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Abstract

Background: Alcohol and tobacco use are heritable phenotypes. However, only a small number of common genetic variants have been identified, and common variants account for a modest proportion of the heritability. Therefore, this study aims to investigate the role of low-frequency and rare variants in alcohol and tobacco use.

Methods: We meta-analyzed ExomeChip association results from eight discovery cohorts and included 12,466 subjects and 7432 smokers in the analysis of alcohol consumption and tobacco use, respectively. The ExomeChip interrogates low-frequency and rare exonic variants, and in addition a small pool of common variants. We investigated top variants in an independent sample in which ICD-9 diagnoses of alcoholism (N = 25,508) and tobacco use are heritable phenotypes. However, only a small number of common genetic variants have been identified, and common variants account for a modest proportion of the heritability. Therefore, this study aims to investigate the role of low-frequency and rare variants in alcohol and tobacco use.

Methods: We meta-analyzed ExomeChip association results from eight discovery cohorts and included 12,466 subjects and 7432 smokers in the analysis of alcohol consumption and tobacco use, respectively. The ExomeChip interrogates low-frequency and rare exonic variants, and in addition a small pool of common variants. We investigated top variants in an independent sample in which ICD-9 diagnoses of alcoholism (N = 25,508) and...
1. Introduction

Alcohol and tobacco use belong to the world’s leading health risks and are responsible for the premature death of 3.3 million and 6 million people each year, respectively (World Health Organization, 2014; World Health Organization, 2015). Overall 5.1% of the global burden of disease and injury, measured in disability-adjusted life years (DALYs), is attributable to alcohol, and 3.7% is attributable to smoking (World Health Organization, 2009, 2014). Alcohol and tobacco use initiation and severity are influenced by a combination of genetic and environmental risk factors. Examples of environmental exposures that impact on substance use outcomes are social stress, traumatic life events, peer pressure, inadequate parenting, insufficient social control, and low socio-economic status (De Bellis and Zisk, 2014; Kendler et al., 2011; Lijffijt et al., 2014; Loke and Mak, 2013; Van Ryzin et al., 2012; Young-Wolf et al., 2011). Heritability estimates (i.e., the proportion of phenotypic variance attributable to genetic variance) of 40–60% and 45–86% (Broms et al., 2006; Mbarek et al., 2015; Verhulst et al., 2015; Vink et al., 2005) for alcohol and tobacco use-related traits, respectively, indicate a strong genetic component influencing these behaviors. Elucidating which genetic variants contribute to alcohol and tobacco use is an important step in unraveling the underlying biological mechanisms. Although current pharmacological treatments for alcohol and tobacco use disorders have demonstrated positive treatment outcomes, the effects are moderate at best, and many patients do not benefit from these treatments (Goh and Morgan, 2017; Stead and Lancaster, 2012). An improved understanding of the biological mechanisms will aid the development of novel medications and prevention methods for substance-use-related problems.

Various methods have been applied to identify genetic variants associated with substance use. During the last decade, Genome-Wide Association Studies (GWAS) have been the preferred study design due to the capacity to study single nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs) in a genome-wide manner without the need for a priori hypotheses. GWAS usually captures common variants (i.e., variants with a minor allele frequency (MAF) larger than 5% in the population), but recent large-scale GWAS studies have led to the identification of rare genetic variants, which are defined as variants in the population with a frequency lower than 1%. Rare genetic variants are known to have a significant influence on the risk of nicotine dependence (Haller et al., 2012; Yang et al., 2015). However, the associations of these rare variants with alcohol and nicotine dependence have not been replicated, and are therefore suggestive in nature. The relevance of investigating rare variants is further demonstrated by an observed inverse relationship between a variant’s effect size and its frequency in the population (Park et al., 2011). This relationship indicates that a genome-wide exploration of the impact of rare genetic variants may provide additional insights into the genetic etiology of substance use phenotypes.

The introduction of the Illumina Infinium HumanExome BeadChip array (Illumina Inc., San Diego, CA) enabled the study of rare genetic variants in a genome-wide and cost-effective way (Cirulli and Goldstein, 2010; Grove et al., 2013; Lee et al., 2014; Vrieze et al., 2014). The “ExomeChip” contains a dense amount of low-frequency and rare nonsynonymous SNPs, and an additional smaller set of common SNPs that aid quality control of the genotypes. The non-synonymous SNPs change the protein sequence by coding for different amino acids. Their interrogation facilitates functional interpretation of causal variants or genes due to a clear mechanism through which they exert their effect on traits and diseases. Combined with potentially large effect sizes, rare nonsynonymous SNPs are attractive drug targets. For alcohol and nicotine dependence, a single ExomeChip study has been conducted in 7181 individuals. No significant SNP or gene associations were detected, probably due to the limited sample size (Vrieze et al., 2015).
2. Methods

2.1. Participants

The discovery sample included eight Dutch cohorts which were all part of the Biobanking and Biomolecular Research Infrastructure for The Netherlands (BBMRI-NL) (Brandsma et al., 2012). The total sample, after genotype quality control (see below), consisted of 12,466 subjects for alcohol consumption and 7432 subjects for tobacco use, the sample contains both males and females. Only subjects between 18 and 85 years old were included. Detailed information about the individual cohorts can be found in Supplementary information section 2.

The replication sample consisted of a single cohort from BioVU: Vanderbilt University’s DNA biobank (Roden et al., 2008). Top SNPs for alcohol consumption were replicated using data from 367 cases with an ICD-9 diagnosis of “Alcoholism” and 25,141 controls. Top SNPs for tobacco use were replicated using data from 1927 cases with an ICD-9 diagnosis of “Tobacco use disorder” and 25,141 controls. Subjects were between 13 and 90 years old. Detailed information about the replication cohort can be found in Supplementary information section 2.

2.2. Phenotypes

Alcohol consumption and tobacco use were assessed with self-reports in the discovery cohorts. Alcohol consumption was defined as the number of standard alcoholic drinks per week. Tobacco use was defined by the number of cigarettes smoked per day (CPD) in current and past smokers (i.e., ever-smokers). Subjects who smoked fewer than 100 cigarettes during their life were not included in the analyses (i.e., “non-smokers”). To diminish the effect of outliers due to the skewed nature of the phenotype data, logarithmic transformations were performed. Detailed information about the phenotypes and their recruitment can be found in Supplementary Table 1 and Supplementary Sections 2 and 3. The phenotypes “Tobacco use disorder” and “Alcoholism”, from the independent replication sample BioVU, were assessed with the International Classification of Diseases, Ninth Revision (ICD-9 codes).

2.3. Genotypes

All individuals of the discovery cohorts, as well as the replication cohort, were genotyped using the Illumina Human Exome BeadChip (Illumina inc., San Diego, CA). This ExomeChip interrogates 247,870 markers, and mainly includes low-frequency and rare variants (i.e., 90% of the variants had a MAF lower than 5%) (Grove et al., 2013). The remaining variants are common to aid in the genotype quality control. Detailed information about the specifications of the Illumina Infinium Exome-24 v1.1 BeadChip can be found in Supplementary Table 2. Genotype intensities for the discovery samples were called with GenomeStudio and zCall to obtain genotype data. Initially, we used GenomeStudio (i.e., GenCall) for common variant calling and for the exclusion of individuals with low quality genotypes (Grove et al., 2013; Guo et al., 2014). Subsequently, we used zCall to call rare variants (Goldstein et al., 2012). The genotype intensities for the replication cohort were called with Genotyping module v1.9.4 from GenomeStudio v2011.1.

2.4. Quality control of genotype data

Quality control (QC) was performed using PLINK (Purcell et al., 2007). Each discovery cohort used the same analysis plan for QC in order to reach consistency (see Supplementary information section 3). We included only autosomal variants in the analysis. Individuals with ≥5% missingness and SNPs with ≥5% missingness were excluded, as well as individuals with ambiguous sex information and a heterozygosity rate outside the 0.35–0.45 range. In non-family based cohorts, one of each pair of individuals who were related (pihat > 0.2) were excluded. In addition, based on multidimensional scaling (MDS) and 1000 Genomes data (Abecasis et al., 2012), all non-Caucasian subjects were excluded. All SNPs with a minor allele count (MAC) of < 5 were removed. Finally, when combining the eight discovery cohorts, SNPs which deviated from HWE with a p-value larger than 1.0 × 10⁻⁶ and a call rate lower than 0.95 were excluded. A detailed overview of the QC per cohort can be found in Supplementary Table 3 and Supplementary information section 3. An overview of the remaining number of subjects and SNPs after QC by cohort can be found in Supplementary Table 3. The QC of the replication sample was performed using PLINK 1.9 (Chang et al., 2015), and followed the recommendation of Guo et al. (2014).

2.5. Single-variant and gene-based analyses

For each discovery cohort, association analyses were performed using a linear regression model in RVTESTS (Zhan et al., 2016), in which the inverse normal transformed trait residuals were analyzed. Ten principal components were included as covariates to control for population stratification. In family-based cohorts, relatedness was accounted for using the kinship matrix in RVTESTS (Lippert et al., 2011; Zhan et al., 2016). The association analysis on the independent replication sample was conducted using PLINK 1.9, using either a Fisher’s exact test for SNPs with a MAF < 1% and a logistic regression for all SNPs with a MAF ≥ 1%.

To combine the association results of the individual discovery cohorts, we meta-analyzed the results with the software package RAREMETAL (Feng et al., 2014). The meta-analysis was performed using the single variant score statistics of each cohort, which summarize evidence for association, together with the covariance matrix, which summarizes linkage disequilibrium relationships among variants. To determine significance for the single-variant analyses, a Bonferroni correction for the number of SNPs tested was used. Due to the exclusion of SNPs with a MAC lower than 5, fewer SNPs were tested in the CPD sample than in the alcohol consumption sample, since the CPD sample included fewer subjects. For alcohol consumption and CPD, the Bonferroni corrected significance thresholds were set at 6.33 × 10⁻⁶ and 7.29 × 10⁻⁷, respectively.

In addition to single-variant analysis, we performed gene-based tests using the sequence kernel association test (SKAT) (Wu et al., 2011). The significance threshold for the SKAT was determined by a Bonferroni correction for the number of genes tested; the thresholds for alcohol consumption and CPD were 5.02 × 10⁻⁶ and 5.75 × 10⁻⁶, respectively. In the CPD sample fewer genes were tested than in the alcohol consumption sample, since the CPD sample included fewer SNPs, as explained in the preceding paragraph. The gene-based tests included
only variants with a MAF < 5%, and genes that contained two variants or more.

2.6. Joint analyses of single variants with an independent replication sample

To examine the robustness of our top findings, we also tested their association in BioVU (Roden et al., 2008). For the top 10 SNPs (i.e., SNPs with lowest p-values) that we identified, we performed an association analysis in PLINK (Purcell et al., 2007) using “Tobacco use disorder” and “Alcoholism” of ICD-9 codes. Following the recommendations of Skol et al. (2006) (Skol et al., 2006), we meta-analyzed these results with our discovery meta-analysis using the weighted Z-score method of METAL (Willer et al., 2010). Significance was determined using the Bonferroni corrected threshold from the discovery analysis (6.33 × 10^{-7} for alcohol consumption and 7.29 × 10^{-7} for CPD). We used the effective sample sizes to weight the BioVU samples, which were 1447 and 7159 individuals for Alcoholism and Tobacco use disorder, respectively (calculated using the following formula: ((number of cases + number of controls)/((number of cases + number of controls)), as recommended by the Broad Institute’s Ricopili pipeline).

2.7. Pathway analysis

We investigated whether pathways related to alcohol and nicotine addiction were associated with alcohol consumption and CPD by performing a gene-set analysis in MAGMA (de Leeuw et al., 2015). We tested two Gene Ontology (GO) pathways, namely the ‘response to nicotine’ and the ‘response to ethanol’ (Gene Ontology Consortium, 2015). The gene-based p-values obtained in the SKAT analyses were used as input. We performed competitive gene-set analyses which test whether the genes in the gene-set are more strongly associated with the phenotype of interest than the other genes included in our genotype data (n = 13,413). Our dataset enabled us to test 84 genes from the ‘response to ethanol’ and 36 from the ‘response to nicotine’ pathways. The associations were corrected for dependencies between genes (with a gene correlation matrix based on the ExomeChip SNPs) and confounding effects of gene size and gene density.

2.8. Polygenic risk score analysis

To determine whether ExomeChip variants explain a significant proportion of the phenotypic variance when aggregated, we performed a PRS analysis for alcohol consumption and CPD using PRSice (Euesden et al., 2015). The PRS is an individual-level score that is calculated based on the number of risk variants that a person carries, weighted by SNP effect sizes that are derived from an independent large-scale discovery GWAS. As such, the score is an indication of the total genetic risk of a specific individual for a particular trait, and can be used to estimate genetic overlap between traits (Dudbridge, 2013; Smoller, 2013; Stringer et al., 2014).

We split our total sample of eight cohorts between a discovery (or training) sample and a target sample. The discovery sample consisted of data from seven BBMRI-NL cohorts, ensuring a sufficiently large sample to detect SNP effects for alcohol consumption and CPD. The remaining BBMRI-NL cohort (UHP), which was the second largest sample out of the eight cohorts, was selected as the target sample.

3. Results

3.1. Single-variant and gene-based tests in the discovery and joint analyses

In the discovery sample, the single-variant meta-analysis of the number of drinks per week did not reveal any significant associations (Fig. 1). The top ten SNPs are displayed in Table 1. The lowest p-value was found for a rare variant in IQSEC1 (MAF = 0.005, p = 1.22 × 10^{-6}). The second most strongly associated SNP was located in AKAP13 (MAF = 0.017, p = 9.19 × 10^{-6}). The gene-based analysis did not reveal any genes significantly associated with alcohol consumption (Supplementary Fig. 1). The top 10 genes are displayed in Table 2. Similar to the single variant analysis, IQSEC1 was most strongly associated with alcohol consumption in the gene-based analysis (p = 8.87 × 10^{-6}). Supplementary Table 4 presents the results of the gene-based analysis for genes previously associated with alcohol dependence by rare variant studies.

The single-variant analysis of CPD also did not result in any significant associations after Bonferroni correction for the number of SNPs tested (see Table 1 and Fig. 2). The strongest association was found for a rare variant in HSPG2 (MAF = 0.003, p = 1.99 × 10^{-6}). In addition, we did not identify any genes that were significantly associated with CPD in the gene-based analysis (Supplementary Fig. 2 and Table 2). The lowest p-value was found for LETMD1 (p = 8.68 × 10^{-6}). Supplementary Table 4 presents the results of the gene-based analysis for genes previously associated with nicotine dependence by rare variant studies.

We subsequently investigated whether the 10 most strongly associated variants for alcohol consumption and CPD showed an effect on alcohol and tobacco use disorders in BioVU. In this cohort, genotype data on nine alcohol use top SNPs and seven tobacco use (disorder) (Table 3). The two common variants for tobacco use (disorder) are an exonic and an intronic SNPs located in CHRNA5 and AGPHD, respectively, located at the chromosomal region at 15q25.1. The most significant variant was rs16969968 in the gene CHRNA5 (p = 2.39 × 10^{-7}).

A. Manhattan plot

B. Quantile-quantile (QQ) plot

Fig. 1. Single variant association analysis results of alcohol consumption, A) Manhattan plot, B) quantile-quantile (QQ)-plot.
3.2. Pathway analyses

The ‘response to ethanol’ and ‘response to nicotine’ pathways from the GO database (Gene Ontology Consortium, 2015) were not significantly involved in alcohol consumption and CPD (p-values of 0.29 and 0.18, respectively).

3.3. Polygenic risk score analysis

We performed PRS analyses to investigate the aggregate contribution of ExomeChip variants to substance use taking seven of our BBMRI-NL samples as discovery sample, and the remaining BBMRI-NL sample (UHP) as target sample. The PRS analyses did not show a significant contribution of rare variants to the phenotypic variance for alcohol consumption (p = 0.124 for PT < 0.02) or CPD (p = 0.067 for PT < 0.45). (Supplementary information section 1 and Supplementary Figs. 3 and 4).

4. Discussion

The primary aim of this study was to determine the role of rare genetic variation in alcohol and tobacco use. Conducting a meta-analysis on ExomeChip data of eight cohorts allowed us to study the role of rare and low-frequency exonic variants, and in addition a small pool of common variants, in a large sample. We performed both single-variant and gene-based tests. Despite our large sample size, we were not able to identify novel variants or genes that were significantly associated with alcohol consumption or tobacco use in our discovery sample. However, we identified several potentially interesting signals that we investigated for their robustness in an independent sample with data on alcohol and tobacco use disorders. For tobacco use, the joint analysis of this sample with our initial meta-analysis showed genome-wide significant association for two common genetic variants in the genes CHRNA3 and CHRNA5, both located at chromosomal region 15q25.1. These genes belong to the IREB2-CHRNA3-CHRNA5-CHRN4-HYKK/AGPDH1)-PSMA4 gene-cluster that has repeatedly been associated with smoking severity (Barrie et al., 2017; Liu et al., 2010; Saccone et al., 2010b; Tobacco and Genetics, 2010). The significant non-synonymous variant rs16969968 in CHRNA5, a gene which encodes for a nicotinic acetylcholine receptor, is the strongest risk variant for nicotine dependence (Saccone et al., 2010b). AGPDH1 was previously reported to be associated with chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (COPD) (Siedlinski et al., 2013). Our joint analysis of alcohol consumption/alcoholism did not reveal any significant variants.

In addition to the single variant and gene-based analyses, we performed the pathway analysis of two known sets of genes involved in response to nicotine and ethanol. No significant associations were found, suggesting that if rare variants in these pathways play a role, their effects are small and the statistical power of our study was probably not sufficient to detect them. The PRS analysis did not show a significant role for ExomeChip variants, meaning that variation in alcohol and tobacco use could not be predicted by (primarily) rare and low-frequency variants. In contrast, previously PRS has been successfully used to predict substance use related phenotypes based on common variants (Vink et al., 2014). Thus, rare exonic variants explain less phenotypic variation than common variants, although the differential predictive ability of common and rare variants could also be explained by insufficient power to estimate effect sizes of rare variants reliably.

Summarizing, we did not find significant associations between rare exonic variants and alcohol and tobacco use. Although somewhat disappointing, it does provide important insight into the genetic architecture of these traits, as it suggests that these phenotypes are not influenced by rare variants with large effect sizes. A power analysis conducted with the Genetic Power Calculator (Purcell et al., 2003; Visscher et al., 2017) suggests that our discovery samples for alcohol consumption and CPD had sufficient statistical power (> 80%) to detect low-frequency variants (MAF = 0.01) with effect sizes of Cohen’s d ≥ 0.37 for alcohol consumption and ≥ 0.48 for CPD. In contrast, for the detection of rare variants with a MAF of 0.001, we had sufficient power to detect variants with large effect sizes (i.e., Cohen’s d ≥ 1.17 for alcohol consumption and Cohen’s d ≥ 1.5 for tobacco use). Although our findings coupled with the power analysis suggest that rare genetic variants with large effect sizes do not play an important role, we cannot rule out the possibility that rare exonic variants with smaller effects contribute to the phenotypic variation in alcohol consumption and CPD, as previously suggested by candidate gene studies (Haller et al., 2012, 2014; Olsson et al., 2016; Thorgerdsson et al., 2016; Xie et al., 2011; Yang et al., 2015). Even though not all previously reported rare variants were tagged on the ExomeChip or passed our QC, several of these variants were included in our analyses, and several of the associated genes were analyzed with our gene-based tests. Hence, our observation of the absence of significant associations of rare variants with alcohol consumption or tobacco use, suggests that some of these rare variants may have been false positive findings or that the effect sizes are small. Based on robust findings from the current study, a study by Vrieze et al., the above-mentioned candidate gene studies, and the largest conducted ExomeChip study (investigating adult human height) to date (Marouli et al., 2017), we estimate that effect sizes up to Cohen’s d of 0.4 can be expected for rare variants contributing to the phenotypic variance in alcohol consumption and CPD (Marouli et al., 2017; Vrieze et al., 2013). This estimate indicates that extremely large sample sizes would be required for the detection of significant rare variant associations (Visscher et al., 2017).
We cannot generalize our findings to other substance use traits as the power to detect rare genetic variants depends strongly on the genetic architecture of the trait under investigation. For example, rare and low-frequency variants have been shown to influence other complex traits, including height, ADHD, breast cancer, type 2 diabetes, and schizophrenia (Cheung et al., 2017; Marouli et al., 2017; Richards et al., 2016; Zayats et al., 2016; Zhou et al., 2017). In some of these studies, sample sizes were smaller than the sample size in the present study. A possible explanation is that a larger proportion of the genetic variation may be attributable to rare variants for diseases that directly affect the fitness of individuals, because of inherent negative selection on these variants (Simons and Sella, 2016).

Despite the lack of significant findings, it seems likely that at least some of our top variants are true associations since the majority of our top variants showed a consistent direction of effect over the different cohorts that were included. Interestingly, directions of effect were also fairly consistent in the replication sample in which ICD-9 diagnoses of alcoholism and tobacco use disorder were obtained. This observation suggests that quantitative measurements of alcohol and tobacco use and clinical diagnoses have a shared genetic liability. Furthermore, previous meta-analyses have combined different trait measures into a single phenotypic trait and generally found high genetic correlations between the measures (Okbay et al., 2016; Wray and Sullivan, 2017).

The current findings should be interpreted in view of some key limitations. The ExomeChip only tags variants located in the exomes of genes, whereas most GWAS trait-associated hits are located outside exonic regions (Maurano et al., 2012), suggesting that most trait-associated SNPs act through the regulation of gene expression rather than by altering the protein sequence. It is possible that this characteristic may limit the power to detect rare genetic variants by altering the protein sequence. It is possible that this characteristic also holds true for rare variants. Furthermore, the phenotypes measured in the discovery and the replication sample were not identical, and this discordance may have reduced the statistical power to detect significant associations in the joint analysis. In addition, most rare variants were not present in all cohorts of our study, which decreased the statistical power to detect an effect. Also, the prevalence rates of alcoholism and tobacco use disorder in BioVU were lower than expected from the general population (Breslau et al., 2001; Hasin et al., 2007), which may be explained by misclassification among the controls. Diagnosis of substance use disorders within BioVU is obtained from medical record data. It is likely that a proportion of the cases (i.e., subjects who meet criteria for alcoholism or tobacco use disorder) were misclassified as controls due to a lack of systematic screening. Furthermore, BioVU is a hospital-based sample, and it may therefore not be representative of the general population.

5. Conclusions

We have shown that low-frequency and rare exonic variants do not have a large impact on inter-individual variability in alcohol and tobacco use. Our large sample size allowed us to rule out, with high confidence, the impact of low-frequency or rare exonic variants with large effect on these phenotypes. Our findings confirmed the influence of common genetic variants located in the CHRNA5-CHRNA3-CHRNB4 cluster of nicotinic acetylcholine receptor suubunit genes on tobacco use.
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