

PDF hosted at the Radboud Repository of the Radboud University Nijmegen

The following full text is a publisher's version.

For additional information about this publication click this link.

<http://hdl.handle.net/2066/195622>

Please be advised that this information was generated on 2019-11-22 and may be subject to change.

Related Acquisition Dynamics


How Timing and Magnitude Affect Employee Engagement

Vincent de Gooyert¹, Rick Aalbers¹, Andreas Größler²

Centre for Organization Restructuring

¹Institute for Management Research, Radboud University

² Department of Operations Management, University of Stuttgart



Problem & methodology

This study extends current understanding of the downsizing-employee engagement relationship in the dynamics of an M&A trajectory. We argue that the effectiveness of related acquisitions -- in terms of post-deal productivity benefits to be reaped -- is contingent on two major preparatory actions: the timing of downsizing in relation to the moment of acquisitions and the magnitude of such downsizing. We model an acquisition and downsizing event based on system dynamics with a non-linear effect of both acquisition and downsizing on employee engagement.

Results

Our findings indicate that while every organization has some resilience in dealing with exogenous shocks, the double blow of both acquisition and downsizing can be too much to take when it comes to safeguarding instant post-deal productivity. Additionally, and in advancement of further modeling on this matter, we theorize on the timing of downsizing in relation to acquiring. We suggest that acquiring firms that choose to implement downsizing actions prior to the deal have a higher likelihood of reaping efficiency benefits than firms that choose to conduct downsizing as part of the post deal trajectory.

Summary

Overall, our findings shed light on the importance of timing strategic actions in an M&A event, preluding to the potential of pre-acquisition downsizing to improve acquisition performance. Our study validates the relevance of employee engagement as a prime driver of post deal performance.

- Aalbers, H. L., Dolfsma, W., & Blinde-Leerentveld, R. (2014). Firm Reorganization: Social Control or Social Contract? *Journal of Economic Issues*, 48(2), 451-460.
- Atkinson, S., & Gary, M. S. (2016). Mergers and acquisitions: modeling decision making in integration projects. In *Behavioral Operational Research* (pp. 319-336). Palgrave Macmillan, London.
- Bauer, F., & Matzler, K. (2014). Antecedents of M&A success: The role of strategic complementarity, cultural fit, and degree and speed of integration. *Strategic management journal*, 35(2), 269-291.
- Birkinshaw, J., Bresman, H., & Håkanson, L. (2000). Managing the post-acquisition integration process: How the human integration and task integration processes interact to foster value creation. *Journal of management studies*, 37(3), 395-425.
- Miczka, S., & Größler, A. (2010). Merger dynamics: Using system dynamics for the conceptual integration of a fragmented knowledge base. *Kybernetes*, 39(9/10), 1491-1512.
- Turnley, W. H., & Feldman, D. C. (1998). Psychological contract violations during corporate restructuring. *Human Resource Management*, 37(1), 71.