Biological relevance of volatile organic compounds emitted during the pathogenic interactions between apple plants and *Erwinia amylovora*
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SUMMARY

Volatile organic compounds emitted during the infection of apple (*Malus pumila var. domestica*) plants by *Erwinia amylovora* or *Pseudomonas syringae* pv. *syringae* were studied by gas chromatography-mass spectrometry and proton transfer reaction-mass spectrometry, and used to treat uninfected plants. Infected plants showed a disease-specific emission of volatile organic compounds, including several bio-active compounds, such as hexenal isomers and 2,3-butanediol. Leaf growth promotion and a higher resistance to the pathogen, expressed as a lower bacterial growth and migration in plant tissues, were detected in plants exposed to volatile compounds from *E. amylovora*-infected plants. Transcriptional analysis revealed the activation of salicylic acid synthesis and signal transduction in healthy plants exposed to volatiles produced by acid synthesis and signal transduction in healthy plants. Transcriptional analysis revealed the activation of salicylic diol.
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INTRODUCTION

The emission of volatile organic compounds (VOCs) by plants represents a relevant loss of organic carbon, and has been regarded as an adaptive mechanism to cope with stress (Holopainen, 2004; Kesselmeier et al., 2002). The advantages of gaseous functional compounds are associated with their rapid spread throughout and beyond the individual plant, enabling both a systemic and a population effect.

Many cases of plant responses to pests or pathogens are known to be mediated by VOCs. For instance, specific sets of volatiles are emitted by plants after herbivore feeding as an indirect defence, working as attractors of predators and parasitoids (Arimura et al., 2005; Bruinsma et al., 2009). Several gaseous compounds with a hormonal effect can drive plant growth, phenology and defence responses in the presence of both biotic and abiotic stresses. In particular, the core of plant defence and stress signalling is formed by the interplay between the signalling pathways of ethylene, salicylic acid (SA) and jasmonic acid (JA) and their VOC derivatives (Spinelli et al., 2011c; Verhage et al., 2010). Ethylene emission has been observed in several pathosystems, including apple plants infected by *Erwinia amylovora* (Burrill 1882) (Iakimova et al., 2013; Spinelli et al., 2011a). The volatile methylated forms of SA and JA, which are responsible for long-range (systemic) effects, have also been implicated in numerous plant–pathogen interactions (Champigny and Cameron, 2009; Tamogami et al., 2008). SA is a phenolic compound, which may originate from a phenylalanine ammonia lyase (PAL)- or isochorismate synthase (ICS)-dependent pathway. Receptors, such as non-expressor of PR1 (NPR1) and SA binding protein 2 (SABP2), mediate the activation of pathogen-related (PR) genes. The oxylipin pathway, initiated by the lipoxygenase (LOX)-dependent oxidation of polyunsaturated fatty acids, ends with the production of several biologically active compounds, including JA and green leaf volatiles (GLVs) (Tamogami et al., 2008; Wasternack and Kombrink, 2010). As JA- and SA-mediated responses are aimed at pathogens with different lifestyles (necrotrophs for JA, biotrophs for SA), their signalling pathways are often mutually antagonistic (An and Mou, 2011). In addition to such conserved signalling pathways, a specific host–pathogen relation may be characterized by a well-defined VOC emission spectrum, with biological effects possibly mediating the pathogen’s growth or spread, or the host’s response to infection (Cellini et al., 2016; Naznin et al., 2013; Ryu et al., 2004).
In this work, the interaction between *E. amylovora* (Ea) and *Malus pumila* var. *domestica* was investigated. Ea is a Gram-negative bacterium with a host range restricted to the Rosaceae family, and is responsible for fire blight disease in pomaceous plants. The main symptoms of this severe disease are twig dieback, caused by the pathogen’s multiplication in xylem vessels, and the excretion of bacterial ooze. A comparison has been made with the generalist pathogen *Pseudomonas syringae* pv. *syringae* (Pss), which shares several features with Ea (e.g. both are Gram-negative pathogens, adopting a type III secretion system to deliver effectors into the host cell) and causes similar necrotic symptoms on apple plants (DebRoy et al., 2004).

To provide a biochemical characterization of VOC emissions in apple plants after infection with Ea, gas chromatography-mass spectrometry (GC-MS) and proton transfer reaction-mass spectrometry (PTR-MS) analyses were performed. The latter technique, although not suitable for the precise identification of volatiles, is useful for real-time monitoring of VOC emissions. Subsequently, the existence of biological effects was assessed, with regard to bacterial growth and migration inside the host tissues, and plant growth and metabolism. Finally, the observed biological effects were explained by the analysis of the expression of genes related to the synthesis and signalling of SA and JA.

**RESULTS**

**Dynamics of VOC emissions**

In order to obtain a significant description of the volatile compounds emitted by infected plants, a preliminary real-time PTR-MS analysis was run for 96 h post-inoculation to establish whether plants infected with different bacteria could be distinguished according to their VOC emissions, and the time required for such differences to emerge for subsequent GC-MS determination.

During the infection process, the PTR-MS profiles of samples gradually separated according to the pathogen. The transition between the observations at 12 h and 48 h post-inoculation is shown in Fig. 1, demonstrating that, by 48 h post-inoculation, it was possible to discriminate between Ea-infected, Pss-infected and mock-inoculated plantlets. The discrimination was successful at all time points between 48 and 96 h (end of the experiment) post-inoculation.

**Characterization of VOCs from infected samples**

As PTR-MS profiling of VOCs allowed the discrimination of Ea-infected samples, the compounds responsible for the differences were identified by GC-MS. The analysis was carried out at 3 days post-inoculation, as 48 h were sufficient for recognition by PTR-MS. A list of the VOCs identified by GC-MS analysis is shown in Table 1. Among the compounds characterizing Ea-infected plants, 2,3-butanediol, 3-hydroxy-2-butanone and phenylethyl alcohol were also found in Ea liquid cultures, whereas 3-hexenal, 2-hexenal and 1,2-propanediol production was found only in Ea-infected plants. Some compounds were induced in wounded plants, both infected and mock-inoculated, such as α-farnesene and 3-hexen-1-ol acetate. A subset of the molecules released by Ea-infected plants was selected according to biological relevance and the commercial availability of pure standards, and included 2-hexenal, 3-hydroxy-2-butane, 2,3-butanediol and phenylethyl alcohol. The concentrations of such compounds were estimated by comparison with standards, and amounted to $6.7 \pm 2.6$ ng, $8.4 \pm 6.9$ µg, $94.6 \pm 79.8$ µg and $69.2 \pm 23.6$ ng/g fresh weight, respectively.

PTR-MS data, obtained from the same sample set, supported the GC-MS results. Indeed, infection with Ea resulted in an increase in m/z fragments 81 and 87, which can be ascribed to
hexenal isomers and 2,3-butanedione, respectively (Fig. 2). In addition, other m/z fragments may originate from relevant compounds: fragment 63 from dimethyl sulfide, fragment 69 from isoprope, hexenal, 3-methyl-butanal or other alcohols/aldehydes, and fragment 83 from hexanal.

**Effects of pre-exposure to infected plants on endophytic pathogen growth and migration**

An experiment was set up with in vitro healthy plants, pre-exposed to VOCs from infected plants included in the same pot, but avoiding any direct contact (Fig. 3a). The susceptibility of such pre-exposed plants was determined by the quantification of the endophytic population of Ea at 3 days post-inoculation. In a series of independent experiments, the population of Ea in pre-exposed acceptor in vitro plantlets was decreased by one to three orders of magnitude. Conversely, in similar experiments performed with Pss, no significant differences emerged in the population size of this pathogen (Figs 4 and S1, see Supporting Information). To confirm the effect of VOCs released during infection with Ea in more natural conditions, experiments were repeated on potted seedlings, included in air-proof bags and maintained under a continuous flow of VOCs from infected plants (Fig. 3b). The effects on the pathogen population at 7 days post-inoculation were comparable with those obtained in in vitro plantlets pre-exposed to infected neighbours. However, the decrease in the endophytic Ea population was less pronounced than in plants exposed to a static accumulation of VOCs produced by infected neighbour plants (Fig. 4).

Finally, the Ea migration rate in infected seedlings was halved by pre-exposure to diseased plants (Fig. 5).

---

**Table 1** Gas chromatography-mass spectrometry (GC-MS) identification and relative quantification of volatile organic compounds (VOCs) emitted by in vitro apple plants and Ea cultures grown in Luria–Bertani (LB) broth (provided as percentage of total emission).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Compound</th>
<th>LRI</th>
<th>Ea liquid culture</th>
<th>Plant samples</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Ea-infected</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2-Ethoxy-2-methyl-propane</td>
<td>672</td>
<td>11.3 ± 2.1</td>
<td>5.4 ± 0.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2,4,4-Trimethyl-1-pentene</td>
<td>739</td>
<td>18.8 ± 3.7</td>
<td>12.1 ± 2.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Branched alkane, CB–9</td>
<td>777</td>
<td>2.8 ± 0.7</td>
<td>2.6 ± 0.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Branched alkane, CB–9</td>
<td>820</td>
<td>3.5 ± 0.5</td>
<td>5.0 ± 1.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Branched alkane, CB–9</td>
<td>868</td>
<td>0.5 ± 0.4</td>
<td>1.1 ± 0.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2,4-Dimethyl-1-heptene</td>
<td>894</td>
<td>2.6 ± 0.5</td>
<td>9.7 ± 3.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3-Methyl-butanal</td>
<td>917</td>
<td>0.6 ± 0.6</td>
<td>0.4 ± 0.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ethanol</td>
<td>929</td>
<td>14.7 ± 13.2</td>
<td>0.4 ± 0.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Branched alkane, CB–10</td>
<td>965</td>
<td>1.3 ± 0.1</td>
<td>1.4 ± 0.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2,3-Butanedione</td>
<td>977</td>
<td>1.4 ± 0.4</td>
<td>0.2 ± 0.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Branched alkane, C &gt; 9</td>
<td>1008</td>
<td>2.8 ± 0.6</td>
<td>6.1 ± 2.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Branched alkane, C &gt; 9</td>
<td>1031</td>
<td>0.1 ± 0.1</td>
<td>1.2 ± 0.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Branched alkane, C &gt; 9</td>
<td>1035</td>
<td>0.9 ± 0.4</td>
<td>3.3 ± 2.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Branched alkane, C &gt; 9</td>
<td>1066</td>
<td>0.2 ± 0.1</td>
<td>2.6 ± 1.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2-Methyl-1-propanol</td>
<td>1100</td>
<td>4.9 ± 4.0</td>
<td>1.9 ± 0.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Z)–3-Hexenal</td>
<td>1143</td>
<td>2.8 ± 2.2</td>
<td>0.3 ± 0.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1-Undecene</td>
<td>1153</td>
<td>11.2 ± 7.6</td>
<td>0.9 ± 0.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Z)–2-Hexenal</td>
<td>1207</td>
<td>4.2 ± 2.2</td>
<td>0.9 ± 0.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3-Methyl-1-butanol</td>
<td>1212</td>
<td>54.7 ± 14.2</td>
<td>0.9 ± 0.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Branched alkane, C10–11</td>
<td>1266</td>
<td>1.3 ± 0.4</td>
<td>2.2 ± 0.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3-Hydroxy-2-butanol</td>
<td>1270</td>
<td>4.3 ± 3.2</td>
<td>0.2 ± 0.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alkene, C &gt; 11</td>
<td>1305</td>
<td>4.5 ± 0.6</td>
<td>3.9 ± 0.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Z)–3-Hexen-1-ol, acetate</td>
<td>1315</td>
<td>1.4 ± 0.6</td>
<td>2.4 ± 0.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Propanoic acid, anhydride</td>
<td>1330</td>
<td>1.7 ± 0.3</td>
<td>1.6 ± 0.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alkene, C &gt; 11</td>
<td>1332</td>
<td>4.7 ± 0.8</td>
<td>4.5 ± 0.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Z)–3-Hexen-1-ol</td>
<td>1379</td>
<td>1.4 ± 1.1</td>
<td>2.2 ± 1.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Branched ketone, C &gt; 10</td>
<td>1414</td>
<td>0.8 ± 0.3</td>
<td>1.5 ± 0.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Branched ketone, C &gt; 10</td>
<td>1459</td>
<td>1.0 ± 0.3</td>
<td>2.1 ± 0.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2,3-Butanediol</td>
<td>1527</td>
<td>0.2 ± 0.2</td>
<td>3.2 ± 2.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1,2-Propanediol</td>
<td>1565</td>
<td>0.7 ± 0.5</td>
<td>0.8 ± 0.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ketone or aldehyde, C &gt; 9</td>
<td>1585</td>
<td>1.2 ± 0.2</td>
<td>4.6 ± 3.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>α-Farnesene</td>
<td>1751</td>
<td>2.8 ± 1.6</td>
<td>0.8 ± 0.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Phenylethyl alcohol</td>
<td>1893</td>
<td>1.7 ± 0.8</td>
<td>1.6 ± 0.7</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Linear retention index (LRI) is provided for each compound. Values (average ± standard error of the mean) were calculated from three samples, each consisting of four plantlets enclosed in a 150-mL jar or 50-mL glass tubes containing 10 mL of LB medium (for bacterial cultures). Ea, *Erwinia amylovora*; Pss, *Pseudomonas syringae* pv. *syringae*.
Effects of pre-exposure to infected plants on plant growth and metabolism

To verify whether VOCs emitted during infection influence the growth and metabolism of healthy neighbouring plants, in vitro plantlets were exposed to infected plants for 21 days. After this period, no significant differences were found in daily carbon fixation or dry weight fresh weight ratio on exposure to either Ea- or Pss-infected VOC emitters (Fig. 6a,b). Instead, VOCs from Ea-infected plants induced an increase in total leaf area in healthy receiver plants (Fig. 6c).

SA- and JA-related gene expression

As the pre-exposure to Ea-infected plants reduces both pathogen growth and migration, an induction of plant defences was hypothesized. Thus, the expression of several genes, involved in plant defences, was investigated in relation to pre-exposure to Ea-infected plants, and subsequent infection with the same pathogen. The expression of PAL was not detectable in any condition. The exposure to VOCs from infected plants induced the expression of ICS, the SA signal transduction components SABP2 and NPR1, and PR1, PR5 and PR8 in healthy neighbour plants. However, the subsequent infection substantially reduced the expression of these genes. No significant expression was found in non-exposed plants, either infected or not infected. With regard to LOX1A and LOX2A, a higher induction took place in exposed, infected plants compared with exposed, non-infected plants. A faint expression was also found in non-exposed, infected samples (Fig. 7).
DISCUSSION

VOC emission and bacterial growth

In this work, a specific VOC emission was shown for Ea-infected apple plants. Some compounds, such as 2,3-butanediol, 3-hydroxy-2-butanone and phenylethyl alcohol, were produced by the bacterium even in artificial growth medium, independently from plant-derived metabolites, whereas others (hexenal isomers, 1,2-propanediol) were induced in plants after infection with Ea. The emission of biologically active gases by Ea-infected plants has been recorded previously. For instance, ethylene levels have been observed to increase after Ea infection (Iakimova et al., 2013; Spinelli et al., 2011a). The emission of isoprene and terpenoids by Ea in pure culture and in Ea-infected pear plants has also been recorded (Spinelli et al., 2012). Isoprene and terpenoids are well-known modulators of the plant-pathogenic response (Gershenzon and Dudareva, 2007). Moreover, they may generate ozone and other reactive oxygen species in the presence of UV radiation (Sharkey et al., 2008), thus mediating direct toxicity against pathogens or plant stress responses (Spinelli et al., 2011a).

This work presents other compounds specifically emitted by Ea or Ea-infected plants which may affect plant growth or defence. Hexenal isomers belong to the GLV subgroup of the oxylipin family. Their effects on pathogen viability, insect behaviour and induction of the defence response in plants have been reported previously (reviewed by Matsui, 2006). Phenylethyl alcohol can be produced by both plants and microorganisms (Kai et al., 2007; Spinelli et al., 2012), and may have antimicrobial effects, by altering membrane permeability and nutrient transport in cells, thus playing an ecological role in the competition of Ea against other microbes in the phyllosphere. The production of 1,2-propanediol is probably caused by the anaerobic fermentation of sugars (Saxena et al., 2010), but no biological effects of this compound are known.

2,3-Butanediol and related compounds, such as acetoin (3-hydroxy-2-butanone) and 2,3-butanedione, are produced directly by bacteria (Spinelli et al., 2012), and induce resistance in Arabidopsis (Ryu et al., 2004) against Pectobacterium carotovorum (formerly Erwinia carotovora) and in pear against Ea (Spinelli et al., 2012). However, the same bacterium requires the 2,3-butanediol biosynthetic pathway to induce pathogenesis (Marquez-Villavicencio et al., 2011), as a source of NAD⁺ in fermentative metabolism. Finally, the induced resistance stimulated by 2,3-butanediol is effective on some, but not all, pathogen species (Han et al., 2006).

Overall, plant-emitted VOCs and bacterial metabolism by-products may affect both the host and the pathogen (Fig. 8). Considering their effects as growth and defence promoters,
biologically active VOCs may be regarded as a special type of microbe-associated molecular pattern.

**VOC-induced changes in plant growth**

As hormonal effects have been reported previously for some of the VOCs emitted during the host–pathogen interaction, the induction of changes indicating different growth patterns in healthy plants exposed to diseased plants was investigated. Total leaf area, but not total carbon fixation, was increased in Ea-exposed plants. Therefore, tissue differentiation, rather than plant growth, may be influenced by VOCs. Plant growth promotion by 2,3-butanediol-producing bacteria has been recorded previously in micropropagated apple plants (Spinelli et al., 2011b), and was ascribed to a cytokinin-dependent mechanism (Ryu et al., 2003). A plant growth-promoting fungus, *Phoma* sp. GS8-3, which stimulates the growth of tobacco seedlings, shares several VOCs with Ea, including 3-hydroxy-2-butanone and phenylethyl alcohol (Naznin et al., 2013), but none of the components of the VOC blend was uniquely responsible for the growth-promoting effect.

**Induction of defensive responses**

Unlike Pss, which is not significantly affected, Ea growth and migration are reduced in plants previously exposed to VOCs emitted by infected plants (Figs 4 and 5), even in the dynamic flow experimental setting, suggesting the biological relevance of VOCs, even at low concentrations in natural conditions. Ea VOC-mediated self-inhibition of growth was ruled out in previous work (Spinelli et al., 2012).

The induction of plant resistance by 2,3-butanediol in *Arabidopsis* was found to be connected with the ethylene–JA signal cascade, but not SA synthesis or NPR1 (Ryu et al., 2004). In contrast, in this work, gene expression analysis showed that pre-exposure greatly enhances SA production and signalling (including NPR1), whereas infection leads to the partial suppression of this response.

Overall, a model can be suggested in which the host plant employs Ea-derived metabolites to trigger both JA- and SA-related responses. However, the pathogen inhibits SA-dependent, but not JA-dependent, responses. As a result, *PR* genes and other defence responses may be activated in the presence of pathogen-derived VOCs. 
cues (Bonasera et al., 2006; Sarowar et al., 2011), and partially or completely repressed by the invading pathogen. Our experiments do not allow us to establish whether Ea manipulates LOX-mediated JA biosynthesis directly to suppress SA signalling, or whether the induction of LOX is the secondary outcome of the disruption of the plant hormonal balance, mediated by pathogenesis effector proteins or ethylene. Indeed, the latter has been reported previously (Iakimova et al., 2013) to contribute to Ea pathogenicity, rather than to the elicitation of plant defences. Remarkably, the recognition of a volatile signal by host plants potentially triggers a remote and systemic pre-alert status, whereas the pathogen requires the physical interaction with host cells to stop the defence response. Only a faint induction of LOX and ICS1 genes could be detected in non-VOC-treated, infected plants, compared with pre-exposed plants. This may be explained by their shorter exposure to defence-inducing compounds compared with pre-exposed plants (i.e. 3 days from inoculation to sampling vs. 7 days pre-exposure plus 3 days post-inoculation).

CONCLUSIONS

In this work, Ea-derived VOCs have been shown to stimulate defences in apple plant hosts. Such effects are detectable in both in vitro and real-scale conditions. In spite of clues with regard to
the biochemical composition of biologically active volatile emissions, and the activation of specific plant defences, open questions remain on how such compounds are perceived by the plant, and how plant responses are suppressed by Ea. In this perspective, new protection strategies based on volatile plant resistance inducers may be developed for field application.

**EXPERIMENTAL PROCEDURES**

**Biological material and inoculation**

The experiments were performed on either in vitro-rooted apple (cv. Golden Delicious) plantlets (c. 3 cm) or 6-month-old seedlings obtained from apple cv. Golden Delicious. In vitro plants were micropropagated in agarized medium [Murashige and Skoog (MS); Murashige and Skoog, 1962] containing sucrose (30 g/L), myo-inositol (100 mg/L), thiamine-HCl (1 mg/L), nicotinic acid (1 mg/L), pyridoxine (1 mg/L), glycine (1 mg/L), indolebutyric acid (0.05 mg/L), benzylaminopurine (1 mg/L) and gibberellic acid 3 (0.1 mg/L), adjusted to pH 5.7 with KOH. The explants were subsequently rooted in half-concentration MS medium containing sucrose (20 g/L), myo-inositol (100 mg/L), thiamine-HCl (1 mg/L), nicotinic acid (1 mg/L), pyridoxine (1 mg/L), glycine (1 mg/L) and indolebutyric acid (0.1 mg/L), pH 5.7. Before the experiments, the plants were transferred to minimal medium containing only half-concentration MS inorganic salts, adjusted to pH 5.7. The plants were kept in a growth chamber at 22 ± 2 °C, with a 16-h light dark period and illumination at 50 μE/m²/s.

With regard to Golden Delicious seedlings, plants were kept in glasshouse conditions (26 ± 1 °C, 40% relative humidity) under natural light in 1-L pots on a substrate obtained by mixing 1 : 1 of (v/v) peat and sand. The peat mineral concentration declared by the manufacturer was as follows: NH₄⁺, 25 g/m²; NO₃⁻, 35 g/m²; P₂O₅, 104 g/m²; K₂O, 120 g/m²; MgO, 12 g/m²; micronutrients, 25 g/m². Standard irrigation and fertilization were applied. As the mineral fertilizer, 6 g per plant of Poly-Feed (16N-8P-32K; Fertica S.A., HAIFA Chemicals Ltd., Haifa, Israel) were applied at leaf expansion and 3 weeks later.

The bacterial strains used were Ea ICMP 1540 (holotype), ICMP 1540-GFP and 1 79-GFP, transgenic strains encoding a fluorescent marker protein (Spinelli et al., 2005), and Pss ICMP 3523. Wild-type strains were obtained from the International Collection of Microorganisms from Plants (Landcare Research, Lincoln, New Zealand). All the bacteria were maintained on agarized Luria–Bertani (LB) medium at 27 °C. Inocula were prepared from cultures grown overnight and suspended in 10 mM MgSO₄ to a titre of 10⁷ colony-forming units (CFU)/mL. The plant inoculation was performed by cutting one (for in vitro plantlets) or all (for seedlings) the leaf tips per plant, with scissors previously dipped in bacterial suspension. Mock inoculation was performed with sterile 10 mM MgSO₄ instead of the bacterial suspension.

**PTR-MS analysis**

Inoculation with Ea, Pss or 10 mM MgSO₄ (mock infection) was performed on two micropropagated plants, singularly enclosed in 50-mL air-tight vials (about 40 mL of headspace) provided with a pierceable Teflon septum. Temporal changes in the concentrations of specific VOCs, pre-selected by their mass-to-charge ratios (between m/z = 32 and m/z = 137) were monitored immediately after inoculation over a period of 4 days. The analytical set-up was described by Spinelli et al. (2012). Data taken every 12 h post-inoculation were used for elaboration by principal component analysis (PCA) of variance. A subset including the most informative masses, i.e. those showing a variability over time (m/z = 33, 41, 43, 45, 47, 57, 59, 61, 63, 65, 69, 87, 95), was used for PCA, after converting the data to log₁₀.

**GC-MS analysis**

The volatiles emitted by cultured bacteria and infected in vitro plantlets were analysed by GC-MS. Ea was grown in 50-mL glass tubes containing 10 mL of LB broth. Before analysis, cultures were incubated at 27 °C with moderate shaking (150 rpm) for 24 h. Concerning the VOC emission by plants, for each treatment, three samples consisting of four plantlets enclosed in an air-tight 150-mL glass jar (about 130 mL of headspace) were analysed at 3 days post-inoculation. Jars were closed by a Teflon septum and a butylene stopper. Non-inoculated bacterial medium or axenic plant medium was used for reference. Each sample was pre-incubated for 20 min at 40 °C, and then exposed to a 50 30-μm DVB Carboboxen PDMS Stable Flex 2-cm solid phase microextraction (SPME) fibre (Supelco, Bellefonte, PA, USA). After a 40-min exposure, the SPME fibre was desorbed in a Shimadzu GC-MS-QP2010 Plus (Shimadzu, Tokyo, Japan) at 250 °C for 10 min in the split mode. The chromatographic separation of volatile compounds was performed on an RTX-WAX fused-silica capillary column (30 m × 0.25 mm i.d. × 0.25 μm) coated with polyethylene glycol (PEG) (Restek, Bellefonte, PA, USA). A three-step heating oven program was set: (1) 45 °C, 10 min; (2) 4 °C min temperature increase to 200 °C; (3) 200 °C, 8 min. Helium was used as the carrier gas at a constant flow rate of 1 mL/min. A mass range from 33 to 400 m/z was scanned at a rate of 769 amu s. Mass spectra and linear retention indices (calculated according to the retention times of linear C8–C20 standard alkanes) were used for the identification of volatile compounds, based on the NIST/EPA/NIH Mass Spectral Database (NIST 08, National Institute of Standards and Technology, Gaithersburg, MD, USA) and the ChemSpider information resource (http://www.chemspider.com).

To provide an estimation for some of the most relevant compounds identified, known amounts of 2-hexenal, 3-hydroxy-2-butanone, 2,3-butanediol and phenylethyl alcohol were added to 150-mL pots containing plant minimal medium to obtain a standard curve. Sample concentrations were calculated from raw Total Ion Count (TIC) values.

**Study of VOC-mediated inter-plant communication**

Two different experimental set-ups were designed to study inter-plant communication.

The first set-up used in vitro-rooted plantlets, transplanted on half-concentration MS mineral salt medium, in a static environment in which VOCs could accumulate (Fig. 3a). To prevent contamination between infected (VOC emitter) plants and healthy acceptors, an open 150-mL glass vial, containing three Ea-, Pss- or mock-infected VOC-emitting plants, was included in an air-tight 1.5-L glass jar, in which eight healthy plants were exposed for 1 week. Subsequently, the VOC emitters were removed and the receiving plants were infected with the same pathogen as used for the emitters (Ea or Pss) for the assessment of bacterial
endophytic growth and for transcriptional analyses on the host plant (see below). Three biological replicates, each consisting of eight plants from the same jar, were used for each treatment.

The second experimental set-up allowed us to mimic a natural dynamic situation of neighbouring plants (Fig. 3B) without the artificial accumulation of VOCs. Six-month-old potted apple plants were exposed to VOCs from infected plants under an air flow of 9 L/min to provide a complete replacement of the headspace every 3 min. To prevent the influence of VOCs from external sources, each plant canopy was enclosed in an odorless, transparent plastic bag, obtained by the modification of commercial bags for gas sampling (30215-U Supelco, Sigma-Aldrich, St. Louis, MO, USA), and sealed around the stem. The headspace of three infected plants was collected in a buffer glass jar and flowed onto four acceptor plants for 7 days. Four more plants were exposed to mock-inoculated emitters. Millipore filters (pore diameter, 0.2 μm) were placed on the tubing to prevent the accidental spread of bacteria. After exposure, acceptor plants were infected for further experiments.

Assessment of bacterial endophytic growth and migration

The bacterial growth inside the host tissues was measured on in vitro plantlets and potted plants, 3 days and 1 week, respectively, after the infection of acceptor plants. The plant samples were surface sterilized by 1-min washing in 70% ethanol and in 1% HClO, followed by two rinses in sterile distilled water. The fresh tissue was then ground in MgSO₄, and serial 1 : 10 dilutions were obtained from the fresh extract. For each dilution, four 10-μL drops were plated on agarized LB medium. The bacterial population was expressed as the number of CFU per gram of fresh plant tissue.

In addition, bacterial quantification was confirmed by a quantitative polymerase chain reaction (qPCR)-based assay. DNA was extracted from infected plants and processed using primers designed on the plasmid pEA29, as described previously (Salm and Geider, 2004). As a quantification standard, pure Ea cultures from 10² to 10⁸ CFU mL were included in each qPCR assay performed.

Concurrent with the assessment of population size, bacterial migration was assessed on potted plants infected with Ea strain 1/79-GFP by microscopic observation of fluorescent bacteria. A Nikon (Tokyo, Japan) C1-S confocal laser scanning microscope, equipped with an argon laser, a 40× Nikon PlanApo objective and a BHS (GHS) filter set (excitation, 450–490 nm; emission, 510 nm), was used for image acquisition. A 500-μm graph ocular allowed the measurement of migration. At least four leaves from each acceptor plant were analysed. Leaf veins were enumerated and the presence of Ea was screened based on its fluorescence. Where present, the length of the fluorescent trace was measured. The migration rate was calculated as the ratio of infected veins multiplied by the mean value of the relative length of migration inside the veins (Spinelli et al., 2007).

Biometric parameters

The experiment was performed on in vitro plantlets on half-concentration MS mineral salt medium in a sealed 1.5-L glass jar containing eight healthy and three inoculated plants in separate compartments. After a 21-day exposure, the infected emitting plantlets were removed from the jar and the non-inoculated acceptors were used for the determination of the fresh weight/dry weight ratio, total leaf area and daily carbon fixation.

The CO₂ concentration in the 1.5-L jar headspace was measured at the end of the dark period and at the end of the light period using EGM-4 equipment (PP System International, Amesbury, MA, USA). The difference, representing the daily CO₂ fixation, was calculated. The plant samples were subsequently spread on an Epson (Nagano, Tokyo) GT 1200 scanner, and the acquired image was processed by imaging software (GIMP 2.6.6 GNU Image Manipulation Program, Free Software Foundation, Boston, MA, USA) to estimate the total leaf area. Finally, the fresh and dry weights (after 3 days at 65 °C) were measured.

Expression analysis of SA- and JA-related genes

A quantitative reverse transcription-polymerase chain reaction (qRT-PCR) assay was performed on in vitro plantlets after a 1-week exposure to VOCs from infected emitters and at 3 days post-inoculation with Ea. Non-exposed and non-inoculated plants were included in this experiment. Three plants per treatment were singularly sampled, frozen in liquid nitrogen and stored at –80 °C until RNA extraction (Schenk et al., 2008). Retrotranscription employed the cDNA First-Strand Synthesis Kit (Life Technologies - Thermo Fisher Scientific, Waltham, MA, USA) according to the manufacturer’s protocol. The expression of genes was characterized using a StepOne Plus Real-Time PCR instrument (Applied Biosystems, Foster City, CA, USA) with a SYBR Green-based assay. The primers (listed in Table S1, see Supporting Information) were designed using Beacon Designer v. 8.1 (Premier Biosoft, Palo Alto, CA, USA) and previously tested for specificity. Each reaction was performed in a total volume of 10 μL, containing 5 μL of Power SYBR Green Master Mix 2×, 70–100 nM of each primer, 3 μL of a 1 : 6 dilution of cDNA and PCR-grade water. The reactions, performed in triplicate, were incubated for 2 min at 50 °C and 5 min at 95 °C, followed by 40 cycles of 95 °C for 15 s and 60 or 63 °C for 1 min, with data collection at each annealing step. To obtain the gene expression results, raw Ct data were analysed by ΔΔCt analysis (Livak and Schmittgen, 2001). The actin gene was used as the housekeeping calibrator gene (primer sequences from Paris et al., 2009). Prior to ΔΔCt analysis, the primer efficiency was assessed using LingRegPCR software (Ruijter et al., 2009).

Experimental design and statistics

Each experiment was independently repeated at least twice. Statistica 7 (StatSoft Inc., Tulsa, OK, USA) was used for PCA elaboration and statistical analyses. Differences between pre-exposed samples and controls in bacterial population, migration and biometric parameters were analysed with Student’s t-test. Analysis of variance (ANOVA) and Student–Newman–Keuls’ test were applied to gene expression analysis data. Statistically significant differences were assumed for P < 0.05.
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SUPPORTING INFORMATION

Additional Supporting Information may be found in the online version of this article at the publisher’s website:

Table S1 Primers for expression analysis of salicylic acid (SA)- and jasmonic acid (JA)-related genes.
Fig. S1 Bacterial populations in apple plants, at 7 days (for dynamic flow experiments) or 3 days (for static accumulation experiments) post-inoculation with *Erwinia amylovora* (Ea) or *Pseudomonas syringae* pv. *syringae* (Pss), after previous exposure to plants infected with the same bacterium. Control represents plants exposed to mock-infected neighbours. All of the independent experimental replicates are presented. Mean and standard error are calculated on the log_{10}(CFU/g fresh tissue weight) value. Different lower-case letters indicate significant differences (*P* ≤ 0.05) between treated (pre-exposed) and control (non-pre-exposed) samples in the same experimental set, according to Student's *t*-test. CFU, colony-forming unit; FW, fresh weight.