Impact of liver volume on polycystic liver disease-related symptoms and quality of life
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Abstract

Background: Symptoms in polycystic liver disease (PLD) are thought to be caused by compression of organs and structures by the enlarged liver.

Aim: The aim of this article is to assess the impact of liver volume on symptoms and quality of life (QoL) in PLD.

Methods: We included PLD patients from two prospective studies that used the PLD-questionnaire (PLD-Q) for symptom assessment. QoL was assessed through SF-36, summarized in a physical (PCS) and mental (MCS) component score. Liver volume was correlated with PLD-Q total scores. Patients were classified based on height-corrected liver volume in mild (<1600 ml), moderate (1600–3200 ml), and severe (>3200 ml) disease. PLD-Q and QoL (PCS and MCS) scores were compared across disease stages.

Results: We included 82 of 131 patients from the original studies (disease stages; mild n = 26, moderate n = 33, and severe n = 23). Patients with larger liver volume reported higher symptom burden (r = 0.516, p < 0.001). Symptom scores increased with disease progression, except for abdominal pain (p = 0.088). PCS decreased with advancing disease (p < 0.001), in contrast to MCS (p = 0.055). Moderate (p = 0.007) and severe (p < 0.001) PLD patients had lower PCS scores than the general population.

Conclusion: PLD with larger liver volume is more likely to be symptomatic and is associated with lower QoL.
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Introduction

Polycystic liver disease (PLD) is characterized by formation of multiple cysts that causes progressive liver enlargement.¹ It occurs isolated in autosomal dominant polycystic liver disease (ADPLD) and in coexistence of kidney cysts in autosomal dominant polycystic kidney disease (ADPKD).¹,² As liver function impairment is absent in PLD, the contention is that PLD-related symptoms are caused by compression of adjacent organs and structures by the enlarged cystic liver.

Improving insight into mechanisms underlying the development of PLD-related symptoms is paramount to optimize treatment strategies, as indications for therapy in PLD are almost completely driven by symptoms. Knowledge on symptom patterns and quality of life (QoL) in different disease stages informs patients about the natural course of the disease.

A recent Korean study reported more abdominal symptoms in PLD patients with larger liver volumes. However, it is unknown whether these results can be translated to a Western population as anthropometric properties of Asians are different and culture can influence symptom presentation.³,⁴ Furthermore, this study...
used a general gastrointestinal symptom rating scale instead of a validated PLD-questionnaire (PLD-Q)\(^5\) that lacks PLD-related problems such as fear and anxiety about the future, limited mobility, tiredness and problems with intercourse.\(^6\) A complete assessment of PLD-related symptoms is important to fully comprehend the impact of liver volume on PLD. Finally, the impact of liver volume on QoL in different disease stages remains to be elucidated.

In this analysis we investigated the impact of liver volume on PLD-related symptoms and QoL in a Western PLD population using data from two prospective studies that reflect different PLD disease stages.

Methods

Study design

We pooled baseline data from two prospective studies that used the PLD-Q to assess symptoms in PLD: (1) the Developing Interventions to halt Progression of ADPKD (DIPAK) Observational Study and (2) the Controlled trial of URSOdeoxycholic acid to Reduce liver volume in polycystic liver disease (CURSOR) study. The DIPAK Observational study is a multicenter, longitudinal study to investigate disease progression in ADPKD. We included patients who were enrolled in Radboud University Nijmegen Medical Center, Nijmegen, the Netherlands, before March 2016. CURSOR is an international, multicenter, randomized, controlled clinical trial that assesses the efficacy of ursodeoxycholic acid as a volume-reducing treatment in symptomatic PLD.\(^7\) Patients were enrolled from May 2014 through February 2015 in Radboud University Nijmegen Medical Center, Nijmegen, the Netherlands; Academic Medical Center, Amsterdam, the Netherlands; and the Biodonostia Health Research Institute, Donostia-San Sebastián, Spain. Original studies were approved by local institutional review boards and were conducted according to the ethical guidelines of the 1975 Declaration of Helsinki. In case a patient participated in both studies, we used the most recent data.

Patients

Adult PLD patients who completed the PLD-Q and imaging (computed tomography (CT) in CURSOR and magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) in DIPAK patients) at baseline were eligible for this analysis. PLD was defined as \(\geq20\) hepatic cysts on MRI or CT, which was judged independently by two investigators (MN and SV). Patients were categorized by a previously published disease classification for PLD.\(^5\) Mild disease was defined as \(<1600\) ml height-corrected liver volume (htLV), moderate as \(1600–3200\) ml and \(>3200\) ml as severe disease. We excluded patients with abdominal surgery six months prior to baseline and patients with more than one month between scan and PLD-Q to limit bias in the relation between symptoms and liver volume.

PLD-Q

Patients completed the PLD-Q (version 1) to assess frequency and discomfort of PLD-associated symptoms.\(^6\) The PLD-Q is an extensively validated patient-reported outcome measure including 13 disease-specific symptoms. Each individual symptom is assessed with a frequency (six-point Likert scale “never” to “always”) and discomfort (five-point Likert scale “not at all” to “a lot”) question. Severity scores of individual symptoms are the sum of the frequency and discomfort score (range 2–11). A severity score of 2–3 can be considered as no symptoms, 4–5 as mild, 6–7 as moderate, 8–9 as moderately severe and 10–11 as severe symptoms. The PLD-Q total score is the sum of all severity scores and was transformed to a score of 0–100, where a higher score represents a higher symptom burden. Total scores were missing when \(\geq2\) severity scores were missing.

QoL

QoL was assessed with the Short-Form 36 (SF-36), a generic questionnaire that contains 36 questions that can be summarized in a physical (PCS) and mental (MCS) component scale.\(^8\) The scores of the PCS and MCS range from 8 to 73 and 10 to 74, respectively, whereas lower scores represent a lower QoL. Scores and missing data of the SF-36 were handled according to the user’s manual.\(^9\)

Liver volumes

Liver and kidney volumes were measured with a segmentation technique, which involves manual tracing of the liver boundaries. A software program interpolates between CT or MRI slices and calculates the areas within the indicated circumference. For liver segmentation we included liver parenchyma and cysts, the gallbladder, vessels surrounded by liver parenchyma and vessels that are part of the liver hilum. Kidney segmentation included kidney tissue, kidney cysts, pyelum and main kidney vessels when fully surrounded by kidney tissue. Excluded were pyelum and kidney vessels when not surrounded by kidney tissue.
For CT scans we used Pinnacle\textsuperscript{3}\textsuperscript{e} volumetric software version 9.10 (Philips Radiation Oncology Systems; Fitchburg, WI, USA), which has been validated previously for PLD.\textsuperscript{10–12} MRI scans were measured with ITK-SNAP version 3.4.0 (Penn Image Computing and Science Laboratory, Philadelphia, PA, USA, and Scientific Computing and Imaging Institute, Salt Lake City, UT, USA). We validated ITK-SNAP to measure liver volumes in PLD for this study (Supplemental Appendix) and found a mean difference in liver volume of 1.8\% \pm 1.1 between ITK-SNAP measurements and Pinnacle\textsuperscript{3}\textsuperscript{e}. Variability between ITK-SNAP measurements by two independent investigators was −0.4\% \pm 1.4. These differences were considered acceptable for the purpose of our study.
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Table 1. Characteristics of included patients categorized by disease stage.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Category</th>
<th>Mild &lt; 1600 ml (n = 26)</th>
<th>Moderate 1600–3200 ml (n = 33)</th>
<th>Severe &gt;3200 ml (n = 23)</th>
<th>p value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age, median (IQR), years</td>
<td>48 (33–57)</td>
<td>49 (46–57)</td>
<td>43 (40–56)</td>
<td>0.232\textsuperscript{b}</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female, n (%)</td>
<td>18 (69)</td>
<td>28 (85)</td>
<td>21 (91)</td>
<td>0.114\textsuperscript{c}</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diagnosis ADPKD, n (%)</td>
<td>24 (92)</td>
<td>24 (73)</td>
<td>17 (52)</td>
<td>0.139\textsuperscript{c}</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HtLV (ml/m), median (IQR)\textsuperscript{a}</td>
<td>1087 (924–1306)</td>
<td>2375 (1941–2754)</td>
<td>4571 (3868–5411)</td>
<td>0.002\textsuperscript{b}</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HtKV (ml/m), median (IQR)\textsuperscript{a}</td>
<td>801 (374–1191)</td>
<td>836 (361–1275)</td>
<td>614 (380–1480)</td>
<td>0.258\textsuperscript{b}</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Renal function (eGFR), median (IQR)\textsuperscript{a}</td>
<td>73 (44–86)</td>
<td>64 (46–77)</td>
<td>71 (54–84)</td>
<td>0.177\textsuperscript{b}</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\textsuperscript{a}Kidney volumes and renal function of ADPKD patients only. P values tested with \textsuperscript{b}Kruskal-Wallis test or \textsuperscript{c}Chi-Square test. eGFR: estimated glomerular filtration rate using the Modification of Diet in Renal Disease formula; ADPKD: autosomal dominant polycystic kidney disease; htKV: height-corrected kidney volume; htLV: height-corrected liver volume; IQR: interquartile range.
**Statistical analysis**

Patient characteristics are presented across disease stages as median with interquartile range (IQR) or as proportion of total and compared with the Kruskal-Wallis test or chi-squared test. Liver (htLV) and kidney (htKV) volumes were height-corrected and log-transformed to get normally distributed variables for further analysis. Correlation between htLV and PLD-Q total score was calculated with Spearman’s correlation coefficient. As the CURSOR study included symptomatic patients with larger liver volumes, we performed a sensitivity analysis in DIPAK patients to assess whether selection bias has confounded this correlation. Subsequently, we assessed whether the relation between htLV and symptoms remained significant after adjustment for possible confounders with a multivariate analysis. We entered the PLD-Q total score as an independent variable and htLV, age, gender, diagnosis and original study (CURSOR or DIPAK) as dependent variables. In the subgroup of ADPKD patients, we also entered htKV and renal function (estimated glomerular filtration rate using the Modification of Diet in Renal Disease) in the multivariate model.

To assess whether individual symptoms and QoL (PCS and MCS) were distributed differently across disease stages, scores were compared with the Kruskal-Wallis test. A one-sample Wilcoxon rank test was performed to determine whether QoL scores (PCS and MCS) were different from general population norm scores. As PLD occurs predominantly in females, we used female reference norm scores (51.03 (41.10–55.86) and MCS: 52.80 (43.40–57.19)).

Significant differences were compared with age-matched female reference values to assess whether the difference holds true. Statistical analyses were performed in SPSS version 22.0 (IBM Corp., Armonk, NY, USA). The significance level was set to a $p$ value < 0.05.

**Results**

**Patient characteristics**

From the 131 patients included in the original studies, 82 patients were eligible for this study. Figure 1 depicts reasons for exclusion. Table 1 shows patient characteristics categorized by disease stage. We included 26 mild PLD patients, 33 patients with moderate disease, and 23 patients with severe PLD. Median age, gender, and underlying diagnosis (ADPKD or ADPLD) were not significantly different across the disease stages in the ADPKD subgroup as well, no differences between renal function and kidney volume were found.

**Symptom distribution**

PLD-Q total score could be calculated in all patients. Figure 2 shows the distribution of symptoms in the total study population. The majority had at least mild symptoms of tiredness (81.7%), fullness (78.0%), dissatisfaction with abdomen size (72.8%) and limited mobility (72%). Only 36.6% experienced lack of appetite (mild or higher severity). The most burdensome symptom, scored as moderately severe or severe was dissatisfaction with abdomen size (40.8%), followed by pain and pressure in the rib cage (32.1%), tiredness.
None of the patients experienced severe nausea or abdominal pain.

**Correlation symptoms and htLV**

Patients with larger htLV reported higher symptom burden measured with the PLD-Q ($r = 0.532$, $p < 0.001$). Sensitivity analysis in DIPAK patients showed also a significant correlation ($r = 0.621$, $p < 0.001$). In the multivariate model, the association between symptoms measured with the PLD-Q and htLV remained significant ($p < 0.001$) after adjustment for age ($p = 0.50$), gender ($p = 0.02$), diagnosis ($p = 0.05$) and the original study ($p = 0.19$) (Table 2). In the ADPKD subgroup, symptom burden was still associated with htLV ($p < 0.001$) after adjustment for age ($p = 0.69$), gender ($p = 0.02$), original study ($p = 0.24$), htKV ($p = 0.27$) and renal function ($p = 0.46$).

**Severity of individual symptoms across disease stages**

Figure 3 shows the median individual symptom scores per disease stage. All individual symptom scores were higher at more advanced disease stages, except for abdominal pain ($p = 0.088$). In mild PLD, only one symptom of a mild nature was present (tiredness). In moderate PLD, patients had five symptoms of at least moderate severity and this increased to seven symptoms of this nature in severe PLD. The most burdensome symptom with a moderately severe nature was dissatisfaction with abdomen size in moderate and severe stages.

**Quality of life across disease stages**

SF-36 data were available in 79 patients (mild $n = 24$; moderate $n = 32$; and severe disease $n = 23$). The PCS decreased significantly with advancing disease stage ($p = 0.021$), while there was no significant effect of disease stage on the MCS ($p = 0.055$) (Figure 4). PCS of mild PLD patients was similar to the female reference population (53.30 (4.27–55.86) vs. 51.03, $p = 0.932$). The PCS of moderate (46.15 (32.16–53.81) vs. 51.03, $p = 0.007$) and severe (44.09 (38.93–46.94) vs. 51.03, $p < 0.001$) PLD patients was significantly lower compared to the female reference population. This difference remained after comparing with an age-matched reference population (PCS 51.61, $p = 0.004$ and $p < 0.001$).

**Discussion**

We show that PLD patients with more severe hepatomegaly have a higher symptom burden, with increasing
Symptom severity across all components of the PLD-Q, except for abdominal pain. QoL, particularly the physical component, decreases with advancing disease and drops below levels seen in the general population in patients with htLV > 1600 ml.

Liver volume affected symptom burden and QoL in those with moderate disease stage and beyond, suggesting that liver volume-reducing therapies are beneficial in these patients. As patients with htLV > 1600 ml also have a higher risk of pressure-related complications compared to patients with smaller livers, this liver volume can be used as evidence-based inclusion criteria for symptomatic disease in future studies.

There were no large differences in symptom patterns per disease stage between the Western and Asian population, indicating that possible differences in culture and anthropometric properties have no large effect on symptom development in PLD.

Surprisingly, abdominal pain was not associated with disease stage. Although frequency of abdominal pain seems to be increased in PLD compared to the general population, we found no correlation with liver volume. This accords with another large study in 1043 ADPKD patients that also did not establish a relation between abdominal pain and liver or kidney volume. It is possible that cyst growth rather than...
mere static liver volume is responsible for symptoms of abdominal pain.

The main strength of this study is that we were able to include the whole spectrum of liver volumes from rather normal volumes (htLV 834 ml) to very severe hepatomegaly with htLVs up to 10 times as large (htLV 8382 ml). Our cohort was highly enriched with severe PLD because inclusion mainly took place at a national referral center. In comparison, the prevalence of this stage in the general ADPKD population is approximately 5%, leading to similar numbers of patients with severe PLD in our study compared to large studies that include more than 450 patients. This enrichment enabled us to compare symptoms and QoL across different disease stages.

We used a reliable and extensively validated disease-specific questionnaire to assess symptom burden in PLD. This instrument allowed us to investigate the broad spectrum of symptoms in PLD, while generic gastrointestinal questionnaires under-represent some problems related to PLD.

Limitation of the cross-sectional design is that we could not assess the effect of liver volume growth on symptoms. Although the CURSOR trial has a longitudinal design, mean increase in liver volumes was only 4%, which is too small to conclude whether change in liver volume led to aggravation of symptoms. This longitudinal relationship should be a topic for future studies, for instance, when follow-up data of the DIPAK study become available.

Second, the CURSOR included symptomatic patients with (uncorrected) liver volumes >2500 ml, while the DIPAK inclusion criteria are independent of symptoms and liver volume. The enrichment of symptomatic patients with larger livers may result in a spurious correlation between symptoms and liver volume. However, the fact that correlation between symptoms and liver volume was even stronger in the sensitivity analysis restricted to DIPAK-enrolled patients fuels the contention that this correlation is independent of inclusion criteria.

Finally, we pooled two studies with different imaging modalities. To validate pooling of MRI and CT images in our study, we compared the two different volumetric software packages and differences were small (1.8%). In addition, a randomized controlled trial that assesses changes in liver volume after somatostatin analog treatment also found excellent correlation between liver volumes measured from CT and MRI images. Therefore, we think that this has not influenced our results.

This study suggests that an alternative diagnosis than merely PLD should be considered in patients with mild PLD presenting with moderate to severe symptoms. In case of ADPKD, symptoms might be related to large polycystic kidneys rather than liver when PLD stage does not correlate with the experienced symptoms. Given that tiredness and dissatisfaction with abdomen size were respectively the most frequent and burdensome symptoms, clinicians should support adequate coping strategies to reduce this burden. We suggest that abdominal pain should not be the primary indication to start therapy, since no study so far has detected a relation with static liver volume or cyst growth.

In conclusion, larger liver volume has a negative impact on symptoms and QoL in PLD. Particularly, polycystic livers above twice the normal size (≥1600 ml htLV) are associated with symptomatic disease and QoL impairment. We suggest this volume as evidence-based inclusion criteria for future studies investigating new therapies for symptomatic PLD.
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