Gaia transients in galactic nuclei
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ABSTRACT

The high spatial resolution and precise astrometry and photometry of the Gaia mission should make it particularly apt at discovering and resolving transients occurring in, or near, the centres of galaxies. Indeed, some nuclear transients are reported by the Gaia Science Alerts (GSA) team, but not a single confirmed tidal disruption event (TDE) has been published. In order to explore the sensitivity of GSA, we performed an independent and systematic search for nuclear transients using Gaia observations. Our transient search is driven from an input galaxy catalogue (derived from the Sloan Digital Sky Survey Release 12). We present a candidate detection metric that is independent from the existing GSA methodology, to see if Gaia Alerts are biased against the discovery of nuclear transients, and in particular which steps may have an impact. Our technique does require significant manual vetting of candidates, making implementation in the GSA system impractical for daily operations, although it could be run weekly, which for month-to-year long transients would make a scientifically valuable addition. Our search yielded ∼480 nuclear transients, five of which were alerted and published by GSA. The list of (in some cases ongoing) transients includes candidates for events related to enhanced accretion onto a supermassive black hole and TDEs. An implementation of the detection methodology and criteria used in this paper as an extension of GSA could open up the possibility for Gaia to fulfil the role as a main tool to find transient nuclear activity as predicted in the literature.
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1 INTRODUCTION

The European Space Agency (ESA) – Gaia mission has been operational since mid-2014 and has provided accurate photometric, astrometric, and spectroscopic measurements for roughly a billion stars in the Milky Way (Gaia Collaboration et al. 2016a,b). Gaia’s onboard detection algorithms are optimized for the detection of point-like sources, which implies that extended sources have to have an effective radius less than 0.6 arcsec in order to be detected (Ducourant et al. 2014; de Bruijne et al. 2015). Thus, the mission is also collecting data for a significant number of resolved extra-galactic objects, such as small elliptical galaxies, and galaxies with compact bulges, or point-like sources such as high-redshift quasars. The observing strategy (nominal scanning law) of Gaia is optimized to deliver data for parallax measurement. As a result of this scanning law, most of the sources will be scanned more than about 70 times from different angles during 5 yr mission. Each position on the sky is scanned, on average, once every 30 d (Lindegren et al. 2016).

These repeat visits make Gaia an all-sky, multi-epoch photometric survey that allows us to monitor variability with high precision as well as detect new transient sources (Hodgkin et al. 2013; Eyer et al. 2017). The Data Processing and Analysis Consortium (DPAC) Gaia data flow enables detections of transients within 24–48 hr of the observation (in the best case). However, due to different reasons the delay might be up to a few days (Hodgkin et al. in preparation). From September 2014 onwards, new transients from
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Gaia have been made publicly available after manual vetting of candidate transients detected by the Gaia Science Alerts (GSA) team. To this end, AlertPipe – dedicated software for data processing, transient searching, and candidate filtering was employed (Hodgkin et al. in preparation). Such a near-real-time survey is predicted to detect about 6000 low-redshift supernovae (SNe) brighter than $G = 19$ mag and 1300 microlensing events during the first 5 yr of the mission (Belokurov & Evans 2002, 2003; Altavilla et al. 2012). Accurate photometry and low-resolution spectroscopy should allow for a robust classification and reduce the rate of false positives. Gaia could therefore play an important role in transient detection. AlertPipe employs two different transient detection algorithms. Transient discovery is either based on the detection of a new source (NewSource detector; the event has to have two or more observations above flux threshold, equivalent to $G = 19$), or a significant deviation in brightness of a known source compared to previous Gaia photometry (OldSource detector, either a source brightened by more than 1 mag and this rise is more than $3\sigma$ above the rms of the historic variations from all data available, or a source brightened by more than 0.15 mag and this rise is more than six times the rms of the historic variations from all data available). The thresholds and other detection parameters of both detectors are tuneable but have been kept fixed over the period June 2016–June 2017 under consideration here.

Optical variability occurring in the centres of galaxies can be associated with transients such as (superluminous) SNe and tidal disruption events (TDEs). On the other hand, active galactic nuclei (AGNs) exhibit variability across the whole electromagnetic spectrum caused by activity in the accretion disc and jet (see e.g. MacLeod et al. 2012; Graham et al. 2017 for recent work showing transient and variability phenomena associated with the central super-massive black hole in galaxies).

Core-Collapse Supernovae (CCSNe) originate from explosions of massive stars (masses $M > 8M_\odot$). CCSNe have been proposed as environmental metallicity probes (Dessart et al. 2014). Together with Type Ia Supernovae (SNe Ia), they shape and influence galaxy structure and star formation (Maoz & Graur 2017). Being standard candles, SNe Ia can also be used as probes of distribution of dust in their host galaxies. Tracing it is particularly important in the very cores of galaxies, typically containing large amounts of obscuring dust, to test the relations between SN Ia observed brightness and distance from the core of their host galaxy as well as morphology of the host. Superluminous supernovae (SLSNe) are associated with deaths of the most massive stars, which means that they may have an impact on the chemical evolution and re-ionization of the Universe (Pastorello et al. 2010; Gal-Yam 2012). The SLSN explosions are probably induced by different physical mechanisms than other, more common types of SNe (Inserra et al. 2018). TDEs can be used to determine the presence and study the properties, such as the mass, of supermassive black holes (SMBHs) in quiescent galaxies (Rees 1988). TDE properties probe the stellar populations and dynamics in galactic nuclei, the physics of black hole accretion including the potential to detect relativistic effects near the SMBH, and the physics of jet formation and evolution (e.g. Kochanek 2016; van Velzen 2018). In addition, because the rate of TDEs is temporarily massively enhanced in binary SMBH systems, TDEs might point us to galaxies that host compact binary SMBHs (Chen et al. 2011; Wegg & Nate Bode 2011). Finally, the volumetric TDE rate is a proxy for the mass of the black hole seeds that grow into SMBHs (Stone & Metzger 2016). However, the inhomogeneous and small sample of the events currently available (about several tens\(^1\)) probably prevents us from reaping the full potential of TDE studies.

Most of the ground- based surveys hunting for SNe as well as spectroscopic follow-up observations had the tendency to avoid the central regions of host galaxies. This was largely due to various difficulties in the data processing and lower signal-to-noise ratio of observed transients due to the core brightness. Although recent developments in difference image analysis techniques mitigate these issues (e.g. Zackay, Ofek & Gal-Yam 2016), the high spatial resolution afforded by Gaia and the lack of atmospheric seeing variations should also allow Gaia to resolve transients at closer angular separations to their host galaxy nuclei and enable discrimination between genuinely nuclear transients (e.g. TDEs) and near-nuclear events (e.g. circumnuclear SNe).

Recently, a number of peculiar nuclear transients were discovered by various surveys (e.g. Leloudas et al. 2016; Blagorodnova et al. 2017; Kankare et al. 2017; Wyrzykowski et al. 2017). Some of these transients were not discovered by AlertPipe, even though the sources had been detected by Gaia. Part of the goal of this paper is to investigate the reasons for this. The predicted number of detected SNe is around 1300 per year assuming a 19 mag minimum threshold for the brightness of the transient. About 15 per cent of these are predicted to occur in the host nuclei with offsets smaller than 1 arcsec. Moreover, $20 \pm 1^2$ TDEs should be discovered every year (Blagorodnova et al. 2016). From mid-2016 to mid-2017 – when a stable version of AlertPipe was operating – GSA detected and published about 50 events preliminarily classified as nuclear transients\(^2\) (i.e. transients – likely SNe close to the host centre or AGN variability – observed within 0.5 arcsec from their host centre if the host is recognized using external catalogues) which is roughly less than 25 per cent of the expected number of SNe and TDEs. We note that the predictions of Blagorodnova et al. (2016) do not include events due to AGN variability, which are a significant contributor to the published Gaia Alerts, hence the missing fraction of nuclear transients is probably significantly larger than 75 per cent.

In this study, we performed a large-scale and systematic search for transient events in the nuclei of galaxies detectable by Gaia between mid-2016 and mid-2017. We started with objects classified as ‘galaxy’ by the Sloan Digital Sky Survey Data Release 12 (SDSS DR12; Alam et al. 2015). We used a different method to search for transients than the AlertPipe daily search (Wyrzykowski & Hodgkin 2012; Hodgkin et al. 2013; Hodgkin et al. in preparation). This paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we introduce our data sample and present our transient-selection method. Next, we describe the newly found candidate nuclear transients in Section 3. In Section 4, we discuss our results and implications for GSA. We conclude in Section 5. Throughout this paper, we assume a flat $\Lambda$ cold dark matter ($\Lambda$CDM) concordance cosmological model of the Universe with parameters $\Omega_\Lambda = 0.7$, $\Omega_M = 0.3$, and $H_0 = 70$ km s\(^{-1}\) Mpc\(^{-1}\), $h = 0.70$.

2 METHOD

The astrometric field (AF) instrument in the focal plane of Gaia contains 7 rows with 8 or 9 CCDs each. During a scan the position
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\(^2\)The value of uncertainty from Blagorodnova et al. (2016) was corrected (private communication). Poisson noise was not taken into account in the simulations by Blagorodnova et al. (2016).
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and brightness of an object is measured in each CCD that it crosses due to the motion of the satellite. This means that each time a source passes the Gaia focal plane nine (eight) data points (af1–af9), separated in time by about 4.4 s (e.g. van Leeuwen et al. 2017) are obtained. This collective number of nine or eight data points is called a transit. All observations are taken in Gaia’s G-band filter – a white-light band pass folded through the response curves of the various Gaia components (e.g. mirror and CCD responses; Carrasco et al. 2016). For the transient detection recipe employed here, we require that at least eight of the CCD measurements must return a valid photometric data point. This strict filtering helps weed-out cosmic-ray induced and instrumental artefacts that can affect the photometry. We determine the median magnitude per transit from the eight to nine individual CCD measurements. All photometric data points shown in this paper are such as eight or nine CCD median. We build the Gaia light curve for each source by combining the measurements for the different transits for that source.

In this study, we made use of all Gaia photometric data collected from the beginning of the mission (July 2014) until the end of June 2017 (van Leeuwen et al. 2017) that are ingested into the Gaia Science Alerts Database (GSA DB). By using the GSA DB we have access to the Gaia time series and individual measurements from scans (as opposed to data available in Gaia’s early data releases where only averaged data products are available). Individual transits might be lost because they were blacklisted by Initial Data Treatment as bad data or missed due to data delivery disruptions. The data obtained between mid-2014 and mid-2017 were used to compute light-curve statistics such as median, skewness, etc., although we are searching for transients that occurred between mid-2016 and mid-2017. The primary sample of candidate galaxies detected by Gaia was obtained by cross-matching any galaxy-like object from the SDSS DR12 (covering roughly one third of the sky) with the GSA DB. All extended objects with photometric classification flag ‘galaxy’ (based on the object morphology; Stoughton et al. 2002) and that are brighter than magnitude 20 in the SDSS r-band were used. We use the SDSS r-band model magnitude given by the model magnitude is the better of two fits i.e. a de Vaucouleurs and an exponential model fit to the SDSS light profile (Stoughton et al. 2002). The Gaia G-band magnitudes in the GSA DB are derived from a preliminary calibration of the photometry and are on the VEGAMAG system (Carrasco et al. 2016). The uncertainty of each data point in the light curve (each transit) is calculated using the median absolute deviation from af1 to af9 measurements. The scatter for the individual light curves is also calculated using the median absolute deviation (from all transits in the light curve).

First, we attempted to identify a Gaia source with the subsample of the SDSS spectroscopically confirmed galaxies by cross-matching on source position. In Fig. 1, the histogram of the separations between SDSS and associated Gaia sources is presented. The distance is usually lower than 0.5 arcsec. Hence, we assumed that an SDSS source falling in a circle with a radius of 0.5 arcsec around a GSA DB source implies that the two sources are the same (taking into account the inaccuracy in detecting the galaxy centres by SDSS and Gaia’s astrometric uncertainty estimated at 100 mas obtained from the Initial Data Treatment; Fabricius et al. 2016). From the 18.5 million SDSS objects fewer than 4 million have one or more counterpart source(s) in the GSA DB.

One should note that the SDSS sample is strongly contaminated by unresolved binaries and spurious source detections caused by diffraction spikes from bright stars. However, the number of real galaxies detected by Gaia is reduced mainly due to the onboard data detection algorithm (de Bruijne et al. 2015). The detectability of galaxies is a function of their brightness, size, and compactness (see Ducourant et al. 2014; de Bruijne et al. 2015; Blagorodnova et al. 2016).

2.1 Filtering sources and light curves

In Fig. 2 (top panel), we compare the brightness in the r-band filter of the randomly chosen SDSS sources spectroscopically classified as quasars, galaxies, and stars to the median brightness of the matched Gaia objects in the G-band. As can be expected, the Gaia sources are typically fainter than the SDSS detections of the same extended objects. The main reason for this is that Gaia sums the light of these extended objects over a much smaller angular region than SDSS. Gaia’s onboard flux measurement algorithm assumes that the source has a point-source-like profile and using the estimated brightness sets a window with a fixed size. For sources with 20 > G > 16, the window has a size of 12 × 12 CCD pixels (de Bruijne et al. 2015), where the pixels in the along scan direction have a size of 59 mas and the pixels across the scan direction have a size three times larger (i.e. 177 mas). Hence, the window size for extended objects is typically smaller than the size of the source. Another, secondary, reason for the different magnitudes lies in the differences in bandpass between the SDSS r band and the Gaia G band. In Fig. 2 (bottom panel), the brightness of the objects (extended according to SDSS and photometrically classified as galaxies) in the r-band filter is compared to the median brightness of the matched Gaia objects in the G band.

The sample obtained from the cross-match was filtered using various selection criteria.

(i) Criteria related to the SDSS sample quality:

(a) We employed a colour–colour selection on the SDSS data. We require the source colours to be −0.5 < g − r < 2.0 and −0.5 < r − i < 0.8 to remove binary systems, bright stars, and diffraction spikes from bright stars, that are all misclassified as a galaxy (Newberg et al. 1999; Strateva et al. 2001).

(b) We removed all objects spectroscopically classified as stars.

(ii) Criteria to the Gaia data:

(a) The standard deviation within the measurements from the nine (eight) CCD detectors of all data points is less than 0.25 mag (because we assume no significant change in the light curves within the 45 s that the source transits over the focal plane). We only remove bad data points and do not reject the source unless as a result it has less than 10 remaining data points.
(b) The Gaia light curve must have at least 10 good data points (i.e. 10 transits) up to the maximum brightness of the light curve to have a sufficiently large sample of data points to perform our statistical studies (as a reminder, 1 data point consists of the median of 8–9 valid CCD measurements). This requirement is the same as GSA uses in their \( \text{OldSource} \) transient detection algorithm.

(c) We require that the peak of the Gaia light curve falls between 2016 July 1 and 2017 June 30 to be able to compare the results with the stable version of AlertPipe.

(d) The amplitude of the rise from the baseline of the light curve exceeds 0.3 mag (measured from the median value determined using all the data points in the light curve to the maximum).

(e) We require that there be no additional Gaia source detections within a range of 0.3–3 arcsec for the majority of detections in the light curve of the candidate transient. Using this criterion, we aim to remove binary systems that are unresolved by SDSS but that are resolved by Gaia.

(f) We require that there be no Gaia DR1 star brighter than \( G = 14 \) mag within a circle of a radius 25 arcsec (Gaia Collaboration et al. 2016a,b) to remove any remaining spurious detections in the GSA DB due to diffraction spikes of this bright source.

(g) Occasionally, Gaia flux measurements are affected by unexpected events (e.g. solar flares, spacecraft, or processing artefacts). This may cause an erroneous excess in flux not corrected for by the Initial Data Treatment (although this is corrected later during the data processing for main Gaia Data Releases, it is not corrected for AlertPipe and affects the GSA DB). We analysed the number of candidate transients found by our search in time intervals of 0.5 d. We found that during some periods the number of candidate transients with high amplitude outbursts is unusually large. We plotted the number of candidate transients in 0.5 d bins weighted by the amplitude squared as a function of time. We calculated the mean and standard deviation and disregarded the epochs \( >3 \sigma \) from the mean as the exact cause of these anomalous flux measurements for some sources is not known at present. In total, consecutive four periods of half a day of data were discarded.

The impact of each selection criterion applied during filtering on the sample size is summarized in Table 1.

2.2 Simulation of light curves

In our search for transients, we applied a novel detection algorithm. We calculate the von Neumann statistic – the ratio of the successive mean square difference to the variance:

\[
\eta = \frac{1}{n-1} \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} (x_{i+1} - x_i)^2 / s^2;
\]

(von Neumann 1941) as well as the skewness of the light curve:

\[
\gamma = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} (x_i - \bar{x})^3 / s^3,
\]

where \( x \) are the flux measurements during transits, \( s \) the variance of the light curve, \( n \) the number of transits in the light curve. These two statistics were previously used in searches for e.g. microlensing events (Price-Whelan et al. 2014; Wyrzykowski et al. 2016), eclipsing binaries (Rattenbury et al. 2015), and fast Gaia transients (Weyers et al. 2018).

Using the predicted data sampling from the Nominal Scanning Law we simulated Gaia light curves of galaxy centres located in...
various parts of the sky. The noise model for light curves without transients comes from the observed noise in real galaxies observed as in Fig. 2. Template light curves for SN Ia, Ibc, IIL, and IIP and peculiar transients such as ASASSN-14li, ASASSN-15lh, and iPTF16fni. However, different types of transients do not populate different regions on this parameter space. Magenta points are the simulated constant flux light curves with noise. Green triangles represent the quasar light curves simulated with the damped random walk model. Black diamonds indicate known nuclear transients and their position on the skewness – the reciprocal of von Neumann parameter plane. The red dashed lines indicate the applied cuts [γ < 0 and β < log(1/η)/log(4) − 1]. The cut ensures that 99 per cent from the transients will be detected, while only ~10 per cent false positives (quasar light curves with stochastic variability) will pass the criteria. Number of true and false positives can be used as a parameter in the detector to reduce the eyeballing but at the expense of losing real events.

Figure 3. The skewness versus the reciprocal of von Neumann statistic for simulated light curves. The blue squares show the parameter space for the simulated light curves with ingested transients (SNe Ia, Ibc, III, and IIP and peculiar transients such as ASASSN-14li, ASASSN-15lh, and iPTF16fni). However, different types of transients do not populate different regions on this parameter space. Magenta points are the simulated constant flux light curves with noise. Green triangles represent the quasar light curves simulated with the damped random walk model. Black diamonds indicate known nuclear transients and their position on the skewness – the reciprocal of von Neumann parameter plane. The red dashed lines indicate the applied cuts [γ < 0 and β < log(1/η)/log(4) − 1]. The cut ensures that 99 per cent from the transients will be detected, while only ~10 per cent false positives (quasar light curves with stochastic variability) will pass the criteria. Number of true and false positives can be used as a parameter in the detector to reduce the eyeballing but at the expense of losing real events.

2.3 Statistical analysis of Gaia light curves

This search was performed on historic data rather than using the daily ingest and processing as AlertPipe does. For all Gaia light curves, we computed the von Neumann statistic and skewness using data points up to and including the light-curve maximum. Therefore, we only use the part of the data, which will at most be available if such a statistic is implemented in a near-real time transient search, for instance in a future version of AlertPipe. Besides, the uneven Gaia sampling can cause part of the light curve to be sampled relatively infrequently and if this happens to correspond to the outbursting phase, then a median over all data would render the outburst undetectable. In Fig. 4, we present the measurements for all the sources (filtered using the criteria from Section 2.1). The whole sample after applying these filters contains ~32k objects.

Our simulations informed which part of the skewness – the reciprocal of von Neumann parameter space is most relevant for our search of transients. We decide to exclude sources in the skewness – the reciprocal of von Neumann plane if they falls above the line drawn in Fig. 3. After applying that constraint on the skewness – the reciprocal of von Neumann plane the sample shrunk to ~7k objects.
2.4 Additional checks on the transient candidates

It is known that the Gaia initial data processing on occasion assigns a different source identification number (source ID) to the same source if the position is apparently shifted with respect to the historic position (Fabricius et al. 2016). This would then cause the light-curve information of that source to be split over more than one source ID making our transient detector less sensitive as less data points per astrophysical source are available than if only one source ID per astrophysical source is used. To investigate if this happens, we plot in Fig. 5 on the ordinate the difference in magnitude of sources whose source position falls at least once within a cone search around the median position of the candidate transient with a radius of 1.5 arcsec and the median magnitude of the candidate transient. On the abscissa, we plot the separation between the median position of our candidate transient and the median position of such a source. Two clusters of points are apparent. First, there is a group of transient candidates whose magnitude difference is consistent with the median magnitude of the candidate transient. The second group of measurements probably belongs to real objects unrelated to the transient candidate. The latter group of measurements are probably erroneously assigned to another source ID during the Initial Data Treatment (Fabricius et al. 2016), whereas they are most likely associated with the candidate transient.

3 RESULTS

3.1 New candidate nuclear transients

The final sample of selected candidate nuclear transients consists of about ∼480 sources. In Table 2 we provide the list of Gaia Nuclear Transient (GNT) candidates with Gaia coordinates, G-band median brightness, and the corresponding SDSS sources with the spectroscopic class and redshift (if available). Example light curves are presented in Fig. 6. The light curves contain all available data points; however, the points after the peak are only plotted for informative purposes and to show how the transients are evolving. These data were not used to obtain the light-curve statistics and sometimes our peak is only a local maximum (up to mid-2017) as the transients might rise further. The amplitudes of the light curves from Gaia (the difference between the light curve maximum and the median brightness in Gaia, i.e. Δm) span over 4 mag. The median brightness was determined using all the data up to the maximum. The transient sample is not homogeneous and the range of rise times is wide. Removing the data from the rise would require preparing multiple detectors sensitive for different types of transients and rise times. We noticed that the von Neumann and skewness statistics are certainly capable in finding both fast and slow rise transients.

![Graph showing the difference in magnitude of sources whose position falls at least once within a cone search with a radius of 1.5 arcsec around the median position of the transient candidate, and the median magnitude of the transient candidate. Two clusters of points are apparent – one with offsets between 0.5 and 2 arcsec with differing magnitudes and one with offsets centred at 0.1 arcsec with magnitudes consistent with the median magnitude of the candidate transient.](https://academic.oup.com/mnras/article-abstract/481/1/307/5078391)
Table 2. The list of GNT candidates. The source list provides the GNT source name, the SDSS galaxy ID, the coordinates RA and Dec. from Gaia in decimal degrees, the SDSS $r$-band brightness, the Gaia $G$-band median brightness, the Gaia $G$-band peak brightness, the JD at the time of the peak, the skewness parameter $\gamma$, the von Neumann $\eta$ parameter (see the text on how these are calculated), and the SDSS spectral classification with redshift (if available).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>GNT ID</th>
<th>SDSS galaxy ID</th>
<th>RA</th>
<th>Dec.</th>
<th>SDSS $G$ band</th>
<th>Gaia $G$ band</th>
<th>Gaia peak</th>
<th>JD peak</th>
<th>$\gamma$</th>
<th>$\eta$</th>
<th>SDSS class (redshift)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>GNTJ00121.47−001140.29</td>
<td>SDSSJ00121.47−001140.32</td>
<td>0.33945</td>
<td>−0.19453</td>
<td>19.63 ± 0.02</td>
<td>19.89 ± 0.08</td>
<td>19.33 ± 0.01</td>
<td>1576.45</td>
<td>−2.05</td>
<td>0.23</td>
<td>GALAXY(0.46152 ± 0.00035)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GNTJ00121.47−001140.29</td>
<td>SDSSJ00121.47−001140.32</td>
<td>1.11023</td>
<td>16.06281</td>
<td>16.72 ± 0.00</td>
<td>19.06 ± 0.14</td>
<td>18.13 ± 0.06</td>
<td>1767.73</td>
<td>−1.86</td>
<td>0.50</td>
<td>GALAXY(0.06310 ± 0.00002)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GNTJ00121.47−001140.29</td>
<td>SDSSJ00121.47−001140.32</td>
<td>1.48264</td>
<td>10.21317</td>
<td>18.35 ± 0.01</td>
<td>19.89 ± 0.06</td>
<td>19.37 ± 0.01</td>
<td>1737.00</td>
<td>−2.83</td>
<td>1.03</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GNTJ00121.47−001140.29</td>
<td>SDSSJ00121.47−001140.32</td>
<td>1.85426</td>
<td>29.54327</td>
<td>16.87 ± 0.01</td>
<td>20.09 ± 0.12</td>
<td>19.44 ± 0.04</td>
<td>1738.76</td>
<td>−1.51</td>
<td>0.71</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GNTJ00121.47−001140.29</td>
<td>SDSSJ00121.47−001140.32</td>
<td>2.58145</td>
<td>2.89452</td>
<td>19.00 ± 0.01</td>
<td>19.19 ± 0.09</td>
<td>18.80 ± 0.03</td>
<td>1764.73</td>
<td>−1.04</td>
<td>0.34</td>
<td>QSO(0.05875 ± 0.00011)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GNTJ00121.47−001140.29</td>
<td>SDSSJ00121.47−001140.32</td>
<td>2.58316</td>
<td>−6.28493</td>
<td>15.74 ± 0.00</td>
<td>17.81 ± 0.13</td>
<td>16.97 ± 0.03</td>
<td>1577.63</td>
<td>−1.44</td>
<td>1.04</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GNTJ00121.47−001140.29</td>
<td>SDSSJ00121.47−001140.32</td>
<td>2.90136</td>
<td>34.80859</td>
<td>19.17 ± 0.02</td>
<td>19.57 ± 0.09</td>
<td>19.11 ± 0.01</td>
<td>1611.40</td>
<td>−0.84</td>
<td>0.77</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GNTJ00121.47−001140.29</td>
<td>SDSSJ00121.47−001140.32</td>
<td>3.67610</td>
<td>−1.15197</td>
<td>19.24 ± 0.02</td>
<td>20.53 ± 0.09</td>
<td>20.10 ± 0.06</td>
<td>1714.49</td>
<td>−1.83</td>
<td>1.55</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GNTJ00121.47−001140.29</td>
<td>SDSSJ00121.47−001140.32</td>
<td>4.19049</td>
<td>10.87030</td>
<td>19.04 ± 0.02</td>
<td>20.46 ± 0.07</td>
<td>19.80 ± 0.08</td>
<td>1736.42</td>
<td>−1.55</td>
<td>0.32</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GNTJ00121.47−001140.29</td>
<td>SDSSJ00121.47−001140.32</td>
<td>4.82437</td>
<td>−6.75374</td>
<td>17.81 ± 0.01</td>
<td>19.45 ± 0.10</td>
<td>19.13 ± 0.10</td>
<td>1734.73</td>
<td>−0.91</td>
<td>0.78</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GNTJ00121.47−001140.29</td>
<td>SDSSJ00121.47−001140.32</td>
<td>5.11107</td>
<td>33.76876</td>
<td>16.71 ± 0.01</td>
<td>19.30 ± 0.16</td>
<td>18.60 ± 0.05</td>
<td>1896.72</td>
<td>−2.07</td>
<td>0.84</td>
<td>GALAXY(0.12362 ± 0.00002)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GNTJ00121.47−001140.29</td>
<td>SDSSJ00121.47−001140.32</td>
<td>5.45845</td>
<td>28.47973</td>
<td>16.94 ± 0.01</td>
<td>19.23 ± 0.06</td>
<td>18.95 ± 0.08</td>
<td>1575.18</td>
<td>−1.48</td>
<td>1.75</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GNTJ00121.47−001140.29</td>
<td>SDSSJ00121.47−001140.32</td>
<td>5.85873</td>
<td>28.35357</td>
<td>17.81 ± 0.01</td>
<td>19.29 ± 0.14</td>
<td>18.34 ± 0.01</td>
<td>1897.97</td>
<td>−1.57</td>
<td>0.13</td>
<td>QSO(0.24262 ± 0.00003)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GNTJ00121.47−001140.29</td>
<td>SDSSJ00121.47−001140.32</td>
<td>6.09266</td>
<td>6.51422</td>
<td>18.98 ± 0.02</td>
<td>20.17 ± 0.18</td>
<td>19.42 ± 0.02</td>
<td>1716.92</td>
<td>−2.00</td>
<td>0.85</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GNTJ00121.47−001140.29</td>
<td>SDSSJ00121.47−001140.32</td>
<td>6.65560</td>
<td>17.20640</td>
<td>18.16 ± 0.01</td>
<td>20.01 ± 0.07</td>
<td>19.39 ± 0.18</td>
<td>1618.59</td>
<td>−2.69</td>
<td>1.38</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GNTJ00121.47−001140.29</td>
<td>SDSSJ00121.47−001140.32</td>
<td>6.63600</td>
<td>22.83673</td>
<td>19.01 ± 0.03</td>
<td>20.80 ± 0.15</td>
<td>19.30 ± 0.05</td>
<td>1720.75</td>
<td>−1.06</td>
<td>0.87</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GNTJ00121.47−001140.29</td>
<td>SDSSJ00121.47−001140.32</td>
<td>6.76748</td>
<td>16.86927</td>
<td>18.92 ± 0.01</td>
<td>20.31 ± 0.15</td>
<td>19.77 ± 0.10</td>
<td>1618.84</td>
<td>−1.44</td>
<td>0.59</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GNTJ00121.47−001140.29</td>
<td>SDSSJ00121.47−001140.32</td>
<td>6.92781</td>
<td>−0.64951</td>
<td>18.13 ± 0.01</td>
<td>19.78 ± 0.08</td>
<td>19.46 ± 0.06</td>
<td>1734.74</td>
<td>−1.74</td>
<td>1.89</td>
<td>GALAXY(0.19383 ± 0.00003)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GNTJ00121.47−001140.29</td>
<td>SDSSJ00121.47−001140.32</td>
<td>7.08653</td>
<td>30.48986</td>
<td>19.57 ± 0.02</td>
<td>20.56 ± 0.08</td>
<td>20.00 ± 0.08</td>
<td>1737.94</td>
<td>−2.27</td>
<td>1.08</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GNTJ00121.47−001140.29</td>
<td>SDSSJ00121.47−001140.32</td>
<td>7.42320</td>
<td>11.59605</td>
<td>19.14 ± 0.02</td>
<td>20.75 ± 0.10</td>
<td>20.12 ± 0.07</td>
<td>1709.49</td>
<td>−2.05</td>
<td>0.45</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note. This table is available in its entirety in a machine-readable form from the online journal and Centre de Donnees astronomiques de Strasbourg. A portion is shown here for guidance regarding its form and content.
Figure 6. Examples of light curves for candidate GNTs. The skewness $\gamma$ and von Neumann $\eta$ parameters are provided. The grey open circles denote Gaia data collected after the period that we employed for our transient search. The absolute magnitudes are provided if spectroscopic redshift is available. Note. The plots and light curves in ascii format for all objects are available from the online journal.

There is no dependence of $\Delta m$ on brightness in SDSS (see Fig. 7). The detected peak brightness of the flares span between 16.01 and 20.49 mag in the Gaia $G$ band. There are about 160 sources that have a peak magnitude above 19 mag and $\sim$290 that have a peak magnitude between 19 and 20 mag (see Fig. 7).

3.2 Nuclear transients published by GSA

During the period of about 12 months between July 2016 and June 2017, the GSA team discovered about 50 transients in galaxy centres (transients associated with known galaxies and quasars within 0.5 arcsec) with tentative (uncalibrated) classifications as SNe close to the host centre, or as quasar activity (see footnote 2). About half of them were found by the NewSource detector, and the other half by the OldSource detector. Most of them (70 per cent) were detected in SDSS objects (photometrically and spectroscopically classified galaxies, spectroscopically classified quasars, and quasars candidates). Additionally, in Table 3 we show transients alerted by AlertPipe and re-discovered using our search on the skewness – the reciprocal of von Neumann parameter space. The example light curve for the nuclear transients detected by the OldSource detector (Gaia17bib and Gaia17cff) with the discovery date is presented in Fig. 8. Two transients, Gaia17cff and Gaia17dko, were detected by AlertPipe in September 2017 and December 2017, respectively (so outside the period we consider for our independent search). Nevertheless, the transients are detected by our search using data collected until June 2017. That is, our search metric allowed the detection a few months earlier than the AlertPipe detection metric. The light curve of one of these transients is shown in Fig. 8 (bottom panel), where we indicate the data points that were not taken into account in the search described in this paper with grey open circles.
maximum versus the SDSS r-band (SDSSJ002957.05+325337.2) (Blagorodnova et al. 2017) was not discovered on one of the two field of views of PanSTARRS. It falls in the centre of the galaxy SDSSJ233855.86+433916.87, which is a candidate nuclear transient on the skewness – the reciprocal of von Neumann parameter plane.

3.4 Spectroscopic verification

For three objects with the most recent transients, we took classification spectra in late-August/mid-September. The observations were performed with the 4.2 m William Herschel Telescope (WHT) located on La Palma, Spain. An overview of the spectroscopic observations is presented in Table 4. The Gaia light curves, finding charts, and WHT spectra for three objects are presented in Figs 10, 11, and 12, respectively. The spectra were reduced with the standard steps such as a bias level subtraction, a flat-field correction, and a wavelength and flux calibration using IRAF. Cosmic rays were removed using the LACOSMIC package (van Dokkum 2001). The typical root mean square deviation of the applied wavelength solution is <0.2 Å. These classification spectra are also available in ascii file format from the online journal.

For the SDSS galaxy SDSSJ233855.86+433916.87, we found the counterpart source in the GSA DB (GNTJ233855.86+433916.86) which is a candidate nuclear transient on the skewness – the reciprocal of von Neumann parameter plane. The object was split into two separate sources due to an ambiguous cross-match during the Initial Data Treatment (for the further discussion on data cross-matching, see Fabricius et al. 2016 and Arenou et al. 2017), and hence, the transient was not detected by AlertPipe. In Fig. 10 (left-hand panels), we show the light curve, the SDSS finding chart, and the WHT spectrum taken at a late phase in the transient light curve. The galaxy was inactive for at least 2 yr. The recent flare of about 2 mag at maximum lasted for about 200 d. We obtained a redshift $\sim0.10$ from the WHT spectrum. The spectrum shows both a broad and a narrow H$\alpha$ emission line.

In Fig. 10 (right-hand panels), we show the light curve, the SDSS finding chart, and the WHT spectrum for candidate GNTJ232841.41+224847.96 in the galaxy SDSSJ232841.40+224848.02. In the light curve, we notice a rise of about 1 mag above the baseline, moreover previous variability is visible but on a much lower scale. The transient might be still active as it was still rising. The spectrum is similar to a broadline quasar at redshift $\sim0.129$. Several emission lines (H$\alpha$+N II, O I, S II, H$\beta$, O III) were detected.

The light curve of GNTJ002326.09+282112.86 (Fig. 11, lefthand panel) shows an outburst of 1 mag that started mid-2016 in the galaxy SDSSJ002326.10+282112.81. During 200 d the transient rose up to $G = 18.35$ mag. The absolute magnitude at maximum is $G = \sim22.14$ mag. The spectrum of the host is available from SDSS DR14, where the object was classified as a broadline QSO starburst at redshift $0.2426 \pm 0.0003$. This spectrum was taken in December 2015 well before the outburst started. We took spectra with the WHT on 2017 September 14 and on 2017 October 30. All three spectra are presented in Fig. 12 (left-hand panel). During the transient event, a broad red- and blue-shifted H$\alpha$ emission line appeared. The detailed fit of the complex H$\alpha$ wavelength region is presented in Fig. 13 and in Table 5. Several narrow emission lines and three broad components were fitted with Gaussian functions. The broad H$\alpha$ component is presented in velocity space in Fig. 13.

Figure 7. A corner plot of the amplitude of the rise of the transients in the Gaia light curve ($\Delta m$) versus the Gaia G-band magnitude at light curve maximum versus the SDSS r-band magnitude. The maximum brightness of the flares spans between 16 and 20.5 mag in the Gaia G band. About 160 transients are brighter than 19 mag and $\sim290$ that have a peak magnitude between 19 and 20 mag. Only 9 per cent of transient candidates have an amplitude of the rise greater than 1.0 mag (which is a requirement on one of the two ways in which the OldSource detector can indicate a transient event in the daily GSA system, both thresholds for the OldSource detector are indicated with the dashed green lines on the $\Delta m$ histogram). The amplitude of the transient event in the Gaia light curve in magnitude spans up to 3.62 mag and there is no dependence on the source brightness in the SDSS r band. Note that the lack of bright transients in bright SDSS sources ($r < 16$ mag) is consistent with being due to low number statistic in these magnitude bins.

3.3 Examples of known nuclear transients missed by GSA

There are various reasons why transients discovered in other surveys may not be discovered or alerted on by AlertPipe. The main reason lies in the AlertPipe settings (see Hodgkin et al. in preparation). For example, the TDE discovered by the intermediate Palomar Transient Factory (iPTF) survey at 66.6 Mpc in the centre of galaxy Mrk950 (SDSSJ002957.05+325337.2) (Blagorodnova et al. 2017) was not detected by AlertPipe. The reason for this is that iPTF16fnl was only detected on one of the two field of views of Gaia, the second field of view did not pass over the source 106.5 min later due to the satellite precession. The Gaia observation was taken after the transient declined (95 d later). In order for a transient to be found by AlertPipe, it must be detected in each of the Gaia field of views within 40 d of each other. This condition is not fulfilled here; hence, the transient was missed by AlertPipe even though it was relatively bright.

Another transient, the source PS17bgm (SN2017bcc), was detected by the Panoramic Survey Telescope and Rapid Response System (PanSTARRS). It falls in the centre of the galaxy SDSSJ113152.97+295944.8 (Taddia et al. 2017). Here, the host showed previous variability with an amplitude of 0.4 mag. Also, this transient was missed by AlertPipe as the outburst was not bright enough, the Gaia data point in outburst did not stand-out sufficiently compared to the detected baseline and its variability. The Gaia light curves for both transients are presented in Fig. 9.
Table 3. The GNT candidates previously alerted and published by GSA pipeline. The source list provides the GSA name, the GNT source name, the SDSS galaxy ID, the alerting date by GSA, the JD at the time of the peak.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>GSA ID</th>
<th>GNT ID</th>
<th>SDSS galaxy</th>
<th>Alerting date</th>
<th>JD peak</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Gaia16ajq</td>
<td>GNTJ145301.70+422127.82</td>
<td>SDSSJ145301.70+422127.82</td>
<td>2016 Mar 29</td>
<td>2016 Dec 02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gaia17ays</td>
<td>GNTJ121112.76+381641.48</td>
<td>SDSSJ121112.76+381641.48</td>
<td>2017 Apr 08</td>
<td>2017 Apr 08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gaia17bib</td>
<td>GNTJ100443.32−022427.32</td>
<td>SDSSJ100443.32−022427.32</td>
<td>2017 May 18</td>
<td>2017 May 18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gaia17cff</td>
<td>GNTJ171955.85+414049.46</td>
<td>SDSSJ171955.85+414049.45</td>
<td>2017 Sep 03</td>
<td>2017 Jun 10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gaia17dko</td>
<td>GNTJ124027.76−051400.77</td>
<td>SDSSJ124027.76−051400.71</td>
<td>2017 Dec 26</td>
<td>2017 May 28</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 8. Examples of light curves – known sources from GSA rediscovered by this search. The red dashed line indicates the discovery time by GSA. The grey open circles denote data collected after our transient search window ended (June 2017). The transient Gaia17cff (bottom) was discovered by AlertPipe on 2017 September 3. The event was detected in our independent search 2 months before AlertPipe announced the discovery.

Figure 9. Examples of light curves of transient sources discovered by other surveys but not by Gaia even though the sources were detected. The dashed red line indicates the discovery time. Top: The TDE iPTF16fnl detected by the iPTF survey (Blagorodnova et al. 2017) on 2016 August 29. Gaia observed the transient on 2016 August 26. Bottom: A candidate superluminous SN from the PanSTARRS survey – PS17bgn (Taddia et al. 2017) was discovered on 2017 February 18. The maximum of Gaia light curve was on 2017 January 2. In both cases, Gaia observed the transients before they were detected by the other surveys.

4 DISCUSSION

Blagorodnova et al. (2016) predicted that GSA will discover about 215 nuclear transients (from SNe and TDEs) per year brighter than 19 mag and with an increase in magnitude of 0.3 mag or more. These sources would be discovered using both the NewSource and OldSource detectors. Our study presented here comprises about one-third of the sky, and we report ~160 (~480) candidates for transients brighter than 19 mag (20.5 mag). All these transients were discovered using historical data from the GSA DB (the same used by the OldSource detector). Our sample does not contain spectroscopy we deem it likely that this candidate transient is due to peculiar AGN activity.
Table 4. An overview of optical spectroscopy of the targets and instrumental set-ups used to classify the most recent nuclear transient candidates. All spectra were taken with WHT. We provide the grating, the slit width and the seeing, the approximate wavelength coverage ($\lambda$), the exposure time $T_{\text{exp}}$ in seconds, and the number of exposures $N$. The reduced spectra are available from the online journal in ascii format.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>GNT ID</th>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Instrument</th>
<th>Grating</th>
<th>Slit (arc-sec)/seeing (arcsec)</th>
<th>$\lambda$ (Å)</th>
<th>$T_{\text{exp}}$ (s)</th>
<th>$N$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>GNTJ233855.86+433916.86</td>
<td>2017 Aug 27</td>
<td>ACAM</td>
<td>V400</td>
<td>1.0/0.7–1.5</td>
<td>5000–9000</td>
<td>900</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2017 Aug 28</td>
<td>ACAM</td>
<td>V400</td>
<td>1.0/0.8–1.5</td>
<td>5000–9000</td>
<td>900</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2017 Aug 27</td>
<td>ISIS</td>
<td>R600B, R600R</td>
<td>1.0/1.5–2.0</td>
<td>3800–7300</td>
<td>1800</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2017 Aug 29</td>
<td>ISIS</td>
<td>R300B, R316R</td>
<td>1.0/1.5–2.0</td>
<td>3000–8000</td>
<td>1800</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GNTJ232841.41+224847.96</td>
<td>2017 Aug 27</td>
<td>ACAM</td>
<td>V400</td>
<td>1.0/0.7–1.5</td>
<td>5000–9000</td>
<td>600</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GNTI002326.09+282112.86</td>
<td>2017 Sep 14</td>
<td>ISIS</td>
<td>R300B, R158R</td>
<td>1.0/1.0</td>
<td>3500–9000</td>
<td>1800</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2017 Sep 15</td>
<td>ISIS</td>
<td>R300B, R158R</td>
<td>1.0/1.0</td>
<td>3500–9000</td>
<td>1800</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2017 Oct 29</td>
<td>ISIS</td>
<td>R600B</td>
<td>1.0/0.7–1.0</td>
<td>4400–5800</td>
<td>1800</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2017 Oct 30</td>
<td>ISIS</td>
<td>R600R</td>
<td>1.0/0.7</td>
<td>7000–8500</td>
<td>1800</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 10. Examples of light curves (top panels) of candidate GNTs with SDSS finding charts (middle panels; 50 arcsec × 50 arcsec) and classification spectra (bottom panels). The spectra were taken in August 2017 (green dashed line in light-curve plots), at least 2 months after the transients were first detected in the Gaia data. Telluric features are corrected. Left: GNTJ233855.86+433916.86 transient in the SDSS galaxy with two Gaia sources. The object was split into two sources during the Initial Data Treatment. The merged light curve was analysed and presented in the top panel. The grey open circles denote Gaia data collected after the period that we employed for our transient search. The galaxy was inactive for at least last 2 yr. The recent flare of about 2 mag lasted for about 200 d. We obtained a redshift $\sim 0.10$. The broad and narrow H\alpha emission lines are visible. The broad component of the H\alpha emission line likely covers expected NII, OI, and SII emission lines. Right: In the light curve of transient GNTJ232841.41+224847.96, we notice a rise of about 1 mag above the baseline, moreover previous variability is visible. The spectrum is similar to that of a broadline quasar at redshift $\sim 0.129$. Several emission lines (H\alpha+NII, OI, SII, H\beta, O III) were detected. Note. The plots, light curves, and spectra for these objects are available in ascii format from the online journal.
Figure 11. Candidate nuclear transient GNTJ002326.09+282112.86. Left: The Gaia light curve analysed during the search (black full circles). The grey open circles denote data collected after our transient search window ended (June 2017 – these points were not taken into account during the analysis). The blue dot-dashed line indicates the time when the host spectrum was taken by SDSS (December 2015). The green dashed lines indicate the time of the WHT spectroscopic observations in September and October 2017. Right: The SDSS finding chart (50 arcsec × 50 arcsec). Note. The light curve for this object is available in ascii format from the online journal.

Figure 12. Spectra of the candidate nuclear transient GNTJ002326.09+282112.86. Top: An archival spectrum from SDSS DR14 obtained in December 2015. The object was classified by SDSS as a broadline QSO starburst at redshift \( 0.24262 \pm 0.00003 \). Two spectra to classify the outburst event were taken with the WHT on 2017 September 14 and on 2017 October 30 during outburst. During the outburst a very broad emission component appeared around the H\( \alpha \) line. The Y-axis of the spectra in this plot is the flux+constant value.

In attempt to address the nature of the transient sources discovered in our search, we investigated mid-IR Wide-field Infrared Survey Explorer (WISE) data. For 99.6 per cent of our sources, we obtained a cross-match within 6 arcsec (approximately the FWHM of the \( W1 \) WISE data) of which 78 per cent has robust measurements in the three \( W1, W2, \) and \( W3 \) filters (i.e. the detection in all bands \( W1, W2, \) and \( W3 \) has a flux signal-to-noise ratio greater than 2). Comparing the WISE colour–colour diagram (\( W2 - W3 \) versus \( W1 - W2 \), see Fig. 16) with that in Wright et al. (2010), we deduce that the majority of our sample of detected transients are from QSO-like objects.

For a subsample of the transient sources discovered in our search with spectroscopic redshifts provided by SDSS we obtained absolute magnitude values at the light-curve peak. The redshift range spans between 0 and 0.6. The histogram in Fig. 17 shows this subsample separated according to the SDSS classification of the source before the occurrence of the transient events, into galaxies and quasars. The absolute magnitude range spans between \(-17 \) and \(-26 \) mag with the brightest transient occur in hosts associated with quasars where the absolute magnitude of \(-26 \) mag is not unusual for...
Figure 13. Candidate nuclear transient GNTJ002326.09+282112.86. Top: The complex broad Hα region in the SDSS spectrum taken in December 2015. Red line – the best fit, black line – the data, dashed lines – fit components, bottom dotted line – residuals. We fitted the narrow emission lines OI, N II, S II, Hα, and one broad component around Hα with Gaussians. Bottom: The complex broad Hα region in the WHT spectrum taken in September 2017. Red line – the best fit, black line – the data, dashed lines – fit components, bottom dotted line – residuals. We fitted the narrow emission lines OI, N II, S II, Hα, and three broad components around Hα with Gaussians. The broad component was previously present; however, it changed and two new red- and blue-shifted parts appeared. The summary of fit results is presented in Table 5. The Hα region is also presented in velocity space.

this redshift range (Pâris et al. 2018). Fig. 17 includes 50 spectroscopically classified galaxies, and 92 QSOs, supporting our finding from the WISE data that a significant fraction of the transients we detect come from QSO-like objects. However, about one-third of our nuclear transients are associated with galaxies which are not classified as AGN by SDSS. Transients detected in these galaxies could possibly arise from circumnuclear SNe, TDEs, or AGN switching on, after they were classified in SDSS.

4.1 Validation of GSA

During the period of 1 year between July 2016 and June 2017 GSA discovered 48 transients in galaxy nuclei (i.e. transients observed within 0.5 arcsec from their host centre if the host is recognized using external catalogues). From this sample 22 events were detected by the OldSource detector. The rest of 26 transients detected by the NewSource detector could not be found by the method described here due to the lack of the historical measurements in the light curves. Sixteen events (from the sample detected in the OldSource detector) were discovered in the SDSS objects, but only five of them are photometrically classified as galaxies.

We re-discovered five transients in the centres of SDSS galaxies that were previously announced as transients by the GSA team (Gaia16ajq, Gaia17ays, Gaia17bib, Gaia17ciff, Gaia17dko, see Table 3). One source, Gaia16avf, that was alerted on was not re-discovered by our search. Another source, Gaia17arg, was discovered on the skewness – von Neumann plane but removed from the final list as the second field of view was pointed on the Galactic plane during the peak. One transient in the centre of an SDSS galaxy was also not found (Gaia17bjc). However, the host galaxy is fainter than 20 mag in SDSS r band, hence it was not included in our search. Fifteen transients from our final sample were found by AlertPipe but then rejected through automated filtering and human visual inspection, and finally not published (see Table 6). Further examination of the reasons for these rejections will be discussed in Hodgkin et al. (in preparation).

Fig. 18 shows the comparison between the properties of transients found by the OldSource detector and by the search in this study. The OldSource detector and GSA filtering tends to only find the brighter transients with high amplitude, whereas the transients detected on the skewness – von Neumann parameter space – are usually fainter and with lower amplitudes.

A significant number of transients were not alerted on by the regular GSA system. There are various reasons for this situation. Sometimes multiple source IDs are assigned by the Gaia Initial Data Treatment to galaxy cores (see Section 2.4 where we explain in detail how this works and how we corrected for this). We combine the magnitude measurement of different source IDs that actually belong to the same source, thereby recovering data points for the light curve of that object that increases the sensitivity of our detector. AlertPipe assumes that the Gaia Initial Data Treatment that matches sources detected during new observations with sources those previously detected on the basis of the first pass astrometric parameters of the objects works flawlessly. This helps with removing most of the close binary systems but because the centres of galaxies are not described well by a simple PSF profile more than one source might be assigned to a galaxy core. The presence of multiple entries for the same galaxy core in the GSA DB causes GSA to exclude these events from the Alerts stream. About 45 per cent of transients found in the study presented here were flagged during Gaia detection and cross-matching as confused with different sources within the GSA DB and thus discarded by the GSA. Another reason for a non-detection by the GSA system is that it currently requires a candidate transient to be detected at least once in each field of view within 40 d of each other, whereas Gaia’s scanning law implies that, like iPTF16fnl, the sky area of some transient sources is covered only by one of the two field of views in this 40-d window. For the sample presented in this paper, about 11 per cent of objects have a single detection within 40 d of the maximum of the Gaia light curve. The requirement of multiple detections mainly affects short transients, as the second observation may happen when the source is back to quiescence and it is not the main cause for missing new transients by GSA (the Gaia scanning law was taken into account in simulations by Blagorodnova et al. 2016). Moreover, 25 per cent of objects were detected more than once within the 40-d window; however, the detection was each time in the same field of view meaning that these candidates are rejected.
Table 5. A summary of fits of Gaussian to the Hα region in the spectrum of the candidate nuclear transient GNTJ002326.09+282112.86 taken in September 2017 and in the archival spectrum taken in December 2015. The spectra were fitted in the rest frame using redshift 0.24262. The apparent difference in full width at half-maximum (FWHM) for the narrow lines is caused by the different resolution of the spectra and not due to intrinsic widening.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Line</th>
<th>Wavelength (Å)</th>
<th>WHT Sep 2017 FWHM (Å)</th>
<th>EW (Å)</th>
<th>SDSS Dec 2015 FWHM (Å)</th>
<th>EW (Å)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Hα</td>
<td>6563.65 ± 0.11</td>
<td>10.89 ± 0.17</td>
<td>17.25 ± 0.44</td>
<td>6565.71 ± 0.06</td>
<td>8.87 ± 0.11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NII</td>
<td>6548.43 ± 0.26</td>
<td>10.89 ± 0.17</td>
<td>7.26 ± 0.36</td>
<td>6550.91 ± 0.19</td>
<td>8.87 ± 0.11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OII</td>
<td>6301.14 ± 0.13</td>
<td>10.89 ± 0.17</td>
<td>13.48 ± 0.40</td>
<td>6586.19 ± 0.08</td>
<td>8.87 ± 0.11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OI</td>
<td>6301.49 ± 0.37</td>
<td>10.89 ± 0.17</td>
<td>4.49 ± 0.29</td>
<td>6303.18 ± 0.28</td>
<td>8.87 ± 0.11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SII</td>
<td>6731.33 ± 0.28</td>
<td>10.89 ± 0.17</td>
<td>6.43 ± 0.32</td>
<td>6733.75 ± 0.21</td>
<td>8.87 ± 0.11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hα</td>
<td>6499.76 ± 6.22</td>
<td>314.87 ± 13.98</td>
<td>124.15 ± 11.97</td>
<td>6550.27 ± 1.73</td>
<td>245.82 ± 5.54</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hα blue</td>
<td>6497.39 ± 0.67</td>
<td>91.52 ± 2.82</td>
<td>88.71 ± 4.11</td>
<td>– – –</td>
<td>– – –</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hα red</td>
<td>6635.86 ± 0.71</td>
<td>76.67 ± 2.31</td>
<td>60.37 ± 2.73</td>
<td>– – –</td>
<td>– – –</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 14. The map of all our candidate transients in Galactic coordinates, i.e. the 6k candidates (green dots), before our eyeballing reduced this to ∼480 (magenta diamonds). Most of the real transients were detected far from the Galactic plane. A significant number of false positives is located close to the Galactic disc (about 33 per cent). Hence, removing the area of the Galaxy disc and bulge would decrease the number of false positives and objects to vet without losing (many) real transients (about 50 from ∼480).

Figure 15. The false-positive rate on the skewness versus the reciprocal of von Neumann statistic plane. The percentage of rejected sources during the final vetting of the light curves and finding charts is presented. Only 8 per cent of selected objects on the skewness – von Neumann parameter space – were deemed to be transient events. There are regions on the skewness versus the reciprocal of von Neumann statistic plane that are very efficient with finding nuclear transients candidates.

Figure 16. The WISE colour–colour diagram of our sample of transients discovered in the nuclei of galaxies. For 99.6 per cent of selected objects we found a corresponding source in the AllWise data base exists. We plotted the 78 per cent of objects with robust measurements in the W1, W2, and W3 filters (i.e. the source is detected in all bands W1, W2, and W3 with a flux signal-to-noise ratio greater than 2). The sample is dominated by QSO-like objects (W1 − W2 > 0.5 for ∼74 per cent of hosts). A small fraction of our sources have WISE colours consistent with those of elliptical galaxies (W2 − W3 ∼ 1). The sample also contains spirals and starburst galaxies (W1 − W2 < ∼0.5 and W2 − W3 ∼ 1). The typical colours and location on the WISE colour–colour diagram for various types of objects can be found in Wright et al. (2010).

by GSA. Because of these three reasons at least 56 per cent of the sources found by the method detailed in this paper could not be detected by AlertPipe. The fractions given above (45, 11, 25 per cent) cannot be directly added as a particular candidate transient might be rejected for multiple reasons. Several other AlertPipe thresholds set to reduce the number of false positives also reduce the number of nuclear transients such as the minimum difference between the magnitude of the latest photometric data point and the median from the previous detections. Similarly, the threshold that measures the difference between the historic variability (expressed in the rms of the light curve) and the significance of the latest data point is set such that many nuclear transients are missed as variability may be induced artificially due to the different angles with which Gaia scans over a galaxy and the observational windows of a rectangular shape (Ducourant et al. 2014).
transients with a narrow parameter space provides a new window into the discovery of transients. In this paper, we have demonstrated that the skewness – von Neumann parameter space is especially true if the host is present in Gaia DR2. We notice that several candidates for nuclear transients were rejected due to Gaia internal cross-match issues, but this should be solved after publishing Gaia Data Release 2 where the majority of close binary systems should be resolved.

### 5 SUMMARY

We present results from an independent search for transients occurring in the centres of galaxies within the Gaia DB. The search was performed using the same data base, although with tools that are separate from the AlertPipe system that has been used by the GSA team to search and report transients on a daily basis. A clean sample of remaining photometrically classified galaxies from SDSS DR12 were cross-matched with the Gaia DB and light curves were built from the Gaia photometry. Using mainly von Neumann and skewness statistics for light curves about 6k candidates for nuclear transients were found and manually filtered to produce a final set of ~160 (~480) candidates for transients brighter than 19 mag (20.5 mag) during the period of 12 months between mid-2016 and mid-2017. However, significant manual vetting of candidate nuclear transients has been necessary to arrive to this number of 482 candidate nuclear transients as our statistical search provided about 12 times as many candidates. The sample may contain contaminants (i.e. data artefacts and unresolved binaries). According to the WISE colours, and SDSS classification spectroscopy, a significant fraction of the transients we discovered may be due to AGN activity. Discrimination between different classes of transients and nuclear activity is impossible without extensive spectroscopic follow-up. Here, we obtained classification spectra for three candidates with peculiar transient behaviour. Implementing the even higher accuracy astrometry afforded by Gaia will be useful to confirm the nuclear nature of a transient and/or to derive the offset between the exact transient position and the galaxy’s centre. This parameter space is essential for studies of TDEs and other phenomena associated with galactic nuclei only.
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Table 2. The list of Gaia Nuclear Transient (GNT) candidates. The source list provides the GNT source name, the SDSS galaxy ID, the coordinates RA, and Dec. from Gaia in decimal degrees, the SDSS r-band brightness, the Gaia G-band median brightness, the Gaia G-band peak brightness, the JD at the time of the peak, the skewness parameter γ, the von Neumann η parameter (see the text on how these are calculated), and the SDSS spectral classification with redshift (if available).