

## PDF hosted at the Radboud Repository of the Radboud University Nijmegen

The following full text is a preprint version which may differ from the publisher's version.

For additional information about this publication click this link.

<http://hdl.handle.net/2066/193792>

Please be advised that this information was generated on 2021-09-19 and may be subject to change.

# TOPOLOGICAL K-THEORY OF AFFINE HECKE ALGEBRAS

MAARTEN SOLLEVELD

ABSTRACT. Let  $\mathcal{H}(\mathcal{R}, q)$  be an affine Hecke algebra with a positive parameter function  $q$ . We are interested in the topological K-theory of its  $C^*$ -completion  $C_r^*(\mathcal{R}, q)$ . We will prove that  $K_*(C_r^*(\mathcal{R}, q))$  does not depend on the parameter  $q$ , solving a long-standing conjecture of Higson and Plymen. For this we use representation theoretic methods, in particular elliptic representations of Weyl groups and Hecke algebras.

Thus, for the computation of these K-groups it suffices to work out the case  $q = 1$ . These algebras are considerably simpler than for  $q \neq 1$ , just crossed products of commutative algebras with finite Weyl groups. We explicitly determine  $K_*(C_r^*(\mathcal{R}, q))$  for all classical root data  $\mathcal{R}$ . This will be useful to analyse the K-theory of the reduced  $C^*$ -algebra of any classical  $p$ -adic group.

For the computations in the case  $q = 1$  we study the more general situation of a finite group  $\Gamma$  acting on a smooth manifold  $M$ . We develop a method to calculate the K-theory of the crossed product  $C(M) \rtimes \Gamma$ . In contrast to the equivariant Chern character of Baum and Connes, our method can also detect torsion elements in these K-groups.

## CONTENTS

Introduction	2
1. Representation theory	5
1.1. Weyl groups	5
1.2. Graded Hecke algebras	10
1.3. Affine Hecke algebras	15
2. Topological K-theory	19
2.1. The $C^*$ -completion of an affine Hecke algebra	19
2.2. K-theory and equivariant cohomology	22
2.3. Crossed products	27
3. Examples	30
3.1. Type $GL_n$	30
3.2. Type $SL_n$	33
3.3. Type $PGL_n$	36
3.4. Type $SO_{2n+1}$	39
3.5. Type $Sp_{2n}$	43
3.6. Type $SO_{2n}$	44
3.7. Type $G_2$	48
Appendix A. Some almost Weyl groups	49
References	52

---

*Date:* July 2, 2018.

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 20C08, 46E80, 19L47.

The author is supported by a NWO Vidi grant "A Hecke algebra approach to the local Langlands correspondence" (nr. 639.032.528).

## INTRODUCTION

Affine Hecke algebras can be realized in two completely different ways. On the one hand, they are deformations of group algebras of affine Weyl groups, and on the other hand they appear as subalgebras of group algebras of reductive  $p$ -adic groups. Via the second interpretation affine Hecke algebras (AHAs) have proven very useful in the representation theory of such groups. This use is in no small part due to their explicit construction in terms of root data, which makes them amenable to concrete calculations.

This paper is motivated by our desire to understand and compute the (topological) K-theory of the reduced  $C^*$ -algebra  $C_r^*(G)$  of a reductive  $p$ -adic group  $G$ . This is clearly related to the representation theory of  $G$ . For instance, when  $G$  is semisimple, every discrete series  $G$ -representation gives rise to a one-dimensional direct summand in the K-theory of  $C_r^*(G)$ .

The problem can be transferred to AHAs in the following way. By the Bernstein decomposition, the Hecke algebra of  $G$  can be written as a countable direct sum of two-sided ideals:

$$\mathcal{H}(G) = \bigoplus_{s \in \mathfrak{B}(G)} \mathcal{H}(G)^s.$$

Borel [Bor] and Iwahori–Matsumoto [IwMa] have shown that one particular summand, say  $\mathcal{H}(G)^{IM}$ , is Morita equivalent to an AHA, say  $\mathcal{H}(\mathcal{R}, q)^{IM}$ . It is expected that all other summands  $\mathcal{H}(G)^s$  are also Morita equivalent to AHAs, or to closely related algebras. Indeed, this has been proven in many cases, see [ABPS3, §2.4] for an overview.

The reduced  $C^*$ -algebra of  $G$  is a completion of  $\mathcal{H}(G)$ , and it admits an analogous Bernstein decomposition

$$C_r^*(G) = \bigoplus_{s \in \mathfrak{B}(G)} C_r^*(G)^s,$$

where  $C_r^*(G)^s$  is the closure of  $\mathcal{H}(G)^s$  in  $C_r^*(G)$ . By [BHK] the Morita equivalence  $\mathcal{H}(G)^{IM} \sim_M \mathcal{H}(\mathcal{R}, q)^{IM}$  extends to a Morita equivalence between  $C_r^*(G)^{IM}$  and the natural  $C^*$ -completion of  $\mathcal{H}(\mathcal{R}, q)^{IM}$ . Again it can be expected that every summand  $C_r^*(G)^s$  is Morita equivalent to the  $C^*$ -completion  $C_r^*(\mathcal{R}, q)^s$  of some AHA  $\mathcal{H}(\mathcal{R}, q)^s$ . However, this is currently not yet proven in several cases where the Morita equivalence is known on the algebraic level. We will return to this issue in a subsequent paper. Assuming it for the moment, we get

$$K_*(C_r^*(G)) \cong \bigoplus_{s \in \mathfrak{B}(G)} K_*(C_r^*(\mathcal{R}, q)^s).$$

The left hand side figures in the Baum–Connes conjecture for reductive  $p$ -adic groups [BCH]. For applications to the Baum–Connes conjecture for algebraic groups over local fields, it would be useful to understand  $K_*(C_r^*(G))$  better, in particular its torsion subgroup. Namely, from the work of Kasparov [Kas] it is known that for many groups  $G$  the Baum–Connes assembly map is injective, and that its image is a direct summand of  $K_*(C_r^*(G))$ . There exist methods [Sol2, §3.4] which enable one to prove that the assembly map becomes an isomorphism after tensoring its domain and range by  $\mathbb{Q}$ , but which say little about the torsion elements in the K-groups. If one knew in advance that  $K_*(C_r^*(G))$  is torsion-free, then one could prove instances of the Baum–Connes conjecture with such methods.

To construct an affine Hecke algebra, we use a root datum  $\mathcal{R}$  in a lattice  $X$ . These give a Weyl group  $W = W(\mathcal{R})$  and an extended affine Weyl group  $W^e = X \rtimes W$ . As parameters we take a tuple of nonzero complex numbers  $q = (q_i)_i$ . The AHA  $\mathcal{H}(\mathcal{R}, q)$  is a deformation of the group algebra  $\mathbb{C}[W^e]$ , in the following sense: as a vector space it is  $\mathbb{C}[W^e]$ , with a multiplication rule depending algebraically on  $q$ , such that  $\mathcal{H}(\mathcal{R}, 1) = \mathbb{C}[W^e]$ . See Paragraph 1.3 for the precise definition. To get a nice  $C^*$ -completion  $C_r^*(\mathcal{R}, q)$ , we must assume that  $q$  is positive, that is,  $q_i \in \mathbb{R}_{>0}$  for all  $i$ . For  $q = 1$  the  $C^*$ -completion can be described easily:

$$C_r^*(\mathcal{R}, 1) = C_r^*(W^e) = C(T_{\text{un}}) \rtimes W,$$

where  $T_{\text{un}} = \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}}(X, S^1)$  is a compact torus.

All AHAs obtained from reductive  $p$ -adic groups  $G$  have rather special parameters: there are  $n_i$  such that  $q_i = p^{n_i}$ , where  $p$  is the characteristic of the local nonarchimedean field underlying  $G$ . Thus the realization of AHAs via root data admits more parameters than the realization as subalgebras of  $\mathcal{H}(G)$ . In particular the algebras  $\mathcal{H}(\mathcal{R}, q)$  admit continuous parameter deformations, whereas the AHAs from reductive  $p$ -adic groups do not, since the prime powers  $p^{n/2}$  are discrete in  $\mathbb{R}_{>0}$ .

In fact, for fixed  $\mathcal{R}$  the family  $C_r^*(\mathcal{R}, q)$ , with varying positive  $q$ , form a continuous field of  $C^*$ -algebras. For a given  $q \neq 1$  we have the half-line of parameters  $q^\epsilon = (q_i^\epsilon)_i$  with  $\epsilon \in \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$ . It is known from [Sol5, Theorem 4.4.2] that there exists a family of  $C^*$ -homomorphisms

$$\zeta_\epsilon : C_r^*(\mathcal{R}, q^\epsilon) \rightarrow C_r^*(\mathcal{R}, q) \quad \epsilon \geq 0,$$

such that  $\zeta_\epsilon$  is an isomorphism for all  $\epsilon > 0$  and depends continuously on  $\epsilon \in \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$ . Via a general deformation principle, this yields a canonical homomorphism

$$(1) \quad K_*(C_r^*(W^e)) = K_*(C_r^*(\mathcal{R}, q^0)) \rightarrow K_*(C_r^*(\mathcal{R}, q)).$$

Loosely speaking, the construction goes as follows. Take a projection  $p_0$  (or a unitary  $u_0$ ) in a matrix algebra  $M_n(C_r^*(W^e)) = M_n(C_r^*(\mathcal{R}, q^0))$ . For  $\epsilon > 0$  small, we can apply holomorphic functional calculus to  $p_0$  to produce a new projection  $p_\epsilon \in M_n(C_r^*(\mathcal{R}, q^\epsilon))$  (or a unitary  $u_\epsilon$ ). Then (1) sends  $[p_0] \in K_0(C_r^*(\mathcal{R}, q^0))$  (respectively  $u_0 \in K_1(C_r^*(\mathcal{R}, q^0))$ ) to the image of  $p_\epsilon$  (respectively  $u_\epsilon$ ) under the isomorphism  $M_n(C_r^*(\mathcal{R}, q^\epsilon)) \cong M_n(C_r^*(\mathcal{R}, q))$ .

Actually, more is true, by [Sol5, Lemma 5.1.2] the map  $K_*(\zeta_0)$  equals (1). Furthermore, by [Sol5, Theorem 5.1.4]  $\zeta_0$  induces an isomorphism

$$K_*(C_r^*(\mathcal{R}, q^0)) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{C} \rightarrow K_*(C_r^*(\mathcal{R}, q)) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{C}.$$

In view of the aforementioned relation with the Baum–Connes conjecture for  $p$ -adic groups, we also want to understand the torsion parts of these K-groups. We will prove:

**Theorem 1.** [see Theorem 2.2]

*The map (1) is a canonical isomorphism*

$$K_*(C_r^*(\mathcal{R}, 1)) \rightarrow K_*(C_r^*(\mathcal{R}, q)).$$

This theorem was conjectured first by Higson and Plymen (see [Ply2, 6.4] and [BCH, 6.21]), at least when all parameters  $q_i$  are equal. It is similar to the Connes–Kasparov conjecture for Lie groups, see [BCH, Sections 4–6] for more background. Independently Opdam [Opd, Section 1.0.1] conjectured Theorem 1 for unequal parameters.

Unfortunately it is unclear how Theorem 1 could be proven by purely noncommutative geometric means. The search for an appropriate technique was a major drive behind the author's PhD project (2002–2006), and partial results appeared already in his PhD thesis [Sol1]. At that time, we hoped to derive representation consequences from a K-theoretic proof of Theorem 1. But so far, such a proof remains elusive.

In the meantime, substantial progress has been made in the representation theory of Hecke algebras, see in particular [OpSo1, CiOp1, COT]. This enables us to turn things around (compared to 2004), now we can use representation theory to study the K-theory of  $C_r^*(\mathcal{R}, q)$ .

Given an algebra or group  $A$ , let  $\text{Mod}_f(A)$  be the category of finite length  $A$ -modules, and let  $R_{\mathbb{Z}}(A)$  be the Grothendieck group thereof. We deduce Theorem 1 from:

**Theorem 2.** [see Theorem 1.9]

*The map  $\text{Mod}_f(C_r^*(\mathcal{R}, q)) \rightarrow \text{Mod}(C_r^*(W^e)) : \pi \mapsto \pi \circ \zeta_0$  induces  $\mathbb{Z}$ -linear bijections*

$$\begin{aligned} R_{\mathbb{Z}}(C_r^*(\mathcal{R}, q)) &\rightarrow R_{\mathbb{Z}}(C_r^*(W^e)), \\ R_{\mathbb{Z}}(\mathcal{H}(\mathcal{R}, q)) &\rightarrow R_{\mathbb{Z}}(W^e). \end{aligned}$$

A substantial part of the proof of Theorem 2 boils down to representations of the finite Weyl group  $W$ . Following Reeder [Ree], we study the group  $\overline{R}_{\mathbb{Z}}(W)$  of elliptic representations, that is,  $R_{\mathbb{Z}}(W)$  modulo the subgroup spanned by all representations induced from proper parabolic subgroups of  $W$ . First we show that  $\overline{R}_{\mathbb{Z}}(W)$  is always torsion-free (Theorem 1.2). Then we compare it with the analogous group of elliptic representations of  $\mathcal{H}(\mathcal{R}, q)$ , which leads to Theorem 2.

Having established the general framework, we set out to compute  $K_*(C_r^*(\mathcal{R}, q))$  explicitly, for some root data  $\mathcal{R}$  associated to well-known groups. By Theorem 1, we only have to consider one  $q$  for each  $\mathcal{R}$ . In most examples, the easiest is to take  $q = 1$ . Then we must determine

$$K_r(C_r^*(\mathcal{R}, 1)) = K_*(C(T_{\text{un}}) \rtimes W) \cong K_W^*(T_{\text{un}}),$$

where the right hand side denotes the  $W$ -equivariant K-theory of the compact Hausdorff space  $T_{\text{un}}$ . Let  $T_{\text{un}}//W$  be the extended quotient. Of course, the equivariant Chern character from [BaCo] gives a natural isomorphism

$$K_W^*(T_{\text{un}}) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{C} \rightarrow H^*(T_{\text{un}}//W; \mathbb{C}).$$

But this does not suffice for our purposes, because we are particularly interested in the torsion subgroup of  $K_W^*(T_{\text{un}})$ . Remarkably, that appears to be quite difficult to determine, already for cyclic groups acting on tori [LaLü]. Using equivariant cohomology, we develop a technique to facilitate the computation of  $K_*(C(\Sigma) \rtimes W)$  for any finite group  $W$  acting smoothly on a manifold  $\Sigma$ . With extra conditions it can be made more explicit:

**Theorem 3.** [see Theorem 2.5]

*Suppose that every isotropy group  $W_t$  ( $t \in \Sigma$ ) is a Weyl group, and that  $H^*(\Sigma//W; \mathbb{Z})$  is torsion-free. Then*

$$K_*(C(\Sigma) \rtimes W) \cong H^*(\Sigma//W; \mathbb{Z}).$$

We note that  $H^*(\Sigma//W; \mathbb{Z})$  can be computed relatively easily. Theorem 3 can be applied to all classical root data, and to some others as well. Let us summarise the outcome of our computations.

**Theorem 4.** *Let  $\mathcal{R}$  be a root datum of type  $GL_n, SL_n, PGL_n, SO_n, Sp_{2n}$  or  $G_2$ . Let  $q$  be any positive parameter function for  $\mathcal{R}$ . Then  $K_*(C_r^*(\mathcal{R}, q))$  is a free abelian group, whose rank is given explicitly in Section 3.*

Whether or not torsion elements can pop up in  $K_*(C_r^*(\mathcal{R}, q))$  for other root data remains to be seen. In view of our results it does not seem very likely, but we do not have a general principle to rule it out.

## 1. REPRESENTATION THEORY

### 1.1. Weyl groups.

In this first paragraph will show that the representation ring  $R_{\mathbb{Z}}(W)$  of any finite Weyl group  $W$  is the direct sum of two parts: the subgroup spanned by representations induced from proper parabolic subgroups, and an elliptic part  $\overline{R}_{\mathbb{Z}}(W)$ . We exhibit a  $\mathbb{Z}$ -basis of  $\overline{R}_{\mathbb{Z}}(W)$  in terms of the Springer correspondence. These results rely mainly on case-by-case considerations in complex simple groups.

Let  $\mathfrak{a}$  be a finite dimensional real vector space and let  $\mathfrak{a}^*$  be its dual. Let  $Y \subset \mathfrak{a}$  be a lattice and  $X = \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}}(Y, \mathbb{Z}) \subset \mathfrak{a}^*$  the dual lattice. Let

$$(2) \quad \mathcal{R} = (X, R, Y, R^{\vee}, \Delta).$$

be a based root datum. Thus  $R$  is a reduced root system in  $X$ ,  $R^{\vee} \subset Y$  is the dual root system,  $\Delta$  is a basis of  $R$  and the set of positive roots is denoted  $R^+$ . Furthermore we are given a bijection  $R \rightarrow R^{\vee}$ ,  $\alpha \mapsto \alpha^{\vee}$  such that  $\langle \alpha, \alpha^{\vee} \rangle = 2$  and such that the corresponding reflections  $s_{\alpha} : X \rightarrow X$  (resp.  $s_{\alpha}^{\vee} : Y \rightarrow Y$ ) stabilize  $R$  (resp.  $R^{\vee}$ ). We do not assume that  $R$  spans  $\mathfrak{a}^*$ . The reflections  $s_{\alpha}$  generate the Weyl group  $W = W(R)$  of  $R$ , and  $S_{\Delta} := \{s_{\alpha} \mid \alpha \in \Delta\}$  is the collection of simple reflections.

For a set of simple roots  $P \subset \Delta$  we let  $R_P$  be the root system they generate, and we let  $W_P = W(R_P)$  be the corresponding parabolic subgroup of  $W$ .

Let  $R_{\mathbb{Z}}(W)$  be the Grothendieck group of the category of finite dimensional complex  $W$ -representations, and write  $R_{\mathbb{C}}(W) = \mathbb{C} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} R_{\mathbb{Z}}(W)$ . For any  $P \subset \Delta$  the induction functor  $\text{ind}_{W_P}^W$  gives linear maps  $R_{\mathbb{Z}}(W_P) \rightarrow R_{\mathbb{Z}}(W)$  and  $R_{\mathbb{C}}(W_P) \rightarrow R_{\mathbb{C}}(W)$ . In this subsection we are mainly interested in the abelian group of “elliptic  $W$ -representations”

$$(3) \quad \overline{R}_{\mathbb{Z}}(W) = R_{\mathbb{Z}}(W) / \sum_{P \subsetneq \Delta} \text{ind}_{W_P}^W(R_{\mathbb{Z}}(W_P)).$$

In the literature [Ree, COT] one more often encounters the vector space

$$\overline{R}_{\mathbb{C}}(W) = R_{\mathbb{C}}(W) / \sum_{P \subsetneq \Delta} \text{ind}_{W_P}^W(R_{\mathbb{C}}(W_P)).$$

Recall that an element  $w \in W$  is called elliptic if it fixes only the zero element of  $\text{Span}_{\mathbb{R}}(R)$ , or equivalently if it does not belong to any proper parabolic subgroup of  $W$ . It was shown in [Ree, Proposition 2.2.2] that  $\overline{R}_{\mathbb{C}}(W)$  is naturally isomorphic to the space of all class functions on  $W$  supported on elliptic elements. In particular  $\dim_{\mathbb{C}} \overline{R}_{\mathbb{C}}(W)$  is the number of elliptic conjugacy classes in  $W$ .

In [COT]  $\overline{R}_{\mathbb{Z}}(W)$  is defined as the subgroup of  $\overline{R}_{\mathbb{C}}(W)$  generated by the  $W$ -representations. So in that work it is by definition a lattice. If  $\overline{R}_{\mathbb{Z}}(W)$  (in our sense)

is torsion-free, then it can be identified with the subgroup of  $\overline{R_{\mathbb{C}}}(W)$  to which it is naturally mapped. For our purposes it will be essential to stick to the definition (3) and to use some results from [COT]. Therefore we want to prove that (3) is always a torsion-free group.

In the analysis we will make ample use of Springer's construction of representations of Weyl groups, and of Reeder's results [Ree]. Let  $G$  be a connected reductive complex group with a maximal torus  $T$ , such that  $R \cong R(G, T)$  and  $W \cong W(G, T)$ . For  $u \in G$  let  $\mathcal{B}^u = \mathcal{B}_G^u$  be the complex variety of Borel subgroups of  $G$  containing  $u$ . The group  $Z_G(u)$  acts on  $\mathcal{B}^u$  by conjugation, and that induces an action of  $A_G(u) := \pi_0(Z_G(u)/Z(G))$  on the cohomology of  $\mathcal{B}^u$ . For a pair  $(u, \rho)$  with  $u \in G$  unipotent and  $\rho \in \text{Irr}(A_G(u))$  we define

$$(4) \quad \begin{aligned} H(u, \rho) &= \text{Hom}_{A_G(u)}(\rho, H^*(\mathcal{B}^u; \mathbb{C})), \\ \pi(u, \rho) &= \text{Hom}_{A_G(u)}(\rho, H^{\text{top}}(\mathcal{B}^u; \mathbb{C})), \end{aligned}$$

where top indicates the highest dimension in which the cohomology is nonzero, namely the dimension of  $\mathcal{B}^u$  as a real variety. Let us call  $\rho$  geometric if  $\pi(u, \rho) \neq 0$ . Springer [Spr] proved that

- $W \times A_G(u)$  acts naturally on  $H^i(\mathcal{B}^u; \mathbb{C})$ , for each  $i \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ ,
- $\pi(u, \rho)$  is an irreducible  $W$ -representation whenever it is nonzero,
- this gives a bijection between  $\text{Irr}(W)$  and the  $G$ -conjugacy classes of pairs  $(u, \rho)$  with  $u \in G$  unipotent and  $\rho \in \text{Irr}(A_G(u))$  geometric.

It follows from a result of Borho and MacPherson [BoMa] that the  $W$ -representations  $H(u, \rho)$ , parametrized by the same data  $(u, \rho)$ , also form a basis of  $R_{\mathbb{Z}}(W)$ , see [Ree, Lemma 3.3.1]. Moreover  $\pi(u, \rho)$  appears with multiplicity one in  $H(u, \rho)$ .

- Example 1.1.**
- Type  $A$ . Only the  $n$ -cycles in  $W = S_n$  are elliptic, and they form one conjugacy class. The only quasidistinguished unipotent class in  $GL_n(\mathbb{C})$  is the regular unipotent class. Then  $A_{GL_n(\mathbb{C})}(u_{\text{reg}}) = 1$  for every regular unipotent element  $u_{\text{reg}}$  and  $H(u_{\text{reg}}, \text{triv}) = H^0(\mathcal{B}^{u_{\text{reg}}}; \mathbb{C})$  is the sign representation of  $S_n$  (with our convention for the Springer correspondence).
  - Types  $B$  and  $C$ . The elliptic classes in  $W(B_n) = W(C_n) \cong S_n \times (\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})^n$  are parametrized by partitions of  $n$ . We will write them down explicitly as  $\sigma(\emptyset, \lambda)$  with  $\lambda \vdash n$  in (112).
  - Type  $D$ . The elliptic classes in  $W(D_n) = S_n \times (\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})_{\text{ev}}^n$  are precisely the elliptic classes of  $W(B_n)$  that are contained in  $W(D_n)$ . They can be parametrized by partitions  $\lambda \vdash n$  such that  $\lambda$  has an even number of terms.
  - Type  $G_2$ . There are three elliptic classes in  $W(G_2) = D_6$ : the rotations of order two, of order three and of order six. The quasidistinguished unipotent classes in  $G_2(\mathbb{C})$  are the regular and the subregular class.

We have  $A_G(u_{\text{reg}}) = 1$  and  $H(u_{\text{reg}}, \text{triv}) = \pi(u_{\text{reg}}, \text{triv})$  is the sign representation of  $D_6$ . For  $u$  subregular  $A_G(u) \cong S_3$ , and the sign representation of  $A_G(u)$  is not geometric. For  $\rho$  the two-dimensional irreducible representation of  $A_G(u)$ ,  $\pi(u, \rho) = H(u, \rho)$  is the character of  $W(G_2)$  which is 1 on the reflections for long roots and  $-1$  on the reflections for short roots. Furthermore  $\pi(u, \text{triv})$  is the standard two-dimensional representation of  $D_6$  and  $H(u, \text{triv})$  is the direct sum of  $\pi(u, \text{triv})$  and the sign representation.

For a subset  $P \subset \Delta$  let  $G_P$  be the standard Levi subgroup of  $G$  generated by  $T$  and the root subgroups for roots  $\alpha \in R_P$ . The irreducible representations of

$W_P = W(G_P, T)$  are parametrized by  $G_P$ -conjugacy classes of pairs  $(u_P, \rho_P)$  with  $u_P \in G_P$  unipotent and  $\rho_P \in \text{Irr}(A_{G_P}(u_P))$  geometric, and the  $W_P$ -representations  $H_P(u_P, \rho_P)$  form another basis of  $R_{\mathbb{Z}}(W_P)$ .

Recall from [Ree, §3.2] that  $A_{G_P}(u_P)$  can be regarded as a subgroup of  $A_G(u_P)$ . By [Kat, Proposition 2.5 and 6.2]

$$(5) \quad \text{ind}_{W_P}^W(H^i(\mathcal{B}_{G_P}^{u_P}; \mathbb{C})) \cong H^i(\mathcal{B}^{u_P}; \mathbb{C}) \quad \text{as } W \times A_G(u_P)\text{-representations.}$$

It follows that for any  $(u_P, \rho_P)$  as above there are natural isomorphisms

$$(6) \quad \text{ind}_{W_P}^W(H_P(u_P, \rho_P)) \cong \text{Hom}_{A_{G_P}(u_P)}(\rho_P, H^*(\mathcal{B}^{u_P}; \mathbb{C})) \\ \cong \bigoplus_{\rho \in \text{Irr}(A_G(u_P))} \text{Hom}_{A_{G_P}(u_P)}(\rho_P, \rho) \otimes H(u_P, \rho).$$

For a unipotent conjugacy class  $\mathcal{C} \subset G$  and  $P \subset \Delta$ , let  $R_{\mathbb{Z}}(W_P, \mathcal{C})$  be the subgroup of  $R_{\mathbb{Z}}(W_P)$  generated by the  $H_P(u_P, \rho_P)$  with  $u_P \in G_P \cap \mathcal{C}$  and  $\rho_P \in \text{Irr}(A_{G_P}(u_P))$ . (Notice that  $G_P \cap \mathcal{C}$  can consist of zero, one or more conjugacy classes.) In view of (6) we can define

$$\overline{R_{\mathbb{Z}}}(W, \mathcal{C}) = R_{\mathbb{Z}}(W, \mathcal{C}) / \sum_{P \subsetneq \Delta} \text{ind}_{W_P}^W(R_{\mathbb{Z}}(W_P, \mathcal{C})).$$

We obtain a decomposition as in [Ree, §3.3]:

$$(7) \quad \overline{R_{\mathbb{Z}}}(W) = \bigoplus_{\mathcal{C}} \overline{R_{\mathbb{Z}}}(W, \mathcal{C}).$$

Following Reeder [Ree], we also define elliptic representation theories for the component groups  $A_G(u)$ . For  $u, u_P \in \mathcal{C}$  the groups  $A_G(u)$  and  $A_G(u_P)$  are isomorphic. In general the isomorphism is not natural, but it is canonical up to inner automorphisms. This gives a natural isomorphism  $R_{\mathbb{Z}}(A_G(u)) \cong R_{\mathbb{Z}}(A_G(u_P))$ , which enables us to write

$$(8) \quad \overline{R_{\mathbb{Z}}}(A_G(u)) = R_{\mathbb{Z}}(A_G(u)) / \sum_{P \subsetneq \Delta, u_P \in \mathcal{C} \cap G_P} \text{ind}_{A_{G_P}(u_P)}^{A_G(u_P)}(R_{\mathbb{Z}}(A_{G_P}(u_P))).$$

For any  $u_P, u'_P \in \mathcal{C} \cap G_P$  there is a natural isomorphism

$$\text{ind}_{A_{G_P}(u_P)}^{A_G(u_P)}(R_{\mathbb{Z}}(A_{G_P}(u_P))) \cong \text{ind}_{A_{G_P}(u'_P)}^{A_G(u'_P)}(R_{\mathbb{Z}}(A_{G_P}(u'_P))),$$

so on the right hand side of (8) it actually suffices to use only one  $u_P$  whenever  $\mathcal{C} \cap G_P$  is nonempty.

Let  $R_{\mathbb{Z}}^{\circ}(A_G(u))$  be the subgroup of  $R_{\mathbb{Z}}(A_G(u))$  generated by the geometric irreducible  $A_G(u)$ -representations. By [Ree, §10]

$$\text{ind}_{A_{G_P}(u_P)}^{A_G(u)}(R_{\mathbb{Z}}^{\circ}(A_{G_P}(u_P))) \subset R_{\mathbb{Z}}^{\circ}(A_G(u)).$$

Using this we can define

$$\overline{R_{\mathbb{Z}}^{\circ}}(A_G(u)) = R_{\mathbb{Z}}^{\circ}(A_G(u)) / \sum_{P \subsetneq \Delta, u_P \in \mathcal{C} \cap G_P} \text{ind}_{A_{G_P}(u_P)}^{A_G(u_P)}(R_{\mathbb{Z}}^{\circ}(A_{G_P}(u_P))).$$

It follows from (6) that every  $\rho_P \in \text{Irr}(A_{G_P}(u_P))$  which appears in  $\rho$  is itself geometric. Hence the inclusions  $R_{\mathbb{Z}}^{\circ}(A_{G_P}(u_P)) \rightarrow R_{\mathbb{Z}}(A_{G_P}(u_P))$  induce an injection

$$(9) \quad \overline{R_{\mathbb{Z}}^{\circ}}(A_G(u)) \rightarrow \overline{R_{\mathbb{Z}}}(A_G(u)).$$



By [Ree, Proposition 3.4.1] the maps  $\rho_P \mapsto \text{Hom}_{A_{G_P}(u_P)}(\rho_P, H^*(\mathcal{B}^{u_P}; \mathbb{C}))$  for  $P \subset \Delta$  induce a  $\mathbb{Z}$ -linear bijection

$$(10) \quad \overline{R_{\mathbb{Z}}^{\circ}}(A_G(u)) \rightarrow \overline{R_{\mathbb{Z}}}(W, \mathcal{C}).$$

(In [Ree] these groups are by definition subsets of complex vector spaces. But with the above definitions Reeder's proof still applies.) From (7), (10) and (9) we obtain an injection

$$(11) \quad \overline{R_{\mathbb{Z}}}(W) \rightarrow \bigoplus_u \overline{R_{\mathbb{Z}}}(A_G(u)),$$

where  $u$  runs over a set of representatives for the unipotent classes of  $G$ .

**Theorem 1.2.** *The group of elliptic representations  $\overline{R_{\mathbb{Z}}}(W)$  is torsion-free.*

*Proof.* If  $W$  is a product of irreducible Weyl groups  $W_i$ , then it follows readily from (3) that

$$\overline{R_{\mathbb{Z}}}(W) = \bigotimes_i \overline{R_{\mathbb{Z}}}(W_i).$$

Hence we may assume that  $W = W(R)$  is irreducible. By (11) it suffices to show that each  $\overline{R_{\mathbb{Z}}}(A_G(u))$  is torsion-free. If  $u$  is distinguished, then  $\mathcal{C} \cap G_P = \emptyset$  for all  $P \subsetneq \Delta$ , and  $\overline{R_{\mathbb{Z}}}(A_G(u)) = R_{\mathbb{Z}}(A_G(u))$ . That is certainly torsion-free, so we do not have to consider distinguished unipotent  $u$  anymore.

For root systems of type  $A$  and of exceptional type, the tables of component groups in [Car2, §13.1] show that  $A_G(u)$  is isomorphic to  $S_n$  with  $n \leq 5$ . Moreover  $S_4$  and  $S_5$  only occur when  $u$  is distinguished. For  $A_G(u) \cong S_2$  and for  $A_G(u) \cong S_3$  one checks directly that  $\overline{R_{\mathbb{Z}}}(A_G(u))$  is torsion-free, by listing all subgroups of  $A_G(u)$  and all irreducible representations thereof.

That leaves the root systems of type  $B, C$  and  $D$ . As group of type  $B_n$  we take  $G = SO_{2n+1}(\mathbb{C})$ . By the Bala–Carter classification, the unipotent classes  $\mathcal{C}$  in  $G$  are parametrized by pairs of partitions  $(\alpha, \beta)$  such that  $2|\alpha| + |\beta| = 2n + 1$  and  $\beta$  has only odd parts, all distinct. A typical  $u \in \mathcal{C}$  is distinguished in the standard Levi subgroup

$$G_{\alpha} := GL_{\alpha_1}(\mathbb{C}) \times \cdots \times GL_{\alpha_d}(\mathbb{C}) \times SO_{|\beta|}(\mathbb{C}).$$

The part of  $u$  in  $SO_{|\beta|}$  depends only on  $\beta$ , it has Jordan blocks of sizes  $\beta_1, \beta_2, \dots$ . Let  $\alpha'$  be a partition consisting of a subset of the terms of  $\alpha$ , say

$$(12) \quad \alpha' = (n)^{m'_n} (n-1)^{m'_{n-1}} \cdots (1)^{m'_1}.$$

Let  $\alpha''$  be a partition of  $|\alpha| - |\alpha'|$  obtained from the remaining terms of  $\alpha$  by repeatedly replacing some  $\alpha_i, \alpha_j$  by  $\alpha_i + \alpha_j$ . All the standard Levi subgroups of  $G$  containing this  $u$  are of the form  $G_{\alpha''}$ . The  $GL$ -factors of  $G_{\alpha''}$  do not contribute to  $A_{G_{\alpha''}}(u)$ . The part  $u'$  of  $u$  in  $SO_{2(n-|\alpha''|)+1}(\mathbb{C})$  is parametrized by  $(\alpha', \beta)$  and the quotient of  $Z_{SO_{2(n-|\alpha''|)+1}(\mathbb{C})}(u')$  by its unipotent radical is isomorphic to

$$(13) \quad \prod_{i \text{ even}} Sp_{2m'_i}(\mathbb{C}) \times \prod_{i \text{ odd, not in } \beta} O_{2m'_i}(\mathbb{C}) \times S \left( \prod_{i \text{ odd, in } \beta} O_{2m'_i+1}(\mathbb{C}) \right),$$

where the  $S$  indicates that we take the subgroup of elements of determinant 1. From this one can deduce the component group:

$$(14) \quad A_{G_{\alpha''}}(u) \cong A_{Sp_{2(n-|\alpha''|)}(\mathbb{C})}(u') \cong \prod_{i \text{ odd, not in } \beta, m'_i > 0} \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z} \times S \left( \prod_{i \text{ in } \beta} \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z} \right).$$

We see that if

- $\alpha$  has an even term,
- or  $\alpha$  has an odd term with multiplicity  $> 1$ ,
- or  $\alpha$  has an odd term which also appears in  $\beta$ ,

then there is a standard Levi subgroup  $G_{\alpha''} \subsetneq G$  with  $A_{G_{\alpha''}}(u) \cong A_G(u)$ , namely, with  $\alpha''$  just that one term of  $\alpha$ . In these cases  $\overline{R_{\mathbb{Z}}}(A_G(u)) = 0$ .

Suppose now that  $\alpha$  has only distinct odd terms, and that none of those appears in  $\beta$ . Then (14) becomes

$$A_G(u) \cong \prod_{i \text{ in } \alpha} \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z} \times A \quad \text{where } A = S\left(\prod_{i \text{ in } \beta} \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}\right).$$

We get

$$(15) \quad \sum_{P \subsetneq \Delta, u_P \in \mathcal{C} \cap G_P} \text{ind}_{A_{G_P}(u_P)}^{A_G(u_P)} (R_{\mathbb{Z}}(A_{G_P}(u_P))) \cong \\ \sum_{j \text{ in } \alpha} \text{ind}_{A_{G_{\alpha-(j)}}(u)}^{A_G(u)} R_{\mathbb{Z}}\left(\prod_{i \text{ in } \alpha-(j)} \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z} \times A\right) \cong \\ \sum_{j \text{ in } \alpha} \text{ind}_{\{1\}}^{\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}} R_{\mathbb{Z}}(\{1\}) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} R_{\mathbb{Z}}\left(\prod_{i \text{ in } \alpha-(j)} \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}\right) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} R_{\mathbb{Z}}(A).$$

We conclude that  $\overline{R_{\mathbb{Z}}}(A_G(u)) = R_{\mathbb{Z}}(A)$ .

So  $\overline{R_{\mathbb{Z}}}(A_G(u))$  is torsion free for all unipotent  $u \in SO_{2n+1}(\mathbb{C})$ , which settles the case  $B_n$ . The root systems of types  $C_n$  and  $D_n$  can be handled in a completely analogous way, using the explicit descriptions in [Car2, §13.1].  $\square$

For every  $w \in W$  there exists (more or less by definition) a unique parabolic subgroup  $\tilde{W} \subset W$  such that  $w$  is an elliptic element of  $\tilde{W}$ . Let  $\mathcal{C}(W)$  be the set of conjugacy classes of  $W$ . For  $P \subset \Delta$  let  $\mathcal{C}_P(W)$  be the subset consisting of those conjugacy classes that contain an elliptic element of  $W_P$ . Let  $\mathcal{P}(\Delta)/W$  be a set of representatives for the  $W$ -association classes of subsets of  $\Delta$ . Since every parabolic subgroup is conjugate to a standard one, for every conjugacy class  $\mathcal{C}$  in  $W$  there exists a unique  $P \in \mathcal{P}(\Delta)/W$  such that  $\mathcal{C} \in \mathcal{C}_P(W)$ .

Recall from [Ree, §3.3] that a unipotent element  $u \in G$  is called quasidistinguished if there exists a semisimple  $t \in Z_G(u)$  such that  $tu$  is not contained in any proper Levi subgroup of  $G$ .

**Proposition 1.3.** *For every  $P \in \mathcal{P}(\Delta)/W$  there exists an injection from  $\mathcal{C}_P(W)$  to the set of  $G_P$ -conjugacy classes of pairs  $(u_P, \rho_P)$  with  $u_P \in G_P$  quasidistinguished unipotent and  $\rho_P \in \text{Irr}(A_{G_P}(u_P))$  geometric, denoted  $w \mapsto (u_{P,w}, \rho_{P,w})$ , such that:*

- $\{H(u_w, \rho_w) : w \in \mathcal{C}_{\Delta}(W)\}$  is a  $\mathbb{Z}$ -basis of  $\overline{R_{\mathbb{Z}}}(W)$ .
- The set

$$\{\text{ind}_{W_P}^W (H_P(u_{P,w}, \rho_{P,w})) : P \in \mathcal{P}(\Delta)/W, w \in \mathcal{C}_P(W)\}$$

is a  $\mathbb{Z}$ -basis of  $R_{\mathbb{Z}}(W)$ .

*Proof.* (a) By [Ree, Proposition 2.2.2] the rank of  $\overline{R_{\mathbb{Z}}}(W)$  is the number of elliptic conjugacy classes of  $W$ . With Theorem 1.2 we find  $\overline{R_{\mathbb{Z}}}(W) \cong \mathbb{Z}^{|\mathcal{C}_{\Delta}(W)|}$ . By (11) and (10)  $\overline{R_{\mathbb{Z}}}(W)$  has a basis consisting of representations of the form  $H(u, \rho)$  with  $\rho \in \text{Irr}(A_G(u))$  geometric. By [Ree, Proposition 3.4.1] we need only quasidistinguished unipotent  $u$ . We choose such a set of pairs  $(u, \rho)$ , and we parametrize it in an

arbitrary way by  $\mathcal{C}_\Delta(W)$ .

(b) We prove this by induction on  $|\Delta|$ . For  $|\Delta| = 0$  the statement is trivial.

Suppose now that  $|\Delta| \geq 1$  and  $\alpha \in \Delta$ . By the induction hypothesis we can find maps  $w \mapsto (u_P, \rho_P)$  such that the set

$$\left\{ \text{ind}_{W_P}^{W_{\Delta \setminus \{\alpha\}}} (H_P(u_{P,w}, \rho_{P,w})) : P \in \mathcal{P}(\Delta \setminus \{\alpha\}) / W_{\Delta \setminus \{\alpha\}}, w \in \mathcal{C}_P(W_{\Delta \setminus \{\alpha\}}) \right\}$$

is a  $\mathbb{Z}$ -basis of  $R_{\mathbb{Z}}(W_{\Delta \setminus \{\alpha\}})$ . By means of any setwise splitting of  $N_G(T) \rightarrow W$  we can arrange that  $(u_{P,w}, \rho_{P,w})$  and  $(u_{P',w'}, \rho_{P',w'})$  are  $G$ -conjugate whenever  $(P, w)$  and  $(P', w')$  are  $W$ -associate. Then  $(P, w)$  and  $(P', w')$  give rise to the same  $W$ -representation. Consequently

$$\left\{ \text{ind}_{W_P}^W (H_P(u_{P,w}, \rho_{P,w})) : P \in \mathcal{P}(\Delta) / W, P \neq \Delta, w \in \mathcal{C}_P(W) \right\}$$

is well-defined and has  $|\mathcal{C}(W) \setminus \mathcal{C}_\Delta(W)|$  elements. By the induction hypothesis it spans  $\sum_{P \subsetneq \Delta} \text{ind}_{W_P}^W (R_{\mathbb{Z}}(W_P))$ , so it forms a  $\mathbb{Z}$ -basis thereof. Combine this with (3) and part (a).  $\square$

## 1.2. Graded Hecke algebras.

We consider the Grothendieck group  $R_{\mathbb{Z}}(\mathbb{H})$  of finite length modules of a graded Hecke algebra  $\mathbb{H}$  with parameters  $k$ . We show that it is the direct sum of the subgroup spanned by modules induced from proper parabolic subalgebras and an elliptic part  $\overline{R_{\mathbb{Z}}}(\mathbb{H})$ . We prove that  $\overline{R_{\mathbb{Z}}}(\mathbb{H})$  is isomorphic to the elliptic part of the representation ring of the Weyl group associated to  $\mathbb{H}$ . By Paragraph 1.1,  $\overline{R_{\mathbb{Z}}}(\mathbb{H})$  is free abelian and does not depend on the parameters  $k$ . The main ingredients are the author's work [Sol3] on the periodic cyclic homology of graded Hecke algebras, and the study of discrete series representations by Ciubotaru, Opdam and Trapa [CiOp2, COT].

Graded Hecke algebras are also known as degenerate (affine) Hecke algebras. They were introduced by Lusztig in [Lus]. In the notation from (2) we call

$$(16) \quad \tilde{\mathcal{R}} = (\mathfrak{a}^*, R, \mathfrak{a}, R^\vee, \Delta)$$

a degenerate root datum. We pick complex numbers  $k_\alpha$  for  $\alpha \in \Delta$ , such that  $k_\alpha = k_\beta$  if  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  are in the same  $W$ -orbit. We put  $\mathfrak{t} = \mathbb{C} \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} \mathfrak{a}$ .

The graded Hecke algebra associated to these data is the complex vector space

$$\mathbb{H} = \mathbb{H}(\tilde{\mathcal{R}}, k) = \mathcal{O}(\mathfrak{t}) \otimes \mathbb{C}[W],$$

with multiplication defined by the following rules:

- $\mathbb{C}[W]$  and  $\mathcal{O}(\mathfrak{t})$  are canonically embedded as subalgebras;
- for  $\xi \in \mathfrak{t}^*$  and  $s_\alpha \in S_\Delta$  we have the cross relation

$$(17) \quad \xi \cdot s_\alpha - s_\alpha \cdot s_\alpha(\xi) = k_\alpha \langle \xi, \alpha^\vee \rangle.$$

Notice that  $\mathbb{H}(\tilde{\mathcal{R}}, 0) = \mathcal{O}(\mathfrak{t}) \rtimes W$ .

Multiplication with any  $\epsilon \in \mathbb{C}^\times$  defines a bijection  $\mathfrak{t}^* \rightarrow \mathfrak{t}^*$ , which clearly extends to an algebra automorphism of  $\mathcal{O}(\mathfrak{t}) = S(\mathfrak{t}^*)$ . From the cross relation (17) we see that it extends even further, to an algebra isomorphism

$$(18) \quad \mathbb{H}(\tilde{\mathcal{R}}, \epsilon k) \rightarrow \mathbb{H}(\tilde{\mathcal{R}}, k)$$

which is the identity on  $\mathbb{C}[W]$ . For  $\epsilon = 0$  this map is well-defined, but obviously not bijective.

For a set of simple roots  $P \subset \Delta$  we write

$$(19) \quad \begin{aligned} R_P &= \mathbb{Q}P \cap R & R_P^\vee &= \mathbb{Q}R_P^\vee \cap R^\vee, \\ \mathfrak{a}_P &= \mathbb{R}P^\vee & \mathfrak{a}^P &= (\mathfrak{a}_P^*)^\perp, \\ \mathfrak{a}_P^* &= \mathbb{R}P & \mathfrak{a}^{P*} &= (\mathfrak{a}_P)^\perp, \\ \tilde{\mathcal{R}}_P &= (\mathfrak{a}_P^*, R_P, \mathfrak{a}_P, R_P^\vee, P) & \tilde{\mathcal{R}}^P &= (\mathfrak{a}^*, R_P, \mathfrak{a}, R_P^\vee, P). \end{aligned}$$

Let  $k_P$  be the restriction of  $k$  to  $R_P$ . We call

$$\mathbb{H}^P = \mathbb{H}(\tilde{\mathcal{R}}^P, k_P)$$

a parabolic subalgebra of  $\mathbb{H}$ . It contains  $\mathbb{H}_P = \mathbb{H}(\tilde{\mathcal{R}}_P, k_P)$  as a direct summand.

The centre of  $\mathbb{H}(\tilde{\mathcal{R}}, k)$  is  $\mathcal{O}(\mathfrak{t})^W = \mathcal{O}(\mathfrak{t}/W)$  [Lus, Proposition 4.5]. Hence the central character of an irreducible  $\mathbb{H}(\tilde{\mathcal{R}}, k)$ -representation is an element of  $\mathfrak{t}/W$ .

Let  $(\pi, V)$  be an  $\mathbb{H}(\tilde{\mathcal{R}}, k)$ -representation. We say that  $\lambda \in \mathfrak{t}$  is an  $\mathcal{O}(\mathfrak{t})$ -weight of  $V$  (or of  $\pi$ ) if

$$\{v \in V : \pi(\xi)v = \lambda(\xi)v \text{ for all } \xi \in \mathfrak{t}^*\}$$

is nonzero. Let  $\text{Wt}(V) \subset \mathfrak{t}$  be the set of  $\mathcal{O}(\mathfrak{t})$ -weights of  $V$ .

Temperedness of a representation is defined via its  $\mathcal{O}(\mathfrak{t})$ -weights. We write

$$\begin{aligned} \mathfrak{a}^+ &= \{\mu \in \mathfrak{a} : \langle \alpha, \mu \rangle \geq 0 \forall \alpha \in \Delta\}, \\ \mathfrak{a}^{*+} &:= \{x \in \mathfrak{a}^* : \langle x, \alpha^\vee \rangle \geq 0 \forall \alpha \in \Delta\}, \\ \mathfrak{a}^- &= \{\lambda \in \mathfrak{a} : \langle x, \lambda \rangle \leq 0 \forall x \in \mathfrak{a}^{*+}\} = \left\{ \sum_{\alpha \in \Delta} \lambda_\alpha \alpha^\vee : \lambda_\alpha \leq 0 \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

The interior  $\mathfrak{a}^{--}$  of  $\mathfrak{a}^-$  equals  $\{\sum_{\alpha \in \Delta} \lambda_\alpha \alpha^\vee : \lambda_\alpha < 0\}$  if  $\Delta$  spans  $\mathfrak{a}^*$ , and is empty otherwise.

We regard  $\mathfrak{t} = \mathfrak{a} \oplus i\mathfrak{a}$  as the polar decomposition of  $\mathfrak{t}$ , with associated real part map  $\Re : \mathfrak{t} \rightarrow \mathfrak{a}$ . By definition, a finite dimensional  $\mathbb{H}(\tilde{\mathcal{R}}, k)$ -module  $(\pi, V)$  is tempered  $\Re(\text{Wt}(V)) \subset \mathfrak{a}^-$ . More restrictively, we say that  $(\pi, V)$  belongs to the discrete series if  $\Re(\text{Wt}(V)) \subset \mathfrak{a}^{--}$ .

We are interested in the restriction map

$$\begin{aligned} r : \text{Mod}(\mathbb{H}(\tilde{\mathcal{R}}, k)) &\rightarrow \text{Mod}(\mathbb{C}[W]), \\ V &\mapsto V|_W. \end{aligned}$$

We can also regard it as the composition of representations with the algebra homomorphism (18) for  $\epsilon = 0$ , then its image consists of  $\mathcal{O}(\mathfrak{t}) \rtimes W$ -representations on which  $\mathcal{O}(\mathfrak{t})$  acts via  $0 \in \mathfrak{t}$ .

Let  $\text{Irr}_0(\mathbb{H})$  be the set of irreducible tempered  $\mathbb{H}(\tilde{\mathcal{R}}, k)$ -modules with central character in  $\mathfrak{a}/W$ . It is known from [Sol3, Theorem 6.5] that, for real-valued  $k$ ,  $r$  induces a bijection

$$(20) \quad r_{\mathbb{C}} : \mathbb{C} \text{Irr}_0(\mathbb{H}(\tilde{\mathcal{R}}, k)) \rightarrow R_{\mathbb{C}}(W).$$

Using work of Lusztig, Ciubotaru [Ciu, Corollary 3.6] showed that, for parameters of “geometric” type,

$$(21) \quad r_{\mathbb{Z}} : \mathbb{Z} \text{Irr}_0(\mathbb{H}(\tilde{\mathcal{R}}, k)) \rightarrow R_{\mathbb{Z}}(W) \text{ is bijective.}$$

We will generalize this to arbitrary real parameters. (Parameters  $k$  of geometric type need not be real-valued, but via (18) they can be reduced to that.)

We recall some notions from [CiOp1]. Let  $R_{\mathbb{Z}}(\mathbb{H}(\tilde{\mathcal{R}}, k))$  be the Grothendieck group of (the category of) finite dimensional  $\mathbb{H}(\tilde{\mathcal{R}}, k)$ -modules. For any parabolic subalgebra  $\mathbb{H}^P = \mathbb{H}(\tilde{\mathcal{R}}^P, k_P)$  the induction functor  $\text{ind}_{\mathbb{H}^P}^{\mathbb{H}}$  induces a map  $R_{\mathbb{Z}}(\mathbb{H}^P) \rightarrow$

$R_{\mathbb{Z}}(\mathbb{H})$ . If the  $\mathcal{O}(\mathfrak{t})$ -weights of  $V \in \text{Mod}(\mathbb{H}^P)$  are contained in some  $U \subset \mathfrak{t}$ , then by [BaMo, Theorem 6.4] the  $\mathcal{O}(\mathfrak{t})$ -weights of  $\text{ind}_{\mathbb{H}^P}^{\mathbb{H}} V$  are contained in  $W^P U$ , where  $W^P$  is the set of shortest length representatives of  $W/W_P$ . This implies that  $\text{ind}_{\mathbb{H}^P}^{\mathbb{H}}$  preserves temperedness [BaMo, Corollary 6.5] and central characters. In particular it induces a map

$$(22) \quad \text{ind}_{\mathbb{H}^P}^{\mathbb{H}} : \mathbb{Z} \text{Irr}_0(\mathbb{H}^P) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z} \text{Irr}_0(\mathbb{H}).$$

Many arguments in this section make use of the group of ‘‘elliptic  $\mathbb{H}$ -representations’’

$$(23) \quad \overline{R_{\mathbb{Z}}}(\mathbb{H}) = R_{\mathbb{Z}}(\mathbb{H}(\tilde{\mathcal{R}}, k)) / \sum_{P \subsetneq \Delta} \text{ind}_{\mathbb{H}^P}^{\mathbb{H}}(R_{\mathbb{Z}}(\mathbb{H}^P)).$$

Since  $\mathbb{H}(\tilde{\mathcal{R}}, k) = \mathcal{O}(\mathfrak{t}) \otimes \mathbb{C}[W]$  as vector spaces,

$$(24) \quad \mathfrak{r} \circ \text{ind}_{\mathbb{H}^P}^{\mathbb{H}} = \text{ind}_{W_P}^W \circ \mathfrak{r}^P,$$

where  $\mathfrak{r}^P$  denotes the analogue of  $\mathfrak{r}$  for  $\mathbb{H}^P$ . Hence  $\mathfrak{r}$  induces a  $\mathbb{Z}$ -linear map

$$(25) \quad \bar{\mathfrak{r}} : \overline{R_{\mathbb{Z}}}(\mathbb{H}(\tilde{\mathcal{R}}, k)) \rightarrow \overline{R_{\mathbb{Z}}}(W).$$

**Proposition 1.4.** *The map (25) is surjective, and its kernel is the torsion subgroup of  $\overline{R_{\mathbb{Z}}}(\mathbb{H}(\tilde{\mathcal{R}}, k))$ .*

*Proof.* By Theorem 1.2  $\overline{R_{\mathbb{Z}}}(W)$  is torsion-free, so it can be identified with its image in  $\overline{R_{\mathbb{C}}}(W)$ . This means that our definition of  $\overline{R_{\mathbb{Z}}}(W)$  agrees with that in [COT]. Likewise, in [COT] the subgroup  $\overline{R'_{\mathbb{Z}}}(\mathbb{H}(\tilde{\mathcal{R}}, k))$  of  $\overline{R_{\mathbb{C}}}(\mathbb{H}(\tilde{\mathcal{R}}, k))$  generated by the actual representations is considered. In other words,  $\overline{R'_{\mathbb{Z}}}(\mathbb{H}(\tilde{\mathcal{R}}, k))$  is defined as the quotient of  $\overline{R_{\mathbb{Z}}}(\mathbb{H}(\tilde{\mathcal{R}}, k))$  by its torsion subgroup.

By [COT, Proposition 5.6] the map

$$(26) \quad \bar{\mathfrak{r}} : \overline{R'_{\mathbb{Z}}}(\mathbb{H}(\tilde{\mathcal{R}}, k)) \rightarrow \overline{R_{\mathbb{Z}}}(W)$$

is bijective, except possibly when  $R$  has type  $F_4$  and  $k$  is not a generic parameter. However, in view of the more recent work [CiOp2, §3.2], the limit argument given (for types  $B_n$  and  $G_2$ ) in [COT, §5.1] also applies to  $F_4$ . Thus (26) is bijective for all  $\tilde{\mathcal{R}}$  and all real-valued parameters  $k$ .  $\square$

**Lemma 1.5.** *Let  $k$  be real-valued. The canonical map*

$$\mathbb{Z} \text{Irr}_0(\mathbb{H}(\tilde{\mathcal{R}}, k)) \rightarrow \overline{R_{\mathbb{Z}}}(\mathbb{H}(\tilde{\mathcal{R}}, k))$$

*is surjective.*

*Proof.* It was noted in [OpSo2, Lemma 6.3] (in the context of affine Hecke algebras) that every element of  $\overline{R_{\mathbb{Z}}}(\mathbb{H}(\tilde{\mathcal{R}}, k))$  can be represented by a tempered virtual representation. Consider any irreducible tempered  $\mathbb{H}$ -representation  $\pi$ . By [Sol4, Proposition 8.2] there exists a  $P \subset \Delta$ , a discrete series representation  $\delta$  of  $\mathbb{H}_P$  and an element  $\nu \in i\mathfrak{a}^P$ , such that  $\pi$  is a direct summand of

$$\pi(P, \delta, \nu) = \text{ind}_{\mathbb{H}_P \otimes \mathcal{O}(\mathfrak{t}^P)}^{\mathbb{H}}(\delta \otimes \mathbb{C}_{\nu}).$$

By [Sol4, Proposition 8.3] the reducibility of  $\pi(P, \delta, \nu)$  is determined by intertwining operators  $\pi(w, P, \delta, \nu)$  for elements  $w \in W$  that stabilize  $(P, \delta, \nu)$ . Suppose that  $\nu \neq 0$ . Then  $W_{\nu}$  is a proper parabolic subgroup of  $W$ , so the stabilizer of  $(P, \delta, \nu)$  is contained in  $W_Q$  for some  $P \subset Q \subsetneq \Delta$ . In that case  $\pi = \text{ind}_{\mathbb{H}_Q}^{\mathbb{H}}(\pi^Q)$  for some irreducible representation  $\pi^Q$  of  $\mathbb{H}^Q$ , so  $\pi$  becomes zero in  $\overline{R_{\mathbb{Z}}}(\mathbb{H}(\tilde{\mathcal{R}}, k))$ .

Therefore we need only  $\mathbb{Z}$ -linear combinations of summands of  $\pi(\delta, P, 0)$  (with varying  $P, \delta$ ) to surject to  $\overline{R_{\mathbb{Z}}}(\mathbb{H}(\tilde{\mathcal{R}}, k))$ . Since  $k$  is real, discrete series representations of  $\mathbb{H}_P$  have central characters in  $\mathfrak{a}_P/W_P$  [Slo3, Lemma 2.13]. It follows that  $\pi(P, \delta, 0)$  and all its constituents (among which is  $\pi$ ) admit a central character in  $\mathfrak{a}/W$ .  $\square$

**Theorem 1.6.** *Let  $k$  be real-valued. The restriction-to- $W$  maps*

$$\begin{aligned} r_{\mathbb{Z}} &: \mathbb{Z} \text{Irr}_0(\mathbb{H}(\tilde{\mathcal{R}}, k)) &\rightarrow & R_{\mathbb{Z}}(W), \\ \bar{r} &: \overline{R_{\mathbb{Z}}}(\mathbb{H}(\tilde{\mathcal{R}}, k)) &\rightarrow & \overline{R_{\mathbb{Z}}}(W) \end{aligned}$$

are bijective.

*Proof.* We will show this by induction on the semisimple rank of  $\tilde{\mathcal{R}}$  (i.e. the rank of  $R$ ). Suppose first that the semisimple rank is zero. Then  $W = 1$  and  $\mathbb{H} = \mathcal{O}(\mathfrak{t})$ . For  $\lambda \in \mathfrak{t}$  the character

$$\text{ev}_{\lambda} : f \mapsto f(\lambda)$$

is a tempered  $\mathcal{O}(\mathfrak{t})$ -representation if and only if  $\Re(\lambda) = 0$ . If  $\lambda$  is at the same time a real central character (i.e.  $\lambda \in \mathfrak{a}$ ), then  $\lambda = 0$ . Hence  $\text{Irr}_0(\mathbb{H})$  consists just of  $\text{ev}_0$ . It is mapped to the trivial  $W$ -representation by  $r$ , so the theorem holds in this case.

Now let  $\tilde{\mathcal{R}}$  be of positive semisimple rank. It is a direct sum of degenerate root data with  $R$  irreducible or  $R$  empty, and  $\mathbb{H}(\tilde{\mathcal{R}}, k)$  decomposes accordingly. As we already know the result when  $R$  is empty, it remains to establish the case where  $R$  is irreducible.

Any proper parabolic subalgebra  $\mathbb{H}^P \subset \mathbb{H}$  has smaller semisimple rank, so by the induction hypothesis

$$(27) \quad r^P : \mathbb{Z} \text{Irr}_0(\mathbb{H}^P) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z} \text{Irr}_0(W_P) \text{ is bijective.}$$

Consider the commutative diagram

$$(28) \quad \begin{array}{ccccccc} 0 & \rightarrow & \sum_{P \subsetneq \Delta} \text{ind}_{\mathbb{H}^P}^{\mathbb{H}}(\mathbb{Z} \text{Irr}_0(\mathbb{H}^P)) & \rightarrow & \mathbb{Z} \text{Irr}_0(\mathbb{H}) & \rightarrow & \overline{R_{\mathbb{Z}}}(\mathbb{H}) \rightarrow 0 \\ & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ 0 & \rightarrow & \sum_{P \subsetneq \Delta} \text{ind}_{W_P}^W(R_{\mathbb{Z}}(W_P)) & \rightarrow & R_{\mathbb{Z}}(W) & \rightarrow & \overline{R_{\mathbb{Z}}}(W) \rightarrow 0 \end{array}$$

The second row is exact by definition. By (27) and (24) the left vertical arrow is bijective and by Proposition 1.4 the right vertical arrow is surjective. Together with Lemma 1.5 these imply that the middle vertical arrow is surjective. By (20) both  $\mathbb{Z} \text{Irr}_0(\mathbb{H})$  and  $R_{\mathbb{Z}}(W)$  are free abelian groups of the same rank  $|\text{Irr}(W)| = |\text{Irr}_0(\mathbb{H})|$ , so the middle vertical arrow is in fact bijective.

The results so far imply that the kernel of  $\mathbb{Z} \text{Irr}_0(\mathbb{H}) \rightarrow \overline{R_{\mathbb{Z}}}(W)$  is precisely  $\sum_{P \subsetneq \Delta} \text{ind}_{\mathbb{H}^P}^{\mathbb{H}}(\mathbb{Z} \text{Irr}_0(\mathbb{H}^P))$ . The latter group is already killed in  $\overline{R_{\mathbb{Z}}}(\mathbb{H})$ , so the map  $\overline{R_{\mathbb{Z}}}(\mathbb{H}) \rightarrow \overline{R_{\mathbb{Z}}}(W)$  is injective as well. We conclude that (28) is a bijection between two short exact sequences.  $\square$

We will need Theorem 1.6 for somewhat more general algebras. Let  $\Gamma$  be a finite group acting on  $\tilde{\mathcal{R}}$ : it acts  $\mathbb{R}$ -linearly on  $\mathfrak{a}$ , and the dual action on  $\mathfrak{a}^*$  stabilizes  $R$  and  $\Delta$ . We assume that  $k_{\gamma(\alpha)} = k_{\alpha}$  for all  $\alpha \in R, \gamma \in \Gamma$ . Then  $\Gamma$  acts on  $\mathbb{H}(\tilde{\mathcal{R}}, k)$  by the algebra automorphisms satisfying

$$\gamma(\xi N_w) = \gamma(\xi) N_{\gamma w \gamma^{-1}} \quad \gamma \in \Gamma, \xi \in \mathfrak{a}^*, w \in W.$$

Let  $\natural : \Gamma^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^{\times}$  be a 2-cocycle and let  $\mathbb{C}[\Gamma, \natural]$  be the twisted group algebra. We recall that it has a standard basis  $\{N_{\gamma} : \gamma \in \Gamma\}$  and multiplication rules

$$N_{\gamma} N_{\gamma'} = \natural(\gamma, \gamma') N_{\gamma \gamma'} \quad \gamma, \gamma' \in \Gamma.$$

We can endow the vector space  $\mathbb{H}(\tilde{\mathcal{R}}, k) \otimes \mathbb{C}[\Gamma, \mathfrak{h}]$  with the algebra structure such that

- $\mathbb{H}(\tilde{\mathcal{R}}, k)$  and  $\mathbb{C}[\Gamma, \mathfrak{h}]$  are embedded as subalgebras,
- $N_\gamma h N_\gamma^{-1} = \gamma(h)$  for  $\gamma \in \Gamma, h \in \mathbb{H}(\tilde{\mathcal{R}}, k)$ .

We denote this algebra by  $\mathbb{H}(\tilde{\mathcal{R}}, k) \rtimes \mathbb{C}[\Gamma, \mathfrak{h}]$  and call it a twisted graded Hecke algebra. If  $\mathfrak{h}$  is trivial, then it reduces to the crossed product  $\mathbb{H}(\tilde{\mathcal{R}}, k) \rtimes \Gamma$ . All our previous notions for graded Hecke algebras admit natural generalizations to this setting.

Notice that  $W\Gamma$  is a group with  $W$  as normal subgroup and  $\Gamma$  as quotient. The 2-cocycle  $\mathfrak{h}$  can be lifted to  $(W\Gamma)^2 \rightarrow \Gamma^2 \rightarrow (\mathbb{C}^\times)^2$ , and that yields a twisted group algebra  $\mathbb{C}[W\Gamma, \mathfrak{h}]$  in  $\mathbb{H}(\tilde{\mathcal{R}}, k) \rtimes \mathbb{C}[\Gamma, \mathfrak{h}]$ . It is worthwhile to note the case  $k = 0$ :

$$(29) \quad \mathbb{H}(\tilde{\mathcal{R}}, 0) \rtimes \mathbb{C}[\Gamma, \mathfrak{h}] = \mathcal{O}(\mathfrak{t}) \rtimes \mathbb{C}[W\Gamma, \mathfrak{h}].$$

We consider the restriction map

$$(30) \quad r : \text{Mod}(\mathbb{H}(\tilde{\mathcal{R}}, k) \rtimes \mathbb{C}[\Gamma, \mathfrak{h}]) \rightarrow \text{Mod}(\mathbb{C}[W\Gamma, \mathfrak{h}]).$$

Every  $\mathbb{C}[W\Gamma, \mathfrak{h}]$ -module can be extended in a unique way to an  $\mathcal{O}(\mathfrak{t}) \rtimes \mathbb{C}[W\Gamma, \mathfrak{h}]$ -module on which  $\mathcal{O}(\mathfrak{t})$  acts via evaluation at  $0 \in \mathfrak{t}$ , so the right hand side of (30) can be considered as a subcategory of  $\text{Mod}(\mathbb{H}(\tilde{\mathcal{R}}, 0) \rtimes \mathbb{C}[\Gamma, \mathfrak{h}])$ .

**Proposition 1.7.** *Let  $k : R/W\Gamma \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be a parameter function and let  $\mathfrak{h} : \Gamma^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^\times$  be a 2-cocycle. The map (30) induces a bijection*

$$r_{\mathbb{Z}} : \mathbb{Z} \text{Irr}_0(\mathbb{H}(\tilde{\mathcal{R}}, k) \rtimes \mathbb{C}[\Gamma, \mathfrak{h}]) \rightarrow R_{\mathbb{Z}}(\mathbb{C}[W\Gamma, \mathfrak{h}]).$$

*Proof.* Let  $\tilde{\Gamma} \rightarrow \Gamma$  be a finite central extension such that  $\mathfrak{h}$  becomes trivial in  $H^2(\tilde{\Gamma}, \mathbb{C}^\times)$ . Such a group always exists: one can take the Schur extension from [CuRe, Theorem 53.7]. Then there exists a central idempotent  $p_{\mathfrak{h}} \in \mathbb{C}[\ker(\tilde{\Gamma} \rightarrow \Gamma)]$  such that

$$(31) \quad \mathbb{C}[\Gamma, \mathfrak{h}] \cong p_{\mathfrak{h}} \mathbb{C}[\tilde{\Gamma}].$$

The map  $r_{\mathbb{Z}}$  becomes

$$(32) \quad \mathbb{Z} \text{Irr}_0(\mathbb{H}(\tilde{\mathcal{R}}, k) \rtimes p_{\mathfrak{h}} \mathbb{C}[\tilde{\Gamma}]) \rightarrow R_{\mathbb{Z}}(p_{\mathfrak{h}} \mathbb{C}[W\tilde{\Gamma}]).$$

Since  $p_{\mathfrak{h}} \mathbb{C}[\tilde{\Gamma}]$  is a direct summand of  $\mathbb{C}[\tilde{\Gamma}]$ , (32) is just a part of

$$r_{\mathbb{Z}} : \mathbb{Z} \text{Irr}_0(\mathbb{H}(\tilde{\mathcal{R}}, k) \rtimes \tilde{\Gamma}) \rightarrow R_{\mathbb{Z}}(W \rtimes \tilde{\Gamma}).$$

Hence it suffices to prove the proposition when  $\mathfrak{h}$  is trivial, which we assume from now on. By [Sol3, Theorem 6.5.c]

$$(33) \quad r_{\mathbb{C}} : \mathbb{C} \text{Irr}_0(\mathbb{H}(\tilde{\mathcal{R}}, k) \rtimes \Gamma) \rightarrow R_{\mathbb{C}}(W\Gamma).$$

is a  $\mathbb{C}$ -linear bijection. So at least

$$(34) \quad r_{\mathbb{Z}} : \mathbb{Z} \text{Irr}_0(\mathbb{H}(\tilde{\mathcal{R}}, k) \rtimes \Gamma) \rightarrow R_{\mathbb{Z}}(W\Gamma)$$

is injective and has image of finite index in  $R_{\mathbb{Z}}(W\Gamma)$ .

Given  $(\pi, V) \in \text{Irr}(\mathbb{H}(\tilde{\mathcal{R}}, k))$ , let  $\Gamma_\pi$  be the stabilizer in  $\Gamma$  of the isomorphism class of  $\pi$ . For every  $\gamma \in \Gamma_\pi$  we can find  $I^\gamma \in \text{Aut}_{\mathbb{C}}(V)$  such that

$$I^\gamma \circ \pi(N_\gamma h N_\gamma^{-1}) = \pi(h) \circ I^\gamma \quad \text{for all } h \in \mathbb{H}(\tilde{\mathcal{R}}, k).$$

By Schur's Lemma there exists a 2-cocycle  $\natural_\pi : \Gamma_\pi^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^\times$  such that

$$I\gamma\gamma' = \natural_\pi(\gamma, \gamma')I\gamma I\gamma' \quad \text{for all } \gamma, \gamma' \in \Gamma.$$

Let  $(\tau, M) \in \text{Irr}(\mathbb{C}[\Gamma_\pi, \natural_\pi])$ , then  $M \otimes V$  becomes an irreducible  $\mathbb{H} \rtimes \Gamma_\pi$ -module. Clifford theory (see e.g. [RaRa, Appendix], [CuRe, §51] or [Sol4, Appendix]) tells us that  $\text{ind}_{\mathbb{H} \rtimes \Gamma_\pi}^{\mathbb{H} \rtimes \Gamma}(M \otimes V)$  is an irreducible  $\mathbb{H} \rtimes \Gamma$ -module. Moreover this construction provides a bijection

$$\text{Irr}(\mathbb{H} \rtimes \Gamma) \rightarrow \{(\pi, M) : \pi \in \text{Irr}(\mathbb{H})/\Gamma, M \in \text{Irr}(\mathbb{C}[\Gamma_\pi, \natural_\pi])\}.$$

We note that

$$(35) \quad \text{r}(\text{ind}_{\mathbb{H} \rtimes \Gamma_\pi}^{\mathbb{H} \rtimes \Gamma}(M \otimes V)) = \text{ind}_{W \rtimes \Gamma_\pi}^{W \rtimes \Gamma}(M \otimes \text{r}(V)).$$

Similarly, Clifford theory provides a bijection between  $\text{Irr}(W \rtimes \Gamma)$  and

$$\{(\tau, N) : \tau \in \text{Irr}(W)/\Gamma, N \in \text{Irr}(\mathbb{C}[\Gamma_\tau, \natural_\tau])\}.$$

Since  $W$  is a Weyl group, the 2-cocycle  $\natural_\tau$  is always trivial [ABPS1, Proposition 4.3]. With (35) it follows that  $\natural_\pi$  is also trivial, for every  $\pi \in \text{Irr}(\mathbb{H}(\tilde{\mathcal{R}}, k))$ .

Consider any  $\text{ind}_{W \rtimes \Gamma_\tau}^{W \rtimes \Gamma}(N \otimes V_\tau) \in \text{Irr}(W \rtimes \Gamma)$ . Theorem 1.6 guarantees the existence of unique  $m_\pi \in \mathbb{Z}$  such that  $V_\tau = \sum_{(\pi, V) \in \text{Irr}_0(\mathbb{H})} m_\pi \text{r}(V)$ . By the uniqueness,  $\Gamma_\pi \supset \Gamma_\tau$  whenever  $m_\pi \neq 0$ . Hence  $N \otimes V$  is a well-defined  $\mathbb{H} \rtimes \Gamma_\pi$ -module (it may be reducible though), and

$$\begin{aligned} \text{ind}_{W \rtimes \Gamma_\tau}^{W \rtimes \Gamma}(N \otimes V_\tau) &= \\ \text{ind}_{W \rtimes \Gamma_\tau}^{W \rtimes \Gamma}(N \otimes \sum_{(\pi, V) \in \text{Irr}_0(\mathbb{H})} m_\pi \text{r}(V)) &= \text{r}(\sum_{(\pi, V) \in \text{Irr}_0(\mathbb{H})} m_\pi \text{ind}_{\mathbb{H} \rtimes \Gamma_\pi}^{\mathbb{H} \rtimes \Gamma}(N \otimes V)). \end{aligned}$$

This proves that (34) is also surjective.  $\square$

### 1.3. Affine Hecke algebras.

Let  $\mathcal{H}$  be an affine Hecke algebra with positive parameters  $q$ . We compare its Grothendieck group of finite length modules  $R_{\mathbb{Z}}(\mathcal{H})$  with the analogous group for the parameters  $q = 1$ . By some of the main results of [Sol5], the  $\mathbb{Q}$ -vector space  $\mathbb{Q} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} R_{\mathbb{Z}}(\mathcal{H})$  is canonically isomorphic to its analogue for  $q = 1$ . We show that this is already an isomorphism for  $R_{\mathbb{Z}}(\mathbb{H})$ , without tensoring by  $\mathbb{Q}$ . This follows from the results of the previous paragraph, in combination with the standard reduction from affine Hecke algebras to graded Hecke algebras [Lus].

As before, let  $\mathcal{R} = (X, R, Y, R^\vee, \Delta)$  be a based root datum. We have the affine Weyl group  $W^{\text{aff}} = \mathbb{Z}R \rtimes W$  and the extended (affine) Weyl group  $W^e = X \rtimes W$ . Both can be considered as groups of affine transformations of  $\mathfrak{a}^*$ . We denote the translation corresponding to  $x \in X$  by  $t_x$ . As is well-known,  $W^{\text{aff}}$  is a Coxeter group, and the basis  $\Delta$  of  $R$  gives rise to a set  $S^{\text{aff}}$  of simple (affine) reflections. More explicitly, let  $\Delta_M^\vee$  be the set of maximal elements of  $R^\vee$ , with respect to the dominance ordering coming from  $\Delta$ . Then

$$S^{\text{aff}} = S_\Delta \cup \{t_\alpha s_\alpha : \alpha^\vee \in \Delta_M^\vee\}.$$

The length function  $\ell$  of the Coxeter system  $(W^{\text{aff}}, S^{\text{aff}})$  extends naturally to  $W^e$ . The elements of length zero form a subgroup  $\Omega \subset W^e$  and  $W^e = W^{\text{aff}} \rtimes \Omega$ .



A complex parameter function for  $\mathcal{R}$  is a map  $q : S^{\text{aff}} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^\times$  such that  $q(s) = q(s')$  if  $s$  and  $s'$  are conjugate in  $W^e$ . This extends naturally to a map  $q : W^e \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^\times$  which is 1 on  $\Omega$  and satisfies

$$q(ww') = q(w)q(w') \quad \text{if} \quad \ell(ww') = \ell(w) + \ell(w').$$

Equivalently (see [Lus, §3.1]) one can define  $q$  as a  $W$ -invariant function

$$(36) \quad q : R \cup \{2\alpha : \alpha^\vee \in 2Y\} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^\times.$$

We speak of equal parameters if  $q(s) = q(s')$  for all  $s, s' \in S^{\text{aff}}$  and of positive parameters if  $q(s) \in \mathbb{R}_{>0}$  for all  $s \in S^{\text{aff}}$ . We fix a square root  $q^{1/2} : S^{\text{aff}} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^\times$ .

The affine Hecke algebra  $\mathcal{H} = \mathcal{H}(\mathcal{R}, q)$  is the unique associative complex algebra with basis  $\{N_w \mid w \in W^e\}$  and multiplication rules

$$(37) \quad \begin{aligned} N_w N_{w'} &= N_{ww'} && \text{if } \ell(ww') = \ell(w) + \ell(w'), \\ (N_s - q(s)^{1/2})(N_s + q(s)^{-1/2}) &= 0 && \text{if } s \in S^{\text{aff}}. \end{aligned}$$

In the literature one also finds this algebra defined in terms of the elements  $q(s)^{1/2}N_s$ , in which case the multiplication can be described without square roots. This explains why  $q^{1/2}$  does not appear in the notation  $\mathcal{H}(\mathcal{R}, q)$ . For  $q = 1$  (37) just reflects the defining relations of  $W^e$ , so  $\mathcal{H}(\mathcal{R}, 1) = \mathbb{C}[W^e]$ .

The set of dominant elements in  $X$  is

$$X^+ = \{x \in X : \langle x, \alpha^\vee \rangle \geq 0 \forall \alpha \in \Delta\}.$$

The subset  $\{N_{t_x} : x \in X^+\} \subset \mathcal{H}(\mathcal{R}, q)$  is closed under multiplication, and isomorphic to  $X^+$  as a semigroup. For any  $x \in X$  we put

$$\theta_x = N_{t_{x_1}} N_{t_{x_2}}^{-1}, \text{ where } x_1, x_2 \in X^+ \text{ and } x = x_1 - x_2.$$

This does not depend on the choice of  $x_1$  and  $x_2$ , so  $\theta_x \in \mathcal{H}(\mathcal{R}, q)^\times$  is well-defined. The Bernstein presentation of  $\mathcal{H}(\mathcal{R}, q)$  [Lus, §3] says that:

- $\{\theta_x : x \in X\}$  forms a  $\mathbb{C}$ -basis of a subalgebra of  $\mathcal{H}(\mathcal{R}, q)$  isomorphic to  $\mathbb{C}[X] \cong \mathcal{O}(T)$ , which we identify with  $\mathcal{O}(T)$ .
- $\mathcal{H}(W, q) := \mathbb{C}\{N_w : w \in W\}$  is a finite dimensional subalgebra of  $\mathcal{H}(\mathcal{R}, q)$  (known as the Iwahori–Hecke algebra of  $W$ ).
- The multiplication map  $\mathcal{O}(T) \otimes \mathcal{H}(W, q) \rightarrow \mathcal{H}(\mathcal{R}, q)$  is a  $\mathbb{C}$ -linear bijection.
- There are explicit cross relations between  $\mathcal{H}(W, q)$  and  $\mathcal{O}(T)$ , deformations of the standard action of  $W$  on  $\mathcal{O}(T)$ .

To define parabolic subalgebras of affine Hecke algebras, we associate some objects to any  $P \subset \Delta$ :

$$\begin{aligned} X_P &= X / (X \cap (P^\vee)^\perp) & X^P &= X / (X \cap \mathbb{Q}P), \\ Y_P &= Y \cap \mathbb{Q}P^\vee & Y^P &= Y \cap P^\perp, \\ T_P &= \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}}(X_P, \mathbb{C}^\times) & T^P &= \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}}(X^P, \mathbb{C}^\times), \\ \mathcal{R}_P &= (X_P, R_P, Y_P, R_P^\vee, P) & \mathcal{R}^P &= (X, R_P, Y, R_P^\vee, P), \\ \mathcal{H}_P &= \mathcal{H}(\mathcal{R}_P, q_P) & \mathcal{H}^P &= \mathcal{H}(\mathcal{R}^P, q^P). \end{aligned}$$

Here  $q_P$  and  $q^P$  are derived from  $q$  via (36). Both  $\mathcal{H}_P$  and  $\mathcal{H}^P$  are called parabolic subalgebras of  $\mathcal{H}$ . One can regard  $\mathcal{H}_P$  as a “semisimple” quotient of  $\mathcal{H}^P$ .

Any  $t \in T^P$  and any  $u \in T^P \cap T_P$  give rise to algebra automorphisms

$$(38) \quad \begin{aligned} \psi_u : \mathcal{H}_P &\rightarrow \mathcal{H}_P, & \theta_{x_P} N_w &\mapsto u(x_P) \theta_{x_P} N_w, \\ \psi_t : \mathcal{H}^P &\rightarrow \mathcal{H}^P, & \theta_x N_w &\mapsto t(x) \theta_x N_w. \end{aligned}$$

Let  $\Gamma$  be a finite group acting on  $\mathcal{R}$ , i.e. it acts  $\mathbb{Z}$ -linearly on  $X$  and preserves  $R$  and  $\Delta$ . We also assume that  $\Gamma$  acts on  $T$  by affine transformations, whose linear part comes from the action on  $X$ . Thus  $\Gamma$  acts on  $\mathcal{O}(T) \cong \mathbb{C}[X]$  by

$$(39) \quad \gamma(\theta_x) = z_\gamma(x)\theta_{\gamma x},$$

for some  $z_\gamma \in T$ . Since this is a group action, we must have  $z_\gamma \in T^W$ .

We suppose throughout that  $q^{1/2}$  is  $\Gamma$ -invariant, so that  $\gamma \in \Gamma$  acts on  $\mathcal{H}(\mathcal{R}, q)$  by the algebra automorphism

$$(40) \quad \sum_{w \in W, x \in X} c_{x,w} \theta_x N_w \mapsto \sum_{w \in W, x \in X} c_{x,w} z_\gamma(x) \theta_{\gamma(x)} N_{\gamma w \gamma^{-1}}.$$

We can build the crossed product algebra

$$(41) \quad \mathcal{H}(\mathcal{R}, q) \rtimes \Gamma.$$

In [Sol5] we considered a slightly less general action of  $\Gamma$  on  $\mathcal{H}(\mathcal{R}, q)$ , where the elements  $z_\gamma \in T^W$  from (39) were all equal to 1. But the relevant results from [Sol5] do not rely on  $\Gamma$  fixing the unit element of  $T$ , so they are also valid for the actions as in (40). In this paper we will tacitly use some results from [Sol5] in the generality of (40). We note that nontrivial  $z_\gamma \in T^W$  are sometimes needed to describe Hecke algebras coming from  $p$ -adic groups, for example [Roc, §4].

We can also endow the group  $\Gamma$  with a 2-cocycle  $\natural : \Gamma^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^\times$ . Then the vector space  $\mathcal{H}(\mathcal{R}, q) \otimes \mathbb{C}[\Gamma, \natural]$  obtains a multiplication such that  $\mathcal{H}(\mathcal{R}, q)$  and  $\mathbb{C}[\Gamma, \natural]$  are subalgebras and

$$N_\gamma h N_\gamma^{-1} = \gamma(h) \quad \text{for all } \gamma \in \Gamma, h \in \mathcal{H}(\mathcal{R}, q).$$

We denote this by  $\mathcal{H}(\mathcal{R}, q) \rtimes \mathbb{C}[\Gamma, \natural]$  and call it a twisted affine Hecke algebra. Such twists seem necessary to describe algebras appearing in the representation theory of non-split  $p$ -adic groups, see e.g. [ABPS2, Example 5.5]. For reference we record the case  $q = 1$ :

$$(42) \quad \mathcal{H}(\mathcal{R}, 1) \rtimes \mathbb{C}[\Gamma, \natural] = \mathcal{O}(T) \rtimes \mathbb{C}[W\Gamma, \natural].$$

The representation theory of (twisted) affine Hecke algebras is closely related to that of (twisted) graded Hecke algebras, as first shown by Lusztig [Lus]. Since  $\mathcal{H}(\mathcal{R}, q)$  is of finite rank as a module over its commutative subalgebra  $\mathcal{O}(T)$ , all irreducible  $\mathcal{H}(\mathcal{R}, q)$ -modules have finite dimension. The set of  $\mathcal{O}(T)$ -weights of an  $\mathcal{H}(\mathcal{R}, q)$ -module  $V$  will be denoted by  $\text{Wt}(V)$ .

The vector space  $\mathfrak{t} = \mathfrak{a} \oplus i\mathfrak{a}$  can now be interpreted as the Lie algebra of the complex torus  $T = \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}}(X, \mathbb{C}^\times)$ . The latter has a polar decomposition  $T = T_{\text{rs}} \times T_{\text{un}}$  where  $T_{\text{rs}} = \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}}(X, \mathbb{R}_{>0})$  and  $T_{\text{un}}$  is the unique maximal compact subgroup of  $T$ . The polar decomposition of an element  $t \in T$  is written as  $t = |t| (t|t|^{-1})$ .

We write  $T^- = \exp(\mathfrak{a}^-) \subset T_{\text{rs}}$  and  $T^{--} = \exp(\mathfrak{a}^{--}) \subset T_{\text{rs}}$ . We say that a module  $V$  for  $\mathcal{H}(\mathcal{R}, q)$  (or for  $\mathcal{H}(\mathcal{R}, q) \rtimes \mathbb{C}[\Gamma, \natural]$ ) is tempered if  $|\text{Wt}(V)| \subset T^-$ , and that it is discrete series if  $|\text{Wt}(V)| \subset T^{--}$ . (The latter is only possible if  $R$  spans  $\mathfrak{a}$ , for otherwise  $\mathfrak{a}^{--}$  and  $T^{--}$  are empty.)

By the Bernstein presentation, the centre of  $\mathcal{H}(\mathcal{R}, q) \rtimes \mathbb{C}[\Gamma, \natural]$  contains  $\mathcal{O}(T)^{W\Gamma}$ . For any  $W\Gamma$ -invariant subset  $U \subset T$ , let  $\text{Mod}_{f,U}(\mathcal{H}(\mathcal{R}, q) \rtimes \mathbb{C}[\Gamma, \natural])$  be the category of finite dimensional  $\mathcal{H}(\mathcal{R}, q) \rtimes \mathbb{C}[\Gamma, \natural]$ -modules whose  $\mathcal{O}(T)^{W\Gamma}$ -weights all lie in  $U/W\Gamma$ . We denote the Grothendieck group of this category by  $R_{\mathbb{Z},U}(\mathcal{H}(\mathcal{R}, q) \rtimes \mathbb{C}[\Gamma, \natural])$ .

The centre of  $\mathbb{H}(\tilde{\mathcal{R}}, k) \rtimes \mathbb{C}[\Gamma, \mathfrak{h}]$  contains  $\mathcal{O}(\mathfrak{t})^{W\Gamma}$ . For any  $W\Gamma$ -invariant subset  $V \subset \mathfrak{t}$  we define  $\text{Mod}_{f,V}(\mathbb{H}(\tilde{\mathcal{R}}, k) \rtimes \mathbb{C}[\Gamma, \mathfrak{h}])$  analogously.

Fix  $u \in T_{\text{un}}$ . To  $\mathcal{R}$  and  $u$  we can associate some new objects. First we define the root system

$$R_u = \{\alpha \in R : s_\alpha(u) = u\},$$

and we let  $\Delta_u$  be the unique basis of  $R_u$  contained in  $R^+$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} (W\Gamma)_u &= W(R_u) \rtimes \Gamma'_u, \\ \Gamma'_u &= \{w \in W\Gamma : w(u) = u, w(\Delta_u) = \Delta_u\}. \end{aligned}$$

Now we can define the based root data

$$\mathcal{R}_u = (X, R_u, Y, R_u^\vee, \Delta_u) \quad \text{and} \quad \tilde{\mathcal{R}}_u = (\mathfrak{a}^*, R_u, \mathfrak{a}, R_u^\vee, \Delta_u).$$

We define a parameter function  $k_u : R_u \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  for  $\tilde{\mathcal{R}}_u$  by

$$2k_{u,\alpha} = \log(q(s_\alpha)) + \alpha(u) \log(q(t_\alpha s_\alpha)).$$

Let  $\mathfrak{h}_u : (\Gamma'_u)^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^\times$  be the restriction to  $\mathfrak{h}$ . With a slight variation on Lusztig's reduction theorems [Lus, §8–9] one can prove:

**Theorem 1.8.** *Let  $q : W^e \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_{>0}$  be a positive parameter function. The categories*

$$\text{Mod}_{f, W\Gamma_u T_{\text{rs}}}(\mathcal{H}(\mathcal{R}, q) \rtimes \mathbb{C}[\Gamma, \mathfrak{h}]) \quad \text{and} \quad \text{Mod}_{f, \mathfrak{a}}(\mathbb{H}(\tilde{\mathcal{R}}_u, k_u) \rtimes \mathbb{C}[\Gamma'_u, \mathfrak{h}_u])$$

*are equivalent. The equivalence respects parabolic induction, temperedness and discrete series.*

*Proof.* Let  $\tilde{\Gamma}$  and the central idempotent  $p_{\mathfrak{h}}$  be as in (32). Then

$$(43) \quad \begin{aligned} \mathcal{H}(\mathcal{R}, q) \rtimes \mathbb{C}[\Gamma, \mathfrak{h}] &= p_{\mathfrak{h}}(\mathcal{H}(\mathcal{R}, q) \rtimes \tilde{\Gamma}), \\ \mathbb{H}(\tilde{\mathcal{R}}_u, k_u) \rtimes \mathbb{C}[\Gamma'_u, \mathfrak{h}_u] &= p_{\mathfrak{h}}(\mathbb{H}(\tilde{\mathcal{R}}_u, k_u) \rtimes \tilde{\Gamma}'_u). \end{aligned}$$

By [Sol5, Corollary 2.15] the theorem holds for  $\mathcal{H}(\mathcal{R}, q) \rtimes \tilde{\Gamma}$  and  $\mathbb{H}(\tilde{\mathcal{R}}_u, k_u) \rtimes \tilde{\Gamma}'_u$ . The claimed properties of this equivalence were checked in detail in [AMS, §2.1].

This is based on a comparison of localizations of these algebras, as in [Lus]. The comparison maps [Sol5, Theorems 2.1.2 and 2.1.4] are the identity on  $\mathbb{C}[\tilde{\Gamma}'_u \cap \tilde{\Gamma}]$ , so they preserve  $p_{\mathfrak{h}}$ . Hence we can restrict the result from [Sol5] to the direct summands (43).  $\square$

From Theorem 1.8 and (30) (and (29) and (42) for the bottom line) we construct a diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \text{Mod}_{f, W\Gamma_u T_{\text{rs}}}(\mathcal{H}(\mathcal{R}, q) \rtimes \mathbb{C}[\Gamma, \mathfrak{h}]) & \xrightarrow{\sim} & \text{Mod}_{f, \mathfrak{a}}(\mathbb{H}(\tilde{\mathcal{R}}_u, k_u) \rtimes \mathbb{C}[\Gamma'_u, \mathfrak{h}_u]) \\ \downarrow r_u & & \downarrow r \\ \text{Mod}_{f, W\Gamma_u}(\mathcal{H}(\mathcal{R}, 1) \rtimes \mathbb{C}[\Gamma, \mathfrak{h}]) & \xleftarrow{\sim} & \text{Mod}_{f, 0}(\mathbb{H}(\tilde{\mathcal{R}}_u, 0) \rtimes \mathbb{C}[\Gamma'_u, \mathfrak{h}_u]) \\ \parallel & & \parallel \\ \text{Mod}_{f, W\Gamma_u}(\mathcal{O}(T) \rtimes \mathbb{C}[W\Gamma, \mathfrak{h}]) & \xleftarrow{\sim} & \text{Mod}_{f, 0}(\mathcal{O}(\mathfrak{t}) \rtimes \mathbb{C}[(W\Gamma)_u, \mathfrak{h}_u]) \end{array}$$

where  $r_u$  is the unique map that makes the diagram commutative. Using the technique in the proof of Theorem 1.8, we can immediately extend all relevant results in [Sol5] from  $\mathcal{H}(\mathcal{R}, q) \rtimes \tilde{\Gamma}$  to twisted affine Hecke algebras. In view of this, we will freely use results from [Sol5] in that generality.

As shown in [Sol5, §2.3], there exists a unique system of  $\mathbb{Z}$ -linear maps (for all possible  $\mathcal{R}, q, \Gamma$ )

$$(44) \quad \zeta^\vee : R_{\mathbb{Z}}(\mathcal{H}(\mathcal{R}, q) \rtimes \mathbb{C}[\Gamma, \mathfrak{h}]) \longrightarrow R_{\mathbb{Z}}(\mathcal{H}(\mathcal{R}, 1) \rtimes \mathbb{C}[\Gamma, \mathfrak{h}])$$

such that:

- $\zeta^\vee(\pi) = r_u(\pi)$  for tempered representations in  $\text{Mod}_{f, W\Gamma u T_{rs}}(\mathcal{H}(\mathcal{R}, q) \rtimes \mathbb{C}[\Gamma, \mathfrak{h}])$ ,
- $\zeta^\vee$  commutes with parabolic induction,
- $\zeta^\vee$  respects the formation of standard modules for the Langlands classification, in the sense of [Sol5, Corollary 2.2.5].

**Theorem 1.9.** *The map (44) is bijective for every positive parameter function  $q$ .*

*Proof.* Proposition 1.7 and Theorem 1.8 imply that (44) gives a bijection

$$(45) \quad R_{\mathbb{Z}, \text{temp}, W\Gamma u T_{rs}}(\mathcal{H}(\mathcal{R}, q) \rtimes \mathbb{C}[\Gamma, \mathfrak{h}]) \rightarrow R_{\mathbb{Z}, \text{temp}, W\Gamma u}(\mathcal{H}(\mathcal{R}, 1) \rtimes \mathbb{C}[\Gamma, \mathfrak{h}]),$$

where the subscripts “temp” indicate that we formed these Grothendieck groups by starting with tempered modules only. Any tempered  $\mathcal{O}(T) \rtimes \mathbb{C}[W\Gamma, \mathfrak{h}]$ -module only has  $\mathcal{O}(T)$ -weights in  $T_{\text{un}}$ , so on the right hand side of (45) we may just as well replace  $W\Gamma u$  by  $W\Gamma u T_{rs}$ . Thus (44) restricts to a bijection between subgroups generated by tempered modules on both sides.

In [Sol5, Corollary 2.3.2] it was shown that (44) becomes a  $\mathbb{Q}$ -linear bijection upon tensoring both sides with  $\mathbb{Q}$ . The second half of the proof of that result [Sol5, §3.4] extends the statement from the tempered to the general case. It says essentially that whatever happens in the space  $\text{Irr}(\mathcal{H}(\mathcal{R}, q) \rtimes \mathbb{C}[\Gamma, \mathfrak{h}])$  can be detected and understood already by looking at tempered representations. From that, the bijectivity in the tempered case and the multiplicity one property of the Langlands classification (every standard module has a unique irreducible quotient, appearing with multiplicity one, see [Sol5, Theorem 2.2.4]), we obtain the bijectivity of (44) in general.  $\square$

## 2. TOPOLOGICAL K-THEORY

### 2.1. The $C^*$ -completion of an affine Hecke algebra.

In this paragraph we recall the structure of  $C^*$ -algebras associated to affine Hecke algebras. These deep results mainly stem from the work of Delorme–Opdam [Opd, DeOp1, DeOp2].

Recall that  $q$  is a positive parameter function for  $\mathcal{R}$ . We define a  $*$ -operation and a trace on  $\mathcal{H}(\mathcal{R}, q)$  by

$$\begin{aligned} \left( \sum_{w \in W^e} c_w N_w \right)^* &= \sum_{w \in W^e} \overline{c_w} N_{w^{-1}}, \\ \tau \left( \sum_{w \in W^e} c_w N_w \right) &= c_e. \end{aligned}$$

Since  $q(s_\alpha) > 0$ ,  $*$  preserves the relations (37) and defines an anti-involution of  $\mathcal{H}(\mathcal{R}, q)$ . The set  $\{N_w : w \in W^e\}$  is an orthonormal basis of  $\mathcal{H}(\mathcal{R}, q)$  for the inner product

$$\langle h_1, h_2 \rangle = \tau(h_1^* h_2).$$

This gives  $\mathcal{H}(\mathcal{R}, q)$  the structure of a Hilbert algebra. The Hilbert space completion  $L^2(\mathcal{R})$  of  $\mathcal{H}(\mathcal{R}, q)$  is a module over  $\mathcal{H}(\mathcal{R}, q)$ , via left multiplication. Moreover every  $h \in \mathcal{H}(\mathcal{R}, q)$  acts as a bounded linear operator [Opd, Lemma 2.3]. The reduced

$C^*$ -algebra of  $\mathcal{H}(\mathcal{R}, q)$  [Opd, §2.4], denoted  $C_r^*(\mathcal{R}, q)$ , is defined as the closure of  $\mathcal{H}(\mathcal{R}, q)$  in the algebra of bounded linear operators on  $L^2(\mathcal{R})$ .

As in (41), we can extend this to a  $C^*$ -algebra  $C_r^*(\mathcal{R}, q) \rtimes \Gamma$ , provided that  $q$  is  $\Gamma$ -invariant. We will not bother about twisted group algebras  $\mathbb{C}[\Gamma, \natural]$  in this chapter, for with the technique from (43) is easy to generalize our results to that setting and the context of  $C^*$ -algebras crossed products with groups look much more natural.

Let us recall some background about  $C_r^*(\mathcal{R}, q) \rtimes \Gamma$ , mainly from [Opd, Sol5]. It follows from [DeOp1, Corollary 5.7] that it is a finite type I  $C^*$ -algebra and that  $\text{Irr}(C_r^*(\mathcal{R}, q))$  is precisely the tempered part of  $\text{Irr}(\mathcal{H}(\mathcal{R}, q))$ . The structure of  $C_r^*(\mathcal{R}, q) \rtimes \Gamma$  is described in terms of parabolically induced representations. As induction data we use triples  $(P, \delta, t)$  where:

- $P \subset \Delta$ ;
- $\delta$  is an irreducible discrete series representation of  $\mathcal{H}_P$ ;
- $t \in T^P$ .

We regard two triples  $(P, \delta, t)$  and  $(P', \delta', t')$  as equivalent if  $P = P', t = t'$  and  $\delta \cong \delta'$ . Notice that  $\mathcal{H}_P$  comes from a semisimple root datum, so it can have discrete series representations. For every  $t \in T^P$  there exists a surjection  $\phi_t : \mathcal{H}^P \rightarrow \mathcal{H}_P$ , which combines the projection  $X \rightarrow X_P$  with evaluation at  $t$ . To such a triple  $(P, \delta, t)$  we associate the  $\mathcal{H} \rtimes \Gamma$ -representation

$$\pi^\Gamma(P, \delta, t) = \text{ind}_{\mathcal{H}_P}^{\mathcal{H} \rtimes \Gamma}(\delta \circ \phi_t).$$

(When  $\Gamma = 1$ , we often suppress it from these and similar notations.) For  $t \in T_{\text{un}}^P = T^P \cap T_{\text{un}}$  these representations extend continuously to the respective  $C^*$ -completions of the involved algebras. Let  $\Xi_{\text{un}}$  be the set of triples  $(P, \delta, t)$  as above, such that moreover  $t \in T_{\text{un}}$ . Considering  $P$  and  $\delta$  as discrete variables, we regard  $\Xi_{\text{un}}$  as a disjoint union of finitely many compact real tori (of different dimensions).

Let  $\mathcal{V}_{\Xi}^\Gamma$  be the vector bundle over  $\Xi_{\text{un}}$ , whose fibre at  $(P, \delta, t)$  is the vector space underlying  $\pi^\Gamma(P, \delta, t)$ . That vector space is independent of  $t$ , so the vector bundle is trivial. Let  $\text{End}(\mathcal{V}_{\Xi}^\Gamma)$  be the algebra bundle with fibres  $\text{End}_{\mathbb{C}}(\pi^\Gamma(P, \delta, t))$ . Every element of  $C_r^*(\mathcal{R}, q) \rtimes \Gamma$  naturally defines a continuous section of  $\text{End}(\mathcal{V}_{\Xi}^\Gamma)$ .

There exists a finite groupoid  $\mathcal{G}$  which acts on  $\text{End}(\mathcal{V}_{\Xi}^\Gamma)$ . It is made from elements of  $W \rtimes \Gamma$  and of  $K_P := T_P \cap T^P$ . More precisely, its base space is the power set of  $\Delta$ , and for  $P, Q \subseteq \Delta$  the collection of arrows from  $P$  to  $Q$  is

$$(46) \quad \mathcal{G}_{PQ} = \{(g, u) : g \in \Gamma \rtimes W, u \in K_P, g(P) = Q\}.$$

Whenever it is defined, the multiplication in  $\mathcal{G}$  is

$$(g', u') \cdot (g, u) = (g'g, g^{-1}(u')u).$$

In particular, writing  $W\Gamma(P, P) = \{w \in W\Gamma : w(P) = P\}$ , we have the group

$$(47) \quad \mathcal{G}_{PP} = W\Gamma(P, P) \rtimes K_P.$$

Usually we will write elements of  $\mathcal{G}$  simply as  $gu$ . For  $\gamma \in \Gamma W$  with  $\gamma(P) = Q \subset \Delta$  there are algebra isomorphisms

$$(48) \quad \begin{aligned} \psi_\gamma : \mathcal{H}_P &\rightarrow \mathcal{H}_Q, & \theta_{x_P} N_w &\mapsto \theta_{\gamma(x_P)} N_{\gamma w \gamma^{-1}}, \\ \psi_\gamma : \mathcal{H}^P &\rightarrow \mathcal{H}^Q, & \theta_x N_w &\mapsto \theta_{\gamma x} N_{\gamma w \gamma^{-1}}. \end{aligned}$$

The groupoid  $\mathcal{G}$  acts from the left on  $\Xi_{\text{un}}$  by

$$(49) \quad (g, u) \cdot (P, \delta, t) := (g(P), \delta \circ \psi_u^{-1} \circ \psi_g^{-1}, g(ut)),$$

the action being defined if and only if  $g(P) \subset \Delta$ . Suppose that  $g(P) = Q \subset \Delta$  and  $\delta' \cong \delta \circ \psi_u^{-1} \circ \psi_g^{-1}$ . By [Opd, Theorem 4.33] and [Sol5, Theorem 3.1.5] there exists an intertwining operator

$$(50) \quad \pi^\Gamma(gu, P, \delta, t) \in \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{H}(\mathcal{R}, q) \rtimes \Gamma}(\pi^\Gamma(P, \delta, t), \pi^\Gamma(Q, \delta', g(ut))),$$

which depends algebraically on  $t \in T_{\text{un}}^P$ . Then the action of  $\mathcal{G}$  on the continuous sections  $C(\Xi_{\text{un}}; \text{End}(\mathcal{V}_{\Xi}^\Gamma))$  is given by

$$(51) \quad (g \cdot f)(\xi) = \pi^\Gamma(g, g^{-1}\xi) f(g^{-1}\xi) \pi^\Gamma(g, g^{-1}\xi)^{-1} \quad g \in \mathcal{G}_{PQ}, \xi = (Q, \delta', t').$$

**Theorem 2.1.** ([DeOp1, Corollary 5.7] and [Sol5, Theorem 3.2.2])

*There exists a canonical isomorphism of  $C^*$ -algebras*

$$C_r^*(\mathcal{R}, q) \rtimes \Gamma \xrightarrow{\sim} C(\Xi_{\text{un}}; \text{End}(\mathcal{V}_{\Xi}^\Gamma))^{\mathcal{G}}.$$

For  $q = 1$  this simplifies to the well-known isomorphism

$$(52) \quad C_r^*(\mathcal{R}, 1) \rtimes \Gamma = C(T_{\text{un}}) \rtimes W\Gamma \xrightarrow{\sim} C(T_{\text{un}}; \text{End}_{\mathbb{C}}(\mathbb{C}[W\Gamma]))^{W\Gamma}.$$

Let  $\mathcal{G}_{P,\delta}$  be the setwise stabilizer of  $(P, \delta, T_{\text{un}}^P)$  in the group  $\mathcal{G}_{PP}$ . Let  $(P, \delta)/\mathcal{G}$  be a set of representatives for the action of  $\mathcal{G}$  on pairs  $(P, \delta)$  obtained from (49). Theorem 2.1 can be rephrased as an isomorphism

$$(53) \quad C_r^*(\mathcal{R}, q) \rtimes \Gamma \xrightarrow{\sim} \bigoplus_{(P,\delta)/\mathcal{G}} C(T_{\text{un}}^P; \text{End}_{\mathbb{C}}(\pi^\Gamma(P, \delta, t)))^{\mathcal{G}_{P,\delta}}.$$

Let us discuss the representation theory of  $C_r^*(\mathcal{R}, q) \rtimes \Gamma$  (i.e. the tempered unitary representations of  $\mathcal{H}(\mathcal{R}, q) \rtimes \Gamma$ ) in more detail. Our approach, after Harish-Chandra and Opdam, starts with the discrete series of a parabolic subalgebra  $\mathcal{H}(\mathcal{R}_P, q_P) = \mathcal{H}_P$ . It is known from [Opd, Lemma 3.31] that the central character of any (irreducible) discrete series representation  $\delta$  of  $\mathcal{H}_P$  (a  $W_P$ -orbit in  $T_P$ ) has a very specific property, it must consist of *residual points* in  $T_P$ , with respect to  $(\mathcal{R}_P, q_P)$ .

For  $t \in T_P$  we write

$$\begin{aligned} R_P^z(t) &= \{\alpha \in R_P : \alpha(t) \in \{1, -1\}\}, \\ R_P^p(t) &= \{\alpha \in R_P : \alpha(t) \in \{q(s_\alpha)^{1/2} q(s_\alpha t_\alpha)^{1/2}, -q(s_\alpha)^{1/2} q(s_\alpha t_\alpha)^{-1/2}\}\}. \end{aligned}$$

(We remark that there is only one irreducible root datum for which  $q(s_\alpha t_\alpha)$  need not be equal to  $q(s_\alpha)$ , namely with  $R = B_n$ .) By definition  $t \in T_P$  is residual if

$$|R_P^p(t)| - |R_P^z(t)| = \dim_{\mathbb{C}}(T_P) = |P|.$$

Residuality depends in a subtle way on the parameters  $q$ . For instance, when  $q = 1$  and  $X_P \neq 0$ , there are no residual points. Residual points have been classified in [HeOp]. It turns out that all the coordinates of a residual point  $t$  are monomials in the parameters  $q(s)^{\pm 1/2}$ ,  $s \in S^{\text{aff}}$ . Thus we can write  $t = t(q^{1/2})$ .

Let  $\mathcal{Q}(\mathcal{R})$  be the space of all maps  $q : S^{\text{aff}} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_{>0}$  such that  $q(s) = q(s')$  if  $s$  and  $s'$  are conjugate in  $X \rtimes W\Gamma$ . Given  $t = t(q^{1/2})$ , there is a Zariski-open subset of the real variety  $\mathcal{Q}(\mathcal{R})$  on which  $t(q^{1/2})$  defines a residual point. For this reason we call the map

$$\mathcal{Q}(\mathcal{R}) \rightarrow T : q \mapsto t(q^{1/2})$$

a generic residual point. We say that a parameter function  $q \in \mathcal{Q}(\mathcal{R})$  is generic if all generic residual points for parabolic subalgebras  $\mathcal{H}_P$  of  $\mathcal{H}$  are actually residual points for that  $q$ .

When there is only one free parameter in  $q$ , for instance when  $R$  is of type  $A, D$  or  $E$ , then every positive parameter function  $q \neq 1$  is generic. On the other hand, when  $R$  contains root systems of type  $B, C, F$  or  $G$ , then usually no equal parameter function ( $q(s) = q(s')$  for all  $s, s' \in S^{\text{aff}}$ ) is generic.

The discrete series representations of  $\mathcal{H}(\mathcal{R}_P, q_P)$  were classified in [OpSo1], at least when  $R$  is irreducible and  $q_P$  generic. Later the classification was extended to the non-generic cases, along with an actual construction of the representations, in [CiOp2]. Using these papers, it is in principle always possible to find a set of representatives for the action of  $\mathcal{G}$  on the pairs  $(P, \delta)$  as in (53).

Now we describe a single direct summand  $C(T_{\text{un}}^P; \text{End}_{\mathbb{C}}(\pi^\Gamma(P, \delta, t)))^{\mathcal{G}_{P, \delta}}$  of (53) more explicitly. Fix  $t \in T_{\text{un}}^P$  and let  $\mathcal{G}_\xi$  be the isotropy group of  $\xi = (P, \delta, t)$  in  $\mathcal{G}$ . The intertwining operators  $\pi^\Gamma(g, \xi)$ ,  $g \in \mathcal{G}_\xi$  make  $\pi^\Gamma(\xi)$  into a projective  $\mathcal{G}_\xi$ -representation. Decompose it as

$$\pi^\Gamma(\xi) = \bigoplus_{\rho} \mathbb{C}^{m_\rho} \otimes V_\rho,$$

where  $(\rho, V_\rho)$  runs through the set of (equivalence classes of) irreducible projective  $\mathcal{G}_\xi$ -representations. From (51) we see that the evaluation at  $t$  of any element of  $C(T_{\text{un}}^P; \text{End}_{\mathbb{C}}(\pi^\Gamma(P, \delta, t)))^{\mathcal{G}_{P, \delta}}$  lies in

$$\text{End}_{\mathcal{G}_\xi}(\pi^\Gamma(\xi)) \cong \bigoplus_{\rho} \text{End}_{\mathbb{C}}(\mathbb{C}^{m_\rho}).$$

The action of  $\mathcal{G}_\xi$  on  $\pi^\Gamma(P, \delta, t)$  can be analysed further with the theory of R-groups from [DeOp2]. In that paper there is no group  $\Gamma$ , but with the intertwining operators as in [Sol5, Theorem 3.1.5] the extension to the case with  $\Gamma$  is straightforward. By [DeOp2, Propositions 4.5 and 4.7] there exists a root system  $R_\xi$  on which  $\mathcal{G}_\xi$  acts, and an R-group  $\mathfrak{R}_\xi = \text{Stab}_{\mathcal{G}_\xi}(R_\xi \cap R_P^+)$ , such that

$$(54) \quad \mathcal{G}_\xi = W(R_\xi) \rtimes \mathfrak{R}_\xi.$$

By [DeOp2, Theorem 4.3.iv] the intertwining operator  $\pi^\Gamma(g, \xi)$  is a scalar multiple of the identity if  $g \in W(R_\xi)$ . Hence

$$\text{End}_{\mathcal{G}_\xi}(\pi^\Gamma(\xi)) = \text{End}_{\mathfrak{R}_\xi}(\pi^\Gamma(\xi)).$$

Moreover, by [DeOp2, Theorem 5.4] the operators

$$\pi^\Gamma(r, \xi) \in \text{End}_{\mathbb{C}}(\pi^\Gamma(\xi)), \quad r \in \mathfrak{R}_\xi$$

are linearly independent. To classify all irreducible representations of  $C(T_{\text{un}}^P; \text{End}_{\mathbb{C}}(\pi^\Gamma(P, \delta, t)))^{\mathcal{G}_{P, \delta}}$ , it remains to determine (54) and to study  $\pi^\Gamma(\xi)$  as a projective  $\mathfrak{R}_\xi$ -representation, for all  $\xi = (P, \delta, t)$ . In all cases that we will encounter in this paper,  $\mathfrak{R}_\xi$  is abelian and  $\pi^\Gamma(\xi)$  is actually a linear  $\mathfrak{R}_\xi$ -representation. Together with Theorem 1.9 this enables us to determine  $\text{Irr}(C_r^*(\mathcal{R}, q) \rtimes \Gamma)$  in those cases.

## 2.2. K-theory and equivariant cohomology.

The computation of the topological K-theory of  $C_r^*(\mathcal{R}, q) \rtimes \Gamma$  is the main goal of this paper. It follows from (53), especially the compactness of  $T_{\text{un}}^P$ , that the abelian group

$$K_*(C_r^*(\mathcal{R}, q) \rtimes \Gamma) = K_0(C_r^*(\mathcal{R}, q) \rtimes \Gamma) \oplus K_1(C_r^*(\mathcal{R}, q) \rtimes \Gamma)$$

is finitely generated, see [Sol5, Lemma 5.1.3] and its proof. By [Sol5, Theorem 5.1.4], which relies on the study of the representation theory and of parameter deformations of affine Hecke algebras in [Sol5],  $\mathbb{Q} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} K_*(C_r^*(\mathcal{R}, q) \rtimes \Gamma)$  does not depend on the parameters  $q$ . Combining this with the conclusions from Paragraph 1.3, we will deduce that also  $K_*(C_r^*(\mathcal{R}, q) \rtimes \Gamma)$  itself is independent of  $q$ .

Next we use equivariant cohomology and the equivariant Chern character to express  $K_*(C_r^*(\mathcal{R}, q) \rtimes \Gamma)$  in terms of the cohomology of a sheaf on a CW-complex. This is inspired by the equivariant Chern characters with values in Bredon cohomology developed in [Sło1, LüOl]. Our version also applies to certain non-commutative algebras, and provides more information about the torsion elements than [Sło1, LüOl].

In [Sol5, Theorem 4.4.2] an injective homomorphism of  $C^*$ -algebras

$$\zeta_0 : C_r^*(\mathcal{R}, 1) \rtimes \Gamma \longrightarrow C_r^*(\mathcal{R}, q) \rtimes \Gamma$$

was constructed, with the property

$$\pi \circ \zeta_0 \cong \zeta^\vee(\pi) \quad \text{for all } \pi \in \text{Mod}_f(C_r^*(\mathcal{R}, q) \rtimes \Gamma).$$

**Theorem 2.2.** *The map  $K_*(\zeta_0) : K_*(C_r^*(\mathcal{R}, 1) \rtimes \Gamma) \longrightarrow K_*(C_r^*(\mathcal{R}, q) \rtimes \Gamma)$  is an isomorphism.*

*Proof.* Let  $u \in T_{\text{un}}$ . Then (45) says that  $\zeta^\vee$  provides a bijection between the Grothendieck group of finite length  $C_r^*(\mathcal{R}, q) \rtimes \Gamma$ -modules with  $Z(\mathcal{H}(\mathcal{R}, q) \rtimes \Gamma)$ -character in  $W\Gamma u T_{rs}$  and the analogous group for  $C_r^*(X \rtimes W) \rtimes \Gamma$ . For tempered modules  $\zeta^\vee$  agrees with the map  $\zeta^*$  from [Sol5, §2.3].

These  $C^*$ -completions have the same irreducible representations as the respective Schwartz completions of these algebras (see [Opd, §6] or [Sol5, §3.2]), namely the irreducible tempered representations of the underlying affine Hecke algebras. That follows from the comparison of Theorem 2.1 with its analogue for Schwartz completions [Sol5, Theorem 3.2.2]. With these translation steps we see that part (c) of [Sol5, Lemma 5.1.5] holds. Then [Sol5, Lemma 5.1.5] tells us that also its part (a) holds, which is the statement of the theorem.  $\square$

When we want to compute  $K_*(C_r^*(\mathcal{R}, q) \rtimes \Gamma)$ , we can use Theorem 2.2 to replace  $q$  by 1, then apply it another time to replace 1 by any positive parameter function  $q'$  we like. We will do the actual computation either when  $q = 1$  or when  $q$  is generic among all possible parameter functions.

In Section 3 we will encounter many root data  $\mathcal{R}$  which are a product of root data  $\mathcal{R}_1$  and  $\mathcal{R}_2$ . If  $\Gamma_i$  is a group acting on  $\mathcal{R}_i$  in the usual way, then  $\Gamma := \Gamma_1 \times \Gamma_2$  acts on  $\mathcal{R}$ . In this case  $C_r^*(\mathcal{R}, q) \rtimes \Gamma$  is defined as an algebra of bounded linear operators on

$$L^2(\mathcal{R}) \otimes \mathbb{C}[\Gamma] = L^2(\mathcal{R}_1) \otimes \mathbb{C}[\Gamma_1] \otimes L^2(\mathcal{R}_2) \otimes \mathbb{C}[\Gamma_2].$$

It is the closure of the algebraic tensor product of  $C_r^*(\mathcal{R}_1, q_1) \rtimes \Gamma_1$  and  $C_r^*(\mathcal{R}_2, q_2) \rtimes \Gamma_2$  in  $B(L^2(\mathcal{R}) \otimes \mathbb{C}[\Gamma])$ , which means that

$$(55) \quad C_r^*(\mathcal{R}, q) \rtimes \Gamma = C_r^*(\mathcal{R}_1, q_1) \rtimes \Gamma_1 \otimes_{\min} C_r^*(\mathcal{R}_2, q_2) \rtimes \Gamma_2,$$

the minimal tensor product of  $C^*$ -algebras. These  $C^*$ -algebras are separable and of type I, so the paper [Sch] applies to them. The Künneth Theorem [Sch] says that



there exists a natural  $\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$ -graded short exact sequence

$$(56) \quad 0 \rightarrow K_*(C_r^*(\mathcal{R}_1, q_1) \rtimes \Gamma_1) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} K_*(C_r^*(\mathcal{R}_2, q_2) \rtimes \Gamma_2) \rightarrow K_*(C_r^*(\mathcal{R}, q) \rtimes \Gamma) \rightarrow \text{Tor}_{\mathbb{Z}}(K_*(C_r^*(\mathcal{R}_1, q_1) \rtimes \Gamma_1), K_*(C_r^*(\mathcal{R}_2, q_2) \rtimes \Gamma_2)) \rightarrow 0.$$

In particular, this becomes an isomorphism

$$K_*(C_r^*(\mathcal{R}_1, q_1) \rtimes \Gamma_1) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} K_*(C_r^*(\mathcal{R}_2, q_2) \rtimes \Gamma_2) \xrightarrow{\sim} K_*(C_r^*(\mathcal{R}, q) \rtimes \Gamma)$$

if  $K_*(C_r^*(\mathcal{R}_i, q_i) \rtimes \Gamma_i)$  has no torsion for  $i = 1, 2$ . With (56) we can often reduce the computation of K-groups to the case where  $R$  is irreducible.

By (52) and the Green–Julg Theorem [Jul]

$$K_*(C_r^*(\mathcal{R}, 1) \rtimes \Gamma) = K_*(C(T_{\text{un}}) \rtimes W\Gamma) \cong K_*^{W\Gamma}(C(T_{\text{un}})).$$

Furthermore, by the equivariant Serre–Swan Theorem [Phi, Theorem 2.3.1]

$$(57) \quad K_*^{W\Gamma}(C(T_{\text{un}})) \cong K_{W\Gamma}^*(T_{\text{un}}).$$

Together with Theorem 2.1 we get

$$(58) \quad K_*(C_r^*(\mathcal{R}, q) \rtimes \Gamma) \cong K_{W\Gamma}^*(T_{\text{un}}).$$

The right hand side in (57) and (58) is just Atiyah’s  $W\Gamma$ -equivariant K-theory of the compact Hausdorff space  $T_{\text{un}}$ . Let  $T_{\text{un}}//W\Gamma$  be the extended quotient (see also Paragraph 2.3). We recall from [BaCo, Theorem 1.19] that the equivariant Chern character gives a natural isomorphism

$$(59) \quad K_{W\Gamma}^*(T_{\text{un}}) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{C} \xrightarrow{\sim} H^*(T_{\text{un}}//W\Gamma; \mathbb{C}).$$

(Here  $H^*$  could be many cohomology theories, in this paper we stick to Čech cohomology.) With (57) we find a canonical isomorphism

$$(60) \quad K_*(C_r^*(\mathcal{R}, q) \rtimes \Gamma) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{C} \cong H^*(T_{\text{un}}//W\Gamma; \mathbb{C}).$$

In (59) it is essential to use complex coefficients, so this does not tell us much about the torsion in  $K_*(C_r^*(\mathcal{R}, q) \rtimes \Gamma)$ . To study the torsion elements better, we will compare the topological K-theory of relevant  $C^*$ -algebras with a suitable version of equivariant cohomology from [Bre]. Let  $\Sigma$  be a countable, locally finite and finite dimensional  $G$ -CW complex, where  $G$  is a finite group. Assume that all cells are oriented and that the action of  $G$  preserves these orientations.

We define a category  $\mathcal{K}$  whose objects are the finite subcomplexes of  $\Sigma$ . The morphisms from  $K$  to  $K'$  are the maps  $K \rightarrow K' : x \rightarrow gx$  for  $g \in G$  such that  $gK \subset K'$ . Now a local coefficient system on  $\Sigma$  is a covariant functor from  $\mathcal{K}$  to the category of abelian groups, and the group  $C^q(\Sigma; \mathfrak{L})$  of  $q$ -cochains is the set of all functions  $f$  on the  $q$ -cells of  $\Sigma$  with the property that  $f(\tau) \in \mathfrak{L}(\tau)$  for all  $\tau$ . Furthermore we define a coboundary map  $d : C^q(\Sigma; \mathfrak{L}) \rightarrow C^{q+1}(\Sigma; \mathfrak{L})$  by

$$(61) \quad (df)(\sigma) = \sum_{\tau \in \Sigma^{(q)}} [\tau : \sigma] \mathfrak{L}(\tau \rightarrow \sigma) f(\tau)$$

where the sum runs over the set  $\Sigma^{(q)}$  of all  $q$ -cells and the incidence number  $[\tau : \sigma]$  is the degree of the attaching map from  $\partial\sigma$  (the boundary of  $\sigma$  in the standard topological sense) to  $\tau/\partial\tau$ . The group  $G$  acts naturally on this complex by cochain maps so, for any  $K \subset \Sigma$ ,  $(C^*(K; \mathfrak{L})^G, d)$  is a differential complex. We define the equivariant cohomology of  $K$  with coefficients in  $\mathfrak{L}$  as

$$(62) \quad H_G^q(K; \mathfrak{L}) := H^q(C^*(K; \mathfrak{L})^G, d)$$

More generally for  $K' \subset K$ ,  $C^*(K, K'; \mathfrak{L})$  is the kernel of the restriction map  $C^*(K; \mathfrak{L}) \rightarrow C^*(K'; \mathfrak{L})$  and

$$(63) \quad H_G^q(K, K'; \mathfrak{L}) = H^q(C^*(K, K'; \mathfrak{L})^G, d)$$

By construction there exists a local coefficient system  $\mathfrak{L}^G$  (more or less consisting of the  $G$ -invariant elements of  $\mathfrak{L}$ ) on the CW complex  $\Sigma/G$  such that the differential complexes  $(C^*(K, K'; \mathfrak{L})^G, d)$  and  $(C^*(K/G, K'/G; \mathfrak{L}^G), d)$  are isomorphic. Notice that  $\mathfrak{L}^G$  defines a sheaf over  $\Sigma/G$  (with the cells as cover), such that

$$(64) \quad H_G^q(K, K'; \mathfrak{L}) \cong \check{H}^q(K/G, K'/G; \mathfrak{L}^G).$$

Let  $\Sigma^p$  be the  $p$ -skeleton of  $\Sigma$ . We capture all the above things in a spectral sequence  $(E_r^{p,q})_{r \geq 1}$ , degenerating already for  $r \geq 2$ , as follows:

$$(65) \quad E_1^{p,q} = H_G^{p+q}(\Sigma^p, \Sigma^{p-1}; \mathfrak{L}) = \begin{cases} C^p(\Sigma; \mathfrak{L})^G & \text{if } q = 0 \\ 0 & \text{if } q > 0 \end{cases}$$

$$(66) \quad E_2^{p,q} = \begin{cases} H_G^p(\Sigma; \mathfrak{L}) & \text{if } q = 0 \\ 0 & \text{if } q > 0 \end{cases}$$

The differential  $d_1^E$  is the composition

$$(67) \quad E_1^{p,q} \rightarrow C^{p+q}(\Sigma^p; \mathfrak{L})^G \rightarrow E_1^{p+1,q}$$

of the maps induced by the inclusion  $(\Sigma^p, \emptyset) \rightarrow (\Sigma^p, \Sigma^{p-1})$  and the coboundary  $d$ .

We are mostly interested in this cohomology theory for a particular coefficient system, which we now define. Consider the Fréchet algebra

$$(68) \quad B = C(\Sigma; M_N(\mathbb{C})) = M_N(C(\Sigma)).$$

(It is a  $C^*$ -algebra if  $\Sigma$  is compact.) We assume that we have  $u_g \in B^\times$  such that

$$(69) \quad gb(x) = u_g(x)b(g^{-1}x)u_g^{-1}(x)$$

defines an action of  $G$  on  $B$ . Then the invariants  $B^G$  constitute a Fréchet subalgebra of  $B$ . Notice that by (51) and (53) the  $C^*$ -completion of an affine Hecke algebra is a direct sum of algebras of this form.

To associate a local coefficient system to  $B^G$ , we first assume that  $K$  is connected. In that case we let

$$(70) \quad G_K := \{g \in G : gx = x \quad \forall x \in K\}$$

be the isotropy group of  $K$  and we define  $\mathfrak{L}_u(K)$  to be the free abelian group on the (equivalence classes of) irreducible projective  $G_K$ -representations contained in  $(\pi_x, \mathbb{C}^N)$ , where  $\pi_x(g) = u_g(x)$  for  $g \in G_K, x \in K$ . By the continuity of the  $u_g$  we get the same group for any  $x \in K$ . If  $K$  is not connected, then we let  $\{K_i\}_i$  be its connected components, and we define

$$(71) \quad \mathfrak{L}_u(K) = \prod_i \mathfrak{L}_u(K_i)$$

Suppose that  $gK \subset K'$  and that  $\rho$  is a projective  $G_K$ -representation. Then we define a projective  $G_{K'}$ -representation by

$$(72) \quad \mathfrak{L}_u(g : K \rightarrow K')\rho(g') = \rho(g^{-1}g'g) \quad g' \in G_{K'}$$

If  $h \in G$  gives the same map from  $K$  to  $K'$  as  $g$  then  $h^{-1}g \in G_K$  and

$$(73) \quad \mathfrak{L}_u(h : K \rightarrow K')\rho(g') = \rho(h^{-1}g'g) = \rho(h^{-1}g)\rho(g^{-1}g'g)\rho(g^{-1}h)$$

so  $\mathfrak{L}_u(h : K \rightarrow K')\rho$  is isomorphic to  $\mathfrak{L}_u(g : K \rightarrow K')\rho$  as a projective representation. This makes  $\mathfrak{L}_u$  into a functor. We can regard  $\mathfrak{L}_u$  as a sheaf on  $\Sigma$ , where a section  $s$  is continuous on  $U$  if and only if  $s(K)|_{G_{K'}} = s(K')$  for every inclusion  $K \subset K' \subset U$ .

**Example 2.3.** Suppose that  $u_g(x) = 1$  for all  $x \in \Sigma, g \in G$ . Then  $\mathfrak{L}_u$  and  $\mathfrak{L}_u^G$  are the constant sheaves  $\mathbb{Z}$  over  $\Sigma$  and  $\Sigma/G$  respectively, and

$$(74) \quad H_G^*(\Sigma; \mathfrak{L}_u) \cong \check{H}^*(\Sigma/G; \mathbb{Z})$$

is the ordinary cellular cohomology of  $\Sigma/G$ . Furthermore

$$K_*(B^G) \cong K_*(C(\Sigma/G; M_N(\mathbb{C}))) = K_*(C(\Sigma/G)),$$

which is isomorphic to  $\check{H}^*(\Sigma/G; \mathbb{Z})$  modulo torsion.

It turns out that a relation like (59), between  $K_*(B^G)$  and the Čech cohomology  $H^*(\Sigma/G; \mathfrak{L}_u^G)$  is valid in the generality of the algebras  $B^G$  from (68) and (69). Notice that we do not require  $\Sigma$  to be compact, we consider the K-theory of  $B^G$  as a Fréchet algebra. The skeleton of the CW complex  $\Sigma$  gives rise to the following filtration:

$$(75) \quad \begin{aligned} K_*(B^G) &= K_*^0(B^G) \supset K_*^1(B^G) \supset \dots \supset K_*^{\dim \Sigma}(B^G) \supset K_*^{1+\dim \Sigma}(B^G) = 0 \\ K_*^p(B^G) &:= \text{im} \left( K_*(C_0(\Sigma/\Sigma^{p-1}; M_N(\mathbb{C}))^G) \rightarrow K_*(C(\Sigma; M_N(\mathbb{C}))^G) \right). \end{aligned}$$

**Theorem 2.4.** *The graded group associated with the filtration (75) is isomorphic to  $\check{H}^*(\Sigma/G; \mathfrak{L}_u^G)$ . In particular there is an (unnatural) isomorphism*

$$(76) \quad K_*(B^G) \otimes \mathbb{Q} \cong \check{H}^*(\Sigma/G; \mathfrak{L}_u^G \otimes \mathbb{Q})$$

and

$$K_*(B^G) \cong \check{H}^*(\Sigma/G; \mathfrak{L}_u^G)$$

if the right hand side is torsion free.

*Proof.* For  $p, r \geq 0$  we set  $K(p, p+r) = K_*(C_0(\Sigma^{p+r-1}/\Sigma^{p-1}; M_N(\mathbb{C}))^G)$ . When  $p' \geq p$  and  $p' + r' \geq p + r$ , the map

$$(\Sigma^{p+r-1}, \Sigma^{p-1}) \rightarrow (\Sigma^{p'+r'-1}, \Sigma^{p'-1})$$

induces a group homomorphism  $K(p', p' + r') \rightarrow K(p, p + r)$ . For any  $s \geq 0$  the sequence

$$(77) \quad (\Sigma^{p+r-1}, \Sigma^{p-1}) \rightarrow (\Sigma^{p+r+s-1}, \Sigma^{p-1}) \rightarrow (\Sigma^{p+r+s-1}, \Sigma^{p+r-1})$$

gives rise to a connecting homomorphism  $K(p, p+r) \rightarrow K(p+r, p+r+s)$ . Using [CaEi, Section XV.7] we construct a spectral sequence  $(F_r^p)_{r \geq 1}$  with terms:

$$(78) \quad \begin{aligned} F_1^p &= K(p, p+1)/K(p, p) &= K_*(C_0(\Sigma^p/\Sigma^{p-1}; M_N(\mathbb{C}))^G), \\ F_\infty^p &= K(p, \infty)/K(p+1, \infty) &= K_*^p(B^G)/K_*^{p+1}(B^G). \end{aligned}$$

The entire setting is  $\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$ -graded by the K-degree. We put

$$K^q(p, p+r) = K_{p+q}(C_0(\Sigma^{p+r-1}/\Sigma^{p-1}; M_N(\mathbb{C}))^G)$$

and we refine (78) to

$$(79) \quad \begin{aligned} F_1^{p,q} &= K_{p+q}(C_0(\Sigma^p/\Sigma^{p-1}; M_N(\mathbb{C}))^G), \\ F_\infty^{p,q} &= K_{p+q}^p(B^G)/K_{p+q}^{p+1}(B^G). \end{aligned}$$

By the definition of a  $G$ -CW complex, the pointwise stabilizer of a  $p$ -cell  $\sigma$  is equal to its setwise stabilizer in  $G$ . Consequently

$$C_0(\Sigma^p/\Sigma^{p-1}; M_N(\mathbb{C}))^G \cong \prod_{\sigma \in \Sigma^{(p)}/G} C_0(\mathbb{R}^p) \otimes M_N(\mathbb{C})^{G_\sigma}$$

and  $F_1^{p,1} = 0$ . From Bott periodicity and the definition of  $\mathfrak{L}_u$  in (71) we see that

$$F_1^{p,0} \cong \prod_{\sigma \in \Sigma^{(p)}/G} \mathfrak{L}_u(\sigma) \cong \left( \prod_{\sigma \in \Sigma^{(p)}} \mathfrak{L}_u(\sigma) \right)^G.$$

Now replace  $\mathfrak{L}$  in (65) by  $\mathfrak{L}_u$  and sum over all  $q$  to obtain  $E_r^p$ . If we compare the result with  $F_1^p = F_1^{p,0} \oplus F_1^{p,1}$  we see that  $E_1^p \cong F_1^p$ . So we get a diagram

$$(80) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} F_1^{p,q} & \xrightarrow{d_1^F} & F_1^{p+1,q} \\ \cong & & \cong \\ \prod_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} E_1^{p,q+2n} & \xrightarrow{d_1^E} & \prod_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} E_1^{p+1,q+2n} \end{array}$$

The differential  $d_1^F$  for  $F_1^*$  is induced from the construction of a mapping cone of a Puppe sequence in the category of  $C^*$ -algebras, coming from (77). This is the noncommutative counterpart of the construction of the differential in cellular cohomology, so by naturality  $d_1^F$  corresponds to  $d_1^E$  under the above isomorphism. Therefore the spectral sequences  $E_r^p$  and  $F_r^p$  are isomorphic, and in particular  $F_r^p$  degenerates for  $r \geq 2$ . Now the isomorphism (76) follows from (64).

If  $\check{H}^*(\Sigma/G; \mathfrak{L}_u^G)$  is torsion free, then every term  $E_\infty^p \cong F_\infty^p$  must be torsion free. Hence in this case both  $K_*(B^G)$  and  $\check{H}^*(\Sigma/G; \mathfrak{L}_u^G)$  are free abelian groups, of the same rank.  $\square$

Theorem 2.4 allows us to reduce the computations of  $K_*(C_r^*(\mathcal{R}, q))$  to Čech cohomology, where a lot of tools are available. For several root data it is easiest to look at the case  $q = 1$ , for which we will develop more machinery in the next paragraph. For some other root data (in particular of type  $PGL_n$ ) it is more convenient to study  $K_*(C_r^*(\mathcal{R}, q))$  with  $q \neq 1$ , for then there are fewer possibilities for torsion elements, compared to  $q = 1$ . In those cases we need the full force of Theorem 2.4.

### 2.3. Crossed products.

In the special case of crossed products the technique from Theorem 2.4 can be improved. A crucial role will be played by the extended quotient, whose definition we recall now. Let  $G$  be a finite group  $G$  acting on a topological space  $\Sigma$ . We define

$$\tilde{\Sigma} = \{(g, t) \in G \times T_{\text{un}} : g(t) = t\},$$

a closed subset of the topological space  $G \times \Sigma$ . The group  $G$  acts on  $\tilde{\Sigma}$  by

$$g(g', t) = (gg'g^{-1}, g(t)).$$

The (geometric) extended quotient of  $\Sigma$  by  $G$  is defined as

$$(81) \quad \Sigma // G = \tilde{\Sigma} / G.$$

It decomposes as

$$(82) \quad \Sigma // G = \bigsqcup_{g \in cc(G)} \Sigma^w / Z_G(g),$$

where  $cc(G)$  denotes a set of representatives for the conjugacy classes in  $G$ .

We will develop a method that allows one to pass from the  $G$ -equivariant K-theory of  $\Sigma$  to the integral cohomology of  $\Sigma//G$ . However, it does not work automatically, we require that the cohomology is torsion-free and that all  $G$ -isotropy groups of points of  $\Sigma$  are Weyl groups (and it uses some of our earlier results on the representation rings of Weyl groups).

From now on we assume that  $\Sigma$  is a smooth manifold (possibly with boundary) on which  $G$  acts smoothly. According to [Ill]  $\Sigma$  also admits the structure of a countable, locally finite, finite dimensional  $G$ -simplicial complex. The crossed product  $C(\Sigma) \rtimes G$  fits in the framework of (68) and (69) by the isomorphisms

$$(83) \quad C(\Sigma) \rtimes G \cong C(\Sigma; \text{End}_{\mathbb{C}}(\mathbb{C}[G]))^G = B^G.$$

In this case  $u_g(x)$  is right multiplication by  $g^{-1}$  and  $\pi_x$  is the direct sum of  $[G : G_x]$  copies of the regular representation of  $G_x$ . It is not hard to see that  $\mathfrak{L}_u^G \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{C}$  is isomorphic to the direct image of the constant sheaf  $\mathbb{C}$  on  $\tilde{\Sigma}$ , under the canonical map  $pr : \tilde{\Sigma}/G \rightarrow \Sigma/G$ . Since  $pr$  is finite to one there are no topological complications, and we get an isomorphism

$$(84) \quad H_G^*(\Sigma; \mathfrak{L}_u \otimes \mathbb{C}) \cong \check{H}^*(\Sigma/G; \mathfrak{L}_u^G \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{C}) \cong \check{H}^*(\tilde{\Sigma}/G; \mathbb{C})$$

From this one can recover (59). Unfortunately this approach does not automatically lead to an isomorphism between  $\check{H}^*(\Sigma/G; \mathfrak{L}_u^G)$  and  $\check{H}^*(\tilde{\Sigma}/G; \mathbb{Z})$ , for  $\mathfrak{L}_u^G$  need not be isomorphic to the direct image of the constant sheaf  $\mathbb{Z}$  under  $pr$ .

Sometimes this can be approached better via a dual homology theory. Let  $C_q(\Sigma; \mathfrak{L}_u)$  be the subgroup of  $C^q(\Sigma; \mathfrak{L}_u)$  consisting of functions supported on finitely many  $q$ -cells. The graded  $\mathbb{Z}$ -module  $C_*(\Sigma; \mathfrak{L}_u)$  admits a  $G$ -equivariant boundary map, which in the notation of (61) can be written as

$$\begin{aligned} \partial : C^{q+1}(\Sigma; \mathfrak{L}_u) &\rightarrow C^q(\Sigma; \mathfrak{L}_u), \\ (\partial f)(\tau) &= \sum_{\sigma \in \Sigma^{(q+1)}} [\tau : \sigma] \text{ind}_{G_\sigma}^{G_\tau}(f(\sigma)). \end{aligned}$$

This is a natural perfect pairing on each  $\mathfrak{L}_u(\sigma) \cong R_{\mathbb{Z}}(G_\sigma)$ , since  $G_\sigma$  is a finite group. With that one sees that the differential complex  $(C^*(\Sigma; \mathfrak{L}_u), d)$  is isomorphic to  $\text{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}}((C^*(\Sigma; \mathfrak{L}_u), \partial), \mathbb{Z})$ . This persists to the  $G$ -invariants:

$$(85) \quad (C^*(\Sigma/G; \mathfrak{L}_u^G), d) \cong \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}}((C^*(\Sigma/G; \mathfrak{L}_u^G), \partial), \mathbb{Z}).$$

Suppose now that  $\Sigma$  is a manifold on which the finite group  $G$  acts smoothly. For  $t \in \Sigma$  the isotropy  $G_t$  acts  $\mathbb{R}$ -linearly on the tangent space  $T_t(\Sigma)$ . We say that  $G_t$  is a Weyl group if it is the Weyl group of some root system in  $T_t(\Sigma)$ .

**Theorem 2.5.** *Let  $G$  be a finite group acting smoothly on a manifold  $\Sigma$ .*

(a) *Suppose that  $G_t$  is a Weyl group for all  $t \in \Sigma$ . Then*

$$H_i(C_*(\Sigma/G; \mathfrak{L}_u^G), \partial) \cong H_i(\Sigma//G; \mathbb{Z}) \text{ for all } i \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}.$$

(b) *Suppose that the conclusion of part (a) holds, and that  $H^*(\Sigma//G; \mathbb{Z})$  is torsion-free. Then*

$$K_*(C(\Sigma) \rtimes G) \cong H^*(\Sigma//G; \mathbb{Z}).$$

*Proof.* (a) For every subgroup  $H \subset G$  the set of fixpoints  $\Sigma^H$  is a submanifold of  $\Sigma$  [BaCo, Lemma 4.1]. It follows that for every  $g \in cc(G)$  and every connected component  $\Sigma_i^g$  of  $\Sigma^g$  the map  $t \mapsto G_t$  is constant on an open dense subset of  $\Sigma_i^g$ .

Pick a point  $t_i$  in this dense subset of  $\Sigma_i^g$  and write  $G_{t_i} = W_i$ . By assumption  $W_i$  is a Weyl group and  $G_t \supset W_i$  for all  $t \in \Sigma_i^g$ .

For a cell  $\tau$  and  $t \in \tau \setminus \partial\tau$  we have  $G_\tau = G_t$ . Using Proposition 1.7 we define, for  $t \in \Sigma_i^g, t \in \tau \setminus \partial\tau$ ,

$$(86) \quad s(g, t) = s(g, \tau) = \text{ind}_{W_i}^{G_\tau}(H(u_g, \rho_g)).$$

We may and will assume that  $s(g, h\tau) = h \cdot s(g, \tau)$  for all  $h \in Z_G(g)$ . This extends uniquely to a  $G$ -equivariant map  $\Sigma^g \rightarrow \bigcup_{\tau \subset \Sigma^g} R_{\mathbb{Z}}(G_\tau)$ , and hence defines an element  $s(g) \in C^*(\Sigma; \mathfrak{L}_u^G)$ . Thus  $s(g)$  is nonzero at  $Gt \in \Sigma/G$  if and only if  $Gt \cap \Sigma^g$  is nonempty.

The  $s(g)$  with  $g \in cc(G)$  yield precisely one representation for each element of the extended quotient

$$\Sigma//G = \bigsqcup_{g \in cc(G)} \Sigma^g/Z_G(g).$$

So for every  $t \in \Sigma$  we get exactly  $|cc(G_t)| = |\text{Irr}(G_t)|$  representations  $s(g, t)$ . By Proposition 1.3 the  $s(g, t)$  with  $g \in cc(G)$  and  $t \in G\Sigma^g$  form a  $\mathbb{Z}$ -basis of the representation ring of the Weyl group  $G_t$ . This also shows that for  $t \in \tau \setminus \partial\tau$  the set

$$(87) \quad \{h \cdot \text{ind}_{W_i}^{G_\sigma}(H(u_g, \rho_g)) : \sigma \subset \Sigma^g, h \in G_\tau \setminus G, h\sigma = \tau\}$$

is linearly independent in  $R_{\mathbb{Z}}(G_t) = R_{\mathbb{Z}}(G_\tau)$ .

Let  $\tau \otimes s(g, \tau)$  with  $\tau \subset \Sigma^g$  be the terms of which  $s(g)$  is made. Then (86) entails that the span of the  $\tau \otimes s(g, \tau)$  forms a sub-chain complex  $C(g, \Sigma)$  of  $(C_*(\Sigma/G; \mathfrak{L}_u^G), \partial)$  and (87) implies that  $C(g, \Sigma)$  is isomorphic to the cellular homology complex  $C_*(\Sigma^g/Z_G(g); \mathbb{Z})$ . Since the  $s(g, t)$  form a basis of  $R_{\mathbb{Z}}(G_t)$  for every  $t \in \Sigma$ ,

$$C_*(\Sigma/G; \mathfrak{L}_u^G) = \bigoplus_{g \in cc(G)} C(g, \Sigma).$$

The claim about the homology of  $(C_*(\Sigma/G; \mathfrak{L}_u^G), \partial)$  follows.

(b) In the absence of torsion, the Universal Coefficient Theorem says that the dual of the homology of a different complex is naturally isomorphic to the cohomology of the dual complex. This gives the horizontal isomorphisms in the following commutative diagram:

$$(88) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} H^*(\Sigma//G; \mathbb{Z}) & \xrightarrow{\sim} & \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}}(H_*(\Sigma//G; \mathbb{Z}), \mathbb{Z}) \\ \uparrow & & \downarrow \\ H^*(C^*(\Sigma/G; \mathfrak{L}_u^G), d) & \xrightarrow{\sim} & \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}}(H_*(C_*(\Sigma/G; \mathfrak{L}_u^G), \partial), \mathbb{Z}). \end{array}$$

By assumption the right vertical arrow is an isomorphism. We define the left vertical arrow to be the isomorphism such that the diagram becomes commutative. The lower left corner of (88) is  $H_G^*(\Sigma; \mathfrak{L})$ , which by Theorem 2.4 is isomorphic to  $K_*(C(\Sigma) \rtimes G)$ .  $\square$

Let us return to the case of  $C(T_{\text{un}}) \rtimes W = C_r^*(W^e)$ , where  $T_{\text{un}}, W$  and  $W^e$  come from a root datum  $\mathcal{R}$ . Then  $W$  acts by algebraic group automorphisms on the compact torus  $T_{\text{un}}$ .

**Corollary 2.6.** *Let  $\mathcal{R}$  be the root datum of a reductive algebraic group with simply connected derived group, and assume that  $H^*(T_{\text{un}}//W; \mathbb{Z})$  is torsion-free. Then, for any positive parameter function  $q$ ,*

$$K_*(C_r^*(\mathcal{R}, q)) \cong H^*(T_{\text{un}}//W; \mathbb{Z}).$$

*Proof.* Let  $\mathcal{R}$  be the root datum of  $(\mathcal{G}(\mathbb{C}), T)$ . By Steinberg's connectedness theorem [Ste] the group  $Z_{\mathcal{G}(\mathbb{C})}(t)$  is connected for every  $t \in T$ . Hence  $W_t = W(Z_{\mathcal{G}(\mathbb{C})}(t), T)$  is always a Weyl group. Now Theorem 2.5 says that

$$H^*(T_{\text{un}}//W; \mathbb{Z}) \cong K_*(C(T_{\text{un}}) \rtimes W) = K_*(C_r^*(\mathcal{R}, 1)).$$

Apply Theorem 2.2 to the right hand side.  $\square$

In fact Corollary 2.6 also applies to some other root data, for example those of type  $SO_{2n+1}$ .

### 3. EXAMPLES

In this section we will compute the topological K-theory of the  $C^*$ -Hecke algebras  $C_r^*(\mathcal{R}, q)$  associated to common root data  $\mathcal{R}$ . As discussed after Theorem 2.2, it suffices to do so for  $q = 1$  or for generic parameter functions. For  $q = 1$  we will apply Theorem 2.5, when that is possible.

Our approach for  $q \neq 1$  will involve the following steps.

- (1) Explicitly write down the root datum and the associated Weyl groups.

From (53) we get a canonical decomposition

$$(89) \quad C_r^*(\mathcal{R}, q) \rtimes \Gamma = \bigoplus_P C_r^*(\mathcal{R}, q)_P \rtimes \Gamma_P.$$

where  $P$  runs over a set of representatives for the action of  $\mathcal{G}$  on the power set of  $\Delta$  and  $\Gamma_P$  is the setwise stabilizer of  $P$  in  $\Gamma$ .

- (2) List a good set of  $P$ 's.

For every chosen  $P$  we do the following:

- (3) Determine the root datum  $\mathcal{R}_P$  and the residual points.
- (4) Determine the discrete series of  $\mathcal{H}(\mathcal{R}_P, q_P)$ , and all the relevant intertwining operators.
- (5) Describe  $C_r^*(\mathcal{R}, q)_P \rtimes \Gamma_P$  and its space of irreducible representations.
- (6) Calculate  $K_*(C_r^*(\mathcal{R}, q)_P \rtimes \Gamma_P)$ .

Often the final step can be reduced to commutative  $C^*$ -algebras. When this is not possible, we will transfer the problem to sheaf cohomology, via Theorem 2.4.

#### 3.1. Type $GL_n$ .

The easiest root data to study are those associated with the reductive group  $GL_n$ . The right way to do this was shown by Plymen. From [Ply1, Lemma 5.3] we know that the topological  $K$ -groups of these affine Hecke algebras are free abelian, of a finite rank which is explicitly given. Strictly speaking, we do not really need to study this root datum, as we could just refer to Plymen's results. Nevertheless, since many other examples rely on this case, we include an analysis.

From now on many things will be parametrized by partitions and permutations, so let us agree on some notations. We write partitions in decreasing order and abbreviate  $(x)^3 = (x, x, x)$ . A typical partition looks like

$$(90) \quad \mu = (\mu_1, \mu_2, \dots, \mu_d) = (n)^{m_n} \dots (2)^{m_2} (1)^{m_1}$$

where some of the multiplicities  $m_i$  may be 0. By  $\mu \vdash n$  we mean that the weight of  $\mu$  is

$$|\mu| = \mu_1 + \dots + \mu_d = n$$

The number of different  $\mu_i$ 's (i.e. the number of blocks in the diagram of  $\mu$ ) will be denoted by  $b(\mu)$  and the dual partition (obtained by reflecting the diagram of  $\mu$ ) by  $\mu^\vee$ . Sometimes we abbreviate

$$(91) \quad \begin{aligned} \gcd(\mu) &= \gcd(\mu_1, \dots, \mu_d) \\ \mu! &= \mu_1! \mu_2! \cdots \mu_d! \end{aligned}$$

With a such partition  $\mu$  of  $n$  we associate the permutation

$$\sigma(\mu) = (12 \cdots \mu_1)(\mu_1 + 1 \cdots \mu_1 + \mu_2) \cdots (n + 1 - \mu_d \cdots n) \in S_n$$

As is well known, this gives a bijection between partitions of  $n$  and conjugacy classes in the symmetric group  $S_n$ . The centralizer  $Z_{S_n}(\sigma(\mu))$  is generated by the cycles

$$((\mu_1 + \cdots + \mu_i + 1)(\mu_1 + \cdots + \mu_i + 2) \cdots (\mu_1 + \cdots + \mu_i + \mu_{i+1}))$$

and the ‘‘permutations of cycles of equal length’’. For example, if  $\mu_1 = \mu_2$ :

$$(92) \quad (1 \mu_1 + 1)(2 \mu_1 + 2) \cdots (\mu_1 2\mu_1)$$

Using the second presentation of  $\mu$  this means that

$$Z_{S_n}(\sigma(\mu)) \cong \prod_{l=1}^n (\mathbb{Z}/l\mathbb{Z})^{m_l} \rtimes S_{m_l}.$$

Let us recall the definition of  $\mathcal{R}(GL_n)$  and the associated groups. Below  $Q$  and  $Q^\vee$  are the root and coroot lattices.

$$\begin{aligned} X &= \mathbb{Z}^n & Q &= \{x \in X : x_1 + \cdots + x_n = 0\} \\ Y &= \mathbb{Z}^n & Q^\vee &= \{y \in Y : y_1 + \cdots + y_n = 0\} \\ T &= (\mathbb{C}^\times)^n & t &= (t(e_1), \dots, t(e_n)) = (t_1, \dots, t_n) \\ R &= \{e_i - e_j \in X : i \neq j\}, & \alpha_0 &= e_1 - e_n \\ R^\vee &= \{e_i - e_j \in Y : i \neq j\}, & \alpha_0^\vee &= e_1 - e_n \\ s_i &= s_{\alpha_i} = s_{e_i - e_{i+1}} & s_0 &= t_{\alpha_0} s_{\alpha_0} = t_{\alpha_1} s_{\alpha_0} t_{-\alpha_1} : x \rightarrow x + \alpha_0 - \langle \alpha_0^\vee, x \rangle \alpha_0 \\ W &= \langle s_1, \dots, s_{n-1} | s_i^2 = (s_i s_{i+1})^3 = (s_i s_j)^2 = e : |i - j| > 1 \rangle \cong S_n \\ S^{\text{aff}} &= \{s_0, s_1, \dots, s_{n-1}\} \\ W^{\text{aff}} &= \langle s_0, W_0 | s_0^2 = (s_0 s_i)^2 = (s_0 s_1)^3 = (s_0 s_{n-1})^3 = e \text{ if } 2 \leq i \leq n - 2 \rangle \\ W^e &= W^{\text{aff}} \rtimes \Omega & \Omega &= \langle t_{e_1}(12 \cdots n) \rangle \cong \mathbb{Z} \end{aligned}$$

Because all roots of  $R$  are conjugate,  $s_0$  is conjugate to any  $s_i \in S^{\text{aff}}$ . Hence for any label function we have

$$q(s_0) = q(s_i) := q$$

Every point of  $T$  is  $W$ -conjugate to one of the form  $t = ((t_1)^{\mu_1} (t_{\mu_1+1})^{\mu_2} \cdots (t_n)^{\mu_d}) \in T$  and

$$(93) \quad W_t = S_{\mu_1} \times S_{\mu_2} \times \cdots \times S_{\mu_d}.$$

• **case  $\mathfrak{q} = \mathbf{1}$**

By (60) and (82) we have

$$(94) \quad K_* (C_r^*(W^e)) \otimes \mathbb{C} \cong \check{H}^*(\widetilde{T_{\text{un}}}/S_n; \mathbb{C}) \cong \bigoplus_{\mu \vdash n} \check{H}^*(T_{\text{un}}^{\sigma(\mu)}/Z_{S_n}(\sigma(\mu)); \mathbb{C}).$$



Therefore we want to determine  $T_{\text{un}}^{\sigma(\mu)}/Z_{S_n}(\sigma(\mu))$ . If  $\mu$  is as in (90) then

$$(95) \quad \begin{aligned} T^{\sigma(\mu)} &= \{(t_1)^{\mu_1} (t_{\mu_1+1})^{\mu_2} \cdots (t_n)^{\mu_d} \in T\}, \\ T^{\sigma(\mu)}/Z_{S_n}(\sigma(\mu)) &\cong (\mathbb{C}^\times)^{m_n}/S_{m_n} \times \cdots \times (\mathbb{C}^\times)^{m_1}/S_{m_1}, \end{aligned}$$

where  $S_{m_i}$  acts on  $(\mathbb{C}^\times)^{m_i}$  by permuting the coordinates. To handle this space we use the following nice, elementary result, a proof of which can be found for example in [Ply1, Lemma 5.1].

**Lemma 3.1.** *For any  $m \in \mathbb{N}$  there is an isomorphism of algebraic varieties*

$$(\mathbb{C}^\times)^m/S_m \cong \mathbb{C}^{m-1} \times \mathbb{C}^\times$$

Consequently  $T_{\text{un}}^{\sigma(\mu)}/Z_{S_n}(\sigma(\mu))$  has the homotopy type of  $(S^1)^{b(\mu)}$ . In particular its integral cohomology is torsion-free, so Corollary 2.6 is applicable. It says that (94) can be refined to

$$(96) \quad K_*(C_r^*(W^e)) \cong \bigoplus_{\mu \vdash n} \check{H}^*((S^1)^{b(\mu)}; \mathbb{Z}) \cong \bigoplus_{\mu \vdash n} \mathbb{Z}^{2^{b(\mu)}}.$$

• **generic, equal parameter case  $q \neq 1$**

Inequivalent subsets of  $\Delta$  are parametrized by partitions  $\mu$  of  $n$ . For the typical partition (90) we have

$$\begin{aligned} P_\mu &= \Delta \setminus \{\alpha_{\mu_1}, \alpha_{\mu_1+\mu_2}, \dots, \alpha_{n-\mu_d}\} \\ R_{P_\mu} &\cong (A_{n-1})^{m_n} \times \cdots \times (A_1)^{m_2} \cong R_{P_\mu}^\vee \\ X^{P_\mu} &\cong \mathbb{Z}(e_1 + \cdots + e_{\mu_1})/\mu_1 + \cdots + \mathbb{Z}(e_{n+1-\mu_d} + \cdots + e_n)/\mu_d \\ X_{P_\mu} &\cong (\mathbb{Z}^n/\mathbb{Z}(e_1 + \cdots + e_n))^{m_n} + \cdots + (\mathbb{Z}^2/\mathbb{Z}(e_1 + e_2))^{m_2} \\ Y^{P_\mu} &= \mathbb{Z}(e_1 + \cdots + e_{\mu_1}) + \cdots + \mathbb{Z}(e_{n+1-\mu_d} + \cdots + e_n) \\ Y_{P_\mu} &= \{y \in \mathbb{Z}^n : y_1 + \cdots + y_{\mu_1} = \cdots = y_{n+1-\mu_d} + \cdots + y_n = 0\} \\ T^{P_\mu} &= \{(t_1)^{\mu_1} \cdots (t_n)^{\mu_d} \in T\} \\ T_{P_\mu} &= \{t \in T : t_1 t_2 \cdots t_{\mu_1} = \cdots = t_{n+1-\mu_d} \cdots t_n = 1\} \\ K_{P_\mu} &= \{t \in T^{P_\mu} : t_1^{\mu_1} = \cdots = t_n^{\mu_d} = 1\} \\ W_{P_\mu} &\cong (S_n)^{m_n} \times \cdots \times (S_2)^{m_2} \quad W(P_\mu, P_\mu) \cong S_{m_n} \times \cdots \times S_{m_2} \times S_{m_1} \\ \mathcal{G}_{P_\mu P_\mu} &= K_{P_\mu} \rtimes W(P_\mu, P_\mu) \quad Z_{S_n}(\sigma(\mu)) = W(P_\mu, P_\mu) \rtimes \prod_{l=1}^n (\mathbb{Z}/l\mathbb{Z})^{m_l} \end{aligned}$$

The  $W_{P_\mu}$ -orbits of residual points for  $\mathcal{H}_{P_\mu}$  are parametrized by

$$K_{P_\mu}((q^{(\mu_1-1)/2}, q^{(\mu_1-3)/2}, \dots, q^{(1-\mu_1)/2}) \cdots (q^{(\mu_d-1)/2}, q^{(\mu_d-3)/2}, \dots, q^{(1-\mu_d)/2}))$$

This set is obviously in bijection with  $K_{P_\mu}$ , and indeed the intertwiners  $\pi(k), k \in K_{P_\mu}$  act on it by multiplication. From the classification of the discrete series we know that here every residual point carries precisely one discrete series representation, namely a twist of a Steinberg representation. The quickest way to see this is with the Kazhdan–Lusztig classification of irreducible representations of affine Hecke algebras with equal parameters, in particular [KaLu, Theorems 7.12 and 8.13]. This implies

$$\begin{aligned} \bigcup_{\delta} (P_\mu, \delta, T^{P_\mu})/K_{P_\mu} &\cong T^{P_\mu}, \\ \bigcup_{\delta} (P_\mu, \delta, T^{P_\mu})/\mathcal{G}_{P_\mu P_\mu} &\cong T^{P_\mu}/W(P_\mu, P_\mu) = T^{\sigma(\mu)}/Z_{S_n}(\sigma(\mu)). \end{aligned}$$

If a point  $\xi = (P_\mu, \delta, t)$  has a nontrivial stabilizer  $\mathcal{G}_\xi$ , then by the above this stabilizer is contained in  $W(P_\mu, P_\mu) \cong \prod_{i=1}^n S_{m_i}$ . It is easily seen that this isotropy group is actually a Weyl group, and that it equals the group  $W(R_\xi)$  from (54). In other words, all R-groups are trivial for this root datum and  $q \neq 1$ , and all intertwining operators  $\pi(g, \xi)$  from a representation  $\pi(\xi)$  to itself are scalar multiples of the identity. So the action of  $\mathcal{W}_{P_\mu P_\mu}$  on

$$(97) \quad C\left(\bigsqcup_\delta T_{\text{un}}^{P_\mu}; M_{n!/\mu!}(\mathbb{C})\right)$$

is essentially only on  $\bigsqcup_\delta T_u^{P_\mu}$  and the conjugation part doesn't really matter. In particular we deduce that

$$(98) \quad C_r^*(\mathcal{R}, q) \cong \bigoplus_{\mu \vdash n} M_{n!/\mu!} \left( C\left(\bigsqcup_\delta T_{\text{un}}^{P_\mu}\right) \right) \cong \bigoplus_{\mu \vdash n} M_{n!/\mu!} (T_{\text{un}}^{\sigma(\mu)} / Z_{S_n}(\sigma(\mu))).$$

In particular  $C_r^*(\mathcal{R}, q)$  is Morita-equivalent with the commutative  $C^*$ -algebra of continuous functions on  $T_{\text{un}}//S_n$ . Similar results were obtained by completely different methods in [Mis].

We remark that  $\text{Irr}(C_r^*(\mathcal{R}, q))$  has a clear relation with the elliptic representation theory of symmetric groups. Every  $\delta$  is essentially a Steinberg representation, so

$$\zeta^\vee(\delta \circ \phi_t) \in \text{Mod}(\mathcal{O}(T) \rtimes Z_{S_n}(\sigma(\mu)))$$

is given by the  $\mathcal{O}(T)$ -character  $t$  and the sign representation of the Weyl group  $Z_{S_n}(\sigma(\mu))_t$ . Moreover the group  $Z_{S_n}(\sigma(\mu))_t$  can be identified with  $R(\xi)$ , where  $\xi = (P_\mu, \delta, t)$ . Then  $\zeta^\vee(\pi(\xi)) = \text{ind}_{W(R_\xi)}^{(S_n)_t}(\text{sign})$  as  $(S_n)_t$ -representations, and this is exactly a member of the basis  $R_{\mathbb{Z}}((S_n)_t)$  exhibited in Proposition 1.3.b.

Using the analysis from the case  $q = 1$  it follows that

$$(99) \quad K_*(C_r^*(\mathcal{R}, q)) \cong \bigoplus_{\mu \vdash n} K^*(T_{\text{un}}^{\sigma(\mu)} / Z_{S_n}(\sigma(\mu))) \cong \bigoplus_{\mu \vdash n} K^*((S^1)^{b(\mu)}) \cong \bigoplus_{\mu \vdash n} \mathbb{Z}^{2^{b(\mu)}}.$$

Recall that the even cohomology of  $(S^1)^b$  has the same dimension as its odd cohomology, unless  $b = 0$ . The same holds for K-theory, and  $b(\mu) = 0$  does not occur because  $b(\mu)$  counts the number of different terms in a partition of  $n \geq 1$ . So we can refine (99) to

$$(100) \quad K_0(C_r^*(\mathcal{R}, q)) = \bigoplus_{\mu \vdash n} \mathbb{Z}^{2^{b(\mu)-1}}, \quad K_1(C_r^*(\mathcal{R}, q)) = \bigoplus_{\mu \vdash n} \mathbb{Z}^{2^{b(\mu)-1}}.$$

### 3.2. Type $SL_n$ .

The affine Hecke algebra associated to a root datum of type  $SL_n$  describes the category of Iwahori-spherical representations of  $PGL_n(\mathbb{Q}_p)$ . Since that is a subcategory of the Iwahori-spherical representations of  $GL_n(\mathbb{Q}_p)$ , It can be expected this affine Hecke algebra behaves very similarly to those in the previous paragraph. Indeed, we will see that the calculations of the K-theory are essentially the same as in Paragraph 3.1.

The root datum  $\mathcal{R}(SL_n)$  is given by:

$$\begin{aligned}
X &= \mathbb{Z}^n / \mathbb{Z}(e_1 + \cdots + e_n) \cong Q + ((e_1 + \cdots + e_n)/n - e_n) \\
Q &= \{x \in \mathbb{Z}^n : x_1 + \cdots + x_n = 0\} \\
Y &= Q^\vee = \{y \in \mathbb{Z}^n : y_1 + \cdots + y_n = 0\} \\
T &= \{t \in (\mathbb{C}^\times)^n : t_1 \cdots t_n = 1\} \quad t = (t(e_1), \dots, t(e_n)) = (t_1, \dots, t_n) \\
R &= \{e_i - e_j \in X : i \neq j\} \quad \alpha_0 = e_1 - e_n \\
R^\vee &= \{e_i - e_j \in Y : i \neq j\} \quad \alpha_0 = e_1 - e_n \\
s_i &= s_{\alpha_i} = s_{e_i - e_{i+1}} \quad s_0 = t_{\alpha_0} s_{\alpha_0} = t_{\alpha_1} s_{\alpha_0} t_{-\alpha_1} : x \rightarrow x + \alpha_0 - \langle \alpha_0^\vee, x \rangle \alpha_0 \\
W &= \langle s_1, \dots, s_{n-1} | s_i^2 = (s_i s_{i+1})^3 = (s_i s_j)^2 = e \text{ if } |i - j| > 1 \rangle \cong S_n \\
S^{\text{aff}} &= \{s_0, s_1, \dots, s_{n-1}\} \\
W^{\text{aff}} &= \langle s_0, W_0 | s_0^2 = (s_0 s_i)^2 = (s_0 s_1)^3 = (s_0 s_{n-1})^3 = e \text{ if } 2 \leq i \leq n-2 \rangle \\
W^e &= W^{\text{aff}} \rtimes \Omega \quad \Omega = \langle t_{e_1 - (e_1 + \cdots + e_n)/n} (12 \cdots n) \rangle \cong \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}
\end{aligned}$$

Because all roots are conjugate,  $s_0$  is conjugate to any  $s_i \in S^{\text{aff}}$ , and for any label function

$$q(s_0) = q(s_i) = q.$$

The  $W$ -stabilizer of  $((t_1)^{\mu_1} (t_{\mu_1+1})^{\mu_2} \cdots (t_n)^{\mu_d})$  is isomorphic to  $S_{\mu_1} \times \cdots \times S_{\mu_d}$ . Generically there are  $n!$  residual points, and they all satisfy  $t(\alpha_i) = q$  or  $t(\alpha_i) = q^{-1}$  for  $1 \leq i < n$ . These residual points form  $n$  conjugacy classes, unless  $q = 1$ .

• **group case  $\mathfrak{q} = 1$**

In view of (60) and (82) we want to determine  $T_{\text{un}}^{\sigma(\mu)} / Z_{S_n}(\sigma(\mu))$ , where  $\mu$  is any partition of  $n$ . Write it as in (90), then

$$\begin{aligned}
T^{\sigma(\mu)} &= \{(t_1)^{\mu_1} (t_{\mu_1+1})^{\mu_2} \cdots (t_n)^{\mu_d} \in T\} \\
&\cong \{(t_1)^{\mu_1} (t_{\mu_1+1})^{\mu_2} \cdots (t_n)^{\mu_d} \in (\mathbb{C}^\times)^n\} / \mathbb{C}^\times \times \{(e^{2\pi i k/n})^n : 0 \leq k < \gcd(\mu)\}, \\
T^{\sigma(\mu)} / Z_{S_n}(\sigma(\mu)) &\cong ((\mathbb{C}^\times)^{m_n} / S_{m_n} \times \cdots \times (\mathbb{C}^\times)^{m_1} / S_{m_1}) / \mathbb{C}^\times \times \\
&\quad \{(e^{2\pi i k/n})^n : 0 \leq k < \gcd(\mu)\}.
\end{aligned}$$

where  $\mathbb{C}^\times$  acts diagonally. By Lemma 3.1 each factor  $(\mathbb{C}^\times)^{m_i} / S_{m_i}$  is homotopy equivalent to a circle. The induced action of  $S^1 \subset \mathbb{C}^\times$  on this direct product of circles identifies with a direct product of rotations. Hence  $T^{\sigma(\mu)} / Z_{S_n}(\sigma(\mu))$  is homotopy equivalent with  $\mathbb{T}^{b(\mu)-1} \times \{\gcd(\mu) \text{ points}\}$ , and the extended quotient  $T//W$  has torsion-free cohomology. By Corollary 2.6

$$(101) \quad K_*(C_r^*(W^e)) \cong \mathbb{Z}^{d(n)}, \quad d(n) := \sum_{\mu \vdash n} \gcd(\mu) 2^{b(\mu)-1}.$$

• **generic, equal parameter case  $\mathfrak{q} \neq 1$**

Inequivalent subsets of  $\Delta$  are parametrized by partitions  $\mu$  of  $n$ . For the typical partition (90) we put

$$\begin{aligned}
 P_\mu &= \Delta \setminus \{\alpha_{\mu_1}, \alpha_{\mu_1+\mu_2}, \dots, \alpha_{n-\mu_d}\} \\
 R_{P_\mu} &\cong (A_{n-1})^{m_n} \times \dots \times (A_1)^{m_2} \cong R_{P_\mu}^\vee \\
 X^{P_\mu} &\cong (\mathbb{Z}(e_1 + \dots + e_{\mu_1})/\mu_1 + \dots + \mathbb{Z}(e_{n+1-\mu_d} + \dots + e_n)/\mu_d)/\mathbb{Z}(e_1 + \dots + e_n)/g \\
 X_{P_\mu} &\cong (\mathbb{Z}^n/\mathbb{Z}(e_1 + \dots + e_n))^{m_n} + \dots + (\mathbb{Z}^2/\mathbb{Z}(e_1 + e_2))^{m_2} \\
 Y^{P_\mu} &= \{y \in \mathbb{Z}(e_1 + \dots + e_{\mu_1}) + \dots + \mathbb{Z}(e_{n+1-\mu_d} + \dots + e_n) : y_1 + \dots + y_n = 0\} \\
 Y_{P_\mu} &= \{y \in Y : y_1 + \dots + y_{\mu_1} = \dots = y_{n+1-\mu_d} + \dots + y_n = 0\} \\
 T^{P_\mu} &= \{(t_1)^{\mu_1} \dots (t_n)^{\mu_d} \in T : t_1^{\mu_1/g} \dots t_n^{\mu_d/g} = 1\}, \quad g = \gcd(\mu) \\
 T_{P_\mu} &= \{t \in T : t_1 t_2 \dots t_{\mu_1} = \dots = t_{n+1-\mu_d} \dots t_n = 1\} \\
 K_{P_\mu} &= \{t \in T^{P_\mu} : t_1^{\mu_1} = \dots = t_n^{\mu_d} = 1\} \\
 W_{P_\mu} &\cong (S_n)^{m_n} \times \dots \times (S_2)^{m_2} \quad W(P_\mu, P_\mu) \cong S_{m_n} \times \dots \times S_{m_2} \times S_{m_1} \\
 \mathcal{G}_{P_\mu P_\mu} &= K_{P_\mu} \rtimes W(P_\mu, P_\mu) \quad Z_{S_n}(\sigma(\mu)) = W(P_\mu, P_\mu) \rtimes \prod_{l=1}^n (\mathbb{Z}/l\mathbb{Z})^{m_l}
 \end{aligned}$$

**Theorem 3.2.** *For  $q \neq 1$  the  $C^*$ -algebra  $C_r^*(\mathcal{R}(SL_n), q)$  is Morita equivalent with the commutative algebra of continuous functions on  $T_{\text{un}}//W$ .*

*Its K-theory is given by*

$$\begin{aligned}
 K_0(C_r^*(\mathcal{R}, q)) &= \bigoplus_{\mu \vdash n, b(\mu) > 1} \mathbb{Z}^{\gcd(\mu) 2^{b(\mu)-2}} \oplus \bigoplus_{\mu \vdash n, b(\mu) = 1} \mathbb{Z}^{\gcd(\mu)}, \\
 K_1(C_r^*(\mathcal{R}, q)) &= \bigoplus_{\mu \vdash n, b(\mu) > 1} \mathbb{Z}^{\gcd(\mu) 2^{b(\mu)-2}}.
 \end{aligned}$$

*Proof.* The  $W_{P_\mu}$ -orbits of residual points for  $\mathcal{H}_{P_\mu}$  are represented by the points

$$(102) \quad \left( (q^{(\mu_1-1)/2}, q^{(\mu_1-3)/2}, \dots, q^{(1-\mu_1)/2}) \dots (q^{(\mu_d-1)/2}, q^{(\mu_d-3)/2}, \dots, q^{(1-\mu_d)/2}) \right) \cdot \\
 \left( (e^{2\pi i k_1/\mu_1})^{\mu_1} \dots (e^{2\pi i k_d/\mu_d})^{\mu_d} \right), \quad 0 \leq k_i < \mu_i$$

These points are in bijection with  $K_{P_\mu} \times \mathbb{Z}/\gcd(\mu)\mathbb{Z}$ . Also  $T^{\sigma(\mu)}$  consists of exactly  $\gcd(\mu)$  components, one of which is  $T^{P_\mu}$ . Just as in the type  $GL_n$  case, this leads to

$$\begin{aligned}
 \bigcup_{\delta} (P_\mu, \delta, T^{P_\mu})/K_{P_\mu} &\cong T^{P_\mu} \times \mathbb{Z}/\gcd(\mu)\mathbb{Z} \cong T^{\sigma(\mu)}, \\
 \bigcup_{\delta} (P_\mu, \delta, T^{P_\mu})/W_{P_\mu P_\mu} &\cong T^{\sigma(\mu)}/Z_{S_n}(\sigma(\mu)), \\
 C_r^*(\mathcal{R}, q) &\cong \bigoplus_{\mu \vdash n} M_{n!/\mu!} \left( C \left( \bigsqcup_{\delta} T_u^{P_\mu} \right) \right) \cong \bigoplus_{\mu \vdash n} M_{n!/\mu!} (T_u^{\sigma(\mu)}/Z_{S_n}(\sigma(\mu))).
 \end{aligned}$$

The extended quotient  $T_{\text{un}}//W$  is  $\bigsqcup_{\mu \vdash n} T_u^{\sigma(\mu)}/Z_{S_n}(\sigma(\mu))$ , which gives the desired Morita equivalence. It follows that

$$(103) \quad K_*(C_r^*(\mathcal{R}, q)) \cong \bigoplus_{\mu \vdash n} K^*(T_u^{\sigma(\mu)}/Z_{S_n}(\sigma(\mu))) \cong \bigoplus_{\mu \vdash n} K^*((S^1)^{b(\mu)-1})^{\gcd(\mu)}.$$

This is a free abelian group of rank  $d(n) = \sum_{\mu \vdash n} \gcd(\mu) 2^{b(\mu)-1}$  with  $b(\mu)$  as on page 30. Since the even K-theory of  $(S^1)^b$  has the same rank as the odd K-theory unless  $b = 0$ , (103) leads to  $K_0$  and  $K_1$  as claimed.  $\square$

### 3.3. Type $PGL_n$ .

The root datum for the algebraic group  $PGL_n$  gives rise to:

$$X = Q = \{x \in \mathbb{Z}^n : x_1 + \cdots + x_n = 0\}$$

$$Q^\vee = \{y \in \mathbb{Z}^n : y_1 + \cdots + y_n = 0\}$$

$$Y = \mathbb{Z}^n / \mathbb{Z}(e_1 + \cdots + e_n) \cong Q^\vee + ((e_1 + \cdots + e_n)/n - e_1)$$

$$T = (\mathbb{C}^\times)^n / \mathbb{C}^\times \quad t = (t_1, \dots, t_n) = (t(e_1), \dots, t(e_n))$$

$$R = \{e_i - e_j \in X : i \neq j\} \quad \alpha_0 = e_1 - e_n$$

$$R^\vee = \{e_i - e_j \in Y : i \neq j\} \quad \alpha_0 = e_1 - e_n$$

$$s_i = s_{\alpha_i} = s_{e_i - e_{i+1}} \quad s_0 = t_{\alpha_0} s_{\alpha_0} : x \rightarrow x + \alpha_0 - \langle \alpha_0^\vee, x \rangle \alpha_0$$

$$W = \langle s_1, \dots, s_{n-1} | s_i^2 = (s_i s_{i+1})^3 = (s_i s_j)^2 = e \text{ if } |i - j| > 1 \rangle \cong S_n$$

$$S^{\text{aff}} = \{s_0, s_1, \dots, s_{n-1}\} \quad \Omega = \{e\}$$

$$W^e = W^{\text{aff}} = \langle s_0, W_0 | s_0^2 = (s_0 s_i)^2 = (s_0 s_1)^3 = (s_0 s_{n-1})^3 = e \text{ if } 2 \leq i \leq n-2 \rangle$$

For  $n > 2$ ,  $s_0$  is conjugate to  $s_1$  in  $W^{\text{aff}}$ , for  $n = 2$  it is not. So for  $n > 2$  there is only one parameter  $q = q(s_i)$   $0 \leq i \leq n-1$ , whereas for  $n = 2$   $q_0$  may differ from  $q_1$ . In particular, for  $n = 2$  the equal parameter function  $q(s_0) = q(s_1)$  is not generic. Nevertheless, we will only consider equal parameter functions in this paragraph, explicit computations for the other parameter functions on  $\mathcal{R}(PGL_2)$  can be found in [Sol1, §6.1].

For  $q \neq 1$  there are  $n!$  residual points. They form one  $W$ -orbit, and a typical residual point is

$$(q^{(1-n)/2}, q^{(3-n)/2}, \dots, q^{(n-1)/2})$$

To determine the isotropy group of points of  $T$  we have to be careful. In general the  $W$ -stabilizer of

$$((t_1)^{\mu_1} (t_{\mu_1+1})^{\mu_2} \cdots (t_n)^{\mu_d}) \in T$$

is isomorphic to

$$S_{\mu_1} \times S_{\mu_2} \times \cdots \times S_{\mu_d} \subset W.$$

However, in some special cases the diagonal action of  $\mathbb{C}^\times$  on  $(\mathbb{C}^\times)^n$  gives rise to extra stabilizing elements. Let  $r$  be a divisor of  $n$ ,  $k \in (\mathbb{Z}/r\mathbb{Z})^\times$  and  $\lambda = (\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_l)$  a partition of  $n/r$ . The isotropy group of

$$(t_1)^{\lambda_1} (e^{2\pi ik/r} t_1)^{\lambda_1} \cdots (e^{-2\pi ik/r} t_1)^{\lambda_1} (t_{r\lambda_1+1})^{\lambda_2} \cdots (e^{-2\pi ik/r} t_{r\lambda_1+1})^{\lambda_2} \cdots (e^{-2\pi ik/r} t_n)^{\lambda_l}$$

is isomorphic to

$$(104) \quad S_{\lambda_1}^r \times S_{\lambda_2}^r \times \cdots \times S_{\lambda_l}^r \rtimes \mathbb{Z}/r\mathbb{Z}.$$

Explicitly the subgroup  $\mathbb{Z}/r\mathbb{Z}$  is generated by

$$(1 \ \lambda_1+1 \ 2\lambda_1+1 \ \cdots \ (r-1)\lambda_1+1)(2 \ \lambda_1+2 \ 2\lambda_1+2 \ \cdots \ (r-1)\lambda_1+2) \cdots (\lambda_l \ 2\lambda_l \ \cdots \ r\lambda_l) \\ \cdots (n+1-r\lambda_d \ n+1+(1-r)\lambda_d \ \cdots \ n+1+(r-1)\lambda_d)(n+(1-r)\lambda_d \ n+(2-r)\lambda_d \ \cdots \ n),$$

and it acts on every factor  $S_{\lambda_j}^r$  in (104) by cyclic permutations.

- case  $\mathbf{q} = \mathbf{1}$

As we noted before, we have to analyse  $T_{\text{un}}^{\sigma(\mu)}/Z_{S_n}(\sigma(\mu))$ . For the typical partition  $\mu$  we have

$$(105) \quad T^{\sigma(\mu)} = \{(t_1)^{\mu_1}(t_{\mu_1+1})^{\mu_2} \cdots (t_n)^{\mu_d}\}/\mathbb{C}^\times \times \{t : t(e_j) = e^{2\pi ijk/g}, 0 \leq k < g\},$$

which is the disjoint union of  $g = \text{gcd}(\mu)$  complex tori of dimension  $m_n + m_{n-1} + \cdots + m_1 - 1$ . We obtain

$$(106) \quad T^{\sigma(\mu)}/Z_{S_n}(\sigma(\mu)) \cong ((\mathbb{C}^\times)^{m_n}/S_{m_n} \times \cdots \times (\mathbb{C}^\times)^{m_1}/S_{m_1})/\mathbb{C}^\times \times \{t : t(e_j) = e^{2\pi ijk/g}, 0 \leq k < g\}.$$

Remarkably enough, these sets are diffeomorphic to the corresponding sets for  $\mathcal{R}(SL_n)$ . We take advantage of this by reusing our deduction that (106) is homotopy equivalent with  $(S^1)^{b(\mu)-1} \times \{\text{gcd}(\mu) \text{ points}\}$ . With (60) we conclude that  $K_*(C_r^*(W^e)) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{C}$  has dimension  $d(n) = \sum_{\mu \vdash n} \text{gcd}(\mu) 2^{b(\mu)-1}$ .

• **equal parameter case  $q \neq 1$**

This is noticeably different from the generic cases for  $\mathcal{R}(GL_n)$  and  $\mathcal{R}(A_{n-1}^\vee)$  because  $C_r^*(\mathcal{R}(A_{n-1}, q))$  is not Morita equivalent to a commutative  $C^*$ -algebra. Of course the inequivalent subsets of  $\Delta$  are still parametrized by partitions  $\mu$  of  $n$ .

$$\begin{aligned} P_\mu &= \Delta \setminus \{\alpha_{\mu_1}, \alpha_{\mu_1+\mu_2}, \dots, \alpha_{n-\mu_d}\} \\ R_{P_\mu} &\cong (A_{n-1})^{m_n} \times \cdots \times (A_1)^{m_2} \cong R_{P_\mu}^\vee \\ X^{P_\mu} &\cong \{x \in \mathbb{Z}(e_1 + \cdots + e_{\mu_1})/\mu_1 + \cdots + \mathbb{Z}(e_{n+1-\mu_d} + \cdots + e_n)/\mu_d : \\ &\quad x_1 + \cdots + x_n = 0\} \\ X_{P_\mu} &\cong \{x \in \mathbb{Z}^{\mu_1}/\mathbb{Z}(e_1 + \cdots + e_{\mu_1}) + \cdots + \mathbb{Z}^{\mu_d}/\mathbb{Z}(e_{n+1-\mu_d} + \cdots + e_n) : \\ &\quad x_1 + \cdots + x_n \in g\mathbb{Z}/g\mathbb{Z}\} \\ Y^{P_\mu} &\cong \mathbb{Z}(e_1 + \cdots + e_{\mu_1}) + \cdots + \mathbb{Z}(e_{n+1-\mu_d} + \cdots + e_n)/\mathbb{Z}(e_1 + \cdots + e_n) \\ Y_{P_\mu} &\cong \{y : y_1 + \cdots + y_{\mu_1} = \cdots = y_{n+1-\mu_d} + \cdots + y_n = 0\}/\mathbb{Z}(e_1 + \cdots + e_n) \\ T^{P_\mu} &= \{(t_1)^{\mu_1} \cdots (t_n)^{\mu_d}\}/\mathbb{C}^\times \\ T_{P_\mu} &= \{t : t_1 t_2 \cdots t_{\mu_1} = \cdots = t_{n+1-\mu_d} \cdots t_n = 1\}/\{z \in \mathbb{C} : z^g = 1\} \\ K_{P_\mu} &= \{(t_1)^{\mu_1} \cdots (t_n)^{\mu_d} : t_1^{\mu_1} = \cdots = t_n^{\mu_d} = 1\}/\{z \in \mathbb{C} : z^g = 1\} \\ W_{P_\mu} &\cong S_n^{m_n} \times S_{n-1}^{m_{n-1}} \times \cdots \times S_2^{m_2} \quad W(P_\mu, P_\mu) \cong S_{m_n} \times \cdots \times S_{m_2} \times S_{m_1} \end{aligned}$$

We note that

$$T^{\sigma(\mu)} = T^{P_\mu} \times \{t : t(e_j) = e^{2\pi ijk/g}, 0 \leq k < g\}.$$

The  $W_{P_\mu}$ -orbits of residual points for  $\mathcal{H}_{P_\mu}$  are represented by the points of

$$K_{P_\mu}(q^{(\mu_1-1)/2}, q^{(\mu_1-3)/2}, \dots, q^{(1-\mu_1)/2}, q^{(\mu_2-1)/2}, \dots, q^{(\mu_d-1)/2}, \dots, q^{(1-\mu_d)/2}).$$

Hence the intertwiners  $\pi(k)$  with  $k \in K_{P_\mu}$  permute the set of discrete series representations of  $\mathcal{H}_{P_\mu}$  faithfully, and

$$\bigsqcup_{\delta} (P_\mu, \delta, T^{P_\mu})/K_{P_\mu} \cong T^{P_\mu} = (T^{\sigma(\mu)})^\circ.$$

Just before (97) we saw that the intertwiners for  $\mathcal{R}(GL_n), q \neq 1$  have the property

$$w(t) = t \Rightarrow \pi(w, P_\mu, \delta, t) = 1.$$

This implies that in our present setting we can have  $w(t) = t$  and  $\pi(w, P_\mu, \delta, t) \neq 1$  only if  $w(t) = t$  does not hold without taking the action of  $\mathbb{C}^\times$  into account.

Let us classify such  $w \in W(P_\mu, P_\mu)$  and  $t \in T^{P_\mu}$  up to conjugacy. For a divisor  $r$  of  $g^\vee := \gcd(\mu^\vee)$  we have the partition

$$\mu^{1/r} := (nr)^{m_n/r} \cdots (2r)^{m_2/r} (r)^{m_1/r}.$$

Notice that

$$b(\mu^{1/r}) = b(\mu) = b(\mu^\vee).$$

There exists a  $\sigma \in S_n$  which is conjugate to  $\sigma(\mu^{1/r})$  and satisfies  $\sigma^r = \sigma(\mu)$ . We construct a particular such  $\sigma$  as follows. If  $r = g^\vee$  then (starting from the left) replace every block

$$(d+1 \ d+2 \ \cdots \ d+m)(d+1+m \ \cdots \ d+2m) \cdots (d+(g^\vee-1)m \ \cdots \ d+g^\vee m)$$

of  $\sigma(\mu)$  by

$$(d+1 \ d+1+m \ \cdots \ d+1+(g^\vee-1)m \ 2 \ d+2+m \ \cdots \ d+2+(g^\vee-1)m \ d+3 \ \cdots \ d+g^\vee m).$$

We denote the resulting element by  $\sigma(\mu)^{1/g^\vee}$ , and for general  $r|g^\vee$  we define

$$\sigma(\mu)^{1/r} := (\sigma(\mu)^{1/g^\vee})^{g^\vee/r}.$$

Consider the cosets of subtori

$$T_{r,k}^{P_\mu} := (T^{\sigma(\mu)^{1/r}})^\circ ((1)^{g^\vee \mu_1/r} (e^{2\pi i k/r})^{g^\vee \mu_1 + g^\vee /r/r} \cdots (e^{-2\pi i k/r})^{g^\vee \mu_d/r}) \quad k \in \mathbb{Z}.$$

If  $\gcd(k, r) = 1$ , then the generic points of  $T_{r,k}^{P_\mu}$  have  $W(P_\mu, P_\mu)$ -stabilizer

$$\langle W_{P_\mu}, \sigma(\mu)^{1/r} \rangle \cap W(P_\mu, P_\mu) \cong \mathbb{Z}/r\mathbb{Z}.$$

Note that for  $r'|g^\vee$

$$(107) \quad T_{r',k}^{P_\mu} \subset T_{r,k}^{P_\mu} \quad \text{if } r|r'.$$

If a point  $t \in T_{r,k}^{P_\mu}$  does not lie on any  $T_{r',k'}$  with  $r' > r$ , then its  $W(P_\mu, P_\mu)$ -stabilizer may still be larger than  $\mathbb{Z}/r\mathbb{Z}$ . However, it is always of the form

$$S_{\lambda_1}^r \times \cdots \times S_{\lambda_l}^r \rtimes \mathbb{Z}/r\mathbb{Z}.$$

Here the product of symmetric groups is  $W(R_\xi)$  from (54), and  $\mathfrak{R}_\xi = \mathbb{Z}/r\mathbb{Z}$ . With [DeOp2] it follows that the intertwiners  $\pi(w, P_\mu, \delta, t)$  are scalar for  $w \in S_{\lambda_1}^r \times \cdots \times S_{\lambda_l}^r$  and nonscalar for  $w \in (\mathbb{Z}/r\mathbb{Z}) \setminus \{e\}$ . Because  $\mathbb{Z}/r\mathbb{Z}$  is cyclic this implies that  $\pi(P_\mu, \delta, t)$  is the direct sum of exactly  $r$  inequivalent irreducible representations.

Different choices of  $\sigma(\mu)^{1/r}$  or of  $k \in (\mathbb{Z}/r\mathbb{Z})^\times$  lead to conjugate subvarieties of  $T^{P_\mu}$ , so we have a complete description of  $\text{Irr}(C_r^*(\mathcal{R}, q)_{P_\mu})$ . To calculate the  $K$ -theory of this algebra we use Theorem 2.4, which says that (at least modulo torsion) it is isomorphic to

$$H_{W(P_\mu, P_\mu)}^*(T_u^{P_\mu}; \mathcal{L}_u) \cong \check{H}^*(T^{P_\mu}/W(P_\mu, P_\mu); \mathcal{L}_u^{W(P_\mu, P_\mu)}).$$

We can endow  $T_u^{P_\mu}$  with the structure of a finite  $W(P_\mu, P_\mu)$ -CW-complex, such that every  $T_{u,r,k}^{P_\mu}$  is a subcomplex. The local coefficient system  $\mathcal{L}_u$  is not very complicated:  $\mathcal{L}_u(B) \cong \mathbb{Z}^r$  if and only if  $B \setminus \partial B$  consists of generic points in a conjugate of  $T_{u,r,k}^{P_\mu}$ . In suitable coordinates the maps  $\mathcal{L}_u(B \rightarrow B')$  are all of the form

$$\mathbb{Z}^r \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}^{r/d} : (x_1, \dots, x_r) \rightarrow (x_1 + x_2 + \cdots + x_d, \dots, x_{1+r-d} + \cdots + x_r).$$

Hence the associated sheaf is the direct sum of several subsheaves  $\mathfrak{F}_r^\mu$ , one for each divisor  $r$  of  $\gcd(\mu^\vee)$ . The support of  $\mathfrak{F}_r^\mu$  is

$$W(P_\mu, P_\mu)T_{u,r,1}^{P_\mu}/W(P_\mu, P_\mu) \cong T_u^{P_\mu^{1/r}}/Z_{S_n}(\sigma(\mu^{1/r}))$$

and on that space it has constant stalk  $\mathbb{Z}^{\phi(r)}$ . Here  $\phi$  is the Euler  $\phi$ -function, i.e.

$$\phi(r) = \#\{m \in \mathbb{Z} : 0 \leq m < r, \gcd(m, r) = 1\} = \#(\mathbb{Z}/r\mathbb{Z})^\times,$$

This is the rank of  $\mathfrak{F}_r^\mu$ , because in every point of  $T_{u,r,1}$  we have  $r$  irreducible representations, but the ones corresponding to numbers that are not coprime to  $r$  are already accounted for by the sheaves  $\mathfrak{F}_{r'}^\mu$  with  $r'|r$ . We calculate

$$\begin{aligned} (108) \quad \check{H}^*(T_{\text{un}}^{P_\mu}/W(P_\mu, P_\mu); \mathcal{L}_u^{W(P_\mu, P_\mu)}) &\cong \bigoplus_{r|\gcd(\mu^\vee)} \check{H}^*(T_{\text{un}}^{P_\mu}/W(P_\mu, P_\mu); \mathfrak{F}_r^\mu) \\ &\cong \bigoplus_{r|\gcd(\mu^\vee)} \check{H}^*(T_{\text{un}}^{P_\mu^{1/r}}/Z_{S_n}(\sigma(\mu^{1/r})); \mathbb{Z}^{\phi(r)}) \cong \bigoplus_{r|\gcd(\mu^\vee)} \check{H}^*((S^1)^{b(\mu^{1/r})-1}; \mathbb{Z}^{\phi(r)}) \\ &\cong \bigoplus_{r|\gcd(\mu^\vee)} \mathbb{Z}^{\phi(r)2^{b(\mu^{1/r})-1}} = \bigoplus_{r|\gcd(\mu^\vee)} \mathbb{Z}^{\phi(r)2^{b(\mu^\vee)-1}} = \mathbb{Z}^{\gcd(\mu^\vee)2^{b(\mu^\vee)-1}}. \end{aligned}$$

Now Theorem 2.4 says that  $K_*(C_r^*(\mathcal{R}, q)_{P_\mu})$  is also a free abelian group of rank  $\gcd(\mu^\vee)2^{b(\mu^\vee)-1}$ . Summing over partitions  $\mu$  of  $n$  we find that  $K_*(C_r^*(\mathcal{R}, q))$  is a free abelian group of rank

$$\sum_{\mu \vdash n} \gcd(\mu^\vee)2^{b(\mu^\vee)-1} = \sum_{\mu \vdash n} \gcd(\mu)2^{b(\mu)-1}.$$

From Theorem 2.2 and the case  $q = 1$  we see that these K-groups can also be obtained as the K-theory of a disjoint union of compact tori, with  $\gcd(\mu)$  tori of dimension  $b(\mu) - 1$ . This allows us to immediately determine  $K_0$  and  $K_1$  separately as well:

$$\begin{aligned} (109) \quad K_0(C_r^*(\mathcal{R}, q)) &= \bigoplus_{\mu \vdash n, b(\mu) > 1} \mathbb{Z}^{\gcd(\mu)2^{b(\mu)-2}} \oplus \bigoplus_{\mu \vdash n, b(\mu) = 1} \mathbb{Z}^{\gcd(\mu)}, \\ K_1(C_r^*(\mathcal{R}, q)) &= \bigoplus_{\mu \vdash n, b(\mu) > 1} \mathbb{Z}^{\gcd(\mu)2^{b(\mu)-2}}. \end{aligned}$$

### 3.4. Type $SO_{2n+1}$ .

The root systems of type  $B_n$  are more complicated than those of type  $A_n$  because there are roots of different lengths. This implies that the associated root data allow label functions which have three independent parameters. Detailed information about the representations of type  $B_n$  affine Hecke algebras is available from [Slo2].



Consider the root datum for the special orthogonal group  $SO_{2n+1}$ :

$$\begin{aligned}
X &= Q = \mathbb{Z}^n \\
Y &= \mathbb{Z}^n \quad Q^\vee = \{y \in Y : y_1 + \cdots + y_n \text{ even}\} \\
T &= (\mathbb{C}^\times)^n \quad t = (t_1, \dots, t_n) = (t(e_1), \dots, t(e_n)) \\
R &= \{x \in X : \|x\| = 1 \text{ or } \|x\| = \sqrt{2}\}, \quad \alpha_0 = e_1 \\
R^\vee &= \{x \in X : \|x\| = 2 \text{ or } \|x\| = \sqrt{2}\}, \quad \alpha_0^\vee = 2e_1 \\
\Delta &= \{\alpha_i = e_i - e_{i+1} : i = 1, \dots, n-1\} \cup \{\alpha_n = e_n\} \\
s_i &= s_{\alpha_i} \quad s_0 = t_{\alpha_0} s_{\alpha_0} : x \rightarrow x + \alpha_0 - \langle \alpha_0^\vee, x \rangle \alpha_0 \\
W &= \langle s_1, \dots, s_n | s_j^2 = (s_i s_j)^2 = (s_i s_{i+1})^3 = (s_{n-1} s_n)^4 = e : i \leq n-2, |i-j| > 1 \rangle \\
S^{\text{aff}} &= \{s_0, s_1, \dots, s_{n-1}, s_n\} \quad \Omega = \{e\} \\
W^e &= W^{\text{aff}} = \langle W, s_0 | s_0^2 = (s_0 s_i)^2 = (s_0 s_1)^4 = e : i \geq 2 \rangle
\end{aligned}$$

For a generic parameter function we have different parameters  $q_0 = q(s_0)$ ,  $q_1 = q(s_i)$  for  $1 \leq i < n$  and  $q_2 = q(s_n)$ .

The finite reflection group  $W = W(B_n)$  is naturally isomorphic to  $(\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})^n \rtimes S_n$ . Let  $\mu \vdash n$  and consider a point

$$(110) \quad t = ((t_1^\pm)^{\mu_1} \cdots (t_{n-\mu_{d-1}-\mu_d}^\pm)^{\mu_{d-2}} (1)^{\mu_{d-1}} (-1)^{\mu_d}) \in T,$$

where  $(t_1^\pm)^{\mu_1}$  means that  $\mu_1$  coordinates are equal to  $t_1$  or  $t_1^{-1}$ , while the other  $n - \mu_1$  coordinates of  $t$  are different. The stabilizer  $W_t$  of  $t$  is isomorphic to

$$(111) \quad S_{\mu_1} \times \cdots \times S_{\mu_{d-2}} \times W(B_{\mu_{d-1}}) \times W(B_{\mu_d}).$$

Notice that this is a Weyl group, generated by the reflections it contains.

• **case  $\mathbf{q_0 = q_1 = q_2 = 1}$**

In view of (60) we want to determine the extended quotient  $\widetilde{T}_{\text{un}}/W$ . Therefore we recall the explicit classification of conjugacy classes in  $W$  in terms of bipartitions, which be found (for example) in [Car1]. We already know that the quotient of  $W$  by the normal subgroup  $(\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})^n$  of sign changes is isomorphic to  $S_n$ , and that conjugacy classes in  $S_n$  are parametrized by partitions of  $n$ . So we wonder what the different conjugacy classes in  $(\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})^n \sigma(\mu)$  are, for  $\mu \vdash n$ . To handle this we introduce some notation, assuming that  $|\mu| + |\lambda| = n$  and  $|\mu| + |\lambda| + |\rho| = n'$ :

$$\begin{aligned}
\epsilon_I &= \prod_{i \in I} s_{e_i} \quad I \subset \{1, \dots, n\} \\
I_\lambda &= \{1, 1 + \lambda_1, 1 + \lambda_1 + \lambda_2, \dots\} \quad \lambda = (\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \lambda_3, \dots) \\
(112) \quad \sigma'(\lambda) &= \epsilon_{I_\lambda} \sigma(\lambda) \in W(B_{|\lambda|}) \\
\sigma(\mu, \lambda) &= \sigma(\mu) (m \rightarrow m - |\lambda| \bmod n) \sigma'(\lambda) (m \rightarrow m + |\lambda| \bmod n) \\
\sigma(\mu, \lambda, \rho) &= \sigma(\mu, \lambda) (m \rightarrow m - |\rho| \bmod n') \sigma'(\rho) (m \rightarrow m + |\rho| \bmod n')
\end{aligned}$$

Let  $I \subset \{1, \dots, m\}$  and  $J \subset \{m+1, \dots, 2m\}$ . It is easily verified that  $\epsilon_I(12 \cdots m)$  is conjugate to  $\mu_J(m+1 \ m+2 \cdots 2m)$  if and only if  $|I| + |J|$  is even. Therefore the conjugacy classes in  $W(B_n)$  are parametrized by ordered pairs of partitions of total weight  $n$ . Explicitly  $(\mu, \lambda)$  corresponds to  $\sigma(\mu, \lambda)$  as in (112). The set  $T^{\sigma(\mu, \lambda)}$  and the group  $Z_{W_0(B_n)}(\sigma(\mu, \lambda))$  are both the direct product of the corresponding objects for the blocks of  $\mu$  and  $\lambda$ , i.e. for the parts  $(m, m, \dots, m)$ . The centralizer

of  $\sigma((m)^k)$  in  $W(B_{km})$  is generated by  $(1\ 2\ \cdots\ m)$ ,  $\epsilon_{\{1,2,\dots,m\}}$  and the transpositions of cycles

$$(113) \quad (am + 1\ am + m + 1)(am + 2\ am + m + 2) \cdots (am + m\ am + 2m),$$

where  $0 \leq a \leq k - 2$ . It follows that

$$(114) \quad \begin{aligned} Z_{W(B_{km})}(\sigma((m)^k)) &\cong W(B_k) \times (\mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z})^k, \\ ((\mathbb{C}^\times)^{km})^{\sigma((m)^k)} &= \{((t_1)^m (t_{m+1})^m \cdots (t_{km+1-m})^m) : t_i \in \mathbb{C}^\times\}, \\ ((S^1)^{km})^{\sigma((m)^k)} / Z_{W_0(B_{km})}(\sigma((m)^k)) &\cong (S^1)^k / W(B_k) \cong [-1, 1]^k / S_k. \end{aligned}$$

Now consider the following element of  $W(B_{km})$ :

$$\sigma'((m)^k) = \epsilon_{\{1,m+1,\dots,km+1-m\}} (1\ 2\ \cdots\ m)(m + 1\ \cdots\ 2m) \cdots (km + 1 - m\ \cdots\ km).$$

It has only  $2^k$  fixpoints, namely

$$(115) \quad ((\pm 1)^m (\pm 1)^m \cdots (\pm 1)^m).$$

The centralizer of  $\sigma'((m)^k)$  is generated by  $\epsilon_{\{1\}}(1\ 2\ \cdots\ m)$ ,  $\epsilon_{\{1,2,\dots,m\}}$  and the elements (113). The latter two generate a subgroup isomorphic to  $W(B_k)$ , which fits in a short exact sequence

$$(116) \quad 1 \rightarrow W(B_k) \rightarrow Z_{W(B_{mk})}(\sigma'((m)^k)) \rightarrow (\mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z})^k \rightarrow 1,$$

where the first factor  $\mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z}$  is generated by the image of  $\epsilon_{\{1\}}(1\ 2\ \cdots\ m)$ . We find

$$(117) \quad ((S^1)^{km})^{\sigma'((m)^k)} / Z_{W(B_{mk})}(\sigma'((m)^k)) \cong \{(1)^{am} (-1)^{(k-a)m} : 0 \leq a \leq k\}.$$

Now we can see what  $T_{\text{un}}^{\sigma(\mu,\lambda)} / Z_W(\sigma(\mu,\lambda))$  looks like. Its number of components  $N(\lambda)$  depends only on  $\lambda$ , and all these components are mutually homeomorphic contractible orbifolds, the shape and dimension being determined by  $\mu$ . More precisely, for every block of  $\mu$  of width  $k$  we get a factor  $[-1, 1]^k / S_k$ , and for every block of  $\lambda$  of width  $l$  we must multiply the number of components by  $l + 1$ . Alternatively, we can obtain the same space (modulo the action of  $W$ ) as

$$(118) \quad \begin{aligned} T_{\text{un}}^{\sigma(\mu,\lambda)} / Z_{W(B_n)}(\sigma(\mu,\lambda)) &= \bigsqcup_{\lambda_1 \cup \lambda_2 = \lambda} T_{\text{un},c}^{\sigma(\mu,\lambda_1,\lambda_2)} / Z_{W(B_n)}(\sigma(\mu,\lambda_1,\lambda_2)) \\ &= \bigsqcup_{\lambda_1 \cup \lambda_2 = \lambda} ((S^1)^{|\mu|})^{\sigma(\mu)} / Z_{W(B_{|\mu|})}(\sigma(\mu)) (-1)^{|\lambda_1|} (1)^{|\lambda_2|} \\ &= ([-1, 1]^{|\mu|})^{\sigma(\mu)} / Z_{S_{|\mu|}}(\sigma(\mu)) \times \bigsqcup_{\lambda_1 \cup \lambda_2 = \lambda} (-1)^{|\lambda_1|} (1)^{|\lambda_2|}, \end{aligned}$$

where the subscript  $c$  means that we take only the connected component containing the point  $((1)^{|\mu|} (-1)^{|\lambda_1|} (1)^{|\lambda_2|})$ .

In effect we parametrized the components of the extended quotient  $\widetilde{T}_{\text{un}}/W$  by ordered triples of partitions  $(\mu, \lambda_1, \lambda_2)$  of total weight  $n$ , and every such component is contractible. In combination with (111) this shows that the conditions of Theorem 2.5 are fulfilled.

Denote the number of ordered  $k$ -tuples of partitions of total weight  $n$  by  $\mathcal{P}(k, n)$ . Now Theorem 2.5 says that

$$(119) \quad K_*(C_r^*(W^e)) = \check{H}^*(\widetilde{T}_{\text{un}}/W; \mathbb{Z}) = \check{H}^0(\widetilde{T}_{\text{un}}/W; \mathbb{Z}) \cong \mathbb{Z}^{\mathcal{P}(3,n)}.$$

- **generic case**

The inequivalent subsets of  $\Delta$  are parametrized by partitions  $\mu$  of weight at most  $n$ .

$$\begin{aligned}
P_\mu &= \Delta \setminus \{\alpha_{\mu_1}, \alpha_{\mu_1+\mu_2}, \dots, \alpha_{|\mu|}\} \\
R_{P_\mu} &\cong (A_{n-1})^{m_n} \times \dots \times (A_1)^{m_2} \times B_{n-|\mu|} \\
R_{P_\mu}^\vee &\cong (A_{n-1})^{m_n} \times \dots \times (A_1)^{m_2} \times C_{n-|\mu|} \\
X^{P_\mu} &\cong \mathbb{Z}(e_1 + \dots + e_{\mu_1})/\mu_1 + \dots + \mathbb{Z}(e_{|\mu|+1-\mu_d} + \dots + e_{|\mu|})/\mu_d \\
X_{P_\mu} &\cong (\mathbb{Z}^n/\mathbb{Z}(e_1 + \dots + e_n))^{m_n} + \dots + (\mathbb{Z}^2/\mathbb{Z}(e_1 + e_2))^{m_2} + \mathbb{Z}^{n-|\mu|} \\
Y^{P_\mu} &= \mathbb{Z}(e_1 + \dots + e_{\mu_1}) + \dots + \mathbb{Z}(e_{|\mu|+1-\mu_d} + \dots + e_{|\mu|}) \\
Y_{P_\mu} &= \{y \in \mathbb{Z}^n : y_1 + \dots + y_{\mu_1} = \dots = y_{|\mu|+1-\mu_d} + \dots + y_{|\mu|} = 0\} \\
T^{P_\mu} &= \{(t_1)^{\mu_1} (t_{\mu+1})^{\mu_2} \dots (t_{|\mu|})^{\mu_d} (1)^{n-|\mu|} : t_i \in \mathbb{C}^\times\} \\
T_{P_\mu} &= \{t \in (\mathbb{C}^\times)^n : t_1 \dots t_{\mu_1} = t_{\mu_1+1} \dots t_{\mu_1+\mu_2} = \dots = t_{|\mu|+1-\mu_d} \dots t_{|\mu|} = 1\} \\
K_{P_\mu} &= \{t \in T^{P_\mu} : t_1^{\mu_1} = \dots = t_{|\mu|}^{\mu_d} = 1\} \\
\widehat{W}_{P_\mu} &\cong S_n^{m_n} \times \dots \times S_2^{m_2} \times W(B_{n-|\mu|}) \\
W(P_\mu, P_\mu) &\cong W(B_{m_n}) \times \dots \times W(B_{m_2}) \times W(B_{m_1})
\end{aligned}$$

We see that  $\mathcal{R}_{P_\mu}$  is the product of various root data of type  $SL_m$  and one factor  $\mathcal{R}(SO_{2(n-|\mu|)+1})$ . Hence  $\mathcal{H}_{P_\mu}$  is the tensor product of a type  $A$  part and a type  $B$  part. From our study of  $\mathcal{R}(SL_m)$  we recall that the discrete series representations of the type  $A$  part of  $\mathcal{H}_{P_\mu}$  are in bijection with  $K_{P_\mu}$ . From [HeOp, Proposition 4.3] and [Opd, Appendix A.2] we know that the residual points for  $\mathcal{R}(SO_{2(n-|\mu|)+1}, q)$  are parametrized by ordered pairs  $(\lambda_1, \lambda_2)$  of total weight  $n - |\mu|$ . The unitary part of such a residual point is in the component we indicated in (118). Let  $RP(\mathcal{R}, q)$  denote the collection of residual points for the pair  $(\mathcal{R}, q)$ . The above gives canonical bijections

$$\begin{aligned}
(120) \quad \bigsqcup_{t \in RP(\mathcal{R}_{P_\mu}, q_{P_\mu})} tT_{\text{un}}^{P_\mu}/\mathcal{W}_{P_\mu, P_\mu} &\cong \bigsqcup_{t \in RP(\mathcal{R}(SO_{2(n-|\mu|)+1}, q))} tT_{\text{un}}^{P_\mu}/W(P_\mu, P_\mu) \\
&\cong T_{\text{un}}^{P_\mu}/Z_{W_0(B_{|\mu|})}(\sigma(\mu)) \times \bigsqcup_{(\lambda_1, \lambda_2): |\lambda_1|+|\lambda_2|=n} (-1)^{|\lambda_1|} (1)^{|\lambda_2|}.
\end{aligned}$$

**Theorem 3.3.** (a) For generic  $q$ ,  $C_r^*(\mathcal{R}(SO_{2n+1}), q)$  is Morita equivalent with the commutative  $C^*$ -algebra of continuous functions on (120).

(b)  $K_1(C_r^*(\mathcal{R}(SO_{2n+1}), q)) = 0$  and  $K_0(C_r^*(\mathcal{R}(SO_{2n+1}), q))$  is a free abelian group of rank  $\mathcal{P}(3, n)$ .

*Proof.* (a) First we note that (120) can be identified with the extended quotient  $\widetilde{T}_{\text{un}}/W$  described in (118) and the subsequent lines.

Fix any  $u \in T_{\text{un}}$ . The fibre over  $u$  of the projection

$$p: \widetilde{T}_{\text{un}}/W \rightarrow T_{\text{un}}/W$$

is in bijection with the set of conjugacy classes of  $W$ . By Clifford theory  $|p^{-1}(Wu)|$  is also the number of inequivalent irreducible representations of  $C(T_{\text{un}}) \rtimes W$  with central character  $Wu$ . Equivalently,  $|p^{-1}(Wu)|$  is the number of inequivalent tempered irreducible representations of  $\mathcal{O}(T) \rtimes W$  with central character  $Wu$ . By Theorem 1.9 the latter equals the number of inequivalent irreducible tempered  $\mathcal{H}(\mathcal{R}, q)$ -representations with central character in  $WuT_{\text{rs}}$ .

By Theorem 2.1 every point of (120) is the  $Z(C_r^*(\mathcal{R}, q))$ -character of at least one irreducible  $C_r^*(\mathcal{R}, q)$ -representation. The projection  $p'$  from (120) to  $T/W$  corresponds to restriction from  $Z(C_r^*(\mathcal{R}, q)) \cong C(\Xi_{\text{un}}/\mathcal{G})$  to  $Z(\mathcal{H}(\mathcal{R}, q)) \cong \mathcal{O}(T/W)$ .

Suppose that a point of  $p'^{-1}(WuT_{\text{rs}})$  would carry more than one inequivalent irreducible  $C_r^*(\mathcal{R}, q)$ -representation. Then the inverse image of  $WuT_{\text{rs}}$  under

$$\text{Irr}(C_r^*(\mathcal{R}, q)) = \text{Irr}_{\text{temp}}(\mathcal{H}(\mathcal{R}, q)) \rightarrow T/W$$

would have more than  $|p^{-1}(u)|$  elements. This would contradict what we concluded above, using Theorem 1.9. Thus every  $\pi(P_\mu, \delta, t)$  with  $(P_\mu, \delta, t) \in \Xi_{\text{un}}$  is irreducible and (120) is exactly the space  $\text{Irr}(C_r^*(\mathcal{R}, q))$ .

When we compare this with Theorem 2.1 and (51), we see that all intertwining operators  $\pi(g, P_\mu, \delta, t)$  with  $g(P_\mu, \delta, t) = (P_\mu, \delta, t)$  must be scalar. Recall from (53) that every indecomposable direct summand of  $C_r^*(\mathcal{R}, q)$  is of the form

$$(121) \quad C(T_{\text{un}}^{P_\mu}; \text{End}_{\mathbb{C}}(\pi(P_\mu, \delta, t)))^{\mathcal{G}_{P_\mu, \delta}}.$$

From (117) we know that the space  $T_{\text{un}}^{P_\mu}/\mathcal{G}_{P_\mu, \delta}$  is a direct product of factors  $(S^1)^k/W(B_k) \cong [-1, 1]/S_k$ . We note that

$$\{(z_1, z_2, \dots, z_k) \in (S^1)^k : \Im(z_i) \geq 0, \Re(z_1) \geq \Re(z_2) \geq \dots \geq \Re(z_k)\}$$

is a closed, connected fundamental domain for action of  $W(B_k)$  on  $(S^1)^k$ . With this it is easy to find a closed fundamental domain  $D_{P_\mu, \delta}$  for the action of  $\mathcal{G}_{P_\mu, \delta}$  on  $T_{\text{un}}^{P_\mu}$ , such that  $D_{P_\mu, \delta}$  is homeomorphic to  $T_{\text{un}}^{P_\mu}/\mathcal{G}_{P_\mu, \delta}$ . Then restriction from  $T_{\text{un}}^{P_\mu}$  to  $D_{P_\mu, \delta}$  gives a monomorphism of  $C^*$ -algebras from (121) to

$$C(D_{P_\mu, \delta}; \text{End}_{\mathbb{C}}(\pi(P_\mu, \delta, t))) = C(D_{P_\mu, \delta}) \otimes \text{End}_{\mathbb{C}}(\pi(P_\mu, \delta, t)).$$

It is surjective because the intertwining operators  $\pi(g, P_\mu, \delta, t)$ ,  $g \in \mathcal{G}_{P_\mu, \delta}$ , from (50), depend continuously on  $t \in T_{\text{un}}^{P_\mu}$  and are scalar multiples of the identity whenever they map a representation to itself. Hence  $C_r^*(\mathcal{R}, q)$  is Morita equivalent with  $\bigoplus_{(P_\mu, \delta)/\mathcal{G}} C(D_{P_\mu, \delta})$ , as required.

(b) By the Serre–Swan Theorem  $K_*(C_r^*(\mathcal{R}, q))$  is the topological K-theory of the underlying space (120). Since every connected component of this space is contractible,  $K_1(C_r^*(\mathcal{R}, q)) = 0$  and  $K_0(C_r^*(\mathcal{R}, q))$  is a free abelian group whose rank equals the number of connected components of (120). In the lines following (118) we showed that that number is  $\mathcal{P}(3, n)$ . By Theorem 2.2 these K-groups are independent of the parameters  $q$ .  $\square$

### 3.5. Type $Sp_{2n}$ .

The root datum for the symplectic group  $Sp_{2n}$  is dual to that for  $SO_{2n+1}$ . Concretely,  $\mathcal{R}(Sp_{2n})$  is given by:

$$\begin{aligned} X &= \{y \in Y : y_1 + \dots + y_n \text{ even}\}, & Q &= \mathbb{Z}^n \\ Y &= Q^\vee = \mathbb{Z}^n \\ T &= (\mathbb{C}^\times)^n \quad t = (t_1, \dots, t_n) = (t(e_1), \dots, t(e_n)) \\ R &= \{x \in X : \|x\| = 2 \text{ or } \|x\| = \sqrt{2}\}, & \alpha_0 &= e_1 + e_2 \\ R^\vee &= \{x \in X : \|x\| = 1 \text{ or } \|x\| = \sqrt{2}\}, & \alpha_0^\vee &= e_1 + e_2 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\Delta &= \{\alpha_i = e_i - e_{i+1} : i = 1, \dots, n-1\} \cup \{\alpha_n = 2e_n\} \\
s_i &= s_{\alpha_i} \quad s_0 = t_{\alpha_0} s_{\alpha_0} = t_{e_1} s_{\alpha_0} t_{-e_1} : x \rightarrow x + \langle \alpha_0^\vee, x \rangle \alpha_0 \\
W &= \langle s_1, \dots, s_n | s_j^2 = (s_i s_j)^2 = (s_i s_{i+1})^3 = (s_{n-1} s_n)^4 = e : i \leq n-2, |i-j| > 1 \rangle \\
S^{\text{aff}} &= \{s_0, s_1, \dots, s_{n-1}, s_n\} \quad \Omega = \{e, t_{e_1} s_{2e_1}\} \\
W^{\text{aff}} &= \langle W, s_0 | s_0^2 = (s_0 s_i)^2 = (s_0 s_2)^3 = e : i \neq 2 \rangle \quad W^e = W^{\text{aff}} \rtimes \Omega
\end{aligned}$$

For a generic parameter function we have two independent parameters  $q_1 = q(s_1)$  and  $q_2 = q(s_n)$ .

The groups  $X, W$  and  $W^e$  are exactly the same as for  $\mathcal{R}(SO_{2n+1})$ . Everything that we said in Paragraph 3.4 about the stabilizers in  $W$  of points of  $T$  obviously is valid here as well. In particular, for  $q = 1$  the algebra  $\mathcal{H}(\mathcal{R}(Sp_{2n}), 1)$  is identical to  $\mathcal{H}(\mathcal{R}(SO_{2n+1}), 1)$ , and the entire analysis of the K-theory of its  $C^*$ -completion can be found in the previous paragraph.

For all other  $q$  we can use Theorem 2.2. Thus we get

$$\begin{aligned}
K_*(C_r^*(\mathcal{R}(Sp_{2n}), q)) &\cong K_*(C_r^*(\mathcal{R}(Sp_{2n}), 1)) \\
&= K_*(C_r^*(\mathcal{R}(SO_{2n+1}), 1)) \cong K_*(C_r^*(\mathcal{R}(SO_{2n+1}), q)).
\end{aligned}$$

The last group is the one we actually computed, for generic parameters. Let us phrase the results explicitly:

$$(122) \quad K_0(C_r^*(\mathcal{R}(Sp_{2n}), q)) \cong \mathbb{Z}^{\mathcal{P}(3,n)}, \quad K_1(C_r^*(\mathcal{R}(Sp_{2n}), q)) = 0.$$

### 3.6. Type $SO_{2n}$ .

The root datum for the even special orthogonal group  $SO_{2n}$  has groups contained in those for the root datum of type  $SO_{2n+1}$ .

$$\begin{aligned}
X &= \mathbb{Z}^n \quad Q = \{y \in Y : y_1 + \dots + y_n \text{ even}\} \\
Y &= \mathbb{Z}^n \quad Q^\vee = \{y \in Y : y_1 + \dots + y_n \text{ even}\} \\
T &= (\mathbb{C}^\times)^n \quad t = (t_1, \dots, t_n) = (t(e_1), \dots, t(e_n)) \\
R &= \{x \in X : \|x\| = \sqrt{2}\}, \quad \alpha_0 = e_1 + e_2 \\
R^\vee &= \{x \in X : \|x\| = \sqrt{2}\}, \quad \alpha_0^\vee = e_1 + e_2 \\
\Delta &= \{\alpha_i = e_i - e_{i+1} : i = 1, \dots, n-1\} \cup \{\alpha_n = e_{n-1} + e_n\} \\
s_i &= s_{\alpha_i} \quad s_0 = t_{\alpha_0} s_{\alpha_0} = t_{e_1} s_{\alpha_0} t_{-e_1} : x \rightarrow x + \alpha_0 - \langle \alpha_0^\vee, x \rangle \alpha_0 \\
W &= \langle s_1, \dots, s_n | s_j^2 = (s_i s_j)^2 = (s_i s_{i+1})^3 = (s_{n-2} s_n)^4 = e : i \leq n-2, |i-j| > 1 \rangle \\
S^{\text{aff}} &= \{s_0, s_1, \dots, s_{n-1}, s_n\} \quad \Omega = \{e, t_{e_1} s_{e_1} s_{e_n}\} \\
W^{\text{aff}} &= \langle W, s_0 | s_0^2 = (s_0 s_i)^2 = (s_0 s_2)^3 = e : i \neq 2 \rangle \subsetneq W^e
\end{aligned}$$

When  $n > 2$  all the simple affine reflections are conjugate in  $W^e$ , and

$$q(s_i) = q \quad i = 0, 1, \dots, n$$

for every parameter function. For  $n = 2$  the root system  $R \cong A_1 \times A_1$  is reducible, there is an additional simple affine reflection and there are more possible parameter functions. For  $n = 1$ ,  $\mathcal{R}(SO_2)$  is the root datum of a one-dimensional torus, in particular  $W = 1$ .

The based root datum  $\mathcal{R}(SO_{2n})$  has one nontrivial automorphism, which exchanges the roots  $\alpha_{n-1}$  and  $\alpha_n$ . It is easily seen that

$$W^e(SO_{2n}) \rtimes \text{Aut}(\mathcal{R}(SO_{2n})) \cong W^e(SO_{2n+1}).$$

With Theorem 2.2 we conclude that, for every equal parameter function  $q$ ,

$$(123) \quad \begin{aligned} K_*(C_r^*(\mathcal{R}(SO_{2n}), q) \rtimes \text{Aut}(\mathcal{R}(SO_{2n}))) &\cong K_*(W^e(SO_{2n}) \rtimes \text{Aut}(\mathcal{R}(SO_{2n}))) \\ &= K_*(C_r^*(W^e(SO_{2n+1}))) \cong K_*(C_r^*(\mathcal{R}(SO_{2n+1}), q)). \end{aligned}$$

Unfortunately no such shortcut is available for  $K_*(C_r^*(\mathcal{R}(SO_{2n}), q))$ . Therefore we will just compute  $K_*(W^e(SO_{2n}))$  by hand, in several steps:

- We determine the extended quotient  $T_{\text{un}}//W(D_n)$  and its cohomology.
- We analyse the (elliptic) representations of the  $W(D_n)$ -isotropy groups of points of  $T$ .
- We relate the second bullet to the sheaf  $\mathfrak{L}_u^{W(D_n)}$  on  $T_{\text{un}}/W(D_n)$ .
- Then we are finally in the right position to apply Theorem 2.4.

The finite reflection group  $W(D_n)$  is naturally isomorphic to the index two subgroup of  $W(B_n) = W(C_n)$  consisting of those elements that involve an even number of sign changes. In other words, let  $(\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})_{\text{ev}}^n$  be the kernel of the summation map  $(\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})^n \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$ , then

$$W(D_n) = (\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})_{\text{ev}}^n \rtimes S_n.$$

The conjugacy classes in  $W(D_n)$  are similar to, but slightly different from those in  $W(B_n)$ . We rephrase Young's parametrization in the notations from (112). For every bipartition  $(\mu, \lambda)$  of  $n$  where  $\lambda$  has an even number of parts,  $\sigma(\mu, \lambda)$  represents one class in  $W(D_n)$ . Suppose now that  $\mu \vdash n$  has only even terms, and define

$$(124) \quad \sigma''(\mu) = \sigma(\mu)\epsilon_{\{n-1, n\}} = \epsilon_{\{n\}}^{-1}\sigma(\mu)\epsilon_{\{n\}}.$$

Then  $\sigma''(\mu)$  represents a class of  $W(D_n)$  different from the above. The  $\sigma(\mu, \lambda)$  and the  $\sigma''(\mu)$  form a set of representatives for all conjugacy classes of  $W(D_n)$ .

In the representation theory of classical groups some almost direct products of root data of type  $D$  arise [Gol, Hei]. Therefore it will be useful to investigate a more general situation, as in Paragraph A. Fix  $n_1, \dots, n_d$  with  $n_1 + \dots + n_d = n$  and consider the root datum

$$\mathcal{R}'_{\vec{n}} = \mathcal{R}(SO_{2n_1}) \times \dots \times \mathcal{R}(SO_{2n_d}).$$

Let  $W'_{\vec{n}} = W(D_{\vec{n}}) \rtimes \Gamma$  be as in (133), so  $\Gamma \cong (\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})_{\text{ev}}^d$ . The conjugacy classes for  $W'_{\vec{n}}$  are a mixture of those for  $W(D_n)$  and for  $W(B_{\vec{n}})$ . Let us analyse them and the extended quotient  $T_{\text{un}}//W'_{\vec{n}}$  together.

Recall that for  $w \in W(B_{\vec{n}})$  the groups  $T_{\text{un}}^w$  and  $Z_{W(B_{\vec{n}})}(w)$  were already computed in Paragraph 3.4, see in particular (114), (115) and (116). We say that  $\vec{\mu} \vdash \vec{n}$  if  $\vec{\mu}$  is a  $d$ -tuple of partitions  $(\mu^{(1)}, \dots, \mu^{(d)})$  with  $|\mu^{(i)}| = n_i$ , and that  $(\vec{\mu}, \vec{\lambda}) \vdash \vec{n}$  if  $\vec{\lambda} = (\lambda^{(1)}, \dots, \lambda^{(d)})$  such that  $|\mu^{(i)}| + |\lambda^{(i)}| = n_i$ . To these we can associate  $\sigma(\vec{\mu})$  and  $\sigma(\vec{\mu}, \vec{\lambda})$ , as products of (112) over the indices  $i$ .

- Consider  $\sigma(\vec{\mu}, \vec{\lambda})$ , where  $\vec{\lambda}$  is nonempty and has an even number of terms. Notice that  $Z_{W(B_{\vec{n}})}(\sigma(\vec{\mu}, \vec{\lambda}))$  contains an element not in  $W(D_n)$  which fixes  $T^{\sigma(\vec{\mu}, \vec{\lambda})}$  pointwise, namely a single factor  $\epsilon_{\{a_1\}}(a_1 \cdots a_m)$  of  $\vec{\lambda}$ . Hence the

$W(B_{\vec{n}})$ -conjugacy class of  $\sigma(\vec{\mu}, \vec{\lambda})$  is precisely the  $W'_{\vec{n}}$ -conjugacy class of  $\sigma(\vec{\mu}, \vec{\lambda})$ . Furthermore

$$T_{\text{un}}^{\sigma(\vec{\mu}, \vec{\lambda})} / Z_{W'_{\vec{n}}}(\sigma(\vec{\mu}, \vec{\lambda})) = T_{\text{un}}^{\sigma(\vec{\mu}, \vec{\lambda})} / Z_{W(B_{\vec{n}})}(\sigma(\vec{\mu}, \vec{\lambda})),$$

and as described in (118), this is a disjoint union of contractible spaces. The number of components is given explicitly in terms of  $\vec{\lambda}$ .

- Suppose that  $\vec{\mu} \vdash \vec{n}$  and that all terms of  $\vec{\mu}$  are even. Then the  $W(B_{\vec{n}})$ -conjugacy class of  $\sigma(\vec{\mu})$  splits into two  $W'_{\vec{n}}$ -conjugacy classes, the other one represented by

$$\sigma''(\vec{\mu}) = \sigma(\vec{\mu})\epsilon_{\{n-1, n\}}.$$

Both  $Z_{W(B_{\vec{n}})}(\sigma(\vec{\mu}))$  and

$$Z_{W(B_{\vec{n}})}(\sigma''(\vec{\mu})) = \epsilon_{\{n\}}^{-1} Z_{W(B_{\vec{n}})}(\sigma(\vec{\mu}))\epsilon_{\{n\}}$$

are contained in  $W'_{\vec{n}}$ . Let  $m_l$  be the multiplicity of  $l$  in  $\vec{\mu}$ . By (118)

$$T_{\text{un}}^{\sigma''(\vec{\mu})} / Z_{W'_{\vec{n}}}(\sigma''(\vec{\mu})) \cong T_{\text{un}}^{\sigma(\vec{\mu})} / Z_{W'_{\vec{n}}}(\sigma(\vec{\mu})) \cong \prod_{l=1}^n [-1, 1]^{m_l} / S_{m_l},$$

which is a contractible space.

- Let  $\mu \vdash n$  be a partition with at least one odd term. Again the  $W(B_{\vec{n}})$ -conjugacy class of  $\sigma(\vec{\mu})$  is precisely the  $W'_{\vec{n}}$ -conjugacy class of  $\sigma(\vec{\mu})$ . Now

$$Z_{W'_{\vec{n}}}(\sigma(\vec{\mu})) \subsetneq Z_{W(B_{\vec{n}})}(\sigma(\vec{\mu}))$$

and this really makes a difference. From (114) we deduce

$$(125) \quad T_{\text{un}}^{\sigma(\vec{\mu})} / Z_{W'_{\vec{n}}}(\sigma(\vec{\mu})) \cong \prod_{l=1}^n (S^1)^{m_l} / \left( \prod_{l=1}^n W(B_{m_l}) \cap W(D_n) \right).$$

The group  $\prod_{l=1}^n W(B_{m_l}) \cap W(D_n)$  equals  $(\prod_{l=1}^n (\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})^{m_l})_+ \times \prod_{l=1}^{m_l} S_{m_l}$ , where the subscript  $+$  means that the total number of sign changes for odd  $l$  must be even. The quotient map

$$(126) \quad \prod_{l \text{ odd}} (S^1)^{m_l} / \left( \prod_{l \text{ odd}} (\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})^{m_l} \right)_+ \longrightarrow \prod_{l \text{ odd}} (S^1)^{m_l} / (\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})^{m_l} \cong \prod_{l \text{ odd}} [-1, 1]^{m_l}$$

is a two-fold cover which ramifies precisely at the boundary of the unit cube  $\prod_{l \text{ odd}} [-1, 1]^{m_l}$ . Therefore the left hand side of (126) is homeomorphic to the unit sphere of dimension  $m_1 + m_3 + m_5 + \dots$ . This entails that (125) is homeomorphic to

$$(127) \quad \prod_{l \text{ even}} ([-1, 1]^{m_l} / S_{m_l}) \times S^{m_1 + m_3 + \dots} / \prod_{l \text{ odd}} S_{m_l}.$$

This space is contractible unless  $m_l = 1$  for all odd  $l$ , then it is homotopic to  $S^{m_1 + m_3 + \dots}$ .

The extended quotient  $T_{\text{un}} // W'_{\vec{n}}$  is the disjoint union of the spaces  $T_{\text{un}}^w / Z_{W'_{\vec{n}}}(w)$ , as  $w$  runs over representatives for the conjugacy classes of  $W'_{\vec{n}}$ . Since we covered all conjugacy classes for  $W(B_{\vec{n}})$  intersecting  $W'_{\vec{n}}$ , we have a complete description of conjugacy classes for the latter group. From the above calculations we immediately get the cohomology of the extended quotient.

**Lemma 3.4.** *The abelian group  $\check{H}^*(T_{\text{un}}//W'_{\vec{n}})$  is torsion-free.*

*In the case  $\vec{n} = n, W'_{\vec{n}} = W(D_n)$ , we can describe the cohomology of  $T_{\text{un}}//W(D_n)$  explicitly. The rank of the odd cohomology is the number of partitions  $\mu \vdash n$  such that every odd term appears with multiplicity one, and there is an odd number of odd terms.*

*The rank of the even cohomology of  $T_{\text{un}}//W(D_n)$  is the sum of four contributions:*

- $\prod_i (k_i + 1)$ , for every bipartition  $(\mu, \lambda)$  of  $n$  with  $\lambda = (n)^{k_n} \dots (1)^{k_1}$ , such that  $\sum_i k_i$  is positive and even;
- two times the number of partitions of  $n$  with only even terms;
- the number of partitions of  $n$  with at least one odd term;
- the number of partitions of  $n$  such that every odd term appears only once, and the number of odd terms is positive and even.

Every point of  $T \cong (\mathbb{C}^\times)^n$  is  $W(B_{\vec{n}})$ -conjugate to one of the form

$$t = (t^{(1)}, \dots, t^{(d)}), \quad t^{(i)} = ((t_1)^{\mu_1^{(i)}} \dots (t_{n_i - m_1^{(i)} - m_2^{(i)}})^{\mu_{d_i}^{(i)}} (1)^{m_1^{(i)}} (-1)^{m_2^{(i)}}) \in (\mathbb{C}^\times)^{n_i}.$$

The isotropy group of  $t$  in  $W'_{\vec{n}}$  is

$$(128) \quad (W'_{\vec{n}})_t = \left( \prod_{i=1}^d S_{\mu_1^{(i)}} \times \dots \times S_{\mu_{d_i}^{(i)}} \times W(B_{m_1^{(i)}}) \times W(B_{m_2^{(i)}}) \right) \cap W(D_n) = \\ \left( \prod_{i=1}^d S_{\mu_1^{(i)}} \times \dots \times S_{\mu_{d_i}^{(i)}} \right) \times \left( \prod_{i=1}^d W(B_{m_1^{(i)}}) \times W(B_{m_2^{(i)}}) \right) \cap W(D_{m_1^{(1)} + \dots + m_2^{(d)}}).$$

We note that  $(W'_{\vec{n}})_t$  is generated by the reflections it contains if  $t$  has no coordinates 1 or  $-1$ . Otherwise the reflection subgroup of  $W(D_n)_t$  is

$$(W'_{\vec{n}})_t^\circ := \prod_{i=1}^d S_{\mu_1^{(i)}} \times \dots \times S_{\mu_{d_i}^{(i)}} \times W(D_{m_1^{(i)}}) \times W(D_{m_2^{(i)}}),$$

where  $W(D_0) = W(D_1) = 1$ . In that case

$$(129) \quad (W'_{\vec{n}})_t = \left( \prod_{i=1}^d S_{\mu_1^{(i)}} \times \dots \times S_{\mu_{d_i}^{(i)}} \right) \times W'_{\vec{m}},$$

where  $\vec{m}$  consists of those terms  $m_1^{(i)}, m_2^{(i)}$  which are nonzero. The group  $W'_{\vec{m}}$  is a particular instance of the almost Weyl groups studied in Appendix A. Thus  $(W'_{\vec{n}})_t$  is an example of the groups considered in Lemma A.3, and we may use that result.

**Proposition 3.5.** *For any positive parameter function  $q$ ,  $K_*(C_r^*(\mathcal{R}'_{\vec{n}}, q))$  is a free abelian group, isomorphic to  $H^*(T_{\text{un}}//W'_{\vec{n}}; \mathbb{Z})$ .*

*In particular for  $\vec{n} = n, \mathcal{R}'_{\vec{n}} = \mathcal{R}(SO_{2n}), W'_{\vec{n}} = W(D_n)$ , the free abelian group*

$$K_*(C_r^*(\mathcal{R}(SO_{2n}), q)) \cong H^*(T_{\text{un}}//W(D_n); \mathbb{Z})$$

*has even and odd ranks as given in Lemma 3.4.*

*Proof.* By Theorem 1.9 it suffices to prove this when  $q = 1$ .

We adapt the notations from (118) to the present setting. Let  $(\vec{\mu}, \vec{\lambda}_1, \vec{\lambda}_2)$  be a  $d$ -tuple of tripartitions, of respectively  $n_1, \dots, n_d$ , and such that  $\vec{\lambda}_1 \cup \vec{\lambda}_2$  has an even number of terms. As in (129) we write

$$W_{\vec{\mu}, \vec{\lambda}_1, \vec{\lambda}_2} := \left( \prod_{i=1}^d S_{\mu_1^{(i)}} \times \dots \times S_{\mu_{d_i}^{(i)}} \times W(B_{|\lambda_1^{(i)}|}) \times W(B_{|\lambda_2^{(i)}|}) \right) \cap W(D_n) = \\ \left( \prod_{i=1}^d S_{\mu_1^{(i)}} \times \dots \times S_{\mu_{d_i}^{(i)}} \right) \times W'_{\vec{m}},$$



where  $\vec{m}$  consists of the nonzero terms among the  $|\lambda_1^{(i)}|, |\lambda_2^{(i)}|$ . The group  $W_{\vec{\mu}, \vec{\lambda}_1, \vec{\lambda}_2}$  is the full stabilizer of some point of  $T_{\text{un}}$ , and of the form considered in Lemma A.3. We note that  $\sigma(\vec{\mu}, \vec{\lambda}_1, \vec{\lambda}_2)$  is an elliptic element of  $W_{\vec{\mu}, \vec{\lambda}_1, \vec{\lambda}_2}$ .

For every  $t \in T_{\text{un}, c}^{\sigma(\vec{\mu}, \vec{\lambda}_1, \vec{\lambda}_2)}$  we have  $(W'_{\vec{n}})_t \supset W_{\vec{\mu}, \vec{\lambda}_1, \vec{\lambda}_2}$ . Using Lemma A.3 we define

$$(130) \quad s(\sigma(\vec{\mu}, \vec{\lambda}_1, \vec{\lambda}_2), t) = \text{ind}_{W_{\vec{\mu}, \vec{\lambda}_1, \vec{\lambda}_2}}^{(W'_{\vec{n}})_t} H(u_{\sigma(\vec{\mu}, \vec{\lambda}_1, \vec{\lambda}_2)}, \rho_{\sigma(\vec{\mu}, \vec{\lambda}_1, \vec{\lambda}_2)}).$$

Suppose that  $\vec{\mu} \vdash \vec{n}$  and that  $\vec{\mu}$  has only even terms. Then  $\sigma''(\vec{\mu}) = \epsilon_{\{n-1, n\}} \sigma(\vec{\mu})$  is conjugate to  $\sigma(\vec{\mu})$  in  $W(B_{\vec{n}})$  but not in  $W'_{\vec{n}}$ . The element  $\sigma''(\vec{\mu})$  is elliptic in  $\epsilon_{\{n\}} \left( \prod_{i=1}^d S_{\mu_1^{(i)}} \times \cdots \times S_{\mu_{d_i}^{(i)}} \right) \epsilon_{\{n\}}$  and for every  $t \in T^{\sigma''(\vec{\mu})}$  we have

$$(W'_{\vec{n}})_t \supset \epsilon_{\{n\}} \left( \prod_{i=1}^d S_{\mu_1^{(i)}} \times \cdots \times S_{\mu_{d_i}^{(i)}} \right) \epsilon_{\{n\}}.$$

For such  $t$  we define

$$(131) \quad s(\sigma''(\vec{\mu}), t) = \text{ind}_{\epsilon_{\{n\}} \left( \prod_{i=1}^d S_{\mu_1^{(i)}} \times \cdots \times S_{\mu_{d_i}^{(i)}} \right) \epsilon_{\{n\}}}^{(W'_{\vec{n}})_t} H(u_{\sigma''(\vec{\mu})}, \rho_{\sigma''(\vec{\mu})}).$$

As discussed before Lemma 3.4, every conjugacy class of  $W'_{\vec{n}}$  appears precisely once in (130) and (131) together.

With this information and Lemma A.3 available, the same argument as in the proof of Theorem 2.5.a works in the present setting, and shows that the conclusion of Theorem 2.5.a is fulfilled. Then we apply Theorem 2.5.b.  $\square$

### 3.7. Type $G_2$ .

As basis for the root lattice  $X$  of type  $G_2$  we will take the two simple roots. We will coordinatize the dual lattice  $Y$  so that the pairing between  $X$  and  $Y$  becomes the standard pairing on  $\mathbb{Z}^2$ . Explicitly,  $\mathcal{R}(G_2)$  becomes:

$$\begin{aligned} X &= Q = \mathbb{Z}^2, & Y &= Q^\vee = \mathbb{Z}^2 \\ T &= (\mathbb{C}^\times)^2 & t &= (t(e_1), t(e_2)) = (t_1, t_2) \\ R^+ &= \{e_1, e_2, e_1 + e_2, 2e_1 + e_2, 3e_1 + e_2, 3e_1 + 2e_2\}, & R &= R^+ \cup -R^+ \\ R^{\vee, +} &= \{2e_1 - 3e_2, 2e_1 - e_2, 3e_2 - e_1, e_1, e_1 - e_2, e_2\}, & R^\vee &= R^{\vee, +} \cup -R^{\vee, +} \\ \Delta &= \{e_1, e_2\}, & \alpha_0^\vee &= e_1, & \alpha_0 &= 2e_1 + e_2 \\ s_1 &= s_{e_1}, & s_2 &= s_{e_2} & s_0 &= t_{\alpha_0} s_{\alpha_0} = t_{e_1} s_{\alpha_0} t_{-e_1} : x \rightarrow x + \alpha_0 - \langle \alpha_0^\vee, x \rangle \alpha_0 \\ W &= \langle s_1, s_2 | s_1^2 = s_2^2 = (s_1 s_2)^6 = e \rangle \cong D_6 \\ S^{\text{aff}} &= \{s_0, s_1, s_2\}, & \Omega &= \{e\} \\ W^e &= W^{\text{aff}} = \langle s_0, W_0 | s_0^2 = (s_0 s_2)^2 = (s_0 s_1)^3 = e \rangle \end{aligned}$$

A generic parameter function  $q$  for  $\mathcal{R}(G_2)$  has two independent parameters  $q_1 = q(s_1)$  and  $q_2 = q(s_2)$ .

The group  $W \cong D_6$  has six conjugacy classes: the identity, reflections associated to short roots, reflections associated to long roots, the rotation of order two, rotations of order three and rotations of order six. Representatives are  $e, s_1, s_2, \rho_\pi = (s_1 s_2)^3, \rho_{2\pi/3} = (s_1 s_2)^2$  and  $\rho_{\pi/6} = s_1 s_2$ . We determine the connected components

of the extended quotient  $T_{\text{un}}//W$ :

$w$	$T^w$	$Z_{D_6}(w)$	$T_{\text{un}}^w/Z_{D_6}(w)$
$e$	$T$	$D_6$	$(S^1)^2/D_6 \cong$ solid triangle
$s_1$	$\{(1, t_2) : t_2 \in \mathbb{C}^\times\}$	$\langle s_1, s_{3e_1+2e_2} \rangle$	$S^1/\langle s_{3e_1+2e_2} \rangle \cong [-1, 1]$
$s_2$	$\{(t_1, 1) : t_1 \in \mathbb{C}^\times\}$	$\langle s_2, s_{2e_1+e_2} \rangle$	$S^1/\langle s_{2e_1+e_2} \rangle \cong [-1, 1]$
$\rho_\pi$	$\{(a, b) : a, b \in \{\pm 1\}\}$	$D_6$	2 points
$\rho_{2\pi/3}$	$\{(1, 1), (\zeta_3, 1), (\zeta_3^2, 1)\}$	$C_6 = \langle \rho_{\pi/3} \rangle$	2 points
$\rho_{\pi/3}$	$\{(1, 1)\}$	$C_6 = \langle \rho_{\pi/3} \rangle$	1 point

Here  $\zeta_3$  is a primitive third root of unity. We see that every connected component of  $T_{\text{un}}//W$  is contractible, and that its cohomology is zero in positive degrees and  $\mathbb{Z}^8$  in degree zero.

The root datum  $\mathcal{R}(G_2)$  is simply connected, so  $W_t$  is a Weyl group for every  $t \in T$ . This can also be checked directly: for  $t \in T$  with  $W_t = \{e\}$  or  $W_t$  generated by one reflection it is true. For all  $t \in T$  not of that form,  $W_t$  contains a nontrivial rotation. All rotations (or their inverses) appear in the above table, along with their fixpoints. We list the isotropy groups of those points:

$$\begin{aligned} W_{(1,1)} &= D_6, \\ W_{(\zeta_3,1)} &= W_{\langle \zeta_3^2, 1 \rangle} = \langle s_2, \rho_{2\pi/3} \rangle \cong S_3, \\ W_{(-1,-1)} &\cong W_{(-1,1)} \cong W_{(1,-1)} = \langle s_1, s_{3e_1+2e_2} \rangle \cong S_2 \times S_2. \end{aligned}$$

We have checked all the conditions of Theorem 2.5. By Corollary 2.6, for every positive parameter function  $q$ :

$$(132) \quad K_0(C_r^*(\mathcal{R}(G_2), q)) \cong \mathbb{Z}^8, \quad K_1(C_r^*(\mathcal{R}(G_2), q)) = 0.$$

#### APPENDIX A. SOME ALMOST WEYL GROUPS

We study some finite groups which are almost Weyl groups. Such groups can arise as the component groups of unipotent elements of classical complex groups, and they play a role in the affine Hecke algebras associated to general Bernstein components for classical  $p$ -adic groups [Gol, Hei]. The results from this appendix are only needed in Paragraph 3.6.

Fix  $n_1, n_2, \dots, n_d \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}$  with  $n_1 + \dots + n_d = n$  and consider

$$W'_{\vec{n}} := (W(B_{n_1}) \times \dots \times W(B_{n_d})) \cap W(D_n).$$

We use the convention that  $W(D_1)$  is the trivial group. The group  $W'_{\vec{n}}$  acts on the root system

$$D_{\vec{n}} := D_{n_1} \times \dots \times D_{n_d}.$$

Let  $\Delta_{\vec{n}}$  be the standard basis of  $D_{\vec{n}}$  and let  $\Gamma$  be the stabilizer of  $\Delta_{\vec{n}}$  in  $W'_{\vec{n}}$ . Since  $W(D_{\vec{n}})$  acts simply transitively on the collection of bases of  $D_{\vec{n}}$ ,

$$(133) \quad W'_{\vec{n}} = W(D_{\vec{n}}) \rtimes \Gamma.$$

Explicitly, the group  $\Gamma \cong (\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})^{d-1}$  is generated by the elements  $\epsilon^{(k)}\epsilon^{(k+1)}$  for  $k = 1, \dots, d-1$ , where  $\epsilon^{(k)} = s_{e_{n_k}}$  is the reflection associated to the short simple root of  $B_{n_k}$ .

The Springer correspondence was extended to groups of this kind in [Kat, ABPS1]. Let  $T$  be the diagonal torus of the connected complex group

$$(134) \quad G^\circ = SO_{2n_1}(\mathbb{C}) \times \dots \times SO_{2n_d}(\mathbb{C}).$$

Then  $W'_{\vec{n}}$  acts naturally on  $T$  and we recover  $W(D_{\vec{n}})$  as the Weyl group of  $(G^\circ, T)$ . The Lie algebra of  $T$  can be identified with the defining representation of

$$(135) \quad W(B_{\vec{n}}) := W(B_{n_1}) \times \cdots \times W(B_{n_d}).$$

Since  $\Gamma$  consists of diagram automorphisms of  $D_{\vec{n}}$ , we can build the reductive group

$$(136) \quad G = G^\circ \rtimes \Gamma.$$

Then  $W'_{\vec{n}}$  becomes the ‘‘Weyl’’ group of this disconnected group:

$$W'_{\vec{n}} = W(G, T) := N_G(T)/T.$$

For  $u \in G^\circ$  unipotent let  $\mathcal{B}^u = \mathcal{B}_{G^\circ}^u$  be the variety of Borel subgroups of  $G^\circ$  containing  $u$ . The group  $Z_G(u)$  acts naturally on  $\mathcal{B}^u \times \Gamma$ , and that induces an action of  $A_G(u) = \pi_0(Z_G(u)/Z(G))$  on  $H^i(\mathcal{B}^u; \mathbb{C}) \otimes \mathbb{C}[\Gamma]$ . For  $\rho' \in \text{Irr}(A_G(u))$  we form the  $W'_{\vec{n}}$ -representations

$$\begin{aligned} H(u, \rho') &= H_{A_G(u)}(\rho, H^*(\mathcal{B}^u; \mathbb{C}) \otimes \mathbb{C}[\Gamma]), \\ \pi(u, \rho') &= H_{A_G(u)}(\rho, H^{\text{top}}(\mathcal{B}^u; \mathbb{C}) \otimes \mathbb{C}[\Gamma]). \end{aligned}$$

We call  $\rho'$  geometric if  $\pi(u, \rho') \neq 0$ . Then [ABPS1, Theorem 4.4] says that  $\pi(u, \rho') \in \text{Irr}(W'_{\vec{n}})$  and that this yields a bijection between  $\text{Irr}(W'_{\vec{n}})$  and the  $G$ -conjugacy classes of pairs  $(u, \rho')$  with  $u \in G^\circ$  unipotent and  $\rho' \in \text{Irr}(A_G(u))$  geometric.

The  $W'_{\vec{n}}$ -representations  $H'(u, \rho')$ , with  $(u, \rho')$  as above, form another  $\mathbb{Z}$ -basis of  $R_{\mathbb{Z}}(W'_{\vec{n}})$ . Indeed, this can be shown in the same way as for Weyl groups in [Ree, Lemma 3.3.1], the input from [BoMa] holds for  $W'$  by [ABPS1, Lemma 4.5].

For  $P \subset \Delta_{\vec{n}}$  we define the standard parabolic subgroup

$$W'_P := \langle s_\alpha : \alpha \in P \rangle \rtimes \text{Stab}_\Gamma(P).$$

As usual, a parabolic subgroup of  $W'_{\vec{n}}$  is a conjugate of some  $W'_P$ . Let  $P_A$  be the standard basis of the union of the type  $A$  root subsystems of  $R_P$  and let  $P_B$  be the standard basis of the union of the type  $B$  root subsystems of  $\mathbb{Q}R_P \cap B_{\vec{n}}$ . (So  $P_B$  need not be contained in  $P$ .) It is easily seen that

$$(137) \quad W'_P = W_{P_A} \times W_{P_B} \cap W(D_{\vec{n}}) = W_{P_A} \times W'_{\vec{n}_P},$$

where  $\vec{n}_P$  consists of the numbers  $|P_B \cap B_{n_i}|$  which are nonzero.

All the above notions for  $W'_{\vec{n}}$  have natural analogues for  $W'_P$ , which we indicate by an additional subscript  $P$ . In particular [Kat, Proposition 6.2] entails that, as in (5) and (6):

$$\text{ind}_{W'_P}^{W'_{\vec{n}}} (H_{W'_P}(u_P, \rho'_P)) \cong \text{Hom}_{A_{G_P}(u_P)}(\rho_P, H^*(\mathcal{B}^{u_P}; \mathbb{C}) \otimes \mathbb{C}[\Gamma]).$$

**Lemma A.1.** *The parabolic subgroups of  $W'_{\vec{n}}$  are precisely the isotropy groups of the points of  $\text{Lie}(T)$ .*

*Proof.* Considering the standard representation of  $W(B_{\vec{n}})$  on  $\text{Lie}(T)$ , we see that for any  $y \in \text{Lie}(T)$  the isotropy group  $(W'_{\vec{n}})_y$  is  $W(B_{\vec{n}})$ -conjugate to  $W(B_{\vec{n}})_Q \cap W(D_{\vec{n}})$ , where  $W(B_{\vec{n}})_Q$  is a standard parabolic subgroup of  $W(B_{\vec{n}})$ . From (137) we see that the group  $W(B_{\vec{n}})_Q \cap W(D_{\vec{n}})$  equals  $W'_P$  for  $R_P = R_Q \cap D_{\vec{n}}$ . Hence every isotropy group  $(W'_{\vec{n}})_y$  is  $W(B_{\vec{n}})$ -conjugate to some standard parabolic subgroup of  $W'_{\vec{n}}$ . Since the diagram automorphisms  $\epsilon^{(k)}$  stabilize the collection of parabolic subgroups of  $W'_{\vec{n}}$  and  $W(B_{\vec{n}})$  is generated by  $W(D_{\vec{n}})$  and the  $\epsilon^{(k)}$ , we conclude that  $(W'_{\vec{n}})_y$  is  $W'_{\vec{n}}$ -conjugate to a parabolic subgroup of  $W'_{\vec{n}}$ .  $\square$

With Lemma A.1 we can define ellipticity in two equivalent ways. An element of  $W'_n$  is elliptic if it is not contained in a proper parabolic subgroup, or equivalently if it fixes a nonzero element of  $\text{Lie}(T)$ . With these notions we can develop the elliptic representation theory of  $W'_n$ , exactly as in [Ree] and as in Paragraph 1.1. In particular (11) remains valid.

**Lemma A.2.** *The group of elliptic representations  $\overline{R}_{\mathbb{Z}}(W'_n)$  is torsion-free.*

*Proof.* We will follow the proof of Theorem 1.2, with the group  $G^\circ$  from (134). Every Levi subgroup of  $G^\circ$  can be described by a  $d$ -tuple of partitions  $\vec{\alpha} = (\alpha^{(1)}, \dots, \alpha^{(d)})$ . The standard Levi subgroup associated to  $\vec{\alpha}$  is

$$G_{\vec{\alpha}}^\circ = \prod_{i=1}^d SO_{2n_i}(\mathbb{C})_{\alpha^{(i)}} = \prod_{i=1}^d GL_{\alpha_1^{(i)}}(\mathbb{C}) \times \cdots \times GL_{\alpha_{d_i}^{(i)}}(\mathbb{C}) \times SO_{2(n_i - |\alpha^{(i)}|)}(\mathbb{C}).$$

(We note that sometimes several  $P \subset \Delta$  are associated to one  $\vec{\alpha}$ , as already for  $SO_{2n}(\mathbb{C})$ .) We mimic (136) by putting

$$\begin{aligned} G_{\vec{\alpha}} &= G_{\vec{\alpha}}^\circ \rtimes \langle \epsilon^{(i)} \epsilon^{(j)} : |\alpha^{(i)}| < n_i \text{ and } |\alpha^{(j)}| < n_j \rangle \\ &= \left( \prod_{i=1}^d GL_{\alpha_1^{(i)}}(\mathbb{C}) \times \cdots \times GL_{\alpha_{d_i}^{(i)}}(\mathbb{C}) \right) \times S \left( \prod_{i=1}^d O_{2(n_i - |\alpha^{(i)}|)}(\mathbb{C}) \right). \end{aligned}$$

Then  $W(G_{\vec{\alpha}}, T) \cong W'_P$  for  $P \subset \Delta$  corresponding to  $\vec{\alpha}$ .

The Bala–Carter classification says that the unipotent classes in  $G^\circ$  can be parametrized by  $d$ -tuples of bipartitions  $(\vec{\alpha}, \vec{\beta})$  such that  $2|\alpha^{(i)}| + |\beta^{(i)}| = 2n_i$ ,  $\beta^{(i)}$  has only odd parts and all parts of  $\beta^{(i)}$  are distinct. A typical  $u$  in this conjugacy class is distinguished in the standard Levi subgroup  $G_{\vec{\alpha}}^\circ$ .

Like in (12) and (13), let  $G_{\vec{\alpha}''}$  be a standard Levi subgroup containing  $u$ . Then  $u = u''u'$  with  $u'$  in a product of groups  $GL_{n_k}(\mathbb{C})$  and

$$u' \in S \left( \prod_{i=1}^d O_{2(n_i - |\alpha''^{(i)}|)}(\mathbb{C}) \right) =: H.$$

The  $GL$ -factors and  $u''$  do not contribute to  $A_{G_{\vec{\alpha}''}}(u)$ .

In the upcoming calculations we omit the case that  $\vec{\beta}$  is empty, that case is a bit different but can be handled in the same way.

With [Car1, §13.1] we find that the quotient of  $Z_H(u')$  by its unipotent radical is

$$\prod_{i=1}^d \prod_{j \text{ even}} Sp_{2m'_j}(\mathbb{C}) \times \prod_{i=1}^d \prod_{j \text{ odd, not in } \beta^{(i)}} O_{2m'_j}(\mathbb{C}) \times S \left( \prod_{i=1}^d \prod_{j \text{ odd, in } \beta^{(i)}} O_{2m'_j+1}(\mathbb{C}) \right).$$

The component groups become

$$A_{G_{\vec{\alpha}''}}(u) \cong A_H(u') \cong \left( \prod_{i=1}^d \prod_{j \text{ odd, in } \alpha'^{(i)}, \text{ not in } \beta^{(i)}} \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z} \right) \times S \left( \prod_{i=1}^d \prod_{j \text{ odd, in } \beta^{(i)}} \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z} \right).$$

In the same way as after (14) we see that  $\overline{R}_{\mathbb{Z}}(A_G(u)) = 0$  unless each  $\alpha^{(i)}$  has only distinct odd terms, none of them appearing in  $\beta^{(i)}$ . For such  $(\vec{\alpha}, \vec{\beta})$  the maximal reductive quotient of  $Z_G(u)$  simplifies to

$$(138) \quad \left( \prod_{i=1}^d \prod_{j \text{ odd, in } \alpha^{(i)}} O_2(\mathbb{C}) \right) \times S \left( \prod_{i=1}^d \prod_{j \text{ odd, in } \beta^{(i)}} O_1(\mathbb{C}) \right)$$

and the component group becomes

$$A_G(u) = \prod_{i=1}^d \prod_{j \text{ odd, in } \alpha^{(i)}} \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z} \times A \quad \text{with} \quad A = S\left(\prod_{i=1}^d \prod_{j \text{ odd, in } \beta^{(i)}} \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}\right).$$

Just as in (15) we can calculate that  $\overline{R_{\mathbb{Z}}}(A_G(u)) \cong R_{\mathbb{Z}}(A)$ .  $\square$

With Lemmas A.1 and A.2 at hand the proof of Proposition 1.3 also becomes valid for  $W'_{\bar{n}}$ . Let us formulate this somewhat more generally. Let  $W'$  be a finite group which is a direct product of a Weyl group and a number of groups of the form  $W'_{\bar{n}}$ . Let  $G'$  be the corresponding direct product of the groups called  $G$  in (4) and (136). We denote the basis of the root system  $R'$  underlying  $W'$  by  $\Delta'$ , and the standard parabolic subgroup associated to  $P \subset \Delta'$  by  $W'_P$ .

**Lemma A.3.** *For every  $w \in \mathcal{C}_P(W')$  there exists a pair  $(u_{P,w}, \rho'_{P,w})$  such that:*

- $u_{P,w}$  is quasidistinguished unipotent in  $G'_P$ ,
- $\rho'_{P,w} \in \text{Irr}(A_{G'_P}(u_{P,w}))$  is geometric,
- the set

$$\left\{ \text{ind}_{W'_P}^{W'_{\bar{n}}} (H_P(u_{P,w}, \rho'_{P,w})) : P \in \mathcal{P}(\Delta'_{\bar{n}})/W'_{\bar{n}}, w \in \mathcal{C}_{P, \text{ell}}(W'_{\bar{n}}) \right\}$$

forms a  $\mathbb{Z}$ -basis of  $R_{\mathbb{Z}}(W')$ .

*Proof.* Let  $(W'_i)_i$  be the indecomposable factors of  $W'$ , with root systems  $R'_i$ . For every  $P \subset \Delta'$ :

$$W'_P = \prod_i W'_{P \cap R'_i} \quad \text{and} \quad R_{\mathbb{Z}}(W'_P) = \bigotimes_i R_{\mathbb{Z}}(W'_{P \cap R'_i}).$$

Thus we reduce to the case of a single  $W'_i$ . If  $W'_i$  is an irreducible Weyl group, then Proposition 1.3 applies immediately, so we may assume that  $W'_i = W'_{\bar{n}}$ .

Let  $u \in G$  be unipotent and assume that  $\overline{R_{\mathbb{Z}}}(A_G(u)) \neq 0$ . From the proof of Lemma A.2 we see that a maximal reductive subgroup of  $Z_G(u)$  is of the form (138). For each  $(i, j)$  with  $j$  in  $\alpha^{(i)}$  we pick an element  $t_{i,j} \in SO_2(\mathbb{C}) \setminus \{\pm \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}\}$ , all different. This gives a semisimple element

$$t := \prod_{i=1}^d \left( \prod_{j \text{ in } \alpha^{(i)}} t_{i,j} \times \prod_{j \text{ in } \beta^{(i)}} 1 \right) \in Z_G(u)^\circ.$$

Furthermore  $t$  does not lie in any proper Levi subgroup of  $G^\circ$  containing  $u$ , so  $tu$  does lie in any proper Levi subgroup of  $G^\circ$ . Thus  $u$  is quasidistinguished in  $G$ .

Knowing this and Lemma A.2, the proof of Proposition 1.3 goes through.  $\square$

## REFERENCES

- [ABPS1] A.-M. Aubert, P.F. Baum, R.J. Plymen, M. Solleveld, “The principal series of  $p$ -adic groups with disconnected centre”, Proc. London Math. Soc. **114.5** (2017), 798–854.
- [ABPS2] A.-M. Aubert, P.F. Baum, R.J. Plymen, M. Solleveld, “Hecke algebras for inner forms of  $p$ -adic special linear groups”, J. Inst. Math. Jussieu **16.2** (2017), 351–419.
- [ABPS3] A.-M. Aubert, P.F. Baum, R.J. Plymen, M. Solleveld, “Conjectures about  $p$ -adic groups and their noncommutative geometry”, Contemp. Math. **691** (2017), 15–51.
- [AMS] A.-M. Aubert, A. Moussaoui, M. Solleveld “Affine Hecke algebras for Langlands parameters”, arXiv:1701.03593, (to appear in the Proceedings of the Simons Symposium (2016)).
- [BaMo] D. Barbasch, A. Moy, “Reduction to real infinitesimal character in affine Hecke algebras”, J. Amer. Math. Soc. **6.3** (1993), 611–635.

- [BaCo] P.F. Baum, A. Connes, “Chern character for discrete groups”, pp. 163–232 in: *A fête of topology*, Academic Press, Boston MA, 1988.
- [BCH] P.F. Baum, A. Connes, N. Higson, “Classifying space for proper actions and K-theory of group  $C^*$ -algebras”, pp. 240–291 in:  *$C^*$ -algebras: 1943-1993. A fifty year celebration*, Contemp. Math. **167**, American Mathematical Society, 1994.
- [Bor] A. Borel, “Admissible representations of a semi-simple group over a local field with vectors fixed under an Iwahori subgroup”, *Inv. Math.* **35** (1976), 233–259.
- [BoMa] W. Borho, R. MacPherson, “Représentations des groupes de Weyl et homologie d’intersection pour les variétés nilpotentes”, *C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris Sér. I* **292.15** (1981), 707–710.
- [Bre] G.E. Bredon, *Equivariant cohomology theories*, Lecture Notes in Mathematics **34**, Springer-Verlag, Heidelberg, 1967.
- [BHK] C.J. Bushnell, G. Henniart, P.C. Kutzko, “Types and explicit Plancherel formulae for reductive  $p$ -adic groups”, pp. 55–80 in: *On certain  $L$ -functions*, Clay Math. Proc. **13**, American Mathematical Society, 2011.
- [CaEi] H. Cartan, S. Eilenberg, *Homological algebra*, Princeton University Press, Princeton NJ, 1956.
- [Car1] R.W. Carter, “Conjugacy classes in the Weyl group”, *Compositio Math.* **25** (1972), 1–59.
- [Car2] R.W. Carter, *Finite groups of Lie type. Conjugacy classes and complex characters*, Pure and Applied Mathematics, John Wiley & Sons, New York NJ, 1985.
- [Ciu] D. Ciubotaru, “On unitary unipotent representations of  $p$ -adic groups and affine Hecke algebras with unequal parameters”, *Representation Theory* **12** (2008), 453–498.
- [CiOp1] D. Ciubotaru, E.M. Opdam, “Formal degrees of unipotent discrete series representations and the exotic Fourier transform”, *Proc. London Math. Soc.* **110** (2015), 616–646.
- [CiOp2] D. Ciubotaru, E.M. Opdam, “A uniform classification of discrete series representations of affine Hecke algebras”, *Algebra Number Theory* **11.5** (2017), 1089–1134.
- [COT] D. Ciubotaru, E.M. Opdam, P.E. Trapa, “Algebraic and analytic Dirac induction for graded affine Hecke algebras”, *J. Inst. Math. Jussieu* **13.3** (2014), 447–486.
- [CuRe] C.W. Curtis, I. Reiner, *Representation theory of finite groups and associative algebras*, Pure and Applied Mathematics **11**, John Wiley & Sons, 1962.
- [DeOp1] P. Delorme, E.M. Opdam, “The Schwartz algebra of an affine Hecke algebra”, *J. reine angew. Math.* **625** (2008), 59–114.
- [DeOp2] P. Delorme, E.M. Opdam, “Analytic R-groups of affine Hecke algebras”, *J. reine angew. Math.* **658** (2011), 133–172.
- [Gol] D. Goldberg, “Reducibility of induced representations for  $\mathrm{Sp}(2N)$  and  $\mathrm{SO}(N)$ ”, *Amer. J. Math.* **116.5** (1994), 1101–1151.
- [HeOp] G.J. Heckman, E.M. Opdam, “Yang’s system of particles and Hecke algebras”, *Ann. of Math.* **145.1** (1997), 139–173.
- [Hei] V. Heiermann, “Opérateurs d’entrelacement et algèbres de Hecke avec paramètres d’un groupe réductif  $p$ -adique - le cas des groupes classiques”, *Selecta Math.* **17.3** (2011), 713–756.
- [Ill] S. Illman, “Smooth equivariant triangulations of  $G$ -manifolds for  $G$  a finite group”, *Math. Ann.* **233** (1978), 199–220.
- [IwMa] N. Iwahori, H. Matsumoto, “On some Bruhat decomposition and the structure of the Hecke rings of the  $p$ -adic Chevalley groups”, *Inst. Hautes Études Sci. Publ. Math* **25** (1965), 5–48.
- [Jul] P. Julg, “K-théorie équivariante et produits croisés”, *C.R. Acad. Sci. Paris* **292** (1981), 629–632.
- [Kas] G.G. Kasparov “Equivariant K-theory and the Novikov conjecture”, *Invent. Math.* **91** (1988), 147–201.
- [Kat] S.-I. Kato, “A realization of irreducible representations of affine Weyl groups”, *Indag. Math.* **45.2** (1983), 193–201.
- [KaLu] D. Kazhdan, G. Lusztig, “Proof of the Deligne-Langlands conjecture for Hecke algebras”, *Invent. Math.* **87** (1987), 153–215.
- [LaLü] M. Langer, W. Lück, “Topological K-theory of the group  $C^*$ -algebra of a semi-direct product  $\mathbb{Z}^n \rtimes \mathbb{Z}/m$  for a free conjugation action”, *J. Topol. Anal.* **4** (2012), 121–172.

- [LüOl] W. Lück, B. Oliver, “Chern characters for the equivariant K-theory of proper  $G$  –  $CW$ -complexes”, pp. 217–247 in: *Cohomological methods in homotopy theory*, Progr. Math. **196**, Birkhäuser Verlag, Basel, 2001.
- [Lus] G. Lusztig, “Affine Hecke algebras and their graded version”, J. Amer. Math. Soc. **2.3** (1989), 599–635.
- [Mis] P.A. Mischenko, “Invariant tempered distributions on the reductive  $p$ -adic group  $GL_n(F_p)$ ”, C.R. Math. Rep. Acad. Sci. Canada **4.2** (1982), 123–127.
- [Opd] E.M. Opdam, “On the spectral decomposition of affine Hecke algebras”, J. Inst. Math. Jussieu **3.4** (2004), 531–648.
- [OpSo1] E.M. Opdam, M. Solleveld, “Discrete series characters for affine Hecke algebras and their formal dimensions”, Acta Mathematica **205** (2010), 105–187
- [OpSo2] E.M. Opdam, M. Solleveld, “Extensions of tempered representations”, Geometric And Functional Analysis **23** (2013), 664–714.
- [Phi] N.C. Phillips, *Equivariant K-theory and freeness of group actions on  $C^*$ -algebras*, Lecture Notes in Mathematics **1274**, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1987.
- [Ply1] R.J. Plymen, “The reduced  $C^*$ -algebra of the  $p$ -adic group  $GL(n)$ ” J. Funct. Anal. **72** (1987), 1–12.
- [Ply2] R.J. Plymen, “Noncommutative geometry: illustrations from the representation theory of  $GL(n)$ ”, lecture notes, 1993, <http://eprints.ma.man.ac.uk/1346>.
- [RaRa] A. Ram, J. Ramagge, “Affine Hecke algebras, cyclotomic Hecke algebras and Clifford theory”, pp. 428–466 in: *A tribute to C.S. Seshadri (Chennai 2002)*, Trends in Mathematics, Birkhäuser, 2003.
- [Ree] M. Reeder, “Euler-Poincaré pairings and elliptic representations of Weyl groups and  $p$ -adic groups”, Compos. Math. **129** (2001), 149–181.
- [Roc] A. Roche, “Parabolic induction and the Bernstein decomposition”, Comp. Math. **134** (2002), 113–133.
- [Sch] C. Schochet, “Topological methods for  $C^*$ -algebras. II. Geometry resolutions and the Künneth formula”, Pacific J. Math. **98.2** (1982), 443–458.
- [Słol] J. Słomińska “On the equivariant Chern homomorphism”, Bull. Acad. Polon. Sci. Ser. Sci. Math. Astr. Phys. **24.10** (1976), 909–913.
- [Slo2] K. Slooten, *A combinatorial generalization of the Springer correspondence for classical type*, PhD Thesis, Universiteit van Amsterdam, 2003.
- [Slo3] K. Slooten, “Generalized Springer correspondence and Green functions for type B/C graded Hecke algebras”, Adv. Math. **203.1** (2006), 34–108.
- [Sol1] M. Solleveld, *Periodic cyclic homology of affine Hecke algebras*, PhD Thesis, Universiteit van Amsterdam, 2007, arXiv:0910.1606.
- [Sol2] M. Solleveld, “Periodic cyclic homology of reductive  $p$ -adic groups”, J. Noncommutative Geometry **3.4** (2009), 501–558.
- [Sol3] M. Solleveld, “Homology of graded Hecke algebras”, J. Algebra **323** (2010), 1622–1648.
- [Sol4] M. Solleveld, “Parabolically induced representations of graded Hecke algebras”, Algebras and Representation Theory **15.2** (2012), 233–271.
- [Sol5] M. Solleveld, “On the classification of irreducible representations of affine Hecke algebras with unequal parameters”, Representation Theory **16** (2012), 1–87.
- [Spr] T.A. Springer, “A construction of representations of Weyl groups”, Invent. Math. **44.3** (1978), 279–293.
- [Ste] R. Steinberg, *Endomorphisms of linear algebraic groups*, Mem. Amer. Math. Soc. **80**, American Mathematical Society, Providence RI, 1968.

IMAPP, RADBOUD UNIVERSITEIT NIJMEGEN, HEYENDAALSEWEG 135, 6525AJ NIJMEGEN, THE NETHERLANDS

*E-mail address:* [m.solleveld@science.ru.nl](mailto:m.solleveld@science.ru.nl)