Efficacy of Fluorine-18-Deoxyglucose Positron Emission Tomography in Detecting Tumor Recurrence After Local Ablative Therapy for Liver Metastases: A Prospective Study


Purpose: The aims of this prospective study were to investigate the potential role of fluorine-18-deoxyglucose (FDG) positron emission tomography (PET) in determining the efficacy of the local tumor ablative process and to determine the added value of FDG-PET in the detection of tumor recurrence during follow-up.

Patients and Methods: Twenty-three patients with unresectable colorectal liver metastases were followed up after local ablative therapy consisting of a standard protocol including FDG-PET scanning, computed tomography (CT) scanning, and carcinoembryonic antigen measurements. The mean follow-up period was 16 months (range, 10 to 21 months).

Results: Ninety-six lesions was treated, 56 by local ablative treatment. Within 3 weeks after local ablative treatment, 51 lesions became photopenic on FDG-PET, while five lesions (in five patients) showed persistent activity on FDG-PET. In four of five FDG-PET-positive lesions, a local recurrence developed during follow-up; one FDG-PET-positive lesion turned out to be an abscess. None of the FDG-PET-negative lesions developed a local recurrence during a mean follow-up period of 16 months. During follow-up, 11 patients showed recurrence in the liver outside of the treated area. In all cases, previously negative FDG-PET scans became positive. Extrahepatic recurrence was encountered in nine patients during follow-up; FDG-PET showed all nine cases of tumor recurrence. There was one false-positive FDG-PET caused by an intra-abdominal abscess.

Conclusion: FDG-PET seems to have a significant impact in measuring treatment efficacy directly after local ablative therapy. Furthermore, FDG-PET has an added value in patient follow-up because it reveals recurrences earlier than conventional diagnostic modalities.

Surgical Resection

Surgical resection is the treatment of choice in patients with colorectal liver metastases. The 5-year survival rate after resection of colorectal liver metastases ranges between 25% and 40%, with a median survival time between 28 and 46 months.14,15 Recently, local ablative techniques such as cryosurgery ablation (CSA) and radiofrequency ablation (RFA) have received considerable attention for the treatment of colorectal liver metastases.7-11 Until now, these techniques have mainly been used as adjuncts to hepatic resection in patients in whom complete tumor clearance of the liver cannot be obtained by resection alone. CSA and RFA are performed during intraoperative ultrasound monitoring in order to assure complete tumor treatment. During CSA, an “ice ball” is formed that leads to a hypoechogenic area which should include all tumor tissue. During RFA, air bubbles seen in the treated lesion create a hyperechogenic area.12,13 Despite improvements, the extensive use of local ablative techniques is still hampered by limitations in monitoring of the destruction process at the time of treatment. The frequency of incomplete treatment and hence local recurrence after local ablation is relatively high. After CSA, local recurrence at the cryosite is observed in 2% to 44% of patients. For RFA, these figures (lesion-based analysis) range between 2% and 55%.16

After local ablative therapy, local recurrence and hence treatment failures are, unless at a late stage, not easily identified with anatomic imaging modalities such as computed tomography (CT) scans and ultrasound. With these techniques, normal postablative treatment effects can hardly be differentiated from residual tumor or recurrent disease.12 More accurate imaging modalities evaluating the treatment efficacy of local tumor ablation will not only improve the evaluation of these new ablative techniques in liver surgery but also offer the opportunity for early reintervention by either surgery or repeated local ablation in case of an insufficient initial treatment result. Positron emission tomography (PET) with fluorine-18-deoxyglucose (FDG) is an imaging modality that allows direct evaluation of cellular glucose metabolism. Being a functional imaging modality, FDG-PET may be of added value in differentiating between residual tumor, recurrent disease, and postoperative treatment effects. In this study, we investigated (1) the potential role of FDG-PET in measuring the efficacy of local tumor ablation as well as (2) the added value of FDG-PET in the detection of tumor recurrence during follow-up.

Patient Population

Between April 1998 and July 2000, 23 patients with unresectable colorectal liver metastases were treated using local tumor ablation by means of CSA or RFA. Local ablation was considered when patients met the following criteria: (1) metastases confined to the liver and judged unresectable due to technical considerations related to location or extent of the disease; (2) number of metastatic deposits was 10 or less; and (3) local tumor ablation alone or in combination with resection allowed complete eradication of tumor from the liver. In all patients, the preoperative work-up consisted of a CT scan of the abdomen and chest, a whole-body FDG-PET scan, a barium
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enema of the colon or coloscopy, and serum carcinoembryonic antigen (CEA) measurements. No postoperative adjuvant chemotherapy was given.

**Operative Technique**

Surgery was performed through an extended right subcostal incision. The abdominal cavity was thoroughly explored to exclude extrahepatic disease. Biopsy specimens and fresh frozen sections were taken in case of suspicious lymph nodes or peritoneal deposits. The liver was mobilized from its ligamentous attachments, and the extent of the disease was mapped by careful palpation and intraoperative ultrasound. The number of lesions and their relationships to the major biliary and vascular structures were determined, and final resectability was assessed. Large tumor size and locoregional invasion of perihilar structures such as the diaphragm were not considered a contraindication to operation as long as resection could clear all tumor tissue. During all surgical procedures, resection was considered to be the treatment of choice. When complete resection could not be achieved, the decision was made either to perform CSA or RFA alone or to combine resection of the resectable lesions with local ablative therapy of unresectable deposits. In general, CSA was used during the early period of the study, and RFA was used during the last 10 months of the study when this technique became available in our clinic.

**Technique Hepatic Cryosurgery**

After localization of the liver metastases, a cryoprobe (LCS 2000; Spemby Medical, Hampshire, United Kingdom) was introduced under ultrasound guidance into the center of the tumor. During introduction, major vascular and biliary structures were avoided. After accurate positioning of one or more cryoprobes(s), liquid nitrogen was driven through the probe at −196°C. During the freezing process, continuous ultrasound monitoring of the lesion was carried out to ensure that the ice ball, visible as a hypoechoic area around the tip of the cryoprobe, exceeded the diameter of the lesion by approximately 1 cm. Two freeze-thaw cycles per lesion were performed. Inflow occlusion (the Pringle maneuver) was sometimes used to increase the efficacy of the freezing process. In general, separate lesions were frozen sequentially rather than simultaneously. After completion of the freezing procedure, cryoprobes were removed and the probe entry site was filled with fibrin sealant (Tissucol; Baxter, Utrecht, the Netherlands).

**Hepatic Radiofrequency Technique**

For RFA, the Cool-tip system (Radionics; Tyco Healthcare Group LP, Burlington, MA) was used. Under ultrasound guidance, the cooled-tip electrode was placed in the center of the tumor. For lesions smaller than 3 cm in diameter, a single cooled-tip electrode was used; for lesions larger than 3 cm, RFA was performed with a cooled-tip cluster electrode. For lesions larger than 4 cm, tumor ablation was achieved by several probe insertions. RFA is based on alternating current through the tissue, thereby creating friction on a molecular level. This results in increased intracellular temperature and localized interstitial heating. During the heating process, air bubbles arise around the tip of the electrode, which creates a hypoechoic area around the probe. In RFA, not only should the tumor be necrotized but also a 0.5- to 1.0-cm thick rim (ie, a surgical safety margin) of peritumoral liver tissue should be treated in order to destroy infiltrating tumor and reduce the risk of recurrence.

**Imaging**

**FDG-PET scanning.** A dedicated, rotating, half-ring FDG-PET scanner (ECAT-ART; SiemensCTI, Knoxville, TN) was used for data acquisition. Before injection of FDG, patients fasted for at least 6 hours. Intake of sugar-free liquids was permitted. Immediately before the procedure, the patients were hydrated with 500 mL of water. One hour after intravenous injection of 200 to 220 MBq of FDG (Mallinckrodt Medical, Petten, the Netherlands) and 20 mg of furosemide, emission images or emission and transmission images were acquired of the area between the proximal femora and the base of the skull (10 minutes per bed position). When only an emission study was recorded, the images were not corrected for attenuation and reconstructed using filtered backprojection (Butterworth filter with a cutoff frequency of 0.4 Nyquist). When emission and transmission studies were recorded, the images were corrected for attenuation and reconstructed using the ordered subsets expectation maximization algorithm. Recon-structured images were displayed in coronal, transverse, and sagittal planes. FDG-PET scans were evaluated by at least two nuclear physicians. FDG-PET scans obtained immediately after local tumor ablation were scored for the presence or absence of residual FDG activity. After successful CSA or RFA, a photopenic area was seen on FDG-PET scans. Areas of focal FDG accumulation greater than background activity were interpreted as being pathologic.

**CT scanning.** CT examinations of the abdomen and chest were performed with a spiral CT scanner Somatom Volume Zoom; Siemens, Erlangen, Germany). All patients received diluted ionic oral contrast 1 hour before the CT examination. The liver was scanned before and after intravenous contrast injection. By use of an Envision CT injector (Medrad, Pittsburgh, PA) at a rate of 4 mL/sec, intravenous contrast material was injected through an 18-gauge catheter placed in an antecubital vein. A total of 100 mL of iohexol nonionic contrast material (Omnipaque, iodine 350 mg/mL; Nycomed, Princeton, NJ) was injected. The liver was scanned in the venous phase, 70 seconds after start of the injection. Both unenhanced and enhanced helical sequences were performed at 120 kV and 15 to 300 mAS. Contiguous reconstructed sections (pitch 1:1) were obtained with 7-mm collimation. All examination results were stored on an optical disk for further review. In addition, hard copies of the scans were obtained for both series. Each hard copy was analyzed separately by two independent reviewers (G.J., S.P.S.). Consensus was obtained in all cases. Second scans were read with knowledge of the first scans (reference scans). A sharply demarcated, hypoattenuated, nonenhanced area, which decreases in size on follow-up scans, was interpreted to mean that there was no residue. An enhanced hyperemic rim around the margin of the ablated tissue was considered ablation-induced hyperemia. A faint, irregular, hypoattenuated area around the margins of the ablated tumor that increased in size on follow-up scans was interpreted as residual tumor.

**Postablation Analysis and Follow-Up**

Within 3 weeks after local ablative treatment, FDG-PET, spiral abdominal CT, and serum CEA measurements were performed. To determine treatment efficacy, the postoperative and preoperative measurements were compared. The results of these immediately postoperative investigations became the reference for further follow-up investigations. After these initial investigations, standard follow-up consisted of FDG-PET, spiral abdominal CT, spiral chest CT, and serum CEA measurements at 6 weeks after treatment and every 3 months thereafter.

**Data Analysis**

CT and FDG-PET images were evaluated by an independent investigator blinded to the results of CEA measurements. For every region, the concordance between CT and FDG-PET findings was verified. In case of a discordance, the FDG-PET findings were compared with the true lesion status, obtained by histopathologic confirmation (n = 1) and/or clinical follow-up by repeated imaging at least 9 months after the discordant observation. All recurrences showed a clear tendency to be enhanced on CT and FDG-PET scans. Discordant findings were subsequently classified as a true-positive, false-negative, true-negative, or false-negative. The mean follow-up period in this prospective study was 16 months (range, 10 to 21 months).

**RESULTS**

**Patients and Treatment Characteristics**

Seventeen patients were treated by CSA and six patients by RFA (Table 1). Sixteen of the 23 patients had synchronous metastases, and seven showed metachronous metastases. The number of liver lesions per patient varied from one to 10. In all patients treated by CSA, local tumor ablation of unresectable lesions was performed in combination with hepatic resection of resectable tumor deposits. Of the six patients who underwent RFA, two patients were treated with both RFA and hepatic resection; the other four patients were treated by RFA alone.

The number of nodules treated by CSA and RFA ranged from one to seven, with a median number of 2.1 lesions for CSA and 3.5 lesions for RFA. The size of the metastases treated by CSA and RFA, two patients were treated with both RFA and hepatic resection of resectable tumor deposits. Of the six patients who underwent RFA, two patients were treated with both RFA and hepatic resection; the other four patients were treated by RFA alone.
varied from 1 cm to 8 cm, and for RFA, from 1 cm to 6 cm. All patients completed follow-up according to the protocol; there was no postoperative mortality. However, in one patient, the first postoperative FDG-PET scan was canceled due to clear abscess formation in the liver area. The mean follow-up period for patients was 16 months (range, 10 to 21 months).

Immediately Postoperative Imaging

**Patient-based posttreatment analysis.** In all 23 patients, CT scans taken within 3 weeks after local ablative therapy showed hypodense treatment areas without any evidence of tumor remnants. Air in the ablated lesions was seen on the initial CT scan in most cases. An abscess was found in one patient treated with CSA. In a second patient treated with RFA, initial CT findings were not specific (enhanced rim and presence of air) while an abscess developed several weeks after treatment.

Directly after local ablative therapy (< 3 weeks), FDG-PET was performed for 22 patients. In the patient with an abscess diagnosed shortly after CSA, FDG-PET scanning was not performed. In 17 of these 22 patients, the FDG-PET results became negative after treatment (Table 2). In five patients, FDG-PET scans remained positive (Fig 1). Three of these five patients had been treated with CSA and two with RFA. In these patients, FDG-PET scans showed focally increased activity at the edge of the treated lesions. Four of these five patients developed a local recurrence at the ablated site during follow-up. In two patients with local recurrence at the ablated site, the tumors were in the proximity of major intrahepatic blood vessels. One patient treated with RFA seemed to develop an abscess in the treated area, which led to a false-positive FDG-PET scan. In patients who also underwent resection, the operation did not significantly affect FDG-PET scanning because the area of resection did not provide artifacts on FDG-PET.

**Lesion-based posttreatment analysis.** In the group of 23 patients, a total of 96 lesions were treated, 56 of them by local ablative therapy. After treatment, 51 lesions became negative on FDG-PET scans, while five lesions still showed activity on FDG-PET scans. In four of five FDG-PET–positive lesions, a local recurrence was detected within 6 months after treatment; one FDG-PET–positive lesion turned out to be an abscess (Table 3). Conversely, none of the FDG-PET–negative lesions developed into a local recurrence during a mean follow-up period of

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 1. Treatment Characteristics</th>
<th>CSA</th>
<th>RFA</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>No. of patients</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male/female</td>
<td>11/6</td>
<td>4/2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age, years</td>
<td>Mean 63.7 62</td>
<td>Range 51-78 53-72</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total no. of treated lesions</td>
<td>Mean 4.1 4.3</td>
<td>Range 1-10 1-10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No. of lesions treated with local ablative therapy</td>
<td>Mean 2.1 3.5</td>
<td>Range 1-7 1-7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diameter of lesions treated with local ablative therapy, cm</td>
<td>Mean 2.6 2.4</td>
<td>Range 1-8 1-6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>With/without additional resection</td>
<td>17/0</td>
<td>2/4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2. Patient-Based Analysis of FDG-PET Immediately After Local Tumor Ablation

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>CSA</th>
<th>RFA</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>No. of patients with positive FDG-PET before treatment</td>
<td>17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No. of patients with negative FDG-PET after treatment</td>
<td>13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No. of patients with positive FDG-PET after treatment</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No. of patients who developed local recurrence at ablated site during follow-up</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*One patient did not have the early postoperative FDG-PET due to an abscess. †One patient had an abscess.
16 months (range, 10 to 21 months). The positive predictive value for the detection of local recurrence in lesions with a positive FDG-PET scan after treatment was 80%; the negative predictive value was 100%.

**Imaging During Follow-Up**

**Liver.** Four patients developed local recurrence at the treated site. As mentioned earlier, in all of these cases initial FDG-PET scans (within 3 weeks after local tumor ablation) showed focal and slightly irregular uptake in the rim of the treated lesion. Unequivocal diagnosis of local recurrence, however, was made when abnormal FDG accumulation typically progressed during follow-up. Therefore, definite diagnosis of local recurrence by FDG-PET was scored after 0.5, 3, 6, and 6 months. On CT scans, these recurrences became apparent several months later, at 4, 9, 9, and 12 months, respectively. The mean time point of definite detection by FDG-PET was 3.8 months, compared with 8.5 months for CT. One patient proved to have an abscess, which led to a false-positive FDG-PET scan.

Eleven patients developed recurrent disease in the liver outside the treated area during follow-up. All recurrences were detected by FDG-PET as well as by CT. The time of detection, however, varied between FDG-PET and CT. These recurrences were definitely determined by FDG-PET at 3 months (n = 3), 6 months (n = 2), 9 months (n = 2), 12 months (n = 3), and 14 months (n = 1) after treatment. On CT scans, these recurrences became apparent several months later, at 6 months (n = 3), 9 months (n = 2), 12 months (n = 3), 18 months (n = 2), and 21 months (n = 1). The mean time point of detection by FDG-PET was 8.1 months, compared with 11.7 months for CT (Table 4).

**Extrahepatic.** Nine patients developed extrahepatic recurrences during follow-up. Two patients had extrahepatic recurrences at multiple sites. In six patients, extrahepatic recurrences were located in the lungs; in one patient, recurrence was located in the abdominal wall; in three patients, extrahepatic metastases were intra-abdominal; and one patient had cerebral metastases (this patient died 10 months after local ablative treatment). Extrahepatic recurrences were definitely determined by FDG-PET at 0.5 months (n = 1), 6 months (n = 3), 9 months (n = 2), 12 months (n = 2), and 15 months (n = 1). In the first patient, the preoperative FDG-PET scan was suspicious but was judged not convincing until progression of the lesion on FDG-PET at 2 weeks after surgery. In one patient, a false-positive outcome was observed because of an extraphepatic abscess. On CT scans, extrahepatic tumor lesions became apparent several months later, at 3 months (n = 1), 6 months (n = 1), 9 months (n = 3), 12 months (n = 2), and 18 months (n = 1). Furthermore, in one patient pulmonary metastases were initially missed on the CT scan, while the FDG-PET scan showed obvious multiple pulmonary metastases; however, these metastases could be seen on the CT scan in retrospect. The mean time point of detection of extrahepatic recurrence by FDG-PET was 8.4 months, compared with 9.8 months for CT.

**CEA Measurements**

CEA seemed not to be a very sensitive indicator for tumor recurrence. Ten patients did not show an elevated CEA level before operation (non-CEA secretors). Only 10 (59%) of 17 patients who had a recurrence of disease during follow-up showed elevated CEA levels (> 5 ng/mL). Of the seven patients with recurrence and no elevated CEA levels during follow-up, two patients were non-CEA secretors at the time of the operation. The other five patients showed normalized CEA levels after local tumor ablation and did not show any increase of CEA at the time of recurrence (Table 4).

**DISCUSSION**

This study shows the potential role of FDG-PET in measuring the efficacy of local tumor ablation as well as the added value of FDG-PET in the detection of tumor recurrence during follow-up. The efficacy of local tumor ablation is an important prognostic factor for patients undergoing these procedures. During the process of local ablation, the destruction process cannot easily be ascertained. Ultrasound monitoring is generally used for initial positioning of the RFA or cryoprobe and to ascertain whether the cryolesion seen as a hypoechic area or the radiofrequency-treated area seen as a hyperechic area completely engulfs the tumor. Whether indeed tumor-cell kill occurs within these areas is, however, difficult to confirm. Temperatures reached at the edge of (large) lesions may be inadequate. Furthermore, major blood vessels may serve as “heat sinks” and prevent adequate ablation of immediately adjacent tumor. In large lesions, in which multiple probe insertions are necessary, additional repositioning of the electrode may become obscured because of the hypoechic/hyperechic focus appearing around the distal probe.

---

### Table 3. Lesion-Based Analysis of FDG-PET and Local Recurrence After Local Tumor Ablation

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>FDG-PET positive</th>
<th>Recurrence</th>
<th>No Recurrence</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>51</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

### Table 4. Tumor Recurrence During Follow-Up

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Total No. of Patients With Recurrence</th>
<th>Elevated CEA</th>
<th>Mean Time of Detection of Recurrence by CEA (months)</th>
<th>FDG-PET Positive</th>
<th>Mean Time of Detection of Recurrence by PET (months)</th>
<th>CT Positive</th>
<th>Mean Time of Detection of Recurrence by CT (months)</th>
<th>Time Difference in FDG-PET and CT Detection (months)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Local recurrence</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>5†</td>
<td>3.8</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>8.5</td>
<td>4.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Liver recurrence outside treated area</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>12.3</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>11.7</td>
<td>3.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Extrahepatic recurrence</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>12.6</td>
<td>10§</td>
<td>8.4</td>
<td>9.8</td>
<td>1.4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Normal CEA < 5 ng/mL.

†One patient had an abscess at the ablated area in the liver.

‡One patient had an extrahepatic abscess.

§In one patient only (in retrospect), pulmonary metastases were visible on spiral chest CT scan.
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during the application of thermal energy. Monitoring of treatment efficacy in these situations again is difficult.

PET using FDG has emerged as a promising diagnostic modality in patients with colorectal liver metastases. Unlike conventional diagnostic modalities, such as CT scans and ultrasound, which require anatomic alterations for detection of malignancy, FDG-PET provides information on tumor growth based on increased glucose uptake and metabolism of malignant cells.

In this study, 51 lesions became FDG-PET negative directly after local ablative therapy, meaning that FDG-accumulating liver metastases became photopenic. Five lesions remained FDG-PET positive. In four of five FDG-PET–positive lesions, a local recurrence was detected within a mean follow-up period of 16 months; one FDG-PET–positive lesion was an abscess. Conversely, none of the FDG-PET–negative lesions developed a local recurrence in the liver during follow-up. The positive predictive value for the detection of local recurrence in lesions with a positive FDG-PET scan after treatment was 80%; the negative predictive value was 100%. FDG-PET was able to give accurate information about eventual local recurrences at a significantly earlier stage than CT. In the future, this information initially given by FDG-PET should lead to further investigations to collect more precise anatomic information, by CT for example. In this way, FDG-PET determines the need for further investigations and guides the reading of the CT scan, which on its own is difficult to interpret in the early period after local ablative therapy. This combined information (FDG-PET and CT scans) offers the opportunity to re-treat tumors at an early stage. Although the aims of our study were to investigate the potential role of FDG-PET in determining the efficacy of the local tumor ablative process and to determine the added value of FDG-PET in the detection of tumor recurrence during follow-up, we have performed a second treatment in one patient in whom a lesion remained FDG-PET positive after treatment. At the moment, after our assessment of the value of FDG-PET after local ablative therapy, we now actually do administer a second ablative treatment on any lesions that remain positive.

During further follow-up after local tumor ablation, 11 patients developed recurrent disease in the liver outside the treated area. All recurrences were detected by FDG-PET as well as by CT. The time of detection, however, varied between FDG-PET and CT. The mean time point of detection by FDG-PET was 8.1 months, compared with 11.7 months with CT. In two patients, a surgical reintervention was performed; in the other nine patients, chemotherapy was started.

In cases of extrahepatic tumor recurrence, FDG-PET also seemed to be a sensitive modality. In all patients who developed extrahepatic recurrence, FDG-PET scans were positive; in one patient, a false-negative outcome was observed because of an extrahepatic abscess. One extrahepatic recurrence (pulmonary metastases) was initially missed on the CT scan but detected by FDG-PET. In retrospect, these pulmonary metastases could be seen on the CT scan. The mean time point of detection of extrahepatic recurrence by FDG-PET was 8.4 months, compared with 9.8 months for CT. Two patients with pulmonary metastases underwent resection during follow-up. The other patients received chemotherapy.

Thus, in addition to the predictive value of immediate FDG-PET in the detection of local tumor recurrence in the liver after tumor ablation, there may also be a role for FDG-PET during further follow-up. Recurrences of disease outside the local ablated area are also detected earlier by FDG-PET than by CT. In our series, four patients underwent surgical reintervention after the detection of recurrent disease outside the local ablated area.

Since FDG is not a tumor-specific substance, an inflammatory process also accumulates the tracer due to increased metabolic activity of leukocytes and macrophages. This is the major well-known source of false-positive FDG-PET results. We also observed two false-positive FDG-PET results, once due to abscess formation in the treated area of the liver and once due to an extraneoplastic abscess in the abdomen. These two false-positive FDG-PET readings did not result in a treatment alteration, because FDG-PET was not considered the decisive modality during this study. Postponing the FDG-PET study in case of evidence of active focal infection may prevent false-positive FDG-PET readings. Another limitation of FDG-PET is the limited spatial resolution, which may lead to false-negative results when the lesions are small (<1 cm). False-negative results may also occur because of an increased fasting blood glucose level or manifest diabetes mellitus. In some studies, patients with diabetes were therefore excluded. Two of the patients in this study had diabetes mellitus. No false-negative results were observed in these patients during follow-up. However, blood glucose levels were always checked as we were aware of this potential source of error.

For over a decade it has been recognized that PET can be used to detect recurrences from colorectal cancer after FDG administration. With regard to extraneoplastic recurrence, some studies even demonstrate that FDG-PET is more sensitive than conventional cross-sectional imaging methods. Delbeke et al found in a series of 52 patients with recurrent colorectal cancer that FDG-PET was more accurate than CT for the detection of extraneoplastic disease (92% vs 71%, respectively). Fong et al showed unexpected extraneoplastic disease detected by FDG-PET in 10 of 40 patients. This finding was confirmed by others. We showed in a recent prospective study that FDG-PET led to clinically relevant extraneoplastic findings different from those found with conventional imaging in nine of 51 patients analyzed for hepatic resection of colorectal liver metastases. In this study, FDG-PET findings led to a change in clinical management in 20% of patients. In the present study, we confirm our earlier observation and show furthermore that FDG-PET reveals extraneoplastic recurrences earlier than CT.

For the detection of liver metastases, however, the value of FDG-PET has not been fully determined. Spiral CT is currently regarded as the best method for evaluating the anatomy and resectability of colorectal liver metastases. The extent of liver involvement and the relationship of the metastases to the biliary and vascular structures generally determine resectability of liver metastases. Therefore, given the limited anatomic information provided at this stage by FDG-PET, FDG-PET by itself will not become a substitute for the anatomic imaging provided by CT. With regard to sensitivity of tumor detection, however, several authors have reported a higher accuracy for FDG-PET compared with spiral CT. In a series by Vitola et al, the sensitivity for the detection of liver metastases by FDG-PET and CT was 93% and 76%, respectively. In a study by Hustinx et al, the values were 92% and 85%, respectively. However, sensitivity of FDG-PET for colorectal liver metastases is considered to be directly related to tumor size, as described by Fong et al. They found that in
lesions less than 1 cm the sensitivity of FDG-PET decreased considerably to 21%. Surprisingly, our current study shows an early detection of liver recurrence, so FDG-PET was able to detect recurrences even when the lesions were small. An explanation may be the relatively high contrast of FDG-accumulating tumor remnants as compared with the photopenic background of the CSA and RFA lesions, which facilitate identification of pathologic uptake.

In this study, we routinely performed two-phase CT, as is generally recommended in the follow-up of patients after RFA of colorectal liver metastases.29 This is in contrast to treatment of patients with suspected recurrence of hepatocellular carcinoma after local tumor ablation. For hepatocellular carcinoma, three-phase CT is recommended because recurrence is best depicted in the early arterial phase.30 As stated by Chopra et al,31 however, arterial phase images are not recommended in patients with colorectal liver metastases after radiofrequency because they do not provide any additional information.

In our series, local recurrence after local tumor ablation was observed in 17% of the patients during a follow-up period of at least 16 months. Lesion-based analysis showed a local recurrence rate of 7% after local tumor ablation. This value for local recurrence is low in comparison with a local recurrence rate of 44% described by Adam et al.32 Others, however, have also reported local recurrence rates on a lesion basis as low as 2.5% to 9%.14,32

In conclusion, it seems that FDG-PET performed early after treatment provides additional information about the efficacy of local tumor ablation by differentiating posttreatment changes from residual or recurrent malignant tumor. This study also provides evidence that FDG-PET has an added value in the detection of tumor recurrence during follow-up. FDG-PET reveals hepatic recurrences in or outside the treated area as well as extrahepatic recurrences earlier than conventional follow-up, ie, CT and CEA measurement.

The present study suggests that FDG-PET may become the primary diagnostic tool to detect local recurrence after CSA or RFA, guiding the determination of whether other imaging techniques are needed (in case of a positive FDG-PET scan). However, larger studies are needed to define the precise impact of FDG-PET as a follow-up measure after local ablative therapy.
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