The Absence of NOD1 Enhances Killing of Aspergillus fumigatus Through Modulation of Dectin-1 Expression
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One of the major life-threatening infections for which severely immunocompromised patients are at risk is invasive aspergillosis (IA). Despite the current treatment options, the increasing antifungal resistance and poor outcome highlight the need for novel therapeutic strategies to improve outcome of patients with IA. In the current study, we investigated whether and how the intracellular pattern recognition receptor NOD1 is involved in host defense against Aspergillus fumigatus. When exploring the role of NOD1 in an experimental mouse model, we found that Nod1−/− mice were protected against IA and demonstrated reduced fungal outgrowth in the lungs. We found that macrophages derived from bone marrow of Nod1−/− mice were more efficiently inducing reactive oxygen species and cytokines in response to Aspergillus. Most strikingly, these cells were highly potent in killing A. fumigatus compared with wild-type cells. In line, human macrophages in which NOD1 was silenced demonstrated augmented Aspergillus killing and NOD1 stimulation decreased fungal killing. The differentially altered killing capacity of NOD1 silencing versus NOD1 activation was associated with alterations in dectin-1 expression, with activation of NOD1 reducing dectin-1 expression. Furthermore, we were able to demonstrate that Nod1−/− mice have elevated dectin-1 expression in the lung and bone marrow, and silencing of NOD1 gene expression in human macrophages increases dectin-1 expression. The enhanced dectin-1 expression may be the mechanism of enhanced fungal killing of Nod1−/− cells and human cells in which NOD1 was silenced, since blockade of dectin-1 reversed the augmented killing in these cells. Collectively, our data demonstrate that NOD1 receptor plays an inhibitory role in the host defense against Aspergillus. This provides a rationale to develop novel immunotherapeutic strategies for treatment of aspergillosis that target the NOD1 receptor, to enhance the efficiency of host immune cells to clear the infection by increasing fungal killing and cytokine responses.
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INTRODUCTION

Invasive aspergillosis (IA) is an opportunistic fungal infection that globally affects hundreds of thousands severely immunocompromised patients on an annual basis (1). IA is associated with an unacceptable high mortality (2), yet modern antifungal drugs, patient isolation care, and prophylactic treatment strategies have not been able to reduce mortality over the past years. An increased knowledge of the antifungal host response is crucial for understanding the pathogenesis of the disease on one hand and on the other hand in the development of new immunomodulatory therapies, which are considered to be one of the few possibilities to decrease mortality associated with IA (3).

A fine-tuned interplay between recognition and signaling leads to the clearance of the fungus by the immune system, while defects in parts of these components or their absence have been associated with severe infections with the fungus. Although most types of PRRs, including toll-like receptors and C-type lectin receptors, have well-characterized roles in antifungal host defense (4, 5). Some PRRs have, however, not yet been evaluated for their role in antifungal host defense. Insights in these not yet explored PRRs might yield new insights in the pathogenesis of IA and provide potential candidate targets for novel treatment strategies.

The nucleotide-oligomerization domain (NOD) receptors play a crucial role in host defense against bacteria; however, only limited evidence is available regarding the role of these receptors in host defense against aspergillosis. One of the NOD receptors, NOD1, has been described to be able to activate NFkB in corneal epithelial cells in response to Aspergillus fumigatus (6). However, it is not yet investigated whether NOD1 plays a role in host defense against pulmonary aspergillosis. Overall, it is evident that NOD1 plays an important role in pulmonary host defense. NOD1 is highly expressed in the lung (7) and in lung epithelial cells (8). Human alveolar macrophages utilize NOD1 to induce proinflammatory cytokine responses and induce autophagy for an efficient host defense against Mycobacterium tuberculosis (9). Moreover, in host defense against Legionella pneumophila, NOD1 regulates neutrophil recruitment to the alveoli (10, 11). These studies of pulmonary host defense against bacteria reveal various mechanisms, induced by NOD1, that are known to play significant roles in host defense against A. fumigatus; e.g., autophagy machinery (12–15), neutrophil recruitment (16–18), and proinflammatory cytokines (19–21).

Therefore, the current study investigates the role of NOD1 in host defense against aspergillosis. Specifically, in a murine model representing immunocompromised hosts, we assess how NOD1 deficiency influences the host defense during aspergillosis. Using murine Nod1-deficient cells as well as silencing of NOD1 gene expression in primary human cells, we systematically evaluated the importance of this receptor in the antifungal response. Novel insight into the exact biology of this receptor during aspergillosis can increase our understanding of the infection, which subsequently may lead to the development of immunotherapeutic strategies.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Aspergillus fumigatus

A clinical isolate of A. fumigatus V05-27, which has been characterized previously (22), was used for all ex vivo and in vitro stimulations. Conidia and hyphae were prepared and heat-inactivated (HI) as previously described (23). A concentration of 1 × 10^7/mL was used in the experiments unless otherwise indicated. For in vivo experiments, the luciferase-expressing A. fumigatus 2/7/1 strain was used, which has been described previously (24); this strain has been reported to have a similar antifungal susceptibility and demonstrates no growth defects under various in vitro cultivation conditions such as different temperatures and carbon sources (24). In corticosteroid immunosuppressed mouse models of aspergillosis (25), the 2/7/1 strain demonstrated a similar virulence as observed for its parental strain CBS144.85 (26, 27).

In Vivo Experiments

Mice for in vivo experiments were supplied by the breeding center R. Janvier (Le Genest Saint-Isle, France). For the survival experiment in an immunosuppressed background C57/BL6 wild type (WT), and Nod1−/− mice (28 to 31 g, 10 week old) were used. Mice were immunosuppressed at day 4 and day 1 before infection by intraperitoneal injection of 200-µL cyclophosphamide (Sigma Aldrich) at 4 mg/mL. At the day of infection, mice were anesthetized by intramuscular injection (150 µL) of ketamine (10 mg/mL) and xylazine (10 mg/mL) hair was shaved from the ventral lung area and subsequently mice were inoculated intranasally with 5 × 10^4 luciferase-expressing A. fumigatus 2/7/1 conidia (24) in 25-µL PBS.

In all experiments, survival and weight was monitored during the course of infection. Bioluminescence imaging was acquired at day 1 post-infection (pi) and was continued on days 2, 3, 6, and 8 pi. Images were acquired using an IVIS 100 system (PerkinElmer, Waltham, MA, USA) as previously described (25).

For immunological and histological assessment female C57/BL6 and Nod1−/− mice (19–22 g, 8 weeks old) were used. They received similar immunosuppression regimen and were similarly infected as the mice for survival. Weight and bioluminescence were monitored daily during the course of infection. At day 3, the mice were euthanized. Serum and BAL were collected and lung homogenates were obtained following disruption in saline using the Retsch Mixer Mill 301 homogenizer. Cytokine concentrations in BAL and plasma were determined by ELISA as specified by the manufacturer (DuoSet; R&D Systems).

The fungal burden was determined by amplification of Aspergillus ITS2 regions. Briefly, homogenized tissue samples were used for DNA isolation by using the automated MagNA Pure system and the MagNA Pure LC Total Nucleic Acid Isolation Kit according to manufacturer’s protocol (Roche Applied Science). PhHV was added to all samples as an internal isolation control C.

The concentration of total isolated DNA was measured by using the Quantus Fluorometer (Promega). Aspergillus loads were determined by real-time PCR using the LC480 instrument and the probes master kit (Roche applied Science). Thermocycling conditions were as follows: 37°C for 10 min,
95°C for 10 min, and 50 cycles: 95°C for 15 s, and 60°C for 45 s. The rDNA ITS2 region of *A. fumigatus* was detected by using primers 5′-GGGTCAAGTCTGGCCTAAAGC-3′, 5′-ATATGC TTAAGTTCAGCGGT-3′ and probe Cy5-TCCCTCGAGGCTA GGCGGCTT-BBQ. The PhHV isolation control was detected by using primers 5′-GGCCGAATCAGAGTGAATC-3′, 5′-GGCG GTTCCAAAGTGACCACA-3′ and probe LC610-TTTTATTG GTTCCGACCATTCTGGATC-BBQ. For the ITS2 detection, a twofold dilution series of the cloned PCR product was included to calculate the number of copies per reaction.

**PBMC Isolation and Stimulation**

Venous blood samples from healthy controls and patients were obtained after written informed consent. PBMCs were isolated as previously described (23). Briefly, blood was diluted in PBS (1:1) and fractions were separated by Ficoll (Ficoll-Paque Plus, GE Healthcare) density gradient centrifugation. Cells were washed twice with PBS and resuspended in RPMI-1640 (RPMI1640) Dutch modification supplemented with 10-µg/mL gentamycin, 2mM glutamax and 1mM pyruvate; Thermofisher).

PBMCs were plated in 96-well round-bottom plates (Corning) at a final concentration of 2.5 × 10⁶ cells/mL and in a total volume of 200 µL and stimulated with medium (negative control) or live *Aspergillus* at a final concentration of 1 × 10⁸/mL for 24 h. PBMCs in co-stimulation experiments were exposed to 10-µg/mL TriDAP (Invivogen) and subsequently stimulated with medium or live resting conidia (1 × 10⁷/mL). After stimulation, culture supernatants were collected and stored at −20°C until cytokine measurement. Cells were either analyzed for surface receptor expression by flow cytometry or assessed for the fungal killing capacity.

**Flow Cytometry**

Surface pattern recognition receptor expression on human monocytes was assessed following stimulation of PBMCs with TriDAP as described above. Monocytes were stained with anti-human CD14 conjugated with FITC (BD) and anti-human CD45 conjugated with PE-Cy7 in combination with, anti-human CD282 (TLR2) Alexa647 (BD) and anti-human CD284 (TLR4) PE (Biolegend), or anti-human CD206 (Mannose Receptor) PE (Biolegend) and anti-human dectin-1 APC (R&D). CD14⁺ monocytes were gated within the population of CD45⁺ cells and subsequently, the mean fluorescence intensity (MFI) of TLR2, TLR4, Mannose receptor, and dectin-1 were assessed on the CD14⁺/CD45⁺ cells. For dectin-1 also a negative population was observed and the percentage of dectin-1⁺ was assessed in addition to the MFI. The cells were measured on an FC500 flow cytometer (Beckman Coulter) and the data were analyzed using CXP analysis software v2.2 (Beckman Coulter).

**Ex Vivo Stimulation of WT and Nod1⁻/⁻ Murine Splenocytes and Bone Marrow-Derived Macrophages (BMDMs)**

Wild-type and Nod1⁻/⁻ C57Bl/6 mice were bred and maintained in the St. Jude Children’s Research Hospital, Memphis, TN, USA. Spleens were homogenized in 0.4-µM cell strainer (BD) and the cell number was adjusted to 1 × 10⁷/mL. The cell suspensions (500 µL/well) were placed in 24-well plates (corning) and incubated with culture medium or *Aspergillus* conidia for 1 or 5 days at 37°C and 5% CO₂.

Bone marrow from mice (age between 8 and 20 weeks old) was flushed out after dissecting mouse legs. Differentiation into macrophages (BMDMs) occurred in 5 days at 37°C (5% CO₂) in Dulbecco’s modified eagles medium (DMEM) supplemented with 30% of L929 supernatant containing 10% fetal bovine serum (H, Invitrogen), 100-U/mL penicillin and 100-µg/mL streptomycin. The BMDMs (1 × 10⁵/well) were placed in 96-well plates (corning) and incubated with culture medium or live *Aspergillus* conidia for 1 day at 37°C and 5% CO₂. After stimulation, culture supernatants were collected and stored at −20°C until cytokine measurement.

**Silencing NOD1**

Freshly isolated PBMCs were differentiated to macrophages using 6-day differentiation in 10% human serum (serum differentiated macrophages) or 10% human serum supplemented with 5-ng/mL GM-CSF (R&D Systems). After differentiation (1 × 10⁵) macrophages were seeded in 96-well plates and left for 2 h at 37°C to subsequently transfect them with 25-nM NOD1 siRNA (on target) or scrambled (non-targeted siRNA) control siRNA (smartport, Thermo Scientific) for 48 h at 37°C (Dharmafect, Thermo Scientific). Subsequently, the culture medium was refreshed and cells were used for killing, ROS assays, and PCR analysis.

**Killing of *Aspergillus* by BMDMs, Human Macrophages, or PBMCs**

Following differentiation, the mouse BMDMs (1 × 10⁶), human MDMs (1 × 10⁵), or freshly isolated PBMCs (5 × 10⁴) were exposed to *Aspergillus* conidia (2 × 10⁵) in 96-well plates a final volume of 200 µL. In several experiments dectin-1 was blocked using laminarin (100 µg/mL; Sigma Aldrich) or with a mouse dectin-1 blocking antibody (GE2; Thermo Fisher) or its isotype control. After 24 h at 37°C and 5% CO₂, the cells were washed in water and plated in serial dilution on Sabouraud agar plates. CFUs were counted after 24 h incubation at 37°C.

**Quantitative Reverse Transcriptase PCR**

RNA was isolated according to the protocol supplied with the TRizol reagent. Isolated mRNA (1 µg) was reverse transcribed into cDNA using the iScript cDNA synthesis kit (BIORAD). Quantitative real-time PCR (qPCR) was performed using power SYBR Green PCR master mix (Applied Biosystems) and following primer sets for human samples hNOD1 Fwd 5′-TGGGGCTT-BBQ and Rev 5′-CAAAA-3′ and Rev 5′-GCCCTGAGGGAAATGGAGTTTA-3′ and Rev 5′-GCCGAAGAAGGCCCTATCATCAAAC-3′ hTLR2 Fwd 5′-AGGGGAGATTCAGTGTGGTG-3′ and Rev 5′-CGACC ACTTGTGCAAGCTCA-3′ hCLEC7A Fwd 5′-ACAATGCTG GCAACTGGGCT-3′ and Rev 5′-GTGGAATCCACGAGGCTGA-3′, hGAPDH (Fwd 5′-GGTCCACATCTGGGATC-3′ and probe LC610-TTTTTATGT GTTCCGACCATTCTGGATC-BBQ. For the ITS2 detection, a twofold dilution series of the cloned PCR product was included to calculate the number of copies per reaction.

DNA was isolated according to the protocol supplied with the TRizol reagent. Isolated mRNA (1 µg) was reverse transcribed into cDNA using the iScript cDNA synthesis kit (BIORAD). Quantitative real-time PCR (qPCR) was performed using power SYBR Green PCR master mix (Applied Biosystems) and following primer sets for human samples hNOD1 Fwd 5′-TGGGGCTT-BBQ and Rev 5′-CAAAA-3′ and Rev 5′-GCCCTGAGGGAAATGGAGTTTA-3′ and Rev 5′-GCCGAAGAAGGCCCTATCATCAAAC-3′ hTLR2 Fwd 5′-AGGGGAGATTCAGTGTGGTG-3′ and Rev 5′-CGACC ACTTGTGCAAGCTCA-3′ hCLEC7A Fwd 5′-ACAATGCTG GCAACTGGGCT-3′ and Rev 5′-GTGGAATCCACGAGGCTGA-3′, hGAPDH (Fwd 5′-GGTCCACATCTGGGATC-3′ and probe LC610-TTTTTATGT GTTCCGACCATTCTGGATC-BBQ. For the ITS2 detection, a twofold dilution series of the cloned PCR product was included to calculate the number of copies per reaction.
GGTGTAACGGATTTG-3′ and Rev 5′-TGTAGACCATGTAGTTGAGGTC-3′. PCR was performed using an Applied Biosystems 7300 real-time PCR system using PCR conditions 2 min 50°C, 10 min 95°C followed by 40 cycles at 95°C for 15 s and 60°C for 1 min. The RNA genes of interest were corrected for differences in loading concentration using the signal of the housekeeping protein GAPDH.

IkBα Phosphorylation
For analysis of NFkB signaling pathways, the BMDMs were sub-cultured in 12-well cell culture plates for 16 h, and stimulated with live Aspergillus spores at 25 MOI of infection for indicated times. Protein lysates were prepared using the lysis buffer (10-mM Tris–HCl, 150-mM NaCl, 1% Nonidet P-40, supplemented with protease and phosphatase inhibitor cocktails; Roche). Protein samples were denatured by boiling in sample loading buffer containing SDS and 100-mM DTT for 5 min and separated in denaturing SDS-PAGE. Separated proteins were transferred to PVDF membranes and immunoblotted with rabbit antibodies against total IkBα, Phospho-IκBa. All antibodies were purchased from Cell Signaling followed by secondary anti-rabbit HRP antibodies (JacksonImmunoResearch Laboratories).

Cytokine Measurements
The cytokine levels were measured using commercially available ELISA assays according to the protocol supplied by the manufacturer. IL-1β, TNFα, IL-17, and IL-22 assays were from R&D Systems and IFNγ was from Sanquin. Mouse IL-1β, TNFα, IL-6, KC, IL-17, IL-22, and IFNγ in splenocyte stimulations were measured using the Luminex multiplex platform (Millipore). In the in vivo experiments mouse IL-1β, TNFα, IL-6, KC, and G-CSF were measured using commercially available ELISA assays from R&D Systems according to the protocol supplied by the manufacturer.

NOD1 Immunofluorescence Staining
CD14+ cells were isolated from PBMCs using magnetic bead isolation (MACS Miltenyi) according to the protocol supplied by the manufacturer. CD14+ cells (1 × 10⁵) were allowed to adhere for 1 h to 12-mm Ø glass coverslips. After adherence, the CD14+ monocytes were exposed for 30 min to FITC labeled Aspergillus conidia in a ratio of (5:1/conidia/CD14 cells), after which the cells were fixed in Methanol. NOD1 was stained using rabbit anti-NOD1 and secondary stained with Goat anti-rabbit IgG H/L Alexa594 (Invitrogen). The coverslips were mounted in Vectashield with DAPI (Vector Laboratories) and immunofluorescence was observed at 1,000x magnification using a Zeiss LSM510 confocal microscope (Carl Zeiss).

Statistical Analysis
Data are presented as the mean ± SEM, or as scatterplots representing individual data points and a line indicating the median value of all the data obtained in experiments. Experiments were conducted at least twice and the number of biological replicates (mice/human donors) is indicated in the figure legends for each graph. Unless otherwise indicated the Mann–Whitney U test was used to determine statistical significant differences between experimental groups with p < 0.05 = *, p < 0.01 = **, p < 0.001 = ***, and p < 0.0001 = ****. All data were analyzed using Graphpad Prism v6.0.

RESULTS

NOD1 Localizes to Aspergillus-Containing Phagosomes
Since NOD1 is an intracellular pattern recognition receptor for bacterial ligands, we wanted to investigate at which cellular level NOD1 interacts with Aspergillus. To assess the location of NOD1 during the interaction of monocytes with Aspergillus, the monocytes of healthy human volunteers were allowed to engulf Aspergillus, both resting and swollen conidia, for 1 h. Subsequently, NOD1 was stained by immunofluorescence staining. We observed that engulfed A. fumigatus resting or swollen conidia demonstrate a halo of NOD1 surrounding the conidia, suggestion colocalization to the phagosomes containing Aspergillus (Figure 1). In addition to the halo surrounding the conidia, a diffuse cytoplasmic staining of NOD1 could be observed.

NOD1-Deficient Mice Do Not Develop IA
To investigate whether NOD1 plays a role in the susceptibility to aspergillosis, we subjected WT C57Bl6 and Nod1−/− mice to lethal Aspergillus infection. Survival experiments were performed in mice immunosuppressed with cyclophosphamide and subsequently infected with the bioluminescent Aspergillus strain 2/7/1 (24). In contrast to WT mice, Nod1−/− mice showed a significant improvement in 14-day survival (Figure 2A). Nine out of 12 Nod1−/− mice survived, whereas 12 out of 13 WT mice did not survive the infection. Bioluminescence imaging of the luciferase-expressing Aspergillus within the mice suggests that Nod1−/− mice more efficiently clear the fungi from the lung, whereas WT mice developed a progressing infection as indicated by the increasing luminescence signal (Figure 2B). When comparing the weight loss of mice post-infection we observed that Nod1−/− mice and a single-surviving WT mouse started to recover their weight from day 4 post-infection (pi), whereas all other WT mice sharply declined in weight and succumbed to the infection and the three non-surviving Nod1−/− mice demonstrated a similar weight loss as WT mice (Figure 2C).

Reduced Inflammation and Improved Fungal Clearance in Nod1-Deficient Mice
To investigate differences in fungal burden, histological damage and inflammation in a standardized fashion, an experiment was performed where cyclophosphamide immunosuppressed mice were infected with the bioluminescent Aspergillus strain 2/7/1, but were sacrificed at day 3 pi. The luminescence signal from the lung reveals that Nod1−/− mice have a significantly reduced fungal burden compared with WT mice (Figure 3A). This observation could be confirmed by a quantitative Aspergillus PCR, which revealed the absence of Aspergillus DNA in the lung homogenates of Nod1−/− mice. However, in the lung homogenates of WT mice Aspergillus could be detected (Figure 3B). To assess how fungal burden correlates with pathological...
Figure 2: Immunocompromised Nod1−/− mice protected against invasive aspergillosis. Assessment of survival, fungal burden and weight in cyclophosphamide immunosuppressed wild-type (WT) (n = 13) and Nod1−/− (n = 12) mice infected intranasally with 5 × 10^4 conidia in three separate experiments (WT:Nod1−/−: 5:6; 3:3; 5:3). (A) Kaplan–Meier survival curves of WT (n = 13) and Nod1−/− (n = 12) mice. P-values of the Kaplan–Meier curve were determined using the log-rank test. Data represent the cumulative data of three separate experiments. (B) Bioluminescence imaging representing the fungal burden in the lungs of the mice during the course of the infection. (C) Representative graph of percentage weight loss of surviving mice in one of the experiments where survival of WT (n = 5; 4 died; n = 1 shown) and Nod1−/− (n = 6; 1 died; n = 5 shown) mice was compared.
Figure 3 Continued
damage to the lungs, a histopathological analysis was performed. Morphometric analysis of the histology revealed significantly fewer lesions in the lung sections of Nod1−/− mice compared with WT mice (Figure 3C). Moreover, the size of the lesions affected a significantly smaller part of the lungs (Figure 3D). The morphometric analysis of pulmonary lesions corresponds to the size of the lesions found in the whole lung sections using Image J software to quantify the lesions in (C) number and (D) size. Slides were stained by HE staining at (E), 2x and (F), 10x magnification, (G) III Grocott’s Methenamine Silver staining at 10x magnification or (H) IV immunohistochemistry with anti-F4/80 antibody counterstained with HE staining. (F–H) IL-1β, IL-6, KC, G-CSF, and TNFa levels in (I) serum, (J) bronchoalveolar lavage (BAL), and (K) lung homogenates measured at day 3 pi. Data are represented as mean ± SEM and means were compared using the Mann–Whitney U test. *P*-values of statistical tests are shown within the graphs.

FIGURE 3 | Nod1−/− mice reducing fungal burden, histological damage, and inflammation. Assessment of fungal burden, histopathological damage, and inflammation in A. fumigatus-infected wild-type (WT; n = 8) and Nod1−/− (n = 7) mice in two separate experiments (WT:Nod1−/− = 4:4 and 4:3). (A) Luminescence signal at day 1 to 3 post-infection revealing the fungal burden represented by the luminescence signal from live A. fumigatus within infected WT and Nod1−/− mice. (B) Fungal burden as determined by amplification of Aspergillus ITS2 regions from lung homogenates. (C–E) Histology of lung sections of WT and Nod1−/− mice at day 3 pi, and morphometric analysis of the lesions in the whole lung sections using Image J software to quantify the lesions in (C) number and (D) size. Slides were stained by HE staining at (E), 2x and (F), 10x magnification, (G) III Grocott’s Methenamine Silver staining at 10x magnification or (H) IV immunohistochemistry with anti-F4/80 antibody counterstained with HE staining. (F–H) IL-1β, IL-6, KC, G-CSF, and TNFa levels in (I) serum, (J) bronchoalveolar lavage (BAL), and (K) lung homogenates measured at day 3 pi. Data are represented as mean ± SEM and means were compared using the Mann–Whitney U test. *P*-values of statistical tests are shown within the graphs.

NOD1 Silencing Augments Oxidative Burst and Fungal Killing

Since Nod1 deficiency impacts the killing capacity and ROS production in murine BMDMs, we validated these findings within a human background by silencing NOD1 gene expression in human monocyte-derived macrophages (MDMs). NOD1 silencing by siRNA targeting NOD1 (siNOD1) was confirmed by qPCR and a significant reduction of NOD1 mRNA expression could be detected in both serum- and GM-CSF-differentiated MDMs (Figure 5A). Treatment with siNOD1 increased the killing capacity of MDMs when compared with cells that were transfected with scrambled siRNA (Figure 5B). ROS release was undetectable in the serum-differentiated MDMs; however, in GM-CSF-differentiated MDMs treated with siNOD1 the capacity to induce an oxidative burst was also slightly, yet significantly increased (Figures 5C,D).

NOD1 Signaling Suppresses Fungal Killing Capacity

Since we observed that NOD1 deficiency or silencing resulted in an increased capacity to eliminate A. fumigatus conidia, we investigated whether activation of NOD1 could thus have an inhibitory effect on the host response to A. fumigatus. To assess the effect of NOD stimulation on oxidative burst, PBMCs were stimulated with TriDAP and subsequently exposed to zymosan. Oxidative burst induced by zymosan was also reduced by pre-stimulation with the NOD1 ligand (Figure 6A). NOD ligands could potentially induce an oxidative burst thereby exhausting the cells; however, we found no detectable oxidative burst induced by NOD ligands (Figure 6B). Monocytes were differentiated with GM-CSF into MDMs and exposed to the NOD1 ligand...
**Figure 5** | Silencing of NOD1 gene expression in human monocyte-derived macrophages improves fungal killing and oxidative burst. (A) Silencing efficiency of siRNA targeting NOD1 (siNOD1) compared with scrambled siRNA in human macrophages (1 × 10⁵) differentiated in 10% serum (n = 6) or 10% serum with 5 ng/mL GM-CSF (n = 7). (B) CFU remaining of *A. fumigatus* plotted as percentage of input (2 × 10⁶) following exposure for 24 h to human macrophages (1 × 10⁵) differentiated in 10% serum with 5 ng/mL GM-CSF that were treated with scrambled siRNA or siNOD1. (C, D) ROS release by GM-CSF differentiated macrophages treated with scrambled siRNA or siNOD1 following exposure to zymosan (n = 5). Time points were compared for significance by two-way ANOVA. Data in scatter plots are represented as individual data points and median. Means were compared using the Wilcoxon signed rank test. ud = undetectable.
TriDAP. MDMs that were exposed to TriDAP demonstrated a significantly reduced killing capacity compared with control cells (Figure 6C).

**NOD1 Activation or Deficiency Modulates Expression of Dectin-1**

Nucleotide-oligomerization domain receptors are known to interplay with TLRs via their downstream kinase RICK, and in particular with TLR2 (28–31). NOD1 deficiency or stimulation of NOD1 could very well impact killing, cytokine release, and ROS via modulation of PRRs. Therefore, surface expression of several PRRs, known to be involved in host defense against *Aspergillus*, were assessed by flow cytometry on PBMCs. Stimulation with TriDAP did not significantly affect TLR4 and MR expression on monocytes. Dectin-1, however, was differentially regulated by NOD1 stimulation with a decrease of its expression (Figure 7A). This observation was also reflected by the number of dectin-1 positive monocytes (Figure 7B). To validate whether the reduced dectin-1 surface expression was regulated on a transcriptional level, RNA expression of *CLEC7A* (the gene encoding dectin-1) was assessed. Similarly, a decreased dectin-1 (*CLEC7A*) expression was observed (Figure 7C). In addition, siRNA treatment with siNOD1 of MDMs resulted in an increased dectin-1 (*CLEC7A*) expression (Figure 7D). To assess whether *Nod1*-deficient mice have altered dectin-1 expression, RNA was isolated from the lung, spleen, and bone marrow and dectin-1 (*Clec7A*) expression was measured. Compared with wild-type mice, *Nod1*-deficient mice had significantly elevated *Clec7A* expression in the lung and bone marrow, while only a trend toward increased *Clec7A* expression was observed in the spleen (Figure 7E). To determine whether the augmented killing capacity of human MDMs in which NOD1 is silenced is due to a functional enhancement of dectin-1 we systematically blocked dectin-1 using laminarin and dectin-1-blocking antibodies. The augmented killing capacity of human macrophages treated with *Nod1* targeting siRNA was abolished by dectin-1 blockade using laminarin or anti-human dectin-1 (Figure 7F). Similarly, laminarin mediated blockade of dectin-1 reversed the augmented fungal killing of *Nod1*−/− BMDMs (Figure 7G).

**DISCUSSION**

PRRs regulate the induction of an effective host defense against *A. fumigatus* through recognition of molecules present on the fungal cell wall and induction of potent antifungal effector mechanisms (4, 32). However, little is known about receptors that have a direct inhibitory effects on the induction of antifungal effector mechanisms. Here we demonstrate that the intracellular pattern recognition receptor NOD1 plays an inhibitory role in host response against *A. fumigatus*. We observed that NOD1 activation reduces fungal killing and the induction of oxidative burst. Conversely, murine *Nod1*-deficient cells or human cells in which *NOD1* gene expression was silenced show augmented fungal killing, oxidative burst, and cytokine responses. Most striking, despite being immunocompromised, *Nod1*−/− mice were observed to be less susceptible to *Aspergillus* infection, with reduced fungal burden, and pathological damage to the lungs. Finally, we demonstrate that the activity of NOD1 is inversely correlated with dectin-1 expression, where NOD1 stimulation reduces the expression of dectin-1, while NOD1 silencing in human macrophages or murine *Nod1* deficiency was associated with increased *CLEC7A* (dectin-1) mRNA expression.

It is rarely observed that deficiency of a receptor is associated with decreased antifungal effector mechanisms. *Tlr9*−/− mice were found to be less susceptible to *Aspergillus* infection with reduced fungal burden (33). However, why TLR9 deficiency is protective is difficult to understand since TLR9 stimulation by CpG enhances the capacity of DCs to induce protective Th1 responses (34). Modulation of TLR5 in THP-1 cells is shown to negatively impact killing of *Aspergillus* conidia, with silencing of TLR5 gene expression associated with increased fungal killing and activation of TLR5 with reduced fungal killing (35), reduced fungal killing was also observed in neutrophils (36). This modulation of fungal killing is similar to our data with NOD1 activation or siNOD1 in MDMs. However, a mutation in TLR5 leading to a stop codon was identified as a risk factor for aspergillosis (37). Other than these PRRs, we are not aware of other receptors that negatively impact host defense against *Aspergillus*. 

![Figure 6](image-url)
Following an otherwise lethal *Aspergillus* infection, *Nod1*−/− mice demonstrated rapid fungal clearance, which was associated with an almost complete absence of pathological damage and fungal outgrowth in the lungs. In contrast, WT mice succumbed to the infection with severe fungal outgrowth in the lungs and significant pathological damage detected by histopathology. In contrast to our aspergillosis model, the NOD1 receptor is non-redundant in numerous bacterial infection...
models, such as *Mycobacterium tuberculosis* (9), *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* (38), *Shigella flexneri* (39), and *Helicobacter pylori* (40). In these models, NOD1 was required for an efficient cytokine response (38, 39) and killing of the pathogen (9, 38, 40). In contrast to these latter studies with bacteria, our data suggest that NOD1 has an inhibitory role on the antifungal host defense against *Aspergillus*. Nod1 deficiency results in an increased capacity of BMDMs to kill live *Aspergillus* and an enhanced oxidative burst upon stimulation with zymosan. Strikingly, we also observed increased cytokine responses and enhanced NFκB translocation in murine Nod1-deficient cells. This is in contrast to a previous study that shows NOD1 to be required for NFκB translocation in the response of corneal epithelial cells to *A. fumigatus* (6). The fact that we observe similar results when we silence NOD1 gene expression in human MDMs validates that the observed effects are due to the absence of NOD1. In contrast, we observed that NOD1 activation has the opposite effect of NOD1 deficiency and silencing. Taken together, these data suggest that NOD1 inhibits crucial pathways in recognition of *Aspergillus* that limits the induction of protective antifungal effector mechanisms.

Mechanistically, we were able to demonstrate that activation of the NOD1 receptor by its ligand TriDAP reduces surface expression of the C-type lectin receptor dectin-1 on human monocytes, one of the most crucial receptors in host defense against *Aspergillus* (41–51). We found that the reduced surface expression was the result of a downregulation of CLEC7A mRNA expression when human monocytes were stimulated with the NOD1 ligand. Contrariwise, NOD1 silencing increased CLEC7A mRNA expression. Therefore, the activity of NOD1 seems to show a reverse correlation with CLEC7A transcription. Extending this to the in vivo model we observed increased Clec7a mRNA levels in the lungs and bone marrow of Nod1−/− mice, compared with WT controls. Dectin-1 is crucial for the induction of ROS by *Aspergillus*, which is in line with our data showing increased ROS by Nod1−/− murine BMDMs or in human MDM where NOD1 gene expression was silenced, which express more dectin-1 (52). We were able to pinpoint that the increased dectin-1 expression, in the absence of NOD1, was responsible for augmented fungal killing by Nod1−/− BMDMs and human MDM in which NOD1 was silenced, as blockade of dectin-1 reversed the augmented killing.

ROS is essential for the host defense against *Aspergillus* and its importance is illustrated by patients with chronic granulomatous disease who are highly susceptible to infections with *Aspergillus* due to a defect in NADPH-dependent ROS production (53, 54). *Aspergillus* and Zymosan, which are used in our study to study the oxidative burst by murine and human macrophages, are both recognized by dectin-1 (55). We suggest that the modulation of dectin-1 expression by NOD1 could be the responsible mechanism for alterations in the capacity to induce an oxidative burst. Similarly we found that Nod1−/− BMDMs and human MDMs wherein NOD1 gene expression was silenced have an increased capacity to kill conidia and a decreased conidial killing was observed in human MDMs when NOD1 was stimulated. These changes in conidial killing can also be explained by the differences in dectin-1 expression, as dectin-1 expression is required for efficient phagocytosis (45, 50, 51) and killing of *A. fumigatus* (48, 49) [reviewed in Ref. (42)].

In our in vitro studies, we observed that the absence of NOD1 improved fungal killing through enhancement of dectin-1 expression in BMDMs or human MDMs. Although it is evident that in host defense against *A. fumigatus* these cells employ dectin-1 to induce their antifungal effector functions, it is becoming increasingly evident that other cells also use dectin-1 to recognize *Aspergillus*. For example, the role of the pulmonary epithelium is an important tissue that must be taken into account, since these cells can also play an important role in anti-Aspergillus host defense. Dectin-1 on bronchial epithelial cells plays a role in the induction of innate immune responses to *Aspergillus* including the release of antimicrobial peptides such as defensins (51). Moreover, it has been demonstrated that enhancing dectin-1 on only the pulmonary epithelium promotes the resistance to IA (52). The role of dectin-1 in non-myeloid derived tissues is also highlighted by the observation that dectin-1 polymorphisms in the genotype of the recipients of hematopoietic stem cell transplants, which represent the non-myeloid tissues in the patient, predisposes to the development of aspergillosis (56). It cannot be concluded that the protection against aspergillosis that we observe in Nod1−/− mice is solely due to the increased dectin-1 expression on macrophages. We observed that dectin-1 expression in these mice is increased in both the bone marrow as well as the lung. Although resident macrophages in the lung could account for the changed dectin-1 expression, from our data it cannot be excluded that enhanced dectin-1 expression on the pulmonary epithelium does not play an additional role in the protection against *Aspergillus* infection.

Most interestingly, we were able to demonstrate that, in addition to its cytoplasmic expression, the NOD1 receptor localizes to *Aspergillus*-containing phagosome. Due to this localization to the phagosome, we suggest that NOD1 may also recognize fungal PAMPs that are exposed in the phagosome. Nevertheless, further studies are warranted to explore whether cytoplasmic sensing of fungal PAMPs or sensing of fungal PAMPs in the phagosome triggers the effects mediated by NOD1. Although NOD1 is crucial for recognition of bacterial cell wall products (57, 58) and activation of downstream protective immune mechanisms, we suggest that upon engagement of NOD1 with fungi, deleterious mechanisms are induced. Therefore, the potent protective effect of Nod1 deficiency and beneficial effects of NOD1 silencing makes it tempting to suggest the blockade of NOD1 as a novel treatment strategy for IA. Currently, no pharmacological inhibitors are available to block NOD1 in vivo, but small molecule inhibitors that could potentially be used for therapy have been identified (59).

Collectively, we conclude that NOD1 induces a detrimental effect on protective antifungal mechanisms in host defense against *A. fumigatus*. The absence of NOD1 enhances the protective effector mechanisms such as cytokine production, oxidative burst, fungal killing, and dectin-1 expression. This observation paves the way for the development of new treatment strategies for IA that target NOD1.
ETHICS STATEMENT

The human study was carried out in accordance with the recommendations of the guidelines for human research from the Arnhem-Nijmegen Medical Ethical Committee, with written informed consent from all subjects. All subjects gave written informed consent in accordance with the Declaration of Helsinki. The protocol was approved by the Arnhem-Nijmegen Medical Ethical Committee. The animal study was carried out in accordance with the recommendations of Institut Pasteur guidelines, in compliance with European animal welfare regulation and under regulations of the St. Jude Children’s Research Hospital Committee on Use and Care of Animals. The protocols were approved by the by the ethical committee for animal experimentation CETEA (Comité déthique en experimentation animale, Project license number 2013-0020) and by St. Jude Children’s Research Hospital Committee on Use and Care of Animals (protocol no 482-100265-1/-13), respectively.

AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

MG, OI-G, and FV conceived and designed the experiments. MG, MJ, RM, OR, GJ, CF, WM, and OI-G performed the experiments. MG, MJ, RM, OR, GJ, WM, TK, and OI-G analyzed the data. TK provided valuable reagents. MG, AC, OI-G, and FV wrote the manuscript. MG, MJ, WM, TK, AC, OI-G, and FV amended the manuscript.

REFERENCES


ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

Part of this work was the doctoral thesis of M.S. Gresnigt, Recognition and Cytokine Signaling Pathways in Host Defense Against *Aspergillus fumigatus* (60). The authors thank C. Wertz and M. Foston D’Andon for providing Nod1-deficient mice K. Schraa for her assistance with cultivating BMDMs, B. Briard for help with western blot analysis.

FUNDING

MG was supported by the Erasmus lifelong learning program. FV was supported by the E-blue project EURO-CMC. TK was supported by the National Institutes of Health [grant numbers AI101935, AI124346, AR056296, and CA163507]. AC was supported by the Northern Portugal Regional Operational Programme (NORTE 2020), under the Portugal 2020 Partnership Agreement, through the European Regional Development Fund (FEDER) (NORTE-01-0145-FEDER-000013), and the Fundação para a Ciência e Tecnologia (FCT) (IF/00735/2014).

SUPPLEMENTARY MATERIAL

The Supplementary Material for this article can be found online at http://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fimmu.2017.01777/full#supplementary-material.


**Conflict of Interest Statement:** The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Copyright © 2017 Gresnigt, Jaeger, Subbarao Malireddi, Rasid, Jouvron, Fitting, Melchers, Kanneganti, Carvalho, Ibrahim-Granet and van de Veerdonk. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) or licensor are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.