s syndrome. EMA was found in 11 patients (50%) with seronegative IMNM compared with 5 patients (16%) with seropositive IMNM, respectively (table 1). A statistical significant difference in the occurrence of EMA (p 0.014; 2-sided Fisher exact test) but not in CTDs (p 0.248; 2-sided Fisher’s exact) between seropositive and seronegative patients was demonstrated, also after excluding 8 patients with an associated CTD (p 0.038; 2-sided Fisher exact test).

Among all included patients, the most frequent EMA features included arthritis in 6 (11%), Raynaud phenomenon in 5 (9%), and ILD in 4 (7%). ILD was found in 3 patients (14%) with seronegative IMNM and in 1 patient (6%) with anti-HMGCR Ab–positive IMNM. None of the patients had classical skin abnormalities consistent with dermatomyositis (DM), i.e., heliotropic erythema or Gottron papules. Related cancers were found in 5 cases (9%), equally distributed among the subgroups. Related cancers included larynx carcinoma, ovary carcinoma, mediastinal tumor, bladder carcinoma, and breast carcinoma.

Median serum CK activity was 41 times the ULN, ranging from normal to 300 times the ULN. There was 1 patient with normal serum CK activity presenting with rapidly progressive...
muscle weakness and polyarthritis, who had a muscle biopsy consistent with IMNM, no MSAs/MAAs, and responded favorably to glucocorticoid therapy. Differences in median serum CK activity did not differ between the 3 IMNM subgroups.

Eleven patients (20%) received glucocorticoid monotherapy, whereas 28 (51%) and 14 (25%), respectively, had second- and third-line treatment (table 2). Treatment data were not available for 2 patients (4%). Third-line therapy, mostly IVIG, was administered to 50% of the statin-naive anti-HMGCR Ab–positive IMNM patients and 55% of the anti-SRP Ab–positive IMNM patients, as compared to 10% of the statin-associated anti-HMGCR Ab–positive IMNM patients and 15% of seronegative IMNM patients. We found no statistical significant differences in the rates of third-line treatment between seropositive and seronegative patients (p 0.200; 2-sided Fisher exact test).

Twenty-one patients (38%) had good to excellent outcome, 26 patients (47%) had moderate outcome, 3 patients (5%) had poor outcome, and 4 patients (7%) died (table 2). Outcome data were not available in 1 patient (2%). Causes of deaths included laryngeal carcinoma, metastasized bladder carcinoma, renal failure, and a mediastinal tumor. Except for the small group of statin-naive anti-HMGCR Ab–positive IMNM patients, of whom 3 (50%) had a poor outcome or died, outcome was essentially the same across the other subgroups. We found no statistical significant differences in the rates of good-excellent outcomes between seropositive and seronegative patients (p 0.397; 2-sided Fisher exact test).

**Discussion**

We found that seronegative IMNM had distinctive features including a significantly higher frequency of EMA. The higher rates of EMA in seronegative IMNM were not fully explained by the concomitant presence of an associated CTD, thus indicating a truly distinctive feature. A female predominance and higher rates of associated connective tissue disorders were seen in seronegative patients, but these findings did not reach statistical significance, probably due to the relatively small sample size. One study found higher rates of cancer in seronegative IMNM compared with the HMGCR Ab–positive and anti-SRP Ab–positive IMNM. We could not confirm this in our study, again probably because of the relatively small sample size of our study. It may well be that the female predominance has to be ascribed to the association with CTDS as was the case in two-thirds of the seronegative patients. SSc was the most frequent CTD in these patients. A recent study found that necrotizing myopathy was the second most

---

**Table 1** Demographics and disease characteristics of 55 patients with an IMNM

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Demographics</th>
<th>Anti-HMGCR (n = 17)</th>
<th>Statin +ve (n = 11)</th>
<th>Statin –ve (n = 6)</th>
<th>Anti-SRP (n = 15)</th>
<th>Anti-MDA5 (n = 1)</th>
<th>Seronegative (n = 22)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age at onset* (median years, range)</td>
<td>63 (54–74)</td>
<td>57 (52–65)</td>
<td>49 (20–82)</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>51 (16–79)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Females* (n, %)</td>
<td>5 (45)</td>
<td>2 (33)</td>
<td>8 (53)</td>
<td>1 (100)</td>
<td>16 (73)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Disease features</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Proximal muscle weakness (n, %)</td>
<td>11 (100)</td>
<td>6 (100)</td>
<td>15 (100)</td>
<td>1 (100)</td>
<td>21 (95)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dysphagia* (n, %)</td>
<td>5 (45)</td>
<td>1 (17)</td>
<td>8 (53)</td>
<td>1 (100)</td>
<td>6 (27)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CK (median deviation from ULN, range)</td>
<td>64 (6–114)</td>
<td>90 (4–176)</td>
<td>59 (18–195)</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>30 (0–83)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Extramuscular diseaseb activity (n, %)</td>
<td>1 (9)</td>
<td>1 (17)</td>
<td>3 (20)</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
<td>11 (50)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CTDc, c (n, %)</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
<td>1 (17)</td>
<td>2 (13)</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
<td>5 (22)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MAAs (n, %)</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
<td>3 (20)</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
<td>4 (18)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cancer (n, %)</td>
<td>1 (9)</td>
<td>1 (17)</td>
<td>1 (7)</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
<td>2 (9)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Abbreviations: anti-MDA5 = anti-melanoma differentiation-associated protein 5 Ab–positive IMNM; anti-SRP = anti-signal recognition particle Ab–positive IMNM; CK = serum creatine kinase activity; CTD = connective tissue disease; IMNM = immune-mediated necrotizing myopathy; MAAs = myositis-associated Ab; seronegative = IMNM without any myositis-specific Ab; statin +ve anti-HMGCR = statin-associated anti-3-hydroxy-3-methylglutaryl-CoA reductase (HMGCR) autoantibody (Ab)–positive IMNM; statin –ve anti-HMGCR = statin-naive anti-HMGCR Ab–positive IMNM; ULN = upper limit of normal.

* The differences in age, sex, and the presence of dysphagia or associated CTDS between seropositive and seronegative patients were not statistically significant.

b Interstitial lung disease, arthritis, Raynaud phenomenon, and skin or cardiac symptoms if considered myositis related. The difference in the prevalence of EMA between seropositive and seronegative patients was statistically significant (16% vs 50%, p 0.014; 2-sided Fisher exact test), also after excluding patients with an associated connective tissue disease (7% vs 35%, p 0.038; 2-sided Fisher exact test).

c Five patients with systemic sclerosis, 3 patients with mixed connective tissue disease, 1 case with systemic lupus erythematosus (SLE), and 1 case with both SLE and Sjögren syndrome.
common histologic subtype (according to the 2004 ENMC criteria) in patients with SSC.17

Of note, 2 patients had anti-MDA5–positive IMNM. These antibodies are associated with classic DM or overlap myositis with other cutaneous manifestations, frequent occurrence of prominent ILD, and relatively little myositis.5,18 The patient with solely anti-MDA5 Abs has been previously described and had no skin rash or ILD.19 The patient with both anti-SRP and anti-MDA5 Abs presented with proximal muscle weakness, nonspecific skin erythema on her chest and upper arms, digital cutaneous ulceration, arthralgia, and a serum CK activity of 90 times the ULN. This concomitant presence of 2 MSAs is remarkable because usually, MSAs are mutually exclusive.4,6,20

We found some apparent differences when we compared our seropositive patients with those reported in previous reports. Although earlier reports did not find any differences between statin-associated and statin-naive anti-HMGCR Ab–positive IMNM except for younger age at onset, higher serum CK activity, and more frequent non-Caucasian ethnicity in the latter, we observed that statin-naive anti-HMGCR Ab–positive IMNM patients were more often men (66% vs 45%), had lower rates of dysphagia (17% vs 46%), received more often third-line therapy (50% vs 9%), and had more frequently poor to fatal outcome (50% vs 0%).6 The number of cases with a history of statin use in anti-HMGCR–positive IMNM (65%) was comparable to that found in North American and European case series with HMGCR Ab–positive IMNM (44%–67%) and much higher as compared to a Japanese case series with HMGCR Ab–positive IMNM (18%).1,2,6 None of our anti-SRP Ab–positive IMNM patients had ILD, although an association in 13%–22% has been reported.1–4 The frequency of cancer in our patients was too low to compare it with that of other case series. Our data confirm that in general, HMGCR Ab–positive IMNM and anti-SRP IMNM are severe conditions, as illustrated by the proportion of patients (65%) with moderate, severe, or fatal outcome despite multimodality treatment.1–4,6

The strength of our study is the detailed description of the IMNM group as a whole, and in particular with respect to the description of the as yet relatively underexposed seronegative patients. The main limitation of our study is the retrospective nature and the relatively small sample size of the study.

Our findings indicate that seronegative IMNM is distinct from seropositive IMNM, given the frequent occurrence of EMA and associated CTDs and the female preponderance in the former. The results may not necessarily be extrapolated to other ethnic populations, considering the predominantly European ancestry of our study population. Larger prospective studies are warranted to confirm our findings.
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Table 2 Treatment and outcome in 55 patients with an immune-mediated necrotizing myopathy (IMNM)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Anti-HMGCR (n = 17)</th>
<th>Anti-SRP (n = 15)</th>
<th>Anti-MDAS (n = 1)</th>
<th>Seronegative (n = 22)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Statin +ve (n = 11)</td>
<td>Statin −ve (n = 6)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Treatmenta</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Glucocorticoid monotherapy (n, %)</td>
<td>2 (18)</td>
<td>1 (17)</td>
<td>3 (20)</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Second-line therapy (n, %)</td>
<td>8 (73)</td>
<td>2 (33)</td>
<td>5 (33)</td>
<td>1 (100)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Third-line therapy (n, %)</td>
<td>1 (9)</td>
<td>3 (50)</td>
<td>7 (47)</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Outcomeb</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Good-excellent outcome (n, %)</td>
<td>4 (36)</td>
<td>1 (17)</td>
<td>6 (35)</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Moderate outcome (n, %)</td>
<td>7 (63)</td>
<td>2 (33)</td>
<td>8 (57)</td>
<td>1 (100)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Poor outcome (n, %)</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
<td>2 (33)</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dead (n, %)</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
<td>1 (17)</td>
<td>1 (7)</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Abbreviations: anti-MDA5 = anti-melanoma differentiation-associated protein 5 Ab–positive IMNM; anti-SRP = anti-signal recognition particle Ab–positive IMNM; seronegative = IMNM without any myositis-specific Ab; statin +ve anti-HMGCR = statin-associated anti-3-hydroxy-3-methylglutaryl-CoA reductase (HMGCR) autoantibody (Ab)-positive IMNM; statin −ve anti-HMGCR = statin-naive anti-HMGCR Ab-positive IMNM.

* Treatment schemes of patients with IMNM shown in percentages. Treatment data from 2 (9%) patients with seronegative IMNM are missing; azathioprine and/or methotrexate and/or mycophenolate mofetil were considered second-line therapy; intravenous immunoglobulins (n = 13) and/or rituximab (n = 3; all in combination with intravenous immunoglobulins) and/or cyclofosamide (n = 1) were considered third-line therapy. Differences in the rates of third-line treatment between seropositive and seronegative patients were not statistically significant.

b Outcomes in IMNM shown in percentages. Outcome data from 1 (5%) patient with seronegative IMNM is missing; good-excellent outcome was defined as normal or near-normal functioning with no apparent disability; moderate outcome was defined as slight to moderate disability (e.g., use of cane); poor outcome was defined as severe disability (e.g., wheelchair-bound); causes of deaths included laryngeal carcinoma, metastasized bladder carcinoma, pneumonia, respiratory weakness and myocardial infarction, peritonitis, and a mediastinal tumor. Differences in the rates of good-excellent outcomes between seropositive and seronegative patients were not statistically significant.
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