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Charge Order and Superconductivity in Underdoped YBa$_2$Cu$_3$O$_{7-\delta}$ under Pressure
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In underdoped cuprates, an incommensurate charge density wave (CDW) order is known to coexist with superconductivity. A dip in $T_c$, at the hole doping level where the CDW is strongest ($n_p \approx 0.12$) suggests that CDW order may suppress superconductivity. We investigate the interplay of charge order with superconductivity in underdoped YBa$_2$Cu$_3$O$_{7-\delta}$ by measuring the temperature dependence of the Hall coefficient $R_H(T)$ at high magnetic field and at high hydrostatic pressure. We find that, although pressure increases $T_c$ by up to 10 K at 2.6 GPa, it has very little effect on $R_H(T)$. This suggests that pressure, at these levels, only weakly affects the CDW and that the increase in $T_c$ with pressure cannot be attributed to a suppression of the CDW. We argue, therefore, that the dip in $T_c$ at $n_p \approx 0.12$ at ambient pressure is probably not caused by the CDW formation.
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Cuprate high-$T_c$ superconductors have a rich phase diagram, and the underdoped region is particularly complex, with several distinct phases [1]. Elucidating the microscopic origin of these phases and their relation to the superconductivity remains of great importance. For a hole doping $n_p < 0.18$ and below a characteristic temperature $T^*$, a phase appears which is characterized by a reduction in the density of states close to the Fermi level [2]. The loss of states which characterizes this pseudogap phase is anisotropic, leading to disconnected arcs of the Fermi surface being observed in angle resolved photoemission spectroscopy [3]. Inside the pseudogap phase, an incommensurate charge density wave (CDW) phase is known to form [4,5]. For the cuprate YBa$_2$Cu$_3$O$_{7-\delta}$ (Y123), the CDW has been detected over the doping range $0.09 < n_p < 0.16$ and has the largest x-ray intensity for $n_p \approx 0.12$ [6]. Close to this point in the phase diagram, other anomalous behavior is observed—most importantly, a relative dip in the superconducting transition temperature $T_c$ [7] producing the well-known 60 K plateau and a marked reduction in the upper critical field $H_{c2}$ [8].

Given the correlation between the strengthening of the CDW at $n_p \approx 0.12$ and the relative dip in $T_c$, it is natural to suppose [9] that superconductivity and CDW formation are mutually exclusive competing phases, so the suppression of one leads to the strengthening of the other. The x-ray intensity from the CDW modulation is strongly reduced when the temperature is reduced below $T_c$ in zero magnetic field, but it is increased when superconductivity is suppressed by applying a large magnetic field [10]. This suggests that the CDW is attenuated when the electrons become paired; however, what is less clear is whether the inverse also holds. In other words, does the strengthening of the CDW lead to a suppression of the superconductivity—as is perhaps suggested by the dip in $T_c$ at $n_p \approx 0.12$—or does this dip have another origin and is the CDW then enhanced simply because superconductivity is suppressed?

To help answer this question, we have performed a series of measurements of the temperature dependence of the Hall coefficient $R_H(T)$ of underdoped Y123 ($n_p \approx 0.11$) at magnetic fields of up to 36 T as a function of high hydrostatic pressure $p$. As described below, this allows us to vary $T_c$ and thus to study the correlation between $T_c$ and the CDW without changing the chemical composition.

In optimally doped and underdoped cuprates, the Hall coefficient has a strong and unusual temperature dependence. At high temperatures, $T \gtrsim 100$ K, $R_H$ increases as $T$ decreases, particularly for Y123, $R_H \sim 1/T$ at optimal doping [11,12]. At lower temperature, and for a doping range which approximately coincides with that where the CDW is observed with x rays, $R_H(T)$ displays a maximum and decreases strongly at lower temperature even when the applied magnetic field is sufficiently strong to suppress superconductivity [13]. For $0.08 \lesssim n_p \lesssim 0.15$, $R_H$ changes sign and, at a sufficiently low temperature, becomes temperature independent [14]. In the same range of doping and again at high magnetic field, quantum oscillations (QOs) are observed [15–18]. The low frequency of the QO signal suggests that the Fermi surface undergoes reconstruction, the most likely cause of which is the CDW [19]. Therefore, the temperature dependence of $R_H(T)$ is a sensitive probe of the CDW state and can be used to track its evolution with temperature, field, and pressure.
room temperature. This resulted in samples with nitrogen mixture for 8 days followed by a rapid quench to enhance of $T_c$ close to $H_{\text{Hydro}}$. Hydrostatic pressure increases without introducing the disorder associated with chemical the cuprates. It can be used to tune parameter with which to explore the phase diagram of $T_c$.

A sharp superconducting transition at ambient pressure, $T_c$ is a strong function of $p$ and then tends to saturate at higher pressure, with $T_c$ eventually reaching $\sim 107$ K at $17$ GPa (Fig. 1). The exact mechanism of this $T_c$ enhancement is not clear. The thermoelastic power (TEP) decreases with increasing pressure suggesting that there is some pressure-induced doping, but this cannot be the sole mechanism because the maximum $T_c$ obtained as a function of pressure is a strong function of $n_p$ [22]. An analysis of the rate of pressure-induced charge transfer suggested by the accompanying changes in the TEP, Knight shift, and quantum oscillation frequency in the sister compound to Y123, YBa$_2$Cu$_2$O$_8$ (Y124), suggests that about half of the increase in $T_c$ comes from charge transfer [23].

Our measurements of $R_H$ were performed on single crystals of Y123 which were grown by the standard flux technique and annealed at $580$ °C in a $2\%$ oxygen in nitrogen mixture for 8 days followed by a rapid quench to room temperature. This resulted in samples with $n_p \approx 0.11$, as estimated from $T_c$ [7]. The width and homogeneity of the bulk superconducting transition was determined using heat capacity, measured with a membrane calorimeter [25]. A sharp superconducting transition at ambient pressure, $T_c^0 = 60.7 \pm 0.3$ K, was found (see the inset in Fig. 1). Electrical contacts were applied prior to annealing using a combination of sputtered Au and Dupont 4929 silver epoxy. Care was taken to sputter Au along the whole of the $c$-axis thickness of the sample to ensure homogeneous current flow and accurate values of $R_H$. The samples were mounted inside a piston cylinder pressure cell made from the high strength, nonmagnetic alloy and cooled by a helium cryostat inside a Bitter magnet at the High Field Magnet Laboratory in Nijmegen, Netherlands. $R_H$ and longitudinal magnetoresistance were measured simultaneously by sweeping the field between the positive and negative extremes at various fixed temperatures. The Hall resistivity was then calculated from the odd part of the magnetoresistance measured between contacts placed on opposite sides of the sample. Once inside the pressure cell, $T_c$ was determined to be the temperature where the resistivity falls below $1\%$ of the normal state value. At ambient pressure, this coincides with the peak in the heat capacity, and the evolution with pressure is in good agreement with a previous report for a similar doping [22] (see Fig. 1). The results obtained here were repeated in a second sample with a slightly higher value of $n_p$ [27].

The field evolution of $R_H$ at fixed temperatures in fields up to $36$ T is shown in Fig. 2. At temperatures well above $T_c$, $R_H$ is essentially independent of field. Below $T_c$, both the resistivity and the $R_H$ value are zero below the irreversibility field $H_{\text{irr}}$, and $R_H$ acquires a finite negative value and tends towards a constant value at the highest fields. As temperature is decreased, the field induced transition becomes progressively sharper. As pressure is increased, the main change to this behavior is that $H_{\text{irr}}$ increases, so the increase of $|R_H|$ from zero below $H_{\text{irr}}$ occurs at a higher field. Hence, the lowest temperature where we can approach the normal state value with the available field increased with applied pressure from $4.2$ K at ambient pressure to $\sim 25$ K at $2.6$ GPa.

In Fig. 3 the temperature evolution of this high field $R_H$ value shows a characteristic sign change that is highly suggestive of a reconstruction of the Fermi surface [13]. It evolves from a holelike positive value at high temperature.
An important consideration in interpreting our data is the nature of the CDW in Y123 at high fields. X-ray studies have shown that, at high temperature and/or low field, the structure is essentially two dimensional with a short c-axis coherence length. Below $T_c$, this 2D order is suppressed, but it is restored as superconductivity is weakened by a magnetic field [10]. At high fields the $b$- and $c$-axis CDW coherence lengths grow in a precursor region, and a different three-dimensional structure is eventually formed in addition to the 2D order [29,30]. The 2D order above $T_c$ is independent of the field [10]. The sign change of $R_H$ begins at high temperatures and low fields, with $T_0$ essentially being field independent [13,14], so it is likely caused by the 2D CDW order rather than the 3D component. This is also consistent with models which give a closed electron pocket for reconstruction based on the 2D, approximately $3 \times 3$ biaxial order, but not for the uniaxial 3D order [31–33]. Therefore, although our measurements of $R_H$ are necessarily performed at high field, to suppress the superconductivity, they should be expected to probe the evolution of the same 2D CDW order which has been suggested to cause a dip in $T_c$ at $n_p \approx 0.12$ at zero field.

In the scenario where the CDW reduces $T_c$, if the CDW were suppressed at low pressure, we would expect $T_c$ to rise with pressure. Then, if the pressure-suppressed CDW were to reemerge in high field, we would expect a marked decrease in the irreversibility field compared to the behavior at ambient pressure. In Fig. 4 we show that $H_{irr}(T)$ follows a common behavior for all pressures, when scaled to account for the changes in $T_c$ and $H_{irr}(T = 4 \, K)$ with pressure. Thus, we conclude that either the CDW is not stabilized by a high field or else superconductivity is not affected by the CDW.
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The evolution of $R_H(T)$ with pressure is distinctly different from that observed as a function of doping. Our value of $T_0$ for $n_p = 0.11$ is consistent with that reported previously [14]. However, as $T_c$ is increased by oxygen doping, it is found that $T_0$ increases sharply, by more than 20 K between $n_p = 0.11$ and $n_p = 0.12$ (which corresponds to an increase in $T_c$ of around 6 K). By contrast, here we find only a small decrease in $T_0$ when $T_c$ is increased by 10 K with pressure. The value of $R_H$ at low temperature was also found to be a strong function of $n_p$ [14], whereas here again we see only a very small change as a function of pressure.

Our observation that the CDW is only weakly affected by pressure is consistent with a previous study of Y124 [23]. In Y124, with $n_p \approx 0.13(1)$ and $T_c^0 = 79$ K, quantum oscillations were observed up to a pressure of 0.84 GPa. The frequency of the oscillations increased slowly and monotonically with an increasing $p$, suggesting a gradual evolution of the CDW reconstruction potential rather than any profound change in its structure. Furthermore, the effective mass $m^*$ was found to decrease with an increasing $p$. Within the interpretation that the enhancement of $m^*$ is caused by quantum CDW fluctuations, the decrease in mass would suggest that these fluctuations are reduced by pressure.

A further point to note is that, although the change in sign of $R_H$ approximately coincides with $T_c$ at ambient pressure, the fact that its temperature dependence remains unaltered despite $T_c$ increasing by more than 10 K shows that superconducting fluctuation effects and vortex motion, which have previously been suggested as a cause of the $R_H$ sign change [34], play very little role. Hence, our results provide further proof that the sign change of $R_H$ is a normal state property.

In summary, we have shown that the sign change of the normal state Hall coefficient of YBa$_2$Cu$_3$O$_{6-\delta}$ with a doping of $n_p = 0.11$ ($T_c = 60.7$ K at ambient pressure) is only weakly affected by hydrostatic pressure up to 2.6 GPa, despite $T_c$ being increased by 10 K. The results suggest that the charge ordered phase is not destabilized by pressures in this range and that CDW destruction does not play a significant role in the observed increase in $T_c$ with pressure. It is unlikely that the dip in $T_c$, characterized by a 60 K plateau, is caused by the CDW formation, and it is rather likely that it has another origin. The strengthening of the CDW at this doping is simply a consequence of the $T_c$ dip.
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