Excluding Lynch syndrome in a female patient with metachronous DNA mismatch repair deficient colon- and ovarian cancer

Stijn Crobach1,6 · Anne M. L. Jansen1 · Marjolein J. L. Ligtenberg2 · Marije Koopmans3 · Maartje Nielsen3 · Frederik J. Hes3 · Juul T. Wijnen4 · Winand N. M. Dinjens5 · Tom van Wezel1 · Hans Morreau1

Published online: 9 November 2017
© The Author(s) 2017. This article is an open access publication

Abstract Patients synchronously or metachronously presenting with ovarian and colon cancer can pose diagnostic challenges. A primary colon carcinoma can metastasize to one or both ovaries, two independent primary tumors can arise or an ovarian carcinoma can metastasize to the colon. Clinical and immunohistochemical characterization can aid the diagnosis. Recently, we reported that in difficult cases finding pathogenic APC variants supports a colonic origin.

In this report we describe the clinical history of a female patient suspected for Lynch syndrome. She was diagnosed with a bilateral ovarian cancer at age 44, followed by the detection of a colon carcinoma 12.5 months later. Lesions of both sites showed a DNA mismatch repair deficiency with immunohistochemical loss of MLH1 and PMS2 expression without MLH1 promoter hypermethylation. In absence of germline MMR gene variants identical somatic MLH1 and CTNNB1 gene variants were found, indicating a clonal relation. MMR germline mosaicism was made unlikely by ultra deep sequencing of the MLH1 variant in DNA isolated from normal mucosa, blood, urine and saliva. Although initially being suspect for Lynch syndrome it was eventually concluded that a metachronously diagnosed colon carcinoma that metastasized to both ovaries was most likely.
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Introduction

In this report we describe a female patient diagnosed with bilateral endometrioid carcinoma of the ovaries at the age of 44. One year later an adenocarcinoma of the colon was detected. The discovery of the colon carcinoma created doubt about the primary origin of the ovarian tumors. Besides, because the patient met the Amsterdam/Bethesda revised criteria, Lynch syndrome (LS) was suggested.

The ovaries can be affected by metastases from several primary tumor sites [1]. Most metastases originate from the gastrointestinal tract, with the colon as most frequent primary location. However, primary ovarian tumors are more common than ovarian metastases; 85 versus 15% [2]. Since subtypes of primary ovarian cancers (especially endometrioid and mucinous adenocarcinomas) can show overlapping histological and immunohistochemical features with gastrointestinal tumor metastases, it can be difficult to discriminate these [3, 4]. A combined analysis of clinical and molecular features can be helpful in correctly diagnosing these tumors. Reanalysis of this case revealed both macroscopic and microscopic evidence for a colonic origin of the ovarian tumors. This thought was supported by up-to-date extensive molecular analyses that showed a clonal relationship between both
tumors. Lynch syndrome, including DNA mismatch repair gene mosaicism, was ruled out.

**Materials and methods**

**Immunohistochemistry**

Immunohistochemistry was performed as previously described [5]. The antibodies and dilutions that were used are as follows: MSH2 (1:25; DAKO Santa Clara, United States), MSH6 (1:400; GeneTex Irvine, United States), PMS2 (1:80; DAKO Santa Clara, United States) and MLH1 (1:40; DAKO Santa Clara, United States), CDX2 (1:80; DAKO Santa Clara, United States), keratin-7 (1:400; DAKO Santa Clara, United States), keratin-20 (1:200; DAKO Santa Clara, United States), keratin-20 (1:200; DAKO Santa Clara, United States), ER (1:40; DAKO Santa Clara, United States), PR (1:400; DAKO Santa Clara, United States) and vimentin (1:1000; DAKO Santa Clara, United States).

**Methylation specific assay**

The promoter region of MLH1 was analyzed by methylation-specific multiplex ligation-dependent probe amplification (MS-MLPA) as previously described [6].

**Microsatellite instability (MSI) analysis**

Microsatellite analysis was performed using five mononucleotide microsatellite markers as previously described [7].

**Germline analysis**

Germline analysis of MLH1, PMS2, MSH2 and MSH6 variant was performed on DNA isolated from lymphocytes from a blood sample using standard procedures including the analysis for large deletions/duplications by the multiplex ligation-dependent probe amplification (MRC Holland, the Netherlands).

**Somatic and mosaicism analysis**

Somatic mutation analysis of MLH1 was performed using a laboratory developed multiplex AmpliSeq based NGS protocol followed by confirmation of detected mutations by Sanger sequencing.

Additional analysis of somatic variations was performed on DNA isolated using a fully automated DNA extraction procedure. The concentration of DNA was measured using a fluorometer (Qubit dsDNA HS, Thermo Fisher Scientific, Waltham MA USA). The amplicon library for targeted sequencing was constructed using AmpliSeq Cancer Hotspot Panel v2. This panel consists of a single primer pool and is designed to detect somatic cancer hotspot pathogenic variants in 207 amplicons covering 50 cancer related genes, including genes as APC, KRAS, TP53, SMAD4 that are often altered in colorectal cancer. The whole APC gene was analyzed in a separate analysis as in the cancer hotspot panel only the mutation cluster region of APC is covered. Mosaicism analysis of the identified MLH1 variant was performed by using a panel covering MSH2, MSH6, PMS2, MLH1, POLD1 and POLE. Libraries were prepared with 10 ng of genomic DNA, and each sample was uniquely bar-coded using IonXpress barcodes (Life Technologies). Next-generation sequencing was carried out according to the Ion Proton protocol.

**Bioinformatic analysis**

The unaligned BAM file generated by the Proton sequencer were mapped against the human reference genome (GRCh37/hg19) using the TMAP 5.0.7 software with default parameters (https://github.com/iontorrent/TS). Subsequently variant calling was done using the Ion Torrent specific caller, Torrent Variant Caller (TVC)-5.0.2, using the recommended Variant Caller Parameter for Cancer Hotspot Panel v2. Variant interpretation was done using Geneticist Assistant (Softgenetics) which assigns Functional Prediction, Conservation scores and Disease associated information to each variant (http://softgenetics.com/GeneticistAssistant_2.php). Once pathogenicity is assigned to a variant, the same pathogenicity is automatically attributed the next time the variant is observed. Integrative Genomics Viewer (IGV) was used for visually inspecting variants (doi: 10.1093/bib/bbs017). The analysis of the complete APC gene was performed as described previously [8]. LOH was analyzed by comparison of variant and wild type DNA reads of the NGS results.

**Case report**

We describe a female patient with a family history of ovarian cancer (one sister at the age of 56 years), breast cancer (one sister at the age of 59 years), colon cancer (patient’s mother at the age of 80 years) and a (non melanoma) skin cancer (the sister diagnosed with breast cancer). The index patient had one hyperplastic polyp removed from the rectum at the age of 43. Aged 44, she was diagnosed with bilateral endometrioid carcinoma of the ovaries with focally mucinous differentiation (Fig. 1), clinical stage 1B according to the FIGO staging system. Surgery was performed and she was treated with adjuvant chemotherapy comprising a regimen of cyclofosfamide and carboplatin. At age 45, 12.5 months later, she was diagnosed with an adenocarcinoma of the colon, treated by a left-sided hemicolectomy. Based on these clinical records the patient met the Amsterdam/Bethesda
Excluding Lynch syndrome in a female patient with metachronous DNA mismatch repair deficient…

**Fig. 1** Shows the histological picture of the ovarian tumor (a, b) and the colon tumor (c, d). In a, b both endometrioid and mucinous parts of the ovarian tumor are shown.

**Table 1** Pathogenic variants, promoter methylation status and immunohistochemical expression of mismatch repair genes in the ovarian tumor, colon tumor and leukocyte DNA

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Gene</th>
<th>Ovary (T%: &gt; 60%)</th>
<th>Colon (T%: &gt; 50%)</th>
<th>Lymphocytes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>TP53</strong></td>
<td>No pathogenic variant</td>
<td>c.1024C&gt;T, p.(Arg342*)/6,1% mutant reads</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>MLH1</strong></td>
<td>c.1624C&gt;T, p.(Gln542*)/76% mutant reads</td>
<td>c.1624C&gt;T, p.(Gln542*)/52% mutant reads</td>
<td>No pathogenic variant</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>LOH Loss of expression by IHC</td>
<td>LOH Loss of expression by IHC</td>
<td>No promoter hypermethylation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>CTNNB1</strong></td>
<td>c.134C&gt;T, p.(Ser45Phe)/9,2% mutant reads</td>
<td>c.134C&gt;T, p.(Ser45Phe) 38% mutant reads</td>
<td>No pathogenic variant</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>PMS2</strong></td>
<td>Loss of expression by IHC</td>
<td>Loss of expression by IHC</td>
<td>No pathogenic variant</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>MSH2</strong></td>
<td>Normal expression by IHC</td>
<td>Normal expression by IHC</td>
<td>No pathogenic variant</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>MSH6</strong></td>
<td>Normal expression by IHC</td>
<td>Normal expression by IHC</td>
<td>No pathogenic variant</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>APC</strong></td>
<td>No pathogenic variant</td>
<td>No pathogenic variant</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The table shows an overview of the detected pathogenic variants, methylation assays and immunohistochemical staining results of mismatch repair genes in one of the ovarian tumors, the colon tumor and DNA isolated from blood.

*LOH* loss of heterozygosity, *IHC* immunohistochemical staining, *T%* tumor cell percentage.
revised criteria. Patient has remained disease-free until the age of 64. However, the discovery of the (mucinous) colon carcinoma showing partly a similar morphology as the ovarian tumors (Fig. 1), created doubt about the primary origin of the ovarian tumors. Lynch syndrome (LS), in which independent ovarian and colon tumors had developed, was suggested.

Immunohistochemistry testing of the MMR proteins MLH1, PMS2, MSH2 and MSH6 of the colonic and ovarian tumors showed DNA MMR deficiency with loss of expression of MLH1 and PMS2. Microsatellite instability (MSI) testing using mononucleotide microsatellite markers showed an MSI-H phenotype. A sporadic origin of these MMR deficient tumors due to MLH1 promoter hypermethylation was excluded. Our patient was subsequently referred to a clinical geneticist. However LS could not be confirmed after negative lymphocyte DNA testing of MLH1, PMS2, MHS2 and MSH6 for germline pathogenic variants. Also germline testing of BRCA1 and BRCA2 in two sisters of the patient was negative.

Reevaluation of the metachronously diagnosed colon tumor confirmed the primary origin in the colon as the bulk of the tumor was bulging in the colonic lumen. Furthermore, the serosal lining was unaffected. Immunohistochemical stainings of the ovarian tumor showed a phenotype compatible with a metastasis from a colon tumor (keratin-7 negative / keratine-20 and CDX-2 positive). ER, PR and vimentin were also negative. However, ovarian tumors with mucinous differentiation can show a wide variety of keratine-7/keratin-20 immunoprofile patterns, and should be interpreted with caution [9].

Somatic testing (Table 1) of MLH1 showed an identical MLH1 class 5 pathogenic variant (c.1624C > T, p.(Gln542*)) in both colon and ovarian tumors. Next, loss of heterozygosity for MLH1 was shown by absence of the WT(wild type)-allele. We also somatically tested the complete APC gene for pathogenic variants in these lesions, as finding of pathogenic APC variants in ovarian neoplasms would point at a colonic origin of the lesions. No APC variants were found, however an identical activating class 5 CTNNB1 pathogenic variant (c.134C > T; p.(Ser45Phe)) was identified, the molecular alternative for Wnt-pathway activation (Fig. 2a). Finding identical MLH1 and CTNNB1 variants would suggest a clonal relation between the colon and ovarian tumor. Additionally, a class 5 pathogenic TP53 variant (c.1024C > T,
In previous published research we did not find any repair deficient colon carcinoma to the ovaries is described the ovary since the colonic tumor was located at the luminal logical findings in our case do not suggest metastases from endometrioid ovarian cancers [13]. However, the histopathological findings in our case do not suggest metastases from a primary tumor elsewhere in the body.

In our patient the same somatic MLH1 pathogenic variant and concomitant loss of heterozygosity of the wild type allele was present in the ovarian and colon cancer. As the detected MLH1 variant was not found by deep sequencing of DNA isolated from normal mucosa, saliva, blood and urine a germine mosaicism was rendered unlikely.

Somatic MLH1 pathogenic variants in sporadic tumors are mainly associated with gastrointestinal tumors [13, 18]. MLH1 pathogenic variants are not commonly found in ovarian cancer, although one study found MLH1 pathogenic variants in 8.7% epithelial ovarian cancer [19]. Usually, TP53 pathogenic variants occur early in the evolutionary development of a tumor. Our patient’s tumors showed in two tumors CTNNB1 as well as MLH1 pathogenic variants, but only in the colon tumor a TP53 pathogenic variant was identified. The presence of this variant can be explained by tumor progression within the primary colon tumor. Apparently in this case, the pathogenic TP53 variant is not present in the metastasizing clone. Such spatial differences in mutation profiles within a tumor are known as intra-tumor heterogeneity.

In summary, we discuss the clinical dilemma with metachronous diagnosed bilateral mismatch repair deficient ovarian and colon cancer harboring a pathogenic MMR variant. In our case Lynch syndrome as well as a postzygotic somatic mutation leading to mosaicism of multiple normal tissues are very unlikely. Molecular analysis showed a clonal relationship between the ovarian and colon tumors with histopathological analysis suggesting the colon tumor being the primary tumor.
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