LOFAR reveals the giant: a low-frequency radio continuum study of the outflow in the nearby FR I radio galaxy 3C 31
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ABSTRACT

We present a deep, low-frequency radio continuum study of the nearby Fanaroff–Riley class I (FR I) radio galaxy 3C 31 using a combination of LOw Frequency ARray (LOFAR; 30–85 and 115–178 MHz), Very Large Array (VLA; 290–420 MHz), Westerbork Synthesis Radio Telescope (WSRT; 609 MHz) and Giant Metre Radio Telescope (GMRT; 615 MHz) observations. Our new LOFAR 145-MHz map shows that 3C 31 has a largest physical size of 1.1 Mpc in projection, which means 3C 31 now falls in the class of giant radio galaxies. We model the radio continuum intensities with advective cosmic ray transport, evolving the cosmic ray electron population and magnetic field strength in the tails as functions of distance to the nucleus. We find that if there is no in situ particle acceleration in the tails, then decelerating flows are required that depend on radius $r$ as $v \propto r^\beta$ ($\beta \approx -1$). This then compensates for the strong adiabatic losses due to the lateral expansion of the tails. We are able to find self-consistent solutions in agreement with the entrainment model of Croston & Hardcastle, where the magnetic field provides $\approx 1/3$ of the pressure needed for equilibrium with the surrounding intracluster medium. We obtain an advective time-scale of $\approx 190$ Myr, which, if equated to the source age, would require an average expansion Mach number $M \approx 5$ over the source lifetime. Dynamical arguments suggest that instead either the outer tail material does not represent the oldest jet plasma or else the particle ages are underestimated due to the effects of particle acceleration on large scales.
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1 INTRODUCTION

The jets of low-luminosity radio galaxies (class I of Fanaroff & Riley 1974, hereafter FR I) are thought to be relativistic decelerating flows that emanate from active galactic nuclei. Models fitted to observations (Laing & Bridle 2002a, 2004) and numerical simulations (Perucho & Martí 2007; Perucho et al. 2014) have convincingly shown that jets decelerate on kpc scales and can be described as relativistic flows observing the conservation of particles, energy and momentum. Advances in the modelling of FR I outflows were made possible by combining radio and X-ray observations, which constrain the density, temperature and pressure without having to rely on the assumption of energy equipartition between cosmic rays and the magnetic field (Burbidge 1956). This has made it possible to show that the outflow deceleration can be explained by entrainment of material, both from internal and external entrainment. The former refers to the entrainment of material stemming from sources inside the jet volume, such as stellar winds, and the latter to entrainment of material from the intracluster medium (ICM) via shearing instabilities in the jet–ICM boundary layer. The inclusion of entrainment also can explain why the jet pressures, as derived from energy equipartition of the cosmic rays and the magnetic field, are smaller than the surrounding pressure as measured from the hot, X-ray emitting gas (Morganti et al. 1988; Croston & Hardcastle 2014).
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The modelling of the FR I outflow evolution has so far fallen into two categories. Within the first few kpc ($\leq 10$ kpc), the outflow is relativistic and narrow, so that relativistic beaming effects affect the apparent jet surface brightness; modelling these processes in total intensity and polarization allows the speeds and inclination angles to be constrained (e.g. Laing & Bridle 2002a). This area will be referred to hereafter as the jet region. Further away from the nucleus (10–100 kpc), the outflow widens significantly with widths of a few kpc to a few 10 kpc and becomes sub-relativistic. This part of the outflow is commonly known as the radio tails (also called plumes), and in these regions the assumption of pressure equilibrium with the surrounding X-ray emitting ICM can be used to estimate properties of the tails such as speed and magnetic and particle pressures (e.g. Croston & Hardcastle 2014, hereafter CH14).

In this paper, we present low-frequency radio continuum observations of the nearby FR I radio galaxy 3C 31. This source is particularly well suited for jet modelling studies, because it is large, bright and nearby, and these properties of the source have enabled some of the most detailed studies of radio-galaxy physics to date, including the modelling of physical parameters such as magnetic field strength and outflow speed. We acquired Low Frequency ARay (LOFAR; van Haarlem et al. 2013) observations between 30 and 178 MHz, which we combine with new Very Large Array (VLA) and Giant Metrewave Radio Telescope (GMRT) observations and archival Westerbork Synthesis Radio Telescope (WSRT) data between 230 and 615 MHz. This paper is organized as follows: Section 1.1 reviews our knowledge of the outflow in 3C 31, before we describe our observations and data reduction methods in Section 2. Section 3 contains a description of the radio continuum morphology and the observed radio continuum spectrum of 3C 31. In Section 4, we investigate the transport of cosmic ray electrons (CREs) in the radio tails, employing a quasi-1D model of pure advection. We discuss our results in Section 5 and present a summary of our conclusions in Section 6. Throughout the paper, we use a cosmology in which $H_0 = 70$ km s$^{-1}$ Mpc$^{-1}$, $\Omega_{m} = 0.3$ and $\Omega_{\Lambda} = 0.7$. At the redshift of 3C 31 ($z = 0.0169$; Laing & Bridle 2002a), this gives a luminosity distance of $D = 73.3$ Mpc and an angular scale of 0.344 kpc arcsec$^{-1}$. Spectral indices $\alpha$ are defined in the sense $S_{\nu} \propto \nu^{\alpha}$, where $S_{\nu}$ is the (spectral) flux density and $\nu$ is the observing frequency. Reported errors are 1$\sigma$, except where otherwise noted. Throughout the paper, the equinox of the coordinates is J2000.0. Distances from the nucleus are measured along the tail flow direction, accounting for bends, and corrected for an inclination angle to the line of sight of $\theta = 52^\circ$ (Laing & Bridle 2002a).

1.1 Current understanding of the outflow in 3C 31

3C 31 is a moderately powerful FR I radio galaxy with a 178-MHz luminosity of $9 \times 10^{23}$ W Hz$^{-1}$ sr$^{-1}$. Because it is relatively nearby, it has been extensively studied in the radio. The two jets show a significant asymmetry in the radio continuum intensity, with the northern jet much brighter than the southern jet on kpc scales (Burch 1977; Ekers et al. 1981; van Breugel & Jagers 1982; Laing & Bridle 2002a; Laing et al. 2008). This asymmetry is reflected in the radio polarization as well (Burch 1979; Fomalont et al. 1980; Laing & Bridle 2002a) and can also be seen on pc scales (Lara et al. 1997). The brighter northern jet has an optical counterpart (Croston et al. 2003), which is also detected in X-ray (Hardcastle et al. 2002) and in infrared emission (Lanz et al. 2011); the spectrum is consistent with synchrotron emission arising from radio to X-ray frequencies. 3C 31 belongs to a sub-class of FR I galaxies with limb-darkened radio tails where the spectra steepen with increasing distance from the nucleus (Strom et al. 1983; Andernach et al. 1992; Parma et al. 1999). It is hosted by the massive elliptical galaxy NGC 383, which displays a dusty disc (Martel et al. 1999), and is a member of the optical chain Arp 331 (Arp 1966). NGC 383 is the most massive galaxy in a group or poor cluster of galaxies, containing approximately 20 members within a radius of 500 kpc (Ledlow et al. 1996). The group is embedded in extended X-ray emission from the hot ICM (Morganti et al. 1988; Komossa & Böhringer 1999; Hardcastle et al. 2002).

High-resolution radio observations have allowed detailed modelling of the velocity field in the jets within 10 kpc from the nucleus, resting on the assumption that the differences in the jet brightness can be explained entirely by Doppler beaming and aberration of approaching and receding flows. The modelling by Laing & Bridle (2002a) showed that the jets have an inclination angle to the line of sight of $\approx 52^\circ$ and the on-axis jet speed is $v/c \approx 0.9$ at 1 kpc from the nucleus, decelerating to $v/c \approx 0.22$ at 12 kpc, with slower speeds at the jet edges. Laing & Bridle (2002b) used the kinematic models of Laing & Bridle (2002a) and combined them with the X-ray observations of Hardcastle et al. (2002) to show that the structure of the jets and their velocity field can be explained by the conservation laws as derived by Bicknell (1994). CH14 used an XMM–Newton-derived external pressure profile to extend the modelling of entrainment out to a distance of 120 kpc from the nucleus. They showed that at this distance from the nucleus the external pressure is approximately a factor of 10 higher than the pressure derived on the assumption of equipartition of energy between relativistic leptons and magnetic field. CH14 favoured a model in which continuing entrainment of material on 50–100-kpc scales accounts for this discrepancy.

The present work extends the previous studies of 3C 31 (Laing & Bridle 2002a,b, CH14) to the physical conditions at distances exceeding 120 kpc from the nucleus. This not only serves as a consistency check, by extending previous work to lower frequencies, but can also address the question of whether the entrainment model of CH14 can be extended to the outskirts of the tails. It is also an opportunity to revisit the spectral ageing analysis of 3C 31, previously carried out by Burch (1977), Strom et al. (1983) and Andernach et al. (1992). These works suggest flow velocities of a few 1000 km s$^{-1}$, using the spectral break frequency and assuming equipartition magnetic field strengths. In particular, Andernach et al. (1992) found a constant advection speed of 5000 km s$^{-1}$ in the southern tail and an accelerating flow in the northern tail.

2 OBSERVATIONS AND DATA REDUCTION

2.1 LOFAR HBA data

Data from the LOFAR High-Band Antenna (HBA) system were acquired during Cycle 0 observations in 2013 February (see Table 1 for details). We used the HBA_DUAL_INNER configuration resulting in a field of view (FOV) of approximately 8$\prime$ with baseline lengths of up to 85 km. We used the 8-bit mode that can provide up to 95 MHz of instantaneous bandwidth. The data were first processed using the ‘demixing’ technique (van der Tol, Jefferies & van der Veen 2007) to remove Cas A and Cyg A from the visibilities. In order to reduce the data storage volume and speed up the processing, the data were then averaged in time, from 1 to 10 s, and in frequency, from 64 channels to 1 channel per sub-band, prior to

1 The data sent from the LOFAR stations are encoded with 8-bit integers.
Before calibration, we applied the New Default Pre-Processing Pipeline in order to mitigate the radio frequency interference (RFI) using AOFlagger (Offringa et al. 2010). Following this, we calibrated phases and amplitudes of our primary calibrator using Black Board Selfcal (BBS; Pandey et al. 2009), where we used the calibrator model and flux density of 3C 48 given by Scaife & Heald (2012). The gain solutions were transferred to the target and sets of 18 sub-bands were combined into 18 bands each with a bandwidth of 3.5 MHz, before we performed a calibration in phase only, employing the global sky model (GSM) developed by Scheers (2011).

For self-calibration, we imaged the entire FOV with the AWIMAGER (Tasse et al. 2013). We used a CLEAN mask, created with the Python Blob Detector and Source Finder (pybdsf; Mohan & Rafferty 2015) software. We used a sliding window of 420 arcsec to calculate the local rms noise, which suppresses sidelobes or artefacts in the mask and thus in the CLEAN components. A sky model was then created with CASAPY2BBS.PY, which converts the model image (CLEAN components) into a BBS- compatible sky model. We determined direction-independent phase solutions with a 10-s solution interval and applied these solutions with BBS. In order to test the success of our calibration, we checked the peak and integrated flux density of 3C 34, a compact (<1 arcmin), bright (17 Jy at 150 MHz) source 0.9 south-east of 3C 31. The first round of self-calibration in phase increased the peak flux density of 3C 34 by 8 per cent, but did not change the integrated flux density of either 3C 31 or 34 by more than 1 per cent.

For a second round of phase-only self-calibration, we imaged the FOV using the Common Astronomy Software Applications (CASA; McMullin et al. 2007) and used the MS–MFS CLEAN algorithm described by Rau & Cornwell (2011). A sky model was created with pybdsf. We subtracted all sources in the FOV, except 3C 31, our target, from the (u, v) data and the sky model. Following this, we performed another direction-independent calibration in phase with BBS. This resulted in a significant improvement of our image: the peak flux densities of components within 3C 31 increased by up to 40 per cent, and the rms noise decreased by 20–30 per cent, while the integrated flux densities were preserved. This self-calibration also suppressed some ‘striping’ surrounding 3C 31, which was obvious in the map before this last round of self-calibration. This method is a simplified way of performing a ‘facet calibration’ (van Weeren et al. 2016; Williams et al. 2016), where we use only one facet, namely our source 3C 31. The solutions, while technically using BBS’s direction-independent phase solutions, are tailored for the direction of 3C 31, resulting in an improved image. Our rms noise is still a factor of 3–5 higher than the expected thermal noise level (≈100 µJy beam−1). This is partly due to the imperfect subtraction of the field sources, which leaves behind residual sidelobes stemming from the variations in phase and amplitude due to the ionosphere. In order to reach the thermal noise level, approximately 10 of the brightest field sources would have to be subtracted with tailored gain solutions (Rafferty, private communication). But since our 145-MHz map is our most sensitive one, showing the largest angular extent of 3C 31, and we are interested in measuring the spectral index with the maps at other frequencies, it is not necessary for this work to reach the thermal noise limit and we can achieve our main science goals with the map created with our simplified method.

We created the final image using CASA’s MS–MFS CLEAN, fitting for the radio spectral index of the sky model (‘nterms=2’) and using Briggs’ robust weighting (‘robust=0’) as well as using angular CLEANing scales of up to 800 arcsec. The rms noise level of the map depends only weakly on position: it is largely between 0.4 and

---

2 The software used for the LOFAR data reduction is documented in the ‘LOFAR Imaging Cookbook’ available at https://www.astron.nl/radio-observatory/lofar/lofar-imaging-cookbook.
Data from the LOFAR Low-Band Antenna (LBA) system were acquired during Cycle 0 observations in 2013 March. The LBA observational setup differs slightly from that of HBA: we observed with two beams simultaneously, one centred on our target, 3C 31, and the other centred on our calibrator, 3C 48 (see Table 1 for details). After removing RFI with the AOFlagger, the data were demuxed to remove Cas A and Cyg A from the visibilities. We averaged from 1 to 10 s time resolution and from 64 to 4 channels per sub-band. Time-dependent phase and amplitude solutions for 3C 48 were determined using the source model and flux scale of Scaife & Heald (2012) and transferred to our target. Following this, the target data were again calibrated in phase only with BBS using the GSM sky model. For self-calibration in phase, we imaged the FOV with AWIMAGER, using the same mask as for the HBA data and converted the CLEAN components into a BBS-compatible sky model with CASAPY2BBS.PY. We calibrated in phase with BBS using a solution interval of 10 s and corrected the data accordingly. After self-calibration, the peak flux density of 3C 34 increased by 8 per cent; the integrated flux density of 3C 31 increased by 5 per cent. After self-calibration, the peak flux density of 3C 34 increased by 8 per cent; the integrated flux density of 3C 31 increased by 5 per cent. After self-calibration, the peak flux density of 3C 34 increased by 8 per cent; the integrated flux density of 3C 31 increased by 5 per cent.

2.2 LOFAR LBA data

Data from the LOFAR Low-Band Antenna (LBA) system were acquired during Cycle 0 observations in 2013 March. The LBA observational setup differs slightly from that of HBA: we observed with two beams simultaneously, one centred on our target, 3C 31, and the other centred on our calibrator, 3C 48 (see Table 1 for details). After removing RFI with the AOFlagger, the data were demuxed to remove Cas A and Cyg A from the visibilities. We averaged from 1 to 10 s time resolution and from 64 to 4 channels per sub-band. Time-dependent phase and amplitude solutions for 3C 48 were determined using the source model and flux scale of Scaife & Heald (2012) and transferred to our target. Following this, the target data were again calibrated in phase only with BBS using the GSM sky model. For self-calibration in phase, we imaged the FOV with AWIMAGER, using the same mask as for the HBA data and converted the CLEAN components into a BBS-compatible sky model with CASAPY2BBS.PY. We calibrated in phase with BBS using a solution interval of 10 s and corrected the data accordingly. After self-calibration, the peak flux density of 3C 34 increased by 8 per cent; the integrated flux density of 3C 31 increased by 5 per cent. After self-calibration, the peak flux density of 3C 34 increased by 8 per cent; the integrated flux density of 3C 31 increased by 5 per cent. After self-calibration, the peak flux density of 3C 34 increased by 8 per cent; the integrated flux density of 3C 31 increased by 5 per cent.

2.3 VLA data

Observations with the VLA with the recently commissioned P-band receiver were taken between 2013 December and 2014 December (see Table 1 for details). We followed standard data reduction procedures, using CASA and utilizing the flux scale by Scaife & Heald (2012). We checked that no inverted cross-polarizations were in the data (Mao & Neff, private communication). Of the 16 spectral windows, 5 had to be discarded (1, 2, 11, 14 and 15) because the primary calibrator 3C 48 had no coherent phases, which prevents calibration. A further three spectral windows (0, 8 and 9) had to be flagged because of strong RFI. We imaged the data in CASA, first in A-array alone to self-calibrate phases, then in A- and B-array, and finally in A-, B- and C-array together. Prior to combination, we had to change the polarization designation from circular polarizations (Stokes RR, LL) to linear polarization (Stokes XX, YY) of the A- and B-array data. Furthermore, we had to re-calculate the weights of the C-array data that were unusually high (≈10,000). We performed two rounds of self-calibration in phase only followed by two rounds of self-calibration in phase and amplitude with solution intervals of 200 s. We normalized the amplitude gains and found that the integrated flux densities of 3C 31 and 34 did not change by more than 2 per cent. The rms noise of the full bandwidth VLA P-band image is 0.15 mJy beam$^{-1}$ at a resolution of 7.5 × 4.6 arcsec$^2$ (PA = 71°). The largest angular scale the VLA can detect at 360 MHz is 1:1 in C-array, which is enough to image 3C 31 to the same angular extent as measured with LOFAR.

2.4 GMRT data

Observations with the GMRT were taken in 2007 August in 615/235 MHz dual band mode (Swarup 1991, see Table 1 for details). In this mode, the frequencies are observed simultaneously in two 16-MHz sub-bands consisting of 128 × 125-kHz channels. The 615-MHz data are stored in one polarization (RR) in both the upper and lower sideband. The data reduction was carried out following standard flagging and calibration procedures in AIPS. The FHWM of the GMRT primary beam at 615 MHz is 44 arcmin; we corrected for primary beam attenuation in our final images with PBCOR (part of AIPS). In order to accurately recover the flux and structure of 3C 31, we imaged all bright sources well beyond the primary beam, using faceting to account for the sky curvature. The extent of 3C 31 is such that at 615 MHz, two facets were necessary to cover the entire source, one for each radio tail. The seam between the two facets was placed such that it crosses between the bases of the two jets where no radio emission is observed. The facets were iteratively CLEANed to reveal increasingly faint emission and then re-gridded on the same coordinate system and linearly combined with FLATN (part of AIPS) to create the final image. The GMRT 615-MHz map has a final synthesized beam of 5.4 × 4.5 arcsec$^2$ (PA = 56°) and the rms noise is 0.3 mJy beam$^{-1}$.

2.5 General map properties

In addition to the new reductions described above, we used a 609-MHz map observed with the WSRT, which we obtained from the ‘Atlas of DRAGNs’ public webpage that presents 85 objects of the 3CRR sample of Laing, Riley & Longair (1983). This map, which was presented by Strom et al. (1983), has an angular...
resolution of 55 arcsec, the lowest of our 3C 31 maps. The WSRT 609-MHz map has the advantage over the GMRT 615-MHz map that it recovers better the large-scale structure of 3C 31, which is largely resolved out in the high-resolution GMRT map. Hence, for the spectral analysis in what follows, we use only the WSRT 609-MHz map while the GMRT 615-MHz map is used only for the morphological analysis. The maps created from the LOFAR and VLA observations, together with the WSRT map, will be used in the rest of the paper to study the spatially resolved radio spectral index of 3C 31.

In order to ensure that we have a set of maps that are sensitive to the same angular scales, we imaged all our data with an identical \((u, v)\) range between 0.04 and 4.9 k\(\lambda\). Since we did not have the \((u, v)\) data for the 609-MHz map available, we could not re-image these data. But the \((u, v)\) range for these data is with 0.06 \(\rightarrow 5.6\) k\(\lambda\) quite similar, so re-imaging is not necessary. In what follows, these maps are referred to as ‘low-resolution maps’, whereas the maps without a \((u, v)\) range applied are referred to as ‘full-resolution maps’.

For further processing, we took the maps into AIPS, where we made use of Python scripting interface PARSELTONGUE (Kettenis et al. 2006) to batch process them. We used CONVL to convolve them with a Gaussian to the same angular resolution and HGEOM to register them to the same coordinate system. To create a mask, we blanked unresolved background sources with BLANK, and applied the same mask to all maps to be compared. The flux densities as determined from the final maps are in agreement with literature values. The integrated spectrum of 3C 31 can be described by a power law between 30 and 10 700 MHz with a radio spectral index of \(-0.67 \pm 0.01\). We use calibration uncertainties of 5 per cent and add noise contributions from the rms map noise and zero-level offset in order to determine our uncertainties (see Appendix A for details).

3 MORPHOLOGY AND OBSERVED SPECTRUM

3.1 Morphology

Fig. 1 presents a panoramic low-frequency view of 3C 31, showing our 145-MHz map at full resolution as colour scale, with contours of Chandra X-ray emission, showing the hot ICM. We overlay the data on an SDSS r-band image, which shows the optical stellar light. The SDSS r-band image shows the large, diffuse halo of the host galaxy, NGC 383, with a diameter of 3 arcmin (60 kpc). The closest member of the group is NGC 382, 0.5 arcmin (10 kpc) south-south-west of NGC 383. There are a handful of further members of this group, some of which can be detected in the X-ray data as point-like sources embedded in the diffuse X-ray emission from the ICM. None shows any radio emission in our image.

Fig. 2 shows the full-resolution maps of the central 14 arcmin (290 kpc) at 145 (LOFAR HBA), 360 (VLA) and 615 MHz (GMRT). Our 360-MHz map shows the northern and southern ‘spurs’ of Laing et al. (2008) – extensions from the tails towards the nucleus. Both spurs are also visible in the 145-MHz map, where the northern spur is connected via a ‘bridge’ to the southern one. Similarly, the 615-MHz map shows both spurs, although the visibility of the northern one is limited by the image fidelity. We find two compact sources in the radio continuum near the jet (labelled as ‘Source 1’ and ‘Source 2’ in Fig. 2), but they have no counterparts in the optical (SDSS), the mid-infrared (WISE 22 \(\mu\)m; Wright et al. 2010) or in the far-UV (GALEX). We thus conclude that these sources are unrelated to 3C 31 or the galaxies associated with it.

Further away from the nucleus, the radio tails can be particularly well traced at low frequencies. Fig. 3 presents our maps at full resolution (although we omit the A-array from the VLA data) at 52 (LOFAR LBA), 145 (LOFAR HBA) and 360 MHz (VLA); the emission extends spatially further than any of the previous studies have revealed. Andernach et al. (1992) found an angular extent of 40 arcmin in declination in their 408-MHz map and speculated that the tails did not extend any further. Our new data show that 3C 31 extends at least 51 arcmin mostly in the north–south direction, between declinations of +31.57° and +32.48°, as traced by our most sensitive 145-MHz map. The projected linear size hence exceeds 1 Mpc, so that 3C 31 can now be considered to be a member of the sub-class of objects that are referred to in the literature as giant radio galaxies (GRGs). It remains difficult to ascertain whether we have detected the full extent of 3C 31 since it is certainly possible that the radio emission extends even further, as 3C 31 has tails of diffuse emission extending away from the nucleus – as opposed to lobes with well-defined outer edges (see de Gasperin et al. 2012, for a LOFAR study of Virgo A, exhibiting well-defined lobes).

Weżgowiec, Jamrozy & Mack (2016) claimed the detection of diffuse 1.4-GHz emission surrounding 3C 31, based on combining NVSS and Effelsberg single-dish imaging data. Their integrated flux density of 3C 31 lies \(\approx 1.2\) Jy (3.6\(\sigma\)) above our integrated power-law spectrum (see Appendix A), which predicts a 1.4-GHz flux density of 5.61 Jy. We find no hint of this ‘halo’ structure. The largest detectable angular scale in our HBA map is \(\approx 1.4\) (a factor of 1.6 larger than the detected total source extent), so that we should not be resolving out cocoon structure on scales comparable to the detected source. Our 145-MHz low-resolution map has a 3\(\sigma\) threshold in surface brightness of \(\approx 1.3\) \(\mu\)Jy arcsec\(^{-2}\). If we consider the 1.4-GHz extended halo feature reported by Weżgowiec et al. (2016) and assume a halo flux of \(\approx 1.2\) Jy (the excess emission above our integrated spectrum) distributed uniformly over a surface area of \(\approx 227\) arcmin\(^2\), then for \(\alpha\) between \(-0.7\) and \(-1.5\), the 145-MHz surface brightness would be between 7 and 45 \(\mu\)Jy arcsec\(^{-2}\). This is well above our detection threshold, and even bearing in mind the likely uncertainty in the flux estimate from Weżgowiec et al. (2016), our data are not consistent with the presence of the large-scale halo presented in that work. However, we cannot rule out the existence of an even larger, lower surface brightness halo, which could still evade detection in our observations. This possibility is discussed in Section 5.2.

3.2 Spectrum

In Fig. 4, we show the evolution of the radio continuum spectrum as a function of distance from the nucleus. The spectrum is a power law within 120–140 kpc from the nucleus, so that spectral ageing plays no role there, at least not in the frequency range covered by our data. At larger distances, a significant spectral curvature develops, which grows with increasing distance from the nucleus. The spectra can be characterized by the fitting of Jaffe–Perola (JP; Jaffe & Perola 1973) models to determine a characteristic (‘break’) frequency (e.g. Hughes 1991). We fitted models to our low-resolution maps using the Broadband Radio Astronomy Tools (Harwood et al. 2013), assuming a CRE injection spectral index of \(\delta_{\text{iso}} = 2.1\) (with a CRE number density of \(n \propto E^{-\delta_{\text{iso}}}\), where \(E\) is the CRE energy). The resulting spectral break frequency is shown in Fig. 5. The high reduced \(\chi^2\) found in the inner region can be at least in part explained due to averaging over regions with very different spectra (cf. fig. 12 in Laing et al. 2008). We used for the fitting 17 combined sub-bands from LOFAR HBA, but we tested that using only one
Figure 1. LOFAR 145-MHz radio continuum emission, overlaid on an SDSS r-band map with Chandra X-ray emission as contours. The image shows a 1 deg² area (corresponding to 1.2 × 1.2 Mpc²), centred on 3C 31, where the 145-MHz radio continuum emission is shown with a red colour transfer function (1.5–700 mJy beam⁻¹) at 16.5 × 11.8 arcsec² (PA = 73°) resolution. The blue contours show the hot gas of the ICM as traced by Chandra X-ray emission (contours at 0.7, 0.84, 1.2 and 2.4 ACIS counts s⁻¹), whereas the dashed circle indicates the FOV of the Chandra observations. Green contours indicate the maximum extent of the faint radio tails as measured from our low-resolution map (contours are at 4.35 mJy beam⁻¹).

HBA map instead changes the spectral age locally by 20 per cent at most and averaged across the tails the difference is even smaller.

As expected, the spectral break frequency decreases with increasing distance from the nucleus, which can be explained by spectral ageing. The spectral break frequency $\nu_{\text{brk}}$ can be related to the age $\tau_{\text{Myr}}$ of the CREs (in units of Myr) via (e.g. Hughes 1991)

$$\nu_{\text{brk}} = 2.52 \times 10^3 \frac{B/10 \mu G}{[(B/10 \mu G)^2 + (B_{\text{CMB}}/10 \mu G)^2]^{1/2}} \text{GHz},$$

where $B$ is the magnetic field strength and $B_{\text{CMB}}$, the equivalent cosmic microwave background (CMB) magnetic field strength of 3.2 $\mu$G (at redshift zero), is defined so that the magnetic energy density is equal to the CMB photon energy density. If we use a magnetic field strength of $B = 5 \mu$G, which is an extrapolation from CH14, we find that for a spectral break frequency of 1 GHz, the CREs are 100 Myr old. This already provides a good estimate of the source age. However, the magnetic field strength (whether estimated via equipartition or otherwise) is expected to change with distance from the nucleus, and the average field strength may be different from the value we assume here. In the next section, we introduce a model of cosmic ray transport in order to investigate the evolution of tail properties in more detail.

4 COSMIC-RAY TRANSPORT

To explore the tail physical conditions and dynamics in more detail, we use the quasi-1D cosmic ray transport model of Heesen et al. (2016, hereafter HD16). In that work, cosmic ray transport via advection and diffusion is considered within galaxy haloes. For a jet environment, we expect advection to be the dominant transport mode. This is corroborated by the intensity profiles, which are of approximately exponential shape as expected for an advection model (HD16) and the corresponding radio spectral index profiles, which show a linear steepening (Fig. 6).
The model self-consistently calculates the evolution of the CRE spectrum along the tails, accounting for adiabatic, synchrotron and inverse Compton (IC) losses, with the aim of reproducing the evolution of radio intensity and spectral index, as shown in Fig. 6. The aim is to obtain a self-consistent model for the velocity distribution and the evolution of magnetic field strength along the tails. We make the following basic assumptions: (i) the tail is a steady-state flow, (ii) the CRE energy distribution is described by a power law at the inner boundary and (iii) there is no in situ particle acceleration in the modelled region. The first assumption is not strictly valid in the outermost parts of the source, as we expect that the tails are still growing; we discuss the effects of expansion of the outer tails in Section 4.4. The second assumption is well justified by the radio spectral index in the inner jet (Fig. 4). It is difficult to obtain any direct constraints on particle acceleration in the outer parts of FR I plumes, and so the assumption of no particle acceleration is a limitation of all spectral ageing models.

We model the tails between 15 kpc and 800 (northern) or 900 (southern tail) kpc, taking 15 kpc as the inner boundary, as the jet parameters at this distance are well constrained from the work of Laing & Bridle (2002a,b). The geometry of the tails is taken from observations assuming a constant inclination angle of 52° to the line of sight (Laing & Bridle 2002a). The details of the advection modelling process are described in Section 4.2. There are a number of free parameters in the model, and so in the next section we summarize our approach to exploring the parameter space of possible models.

### 4.1 Modelling approach and assumptions

The model parameters are as follows, where the injection parameters are at 15 kpc distance from the nucleus:

(i) injection magnetic field, \( B_0 \);
(ii) injection velocity, \( v_0 \);
(iii) the deceleration parameter, \( \beta \);

with the primary model outputs being a profile of velocity and magnetic field strength along the tail. The expansion of the tails leads to strong adiabatic losses along the tail that are inconsistent with the observed spectral evolution unless the flow is decelerating. We chose to model such a deceleration assuming \( v \propto r^\beta \), where \( r \) is the tail radius. We also tested a velocity dependence on distance.
Figure 3. Left: combined LOFAR LBA data at 35–85 MHz (mean 52 MHz), at an angular resolution of 38.0 × 23.5 arcsec² (PA = −73°). The transfer function (0–1900 mJy beam⁻¹) is stretched to show weak, diffuse emission. The rms noise is 6.5 mJy beam⁻¹. Middle: Combined LOFAR HBA data at 115–178 MHz (mean 145 MHz), at an angular resolution of 16.5 × 11.8 arcsec² (PA = 73°). The grey-scale corresponds to intensities of 0–700 mJy beam⁻¹. The rms noise is 0.5 mJy beam⁻¹. Right: combined VLA P-band data at 288–432 MHz (mean 360 MHz), at an angular resolution of 21.0 × 14.6 arcsec² (PA = 74°). The grey-scale corresponds to intensities of 0–530 mJy beam⁻¹. The rms noise level is 0.3 mJy beam⁻¹. In all panels, contours are at 2.5, 12.5 and 62.5× the rms noise level and the size of the synthesized beam is shown in the bottom-left corner.

rather than tail radius; however, this led to poorer model fits. The dependence on radius would be expected from momentum flux conservation if mass entrainment is occurring in regions where the radius increases (e.g. CH14). We assumed \( \delta_{\text{inj}} = 2.1 \), consistent with the work of Laing & Bridle (2002a) and our observed spectra in the inner parts of the source (Appendix A).

Our modelling approach then involves considering a range of input values for \( \beta, v_0 \) and \( B_0 \), and applying the advection model as described in Section 4.2 below to determine an output magnetic field and velocity profiles \( B(z) \) and \( v(z) \) (where \( z \) is the distance along the tail) that minimize \( \chi^2 \). However, there is an intrinsic degeneracy between the velocity and magnetic field strength profiles along the tail if we wish to avoid the traditional minimum energy assumption, in which the CRE and magnetic field energy densities are approximately equal with no relativistic protons. Hence, there are multiple combinations of parameters that can achieve a good fit to the radio data.

Our initial approach to tackling the degeneracy between velocity and magnetic field strength is to compare the best-fitting magnetic field profiles for a range of input parameters \( \beta, v_0, B_0 \) with the expected magnetic field strength profile of models that use further observational constraints – specifically, assuming pressure equilibrium with the surrounding X-ray emitting ICM (e.g. CH14) – in order to assess their physical plausibility. In Section 4.4, we also discuss alternative scenarios.

4.2 Advection model

Our quasi-1D cosmic ray transport model (based on HD16) is able to predict non-thermal (synchrotron) radio continuum intensity profiles at multiple frequencies, assuming that advection is the dominant transport process. For no \textit{in situ} cosmic ray acceleration in the tails, the stationary (no explicit time dependence) advection model
prescribes the CRE flux (units of s\(^{-1}\) GeV\(^{-1}\)) \(N(E, z)\) as
\[
\frac{\partial N(E, z)}{\partial z} = \frac{1}{v} \left[ \frac{\partial}{\partial E} (b(E)N(E, z)) \right] \quad (z > 0),
\]
(2)
where \(z\) is the distance along the tail, \(E\) is the electron energy, \(v\) is the advection speed (which may depend on position) and \(b(E)\) include the losses of the CREs via IC and synchrotron radiation as well as adiabatic losses:
\[
b(E) = - \frac{dE}{dv} = - \left( \frac{dE}{dv} \right)_{IC} - \left( \frac{dE}{dv} \right)_{syn} - \left( \frac{dE}{dv} \right)_{ad}
\]
(3)
\[
= \frac{4}{3} \sigma_T c \left( \frac{E}{m_e c^2} \right)^2 U_{rad} + \frac{4}{3} \sigma_T c \left( \frac{E}{m_e c^2} \right)^2 U_B + \frac{E}{t_{ad}},
\]
where \(U_{rad} = 4.2 \times 10^{-13}\) erg cm\(^{-3}\) is the radiation energy density (here the only source is the CMB), \(U_B = B^2/(8\pi)\) is the magnetic energy density, \(\sigma_T = 6.65 \times 10^{-25}\) cm\(^2\) is the Thomson cross-section and \(m_e = 511\) keV c\(^{-2}\) is the electron rest mass. Adiabatic losses are caused by the longitudinal dilution of the CRE density due to an accelerating flow or due to lateral dilution by an expanding flow. The corresponding losses of the CREs are \(dE/dv = -1/3(\dot{\nu}v)E\) (Longair 2011). This means we can calculate the adiabatic loss time-scale as (Appendix B)
\[
t_{ad} = \frac{3}{2} \left( \frac{v}{r} \cdot \frac{\partial r}{\partial z} \right) + \frac{3}{2} \frac{\partial v}{\partial z},
\]
(4)
where \(r\) is the tail radius. In HD16, \(N(E, z)\) describes the CRE number density, which is identical to the CRE flux when the advection velocity and cross-sectional areas are constant. In our case, however, we need to convert the CRE flux into the CRE number density (units of cm\(^{-3}\) GeV\(^{-1}\)):
\[
n = \frac{N}{A \cdot v}.
\]
(5)
Then, the model emissivity can be calculated with the following expression using \(A = \pi r^2\):
\[
\epsilon_\nu = \text{const} \int_0^\infty \frac{N(E, z)}{r^2} \frac{dE}{\nu},
\]
(6)
where \(j(\nu) = \text{const})B(z)F(\nu)\) is the synchrotron emissivity of a single ultra-relativistic CRE (Rohlfs & Wilson 2004). Here, we have assumed a uniform distribution of the CRE number density and that the tails are cylindrical over small ranges of distances from the nucleus \(\Delta z\). Now, we determine the observed emissivity profiles. The emissivity \(\kappa_\nu\) of a small volume in the tails can be calculated in a straightforward way as
\[
\kappa_\nu = \frac{S_\nu}{\pi r^2 \Delta z},
\]
(7)
where \(\pi r^2 \Delta z\) is the volume from which the emission stems, noting that \(z\) is the physical distance from the nucleus. In order to convert the observed intensities into emissivities, we first calculate the flux density of the emitting volume:
\[
S_\nu = \langle I_\nu \rangle \cdot \frac{2r \Delta z \cdot \sin(\vartheta)}{\Omega \cdot D^2},
\]
(8)
where \(\langle I_\nu \rangle\) is the average intensity across the integration area, \(\vartheta = 52^\circ\) is the inclination angle of the tail to the line of sight and \(\Omega\) is the solid angle of the synthesized beam. We measure the radius \(r\) of the tails from our 145-MHz low-resolution map and from our 360-MHz full-resolution map in the inner part. Then, the
Figure 5. Left: spectral break frequency at 55 arcsec angular resolution (equivalent to 19 kpc) as indicated by the boxed circle in the bottom-left corner. Data points at 20 frequencies (LOFAR LBA 52 MHz, 17 combined sub-bands at 117–173 MHz from LOFAR HBA, VLA 360 MHz and WSRT 609 MHz) were fitted with a JP model (assuming $\delta_{\text{inj}} = 2.1$). Right: the corresponding reduced $\chi^2$.

The observed emissivity profiles are shown in Fig. 6.

HD16 developed the computer code SPINNAKER (SPectral INdex Numerical Analysis of K(c)osmic-ray Electron Radio-emission), which implements the methods discussed above to model the radio continuum emission.\footnote{SPINNAKER will be released to the public at a later date as free software.} The right-hand side of equation (2) is solved numerically using the method of finite differences on a two-dimensional grid, which has both a spatial and a frequency (equivalent to the CRE energy) dimension. The left-hand side of equation (2) can then be integrated from the inner boundary using a Runge–Kutta scheme (e.g. Press et al. 1992), which provides us with a profile of $N(E, z)$. The initial parameters (see Section 4.1) are listed in Table 2.

4.3 Fitting procedure

For each set of input parameters ($B_0$, $v_0$, $\beta$), we used SPINNAKER to fit equation (6) to the observed radio emissivity profiles obtained from equation (10). We fit only to the 145-MHz data within distances $z < 140$ kpc (in the northern tail) and $|z| < 360$ kpc (in the southern tail) because the spectral index in this area becomes unreliable due to insufficient angular resolution (resulting in a superposition of different CRE populations), but include the other three radio frequencies beyond these distances. The reduced $\chi^2_{\text{red}}$ is

$$\chi^2_{\text{red}} = \frac{1}{\text{dof}} \sum \left( \frac{\kappa_{\nu,i} - \zeta \cdot \epsilon_{\nu,i}}{\sigma_i} \right)^2 .$$

Here, $\kappa_{\nu,i}$ is the $i$th observed emissivity, $\epsilon_{\nu,i}$ is the corresponding model emissivity, $\sigma_i$ is the error of the observed value and dof is the degree of freedom (number of data points minus number of fitting parameters). The normalization parameter $\zeta$ is determined by minimizing the reduced $\chi^2$. The magnetic field is then varied with an iterative process where the 145-MHz observed emissivities are
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Figure 6. Top panel: profiles of the radio continuum emissivity as a function of distance from the nucleus at various frequencies. Shown are the LOFAR LBA 52 MHz (orange), HBA 145 MHz (dark blue), VLA 360 MHz (magenta) and WSRT 609 MHz (cyan) observations. Solid lines show the best-fitting advection model emissivities using SPINNAKER (see the text for details). Bottom panel: corresponding profiles of the radio spectral index between 52 and 145 MHz (red), 145 and 360 MHz (dark green) and between 360 and 609 MHz (blue). The modelled radio spectral indices are shown as solid red line (52–145 MHz), dashed dark-green line (145–360 MHz) and dotted blue line (360–609 MHz). In both panels, the northern tail is on the right-hand side ($z > 0$ kpc) and the southern tail is on the left-hand side ($z < 0$ kpc).

fitted, assuming that the model emissivities scale as $\epsilon_\nu \propto B^{1-\alpha}$. If the magnetic field has to be adjusted locally, the field has to change as $B \propto \epsilon_\nu^{1/(1-\alpha)}$ [which is accurate if $N(E, z)$ follows a power law in energy]:

$$B_{j+1}(z) = B_j(z) \times \left( \frac{\kappa_{145}(z)}{\xi \cdot [\epsilon_{145}(z)]} \right)^{1/(1-\alpha_{52-145})},$$

(12)

where $\alpha_{52-145}$ is the radio spectral index between 52 and 145 MHz. This is repeated several times until the fit converges and the reduced $\chi^2$ does not decrease any further. Here, $j$ is an integer variable, describing consecutive magnetic field models. While fitting equation (12), we vary the normalization factor of the model emissivities $\xi$ so that $B_0$ is unchanged. In summary, the procedure is as follows.

(i) Prescribe the magnetic field strength profile.
(ii) Fit the model, deriving the scaling $\xi$ by minimizing the reduced $\chi^2$ (equation 11).
(iii) Adjust the magnetic field profile using equation (12), varying $\xi$ so that $B_0$ is fixed.
(iv) Iterate (ii) and (iii) until $\chi^2$ converges.

With this procedure, the 145-MHz emissivities are perfectly fitted since all the variations in the profile are absorbed by the magnetic field profile. The real test for our model is that the other frequencies are fitted as well; hence, the spectral index profiles are most important.

4.4 Results

Table 2 lists the model fitting results for three scenarios. In each case, we fixed $B_0$ and $\beta$, as explained below, and then determined the best-fitting magnetic field profile and $v_0$.

For our primary model (Model I in Table 2), we require the tail to be in rough pressure balance with the external medium, as measured by CH14. In their favoured model of dominant thermal pressure from entrained material, it was assumed that the magnetic energy density is in rough equipartition with the total particle energy density. This model predicts that the magnetic pressure contribution, $P_B$, relates to the external pressure, $P_{ext}$, as $P_B = P_{ext}/3$. In Fig. 7, we show the external pressure profile inferred from the measured profile of CH14, which extends to $\approx 200$ kpc, extrapolating their best-fitting double-beta model to 700 kpc. The grey-shaded area
indicates the predicted magnetic field pressure evolution in this model.

The magnetic field profile is almost solely determined by the profile of the velocity along the radio tails, due to the strong effect of adiabatic losses on the evolution of CRE number densities. In Fig. 7, we show the effect of varying $\beta$ on the magnetic pressure profile: the best agreement with the extrapolated pressure profile of CH14 is for a value of $\beta \approx -1$. If we now vary the initial magnetic field strength $B_0$, but keep $\beta = -0.9$ (northern tail) and $\beta = -1.1$ (southern tail), the curves in Fig. 7 will move up and down, and the corresponding best-fitting $v_0$ values will change, but the magnetic pressure profile shape does not change. Table 2 compares the results of three models: Model I, using $B_0 = 15$ $\mu$G, taken from CH14, Model II in which the tails are magnetically dominated and the magnetic pressure is roughly equal to the external pressure, which corresponds to the most extreme high-$B$ scenario, and Model III, in which we assume that $B_0$ is the equipartition magnetic field assuming no relativistic protons. We used the magnetic field distribution indicated by the shaded area in Fig. 7 (Model I) as an initial guess and calculated the predicted radio intensities. For Models II and III, we rescaled this magnetic field profile to obtain the corresponding value of $B_0$. We can be confident that the true magnetic pressure is somewhere in between these extremes. We have tested other starting conditions, e.g. uniform and exponential magnetic field profiles, and find that the final profiles of velocity and field strength after iteration are reasonably independent of the initial field strength profile for a given value of $\beta$. The best-fitting profiles are shown in Fig. 8, with the range allowed for the three models shown by the shaded areas. The corresponding best-fitting parameters are shown in Table 2.

We find that our models describe the data reasonably well, with a reduced $\chi^2 \approx 1.5$. The spectral index profiles (Fig. 6, bottom panel) show a continuous decrease (steepening of the spectrum) with increasing slope with distance. Notably, the shape of the spectral index profiles is modelled accurately and has the typical shape of advective transport, where the spectral index steepens in almost linear way with only a slightly increasing curvature (cf. fig. 5 in HD16).

The resulting advection time-scale lies between 90 and 300 Myr. Our best-fitting velocity on scales exceeding 100 kpc is broadly consistent with the estimated mean velocity of Andernach et al. (1992), based on data of considerably lower resolution and sensitivity. Our model assumes a steady-state flow, even in the outer parts of the tails, although in reality the tails are expected to be expanding in the longitudinal direction (the cylindrical shape in the outer few 100 kpc supports the assumption that the tails are not laterally expanding). We considered the effects of this growth on our assumptions, by comparing the time-scales for tail growth and advection of particles through the tails in the outer few 100 kpc. Since the cross-sectional area and the advection speed are both roughly constant in this region (Fig. 8), the fractional change in the length of a tail segment during the particle advection time-scale across that region is given by the ratio of the tail-tip advance speed to the advection speed. From ram-pressure balance (using the external pressure inferred from Fig. 7), which is an extrapolation from the inner model at the distance of interest, we estimate the tail-tip advance speed to be...
≈200 km s\(^{-1}\), while the advection speed in the outer few 100 kpc is ≈5000 km s\(^{-1}\). Therefore, the fractional increase in volume for a segment of jet over the particle advection time-scale is ≈4 per cent. As discussed in Section 3, it is likely that we are not detecting the full extent of the radio structure, and our external pressure estimate comes from an extrapolation rather than direct measurement at this distance. Consequently, the external pressure at the true end point of the source may be lower. However, the weak dependence of the advance speed on the external pressure means that this does not change the calculation very much for plausible environmental pressures. We conclude that the effect of tail growth on the particle energy evolution in the outer few 100 kpc should not significantly alter our results.

5 DISCUSSION

5.1 Magnetic field structure

What regulates the magnetic field strength in the radio tails? One possibility is simply that flux conservation is responsible. In cylindrical coordinates, with the z-axis along the tail, the magnetic field has a longitudinal component \(B_L\) and two transverse components, a radial \(B_r\) and a toroidal \(B_t\) one. As Laing & Bridle (2014) have shown, the magnetic field in FR I jets to first order makes a transition from longitudinal (first few kpc, where the jet is relativistic) to toroidal, occasionally with a significant radial component. From flux conservation, it is expected that the longitudinal component falls much more rapidly than the two transverse components because for a 1D non-relativistic flow (Baum et al. 1997):

\[
B_L \propto r^{-2} \quad \text{(longitudinal)}
\]

\[
B_t, B_r \propto (rv)^{-1} \equiv r^{-1-\beta} \quad \text{(toroidal, radial)},
\]

where \(r\) is the tail radius and \(v\) the advection velocity. While we expect the radial component to fall off rapidly with radius with \(B_L \propto r^{-2}\), the toroidal and radial components should be almost constant if \(\beta \approx -1\). We have fitted these models to our recovered magnetic field distribution (Fig. 8), using the following normalization:

\[
B = B_0 \cdot \left[ f^2 \cdot \left( \frac{A}{A_0} \right)^{-2} + (1 - f^2) \cdot \left( \frac{A}{A_0} \right)^{-1-\beta} \right]^{0.5},
\]

where \(A_0\) is the cross-sectional area of the tail and \(f\) is the ratio of longitudinal to total magnetic field strength at \(|z| = 15\) kpc. The resulting flux-freezing magnetic field models are shown in Fig. 9. At injection, the best-fitting flux conservation scenario requires that the longitudinal magnetic field component totally dominates and the radial and toroidal field strengths are very small. At distances >100 kpc from the nucleus, the longitudinal component becomes rapidly negligible compared with the toroidal and radial magnetic field components. However, the flux-freezing models do not fit the observed magnetic field profile very well. At best, our advection model results are consistent with such a flux conservation model out to a distance of ≈500 kpc. At larger distances, magnetic flux
The ICM temperature is approximately 1.5 keV from the spectral ageing of the CREs (e.g. Heesen et al. 2014). We source expansion speed is known or as a spectral time-scale derived which can be measured either as a dynamical time-scale if the expansion is affected by entrainment, either continuously along the tails or at particular locations where some level of disruption occurs, with energy transferred between the magnetic field and evolving particle population.

5.2 Source age and energetics

An important characteristic of radio galaxies is the source age, which can be measured either as a dynamical time-scale if the source expansion speed is known or as a spectral time-scale derived from the spectral ageing of the CREs (e.g. Heesen et al. 2014). We can use the sound-crossing time as a first estimate for the source age (Wykes et al. 2013). The ICM temperature is approximately 1.5 keV (CH14, their table 1), resulting in a sound speed of 600 km s\(^{-1}\), implying a sound-crossing time of \(\approx 1000\) Myr. This would provide an upper limit to the source age were we confident about the inclination of the outer tails, but if the outer parts are more projected than we assume based on the inner jet, the true sound-crossing time could be higher. If, instead, we assume that we observed the oldest plasma in the outer parts of the tails, then we obtain a source age of \(\approx 200\) Myr.

If our advection time-scale gives a realistic estimate of the source age, then the average source expansion speed is approximately five times the speed of sound. As discussed in Section 4.4, ram-pressure balance arguments suggest that the current expansion speed is considerably lower than this, so that the overall source expansion at the present time is not supersonic. This apparent inconsistency could be explained if there is a weak internal shock somewhere beyond the detected emission, with back-flowing material forming an undetected cocoon of older plasma. If no such cocoon of material exists, then the advection speeds found by our model must be too high, presumably because of the effects of \textit{in situ} particle acceleration on the radio spectrum, which cannot be accounted for in our model.

We briefly consider further the detectability of a large-scale diffuse cocoon in our observations. In Section 3.1, we argued that our observations are not consistent with the claimed detection of a halo surrounding 3C 31 by Weżgowiec et al. (2016). However, there still may be low-energy electrons that are not detected in the current observations. Assuming our 145-MHz 3\(\sigma\) threshold of 1.3 \(\mu\)Jy arcsec\(^{-2}\), it would be possible for a diffuse halo of up to 15–20 Jy brightness to evade detection in our observations, depending on the assumed geometry. Based on a rough minimum energy calculation (assuming that the total energy required to power the halo is \(\approx 4/3\) the internal energy), we estimate that it is energetically feasible for a jet of 3C 31’s power to generate such an ellipsoidal halo surrounding the visible low-frequency structure, on a time-scale of \(\approx 1000\) Myr. Hence, our observations cannot distinguish between the scenario where our modelled advection speeds are correct, and a large-scale cocoon of low-energy electrons exists, and the scenario in which the spectral age estimates are incorrect.

We conclude that our model can self-consistently describe the dynamics and energetics of 3C 31; however, dynamical considerations suggest that the advection time of the oldest visible material is likely to underestimate the true source age. This could be explained by the existence of a large repository of older, undetectable plasma, as discussed above, or by the effects of \textit{in situ} particle acceleration on the radio spectrum. An improved model would need to take into account the variation of the magnetic field across the width of the tails, small-scale variations due to turbulence and, most challengingly, any \textit{in situ} particle acceleration on large scales. It has long been reported that the dynamical ages of FR I sources appear significantly larger than their spectral ages (e.g. Eilek, Melrose & Walker 1997, and references therein) – our deep, low-frequency observations and detailed modelling do not resolve this discrepancy for 3C 31.

5.3 Comparison with earlier work and uncertainties

Our injection advection velocity for our favoured Model I in the northern tail is 0.06c; this is lower than the 0.14c that Laing & Bridle (2002a) found at 15 kpc from the nucleus. In the southern tail, our advection speed of 0.15c is, however, in good agreement with their measurements. In the northern tail, only for the magnetically dominated Model II, we recover advection speeds with the correct value (0.13c). Although we note that in the model of

---

**Figure 9.** Adiabatic (flux conserving) magnetic field models. The black dashed line shows the best-fitting magnetic field strength from Model I, where the shaded area indicates the variation when using Models II and III. The dashed green and dotted blue lines denote the best-fitting longitudinal and toroidal (radial) model components and the red solid line the total field strength. The northern tail is on the right-hand side (\(z > 0\)kpc) and the southern tail is on the left-hand side (\(z < 0\)kpc).
Laing & Bridle (2002a) the jet velocity changes as a function of radius, e.g. decreasing from 0.16c (on-axis) to 0.11c (edge) and since our advection speeds are averages across the width of the tail, we cannot expect a perfect agreement. In comparison to CH14, who use the injection velocities of Laing & Bridle (2002a) as input parameter for the inner boundary condition, our velocities in the northern tail are lower by factors of ≈2.5 and ≈1.5 in the northern and southern tails, respectively. Scaling our velocities with these constant factors, we find agreement mostly within 0.2 dex out to a distance of 100 kpc.

Our measurements hence agree roughly with previous observations, but we will discuss here some of the uncertainties that will affect our results. First, we note that we assume a constant inclination angle of the radio tails that may not hold in the outskirts of the tails. Because we are measuring only projected distances, we potentially under- or overestimate the advection speeds. However, the advection times are unaffected by this, since the CRE travelling distances differ by the same factor. Another uncertainty is the inhomogeneity of the ICM, which maybe the cause of the many direction changes of the radio tails (CH14). It is hence expected that the velocity changes in a way different from the simple parametrization with radius that we assume. This may also account for some of the variation of the magnetic pressure in comparison to the external pressure (Section 5.1). We have already earlier mentioned the effects of particle acceleration, which could result in the spectral ages underestimating the true source age.

Our models also rely on the assumption of a power-law injection of the CREs. With our measurement of the power-law radio continuum spectrum down to 30 MHz, we can measure the CRE spectrum to energies of 0.25 GeV, corresponding to μm spectrum down to 30 MHz, we can measure the CRE spectrum. With our measurement of the power-law radio continuum spectrum down to 30 MHz, we can measure the CRE spectrum to energies of 0.25 GeV, corresponding to μm, we can measure the CRE spectrum. With our measurement of the power-law radio continuum spectrum down to 30 MHz, we can measure the CRE spectrum. With our measurement of the power-law radio continuum spectrum down to 30 MHz, we can measure the CRE spectrum.

### 6 Conclusions

We have conducted LOFAR low-frequency radio continuum observations of the nearby FR I radio galaxy 3C 31 between 30 and 178 MHz. These data were combined with VLA observations between 290 and 420 MHz, GMRT observations at 615 MHz and archive WSRT data at 609 MHz. We have modelled these data with a quasi-1D cosmic ray transport model for pure advection using SPINNAKER, taking into account synchrotron losses in the magnetic field and IC losses in the CMB. We included adiabatic losses due to the expansion of the tails, while assuming a decelerating flow that partially compensates for this. These are our main results.

(i) We have shown that 3C 31 is significantly larger than was previously known; it now appears to extend at least 51 arcmin in the north–south direction, equivalent to 1.1 Mpc at the distance of 3C 31, which enables it to be classed as a GRG. Taking into account the bends in the radio tails, we can now trace the plasma flow for distances of 800–900 kpc in each direction.

(ii) The radio spectral index steepens significantly in the radio tails. The spatially resolved spectrum in the radio tails displays significant steepening and curvature, indicative of spectral ageing. The spectral index steepening can be well described by an advective cosmic ray transport model.

(iii) We found that a decelerating flow in which \( v \propto r^\beta \), with \( \beta = -1.1 \) to \( -0.9 \), is required to compensate partially for the strong adiabatic losses in the tails due to lateral expansion; however, the resulting velocity profile is relatively flat at distances >200 kpc.

(iv) We have constructed a self-consistent advection model in which the magnetic field strengths are in approximate agreement with pressure balance with the surrounding ICM, where the pressure supplied by the magnetic field is 1/3 of the external pressure and the remainder is provided by a hot but sub-relativistic thermal gas (CH14).

(v) The derived source age of ≈200 Myr is smaller than the sound-crossing time of 1000 Myr, which is expected, and the average Mach number for the expansion of the tails into the ICM is ≈5. If our model is correct, then we would predict the presence of a large-scale low surface brightness cocoon surrounding the observed tails, which could contain significant flux below our surface brightness sensitivity limit.

(vi) In the absence of in situ particle acceleration, the derived spectral ages are firm upper limits on the cosmic ray lifetimes, even taking into account uncertainties in the magnetic field strength. The magnetic field strength in the tails is mostly <3 μG, so that IC losses are comparable to synchrotron losses of the CREs. Lowering the magnetic field strength further does not lead to a significant increase of the spectral age because IC radiation takes over as the dominant loss mechanism.

3C 31 represents the first object where it has been possible to carry out such a detailed study using low-frequency images at high spatial resolution, taking advantage of the high quality of the LOFAR data. In future, the goal is to expand this to other famous FR I radio galaxies and to samples extracted from the LOFAR surveys (Shimwell et al. 2017). The first part is already in progress, with the study of objects such as 3C 449, NGC 315 and NGC 6251 under way.
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APPENDIX A: FLUX SCALE AND UNCERTAINTY

In this appendix, we present some more detail about the observations, in particular about the flux densities, source spectra and uncertainties. Table A1 contains the integrated flux densities of 3C 31, our science target, and of 3C 34, a compact, bright source located 0.9 south-east of 3C 31. We used the casa’s MS–MFS CLEANed LOFAR maps for 3C 31 and the AWIMAGER CLEANed LOFAR maps for 3C 34. AWIMAGER was used for 3C 34, because it allows for a correction for the LOFAR primary beam attenuation. The flux densities presented in this paper are scaled to the flux scale of Roger, Costain & Bridle (1973, hereafter RCB). Our own observations (LOFAR, VLA) were calibrated according to the calibrator models by Scaife & Heald (2012), which utilize the RCB scale. The 178-MHz values presented by Laing & Peacock (1980) were measured by Kellermann, Pauliny-Toh & Williams (1969, hereafter KPW) and scaled with a factor of 1.10 to the RCB scale. Similarly, the 38-MHz value presented by Laing & Peacock (1980) was measured by KPW and scaled with a factor of 1.09 to the RCB scale. The 178-MHz values presented by Laing & Peacock (1980) were measured by Kellermann, Pauliny-Toth & Williams (1969, hereafter KPW) and scaled with a factor of 1.09 to the RCB scale.

The flux densities presented in this paper are scaled to the flux scale of Roger, Costain & Bridle (1973, hereafter RCB). Our own observations (LOFAR, VLA) were calibrated according to the calibrator models by Scaife & Heald (2012), which utilize the RCB scale. The 178-MHz values presented by Laing & Peacock (1980) were measured by Kellermann, Pauliny-Toh & Williams (1969, hereafter KPW) and scaled with a factor of 1.09 to the RCB scale. Similarly, the 38-MHz value presented by Laing & Peacock (1980) was measured by KPW and scaled with a factor of 1.10 to the RCB scale.

The flux densities presented in this paper are scaled to the flux scale of Roger, Costain & Bridle (1973, hereafter RCB). Our own observations (LOFAR, VLA) were calibrated according to the calibrator models by Scaife & Heald (2012), which utilize the RCB scale. The 178-MHz values presented by Laing & Peacock (1980) were measured by Kellermann, Pauliny-Toh & Williams (1969, hereafter KPW) and scaled with a factor of 1.09 to the RCB scale. Similarly, the 38-MHz value presented by Laing & Peacock (1980) was measured by KPW and scaled with a factor of 1.10 to the RCB scale.
the flux scale of Baars et al. (1977, hereafter B77) to the RCB scale in Laing & Peacock (1980). The remaining values were scaled from the flux scale of Scaife & Heald (2012). The 750-MHz values are from Pauliny-Toth, Wade & Heeschen (1966) and were scaled by a factor of 1.046 in Laing & Peacock (1980). The calibration uncertainty is 3 per cent, which is the uncertainty of the calibrator model of Scaife & Heald (2012). This uncertainty is small, but is corroborated by our finding of a reduced \( \chi^2 = 1.0 \) when fitting the integrated 3C 31 flux densities (excluding the 74- and 178-MHz values) with a power law.

Finally, we discuss the uncertainties of our spatially resolved flux density measurements. In the following analysis, we use our low-resolution maps, where we average in a certain area (typically 3–10 beam areas) in order to find the averaged intensity. There are three sources of uncertainty that we take into account. First, the uncertainty from the calibration uncertainty \( \sigma_c \), quantified by \( \sigma_c = 0.05 \), which we assume to be 5 per cent. It is slightly larger than the uncertainty of the calibrator model, owing to the fact that imaging of extended emission results into an increased uncertainty due to the imperfect \((u, v)\) coverage. Secondly, there is the thermal noise in the \((u, v)\) coverage, which results in approximately Gaussian fluctuations of the intensities in the map quantified by \( \sigma_t \). Thirdly, we find that the average in the map is not always zero as expected for an interferometer. In areas of \( \approx 100\) arcsec resolution observations of B-array only (8 arcsec resolution) clearly resolve 3C 34 and show it to be an FR II source with two hotspots separated by 40 arcsec \((\text{PA} = 85^\circ)\).
Figure A1. Spectrum of the integrated flux densities of 3C 31 and 34, where solid data points are our measurements and open data points are literature data. Lines show power-law fits to the data. The flux densities of 3C 34 were scaled by a factor of 0.5 for clarity. The green data points show the flux densities of the bright inner tails of 3C 31 (see the text for details).

Table A2. Noise properties of our low-resolution maps (in units of mJy beam$^{-1}$).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Map (MHz)</th>
<th>LBA (52)</th>
<th>HBA (145)</th>
<th>VLA (360)</th>
<th>WSRT (609)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$\sigma_I$</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>0.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\sigma_{\text{zero}}$</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0.4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

beam areas, the average intensity should be within $0.1\sigma_{\text{rms}}$ with zero. But in our maps this is not the case; the average intensity $\sigma_{\text{zero}}$ is typically comparable to $|\sigma_{\text{rms}}|$ (so it can be either positive or negative). We add the contributions in quadrature, so that we obtain for the error of the averaged intensities

$$\sigma = \sqrt{(\text{cal}_I \cdot I)^2 + (\sigma_I / \sqrt{N_{\text{beam}}})^2 + (\sigma_{\text{zero}})^2},$$

(A1)

where $N_{\text{beam}}$ is the number of beam areas within the integration area.$^{10}$ In Table A2, we present the noise properties of our maps.

APPENDIX B: ADIABATIC LOSSES

The adiabatic losses (gains) are calculated as

$$-\left(\frac{dE}{dt}\right)_a = \frac{1}{3} (\nabla v) \cdot E = \frac{E}{t_{\text{ad}}}. $$

(B1)

In cylindrical coordinates:

$$\nabla v = \frac{1}{\rho} \frac{\partial v}{\partial \rho} + \frac{\partial v_{\rho}}{\partial \rho}. $$

(B2)

In the following, we assume that the tail expands (or contract) in a homologous way, so that

$$v_{\rho} = v_{\rho 0} \cdot \frac{\rho}{r} \text{ with } v_{\rho 0} = \frac{\partial r}{\partial t} = \frac{\partial r}{\partial z} \cdot v_z, $$

(B3)

where $r$ is the tail radius. Hence

$$\nabla v = 2 \frac{\partial r}{\partial z} \cdot \frac{v_z}{r} + \frac{\partial v_z}{\partial z}. $$

(B4)

The adiabatic loss (gain) time-scale is then

$$\frac{1}{t_{\text{ad}}} = \frac{1}{t_{\text{ad},r}} + \frac{1}{t_{\text{ad},v}}, $$

(B5)

with the adiabatic losses (gains) through the tail lateral expansion (contraction):

$$t_{\text{ad},r} = 2 \frac{\partial r}{\partial z} \cdot \frac{v_z}{r}, $$

(B6)

and the adiabatic losses (gains) through the tail’s velocity acceleration (deceleration):

$$t_{\text{ad},v} = \frac{1}{3} \frac{\partial v_z}{\partial z}. $$

(B7)

If the flow in the tail decelerates, the longitudinal compression causes adiabatic gains that offset partially the adiabatic losses due to the lateral expansion. For a flow velocity $v \propto r^\beta$, with $\beta = -2$, the adiabatic losses vanish. For $\beta = -0.75$, the adiabatic losses are significantly reduced as shown in Fig. B1.

In order to test our numerical implementation, we compared our resulting CRE number density with the analytical expression (e.g. Baum et al. 1997)

$$n \propto (v \cdot A)^{(\beta-2)/3}. $$

(B8)

$^{10}$ Because this is an error estimate for intensities, one can divide the thermal fluctuations by $\sqrt{N_{\text{beam}}}$, rather than multiplying by $\sqrt{N_{\text{beam}}}$ as required for integrated flux densities.
As can be seen in Fig. B2, the analytical expression is in close agreement with our numerical results. The small difference can be explained that in our model the CREs have additional spectral losses via synchrotron and IC radiation.
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