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Abstract: In this review, we present a survey on Korsakoff’s syndrome (KS), a residual syndrome in patients who suffered from a Wernicke encephalopathy (WE) that is predominantly characterized by global amnesia, and in more severe cases also by cognitive and behavioral dysfunction. We describe the history of KS and its definition, its epidemiology, and the lack of consensus criteria for its diagnosis. The cognitive and behavioral symptoms of KS, which include anterograde and retrograde amnesia, executive dysfunction, confabulation, apathy, as well as affective and social-cognitive impairments, are discussed. Moreover, recent insights into the underlying neurocognitive mechanisms of these symptoms are presented. In addition, the evidence so far on the etiology of KS is examined, highlighting the role of thiamine and alcohol and discussing the continuity hypothesis. Furthermore, the neuropathology of KS is reviewed, focusing on abnormalities in the diencephalon, including the mammillary bodies and thalamic nuclei. Pharmacological treatment options and nonpharmacological interventions, such as those based on cognitive rehabilitation, are discussed. Our review shows that thiamine deficiency (TD) is a crucial factor in the etiology of KS. Although alcohol abuse is by far the most important context in which TD occurs, there is no convincing evidence for an essential contribution of ethanol neurotoxicity (EN) to the development of WE or to the progression of WE to KS. Future research on the postmortem histopathological analysis of brain tissues of KS patients is crucial for the advancement of our knowledge of KS, especially for associating its symptoms with lesions in various thalamic nuclei. A necessary requirement for the advancement of studies on KS is the broad acceptance of a comprehensive definition and definite diagnostic criteria. Therefore, in this review, we propose such a definition of KS and draft outlines for prospective diagnostic criteria.
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Introduction

Korsakoff’s syndrome (KS) is a residual syndrome in patients who suffered from a Wernicke encephalopathy (WE), but did not receive immediate and adequate treatment with thiamine replacement therapy. The most conspicuous symptom of KS is global amnesia, which can be very profound. In combination with other cognitive and behavioral deficits, usually present in more severe forms of KS, this may have far-reaching effects on daily life.1–3 Studies that have examined the extent, pattern, and nature of the anterograde episodic memory deficits in KS have contributed greatly to the delineation of concepts regarding human memory formation and to the realization that memory is not a unitary function. Moreover, the study of KS has brought to the fore that diencephalic structures play a critical role in memory function, thus stimulating the search for separate and distinctive brain structures and neural circuits underlying the component mnemonic processes.4,5

The neuropsychological sequelae of KS have been studied since the nineteenth century, leading to an increasing refinement of our comprehension of both amnesia...
and executive dysfunction. However, affective and volitional disorders, although reported in KS from the onset, have rarely been studied. Moreover, papers on the histopathological lesions underlying KS have not been published since 2000, notwithstanding the fact that several of the most fundamental and intriguing problems involved have not been resolved adequately. 

There is no generally accepted definition of KS, and there are no generally accepted criteria for the diagnosis of KS. DSM-5 classifies KS as “alcohol-induced major neurocognitive disorder, amnestic confabulatory type.” This classification is misleading, as KS in itself is not alcohol-induced. Moreover, this classification does not allow for a diagnosis or classification of KS in non-alcoholic patients and obscures the close relationship of KS with WE. In ICD-10, both alcoholic and non-alcoholic KS are classified, but in different groups. Non-alcoholic KS is classified as an organic mental disorder (F04) and alcoholic KS as a mental disorder due to substance abuse (F10.26), complicating the use of these ICD-10 criteria. One of the aims of this paper, therefore, was to propose a comprehensive definition of KS and draft outlines for prospective diagnostic criteria.

History
KS is named after the Russian neuropsychiatrist Sergei Korsakoff, although the first detailed description of the syndrome was probably in a paper by Robert Lawson in 1878. However, Korsakoff was the first to provide a comprehensive account of the syndrome in a series of papers published between 1887 and 1891. He observed that the syndrome was usually associated with peripheral nerve inflammation (alcoholic polyneuritis; first described by Moeli in 1884) and presumed that it resulted from a toxin. Therefore, he called the syndrome “polyneuritic psychosis or cerebropathia psychica toxaemica.” In 1897, the German psychiatrist Friedrich Jolly proposed that the syndrome had developed KS as a residual syndrome. He observed that all cases who survived the WE phase probably the first to realize that WE and KS belong together. He noted that a similar disorder of memory could also be observed in patients with “senile dementia” and other diseases.

Consistent with these findings, Bonhoeffer proposed to distinguish henceforth between “Korsakoff’s psychosis” (after WE; what is now called KS) and “Korsakoff’s syndrome” (in dementia and other diseases). Soon both labels were used interchangeably. In the modern literature, they are used as synonyms, although the use of “Korsakoff’s psychosis” as a label is fading.

Korsakoff, Wernicke, and Bonhoeffer knew nothing about the real cause of WE and KS. Only after the concept of “vital amines” or “vitamins” had evolved (in 1912), and thiamine was isolated (in 1926) and synthesized (in 1936), the clinical diagnosis of WE can be challenging and may be missed – in some studies even in the majority of cases.

Although the new operational criteria for the clinical diagnosis of WE, established by Caine et al (henceforth referred to as the “Caine criteria”; see Table 1), may lead to a remarkable improvement of the clinical diagnosis, the diagnosis of WE is in essence a histopathological one.

The German neuropsychiatrist Karl Bonhoeffer was probably the first to realize that WE and KS belong together. He observed that all cases who survived the WE phase developed KS as a residual syndrome. Jolly observed that a similar disorder of memory could also be observed in patients with “senile dementia” and other diseases. Consistent with these findings, Bonhoeffer proposed to distinguish henceforth between “Korsakoff’s psychosis” (after WE; what is now called KS) and “Korsakoff’s syndrome” (in dementia and other diseases). Soon both labels were used interchangeably. In the modern literature, they are used as synonyms, although the use of “Korsakoff’s psychosis” as a label is fading.

Table 1 The operational criteria for the clinical diagnosis of WE, as formulated by Caine et al

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Symptom or sign</th>
<th>As evidenced by one or more of the following</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Dietary deficiencies</td>
<td>- Undernutrition (body mass index &lt; 2 SD below normal)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>- A history of grossly impaired dietary intake</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>- An abnormal thiamine status</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oculomotor abnormalities</td>
<td>- Ophthalmoplegia</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>- Nystagmus</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>- Gaze palsy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cerebellar dysfunction</td>
<td>- Unsteadiness or ataxia</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>- Abnormalities of past pointing</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>- Dysdiadochokinesia</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>- Impaired heel-shin testing</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Either an altered mental state</td>
<td>- Disorientation in two of three fields</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>- Confused</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>- An abnormal digit span</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>- Comatose</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mild memory impairment</td>
<td>- Failure to remember two or more words in the four-item memory test</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>- Impairment on more elaborate neuropsychological tests of memory function</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Notes: When two out of these four criteria apply, the clinical diagnosis of WE is made. The criteria are less sensitive in case of a co-occurring hepatic encephalopathy.

Abbreviation: WE: Wernicke encephalopathy.

Arts et al
etiology of WE and KS could be fully clarified (in 1952). This knowledge eventually led to a highly effective therapy of WE: thiamine replacement. Although synthetic thiamine had been available for medical use in the 1940s, and proof for the therapeutic effectiveness of thiamine replacement therapy was delivered in 1952, it was not until 1966 that a clear recommendation for thiamine replacement therapy in WE was made. This therapy increased the survival of WE patients immensely and created a much larger population of chronic KS patients than was known previously.

**Definition, diagnosis, and epidemiology**

There is no generally accepted definition of KS in the literature, and the implicitly accepted conceptions have changed over time. This has caused many problems that have never been debated or properly investigated. In the older literature, all definitions of the syndrome are purely cross-sectional in nature, without any requirement regarding its duration. The definition of Victor et al is a clear example: KS is

[…] an abnormal mental state in which memory and learning are affected out of all proportion to other cognitive functions in an otherwise alert and responsive patient.

It is still cited in modern reviews, probably because it captures the distinguishing characteristics of KS very well. Recently, Kopelman et al slightly but importantly amended this definition by adding “resulting from nutritional deple
tion, ie, thiamine deficiency.” However, the absence of any criterion with regard to duration or reversibility in this definition had important consequences, not often recognized today. Victor et al diagnosed KS in any patient with memory problems, after the acute WE phase with confusion had subsided, regardless whether these were irreversible or transient. Patients would even receive this diagnosis when the memory disorder recovered completely within a few weeks (in one case even within 9 days). Nowadays, these quickly resolving memory disorders would probably be interpreted as part of the recovery phase of WE or as a comorbid and possibly remitting instance of alcoholic encephalopathy (AE). In order to receive the diagnosis of KS today, the memory disorder should be irreversible; in typical cases, only minor improvement may occur. Although the definition of Victor et al has survived, the concept of KS has changed, which should lead to a precautious use of the results of older studies. Another shortcoming of the older definitions is that the focus lies entirely on the severe memory disorder, while KS is a syndrome with many cognitive and behavioral symptoms.

The current inability to separate the consequences of thiamine deficiency (TD) from those of ethanol neurotoxicity (EN) is not due to some insurmountable obstacle. Nonetheless, it has led to hybrid diagnoses and umbrella terms like “alcohol-related dementia” or “alcohol-related brain injury.” These current “alcohol-related” concepts and diagnoses hamper the clinical diagnosis of KS and complicate its scientific study. We would like to argue that there are no valid reasons why a reliable definition and reliable diagnostic criteria for KS would be harder to devise than definitions or criteria for, say, Alzheimer’s disease (AD). Clinically, the diagnosis of AD is not easier to make than a KS diagnosis. In both, memory disorders are variously accompanied by other cognitive and behavioral problems. Neuropathologically, WE is easier to diagnose than AD. Intricate problems, like differentiating AD from normal aging and discerning AD among other comorbidities contributing to dementia, such as dementia with Lewy bodies or vascular dementia, have never stopped both researchers and clinicians in the field from studying Alzheimer-specific biomarkers and defining disease-specific clinical criteria.

A comprehensive definition of KS and reliable and valid diagnostic criteria for KS can be formulated based on the evidence collected in the past few decades. A useful definition of KS might have the following phrasing: “KS is a largely irreversible residual syndrome, caused by severe thiamine deficiency and occurring after incomplete recovery from a Wernicke encephalopathy, predominantly in the context of alcohol abuse and malnutrition, characterized by an abnormal mental state in which episodic memory is affected out of all proportion to other cognitive functions in an otherwise alert and responsive patient, whose psychological make-up may be further distinguished by executive dysfunction, flattened affect, apathy, lack of illness insight, and possibly by fantastic confabulations in the early stage.” In milder forms of KS, especially in non-alcoholic KS, executive dysfunction and other cognitive or behavioral disorders (apart from amnesia) may be absent. Therefore, it is premature to require the presence of these symptoms in all instances of KS as long as there is no consensus on the severity and duration of the memory disorder required for the diagnosis of KS.

Diagnostic criteria for KS should be modeled in analogy with the other research criteria (eg, the research criteria or the IWG-2 criteria for the diagnosis of AD). Therefore, future KS criteria should include 1) a description of the specific
clinical phenotype of KS (for instance, a modified version of the here-proposed definition of KS), ideally including the requirement for a minimal severity of memory dysfunction, expressed in evidence-based cutoff scores for memory tests (such as the California Verbal Learning Test or the Rivermead Behavioral Memory Test); 2) in vivo evidence for WE pathology, either clinical (eg, the operational criteria by Caine et al;²¹ Table 1), neuroradiological (ie, using MRI; see also “Neuroimaging” section), or in lab reports (very low serum thiamine); and 3) a set of exclusion criteria. These diagnostic criteria would mean an immense improvement in the diagnosis of KS and a huge stimulus for scientific research.

Little is known about the current incidence and prevalence of KS. In 1987, Blansjaar conducted a survey on the prevalence of KS in The Hague, the Netherlands. He then found a prevalence of 4.8 per 10,000 inhabitants.³⁵ Based on admission data in the Province of North-Holland in the Netherlands, Schnabel found a lower prevalence rate of about 3 patients per 10,000 inhabitants in 1992.ë³ Ramayya and Jauhar reported an annual incidence of KS of around 0.5 per 10,000 between 1990 and 1995 in Glasgow, Scotland. They cited six older studies, which found annual incidence rates between 0.01 and 0.65 per 10,000. Studies on the incidence of KS in other parts of the world are to our knowledge lacking altogether.

Etiology and pathogenesis

Though it has been doubted by some authors,³⁶,⁴⁷ KS is probably always preceded by WE.²⁶ The uncertainty that has arisen over this issue can be explained by the fact that the clinical diagnosis of WE is very difficult and therefore often missed.²⁰–²²

Wernicke encephalopathy

In essence, the diagnosis of WE is a histopathological diagnosis, made after a postmortem examination of the brain.²⁰–²² Although the incidence has always been highest in undernourished alcoholics, WE has also been described in patients with hyperemesis gravidarum, starvation, and all kinds of gastrointestinal diseases, more recently also in AIDS and after bariatric surgery.²⁵,⁴⁸–⁵¹

WE can be treated effectively by the intravenous or intramuscular infusion of large quantities of thiamine.⁵² When this is done promptly, that is, within hours after the development of the encephalopathy, a full recovery is likely to occur. Even after a few days have elapsed, a complete recovery is still possible after thiamine replacement. When treatment is further delayed, however, the patient may die or recover only partially, with KS and nutritional cerebellar degeneration (NCD) as the most conspicuous residual syndromes.³³,⁵³ Consistent with this incomplete recovery, KS patients show permanent damage to several structures around the third and fourth ventricles of the brain, especially in the diencephalon,⁵¹ while NCD patients show permanent damage in the cerebellum, especially in the vermis.⁵³,⁵⁴

KS and NCD are the most conspicuous residual syndromes following the acute WE phase, but there are almost certainly more residual conditions or syndromes. In the most recent series of histopathological studies on WE and KS, all arising from the New South Wales Tissue Resource Centre (NSWTRC; Table 2), all authors describe a subgroup of patients with a residual syndrome that is characterized by irreversible histopathological damage to several structures (the mammillary bodies, the thalamus, and other diencephalic structures) and with residual cognitive dysfunctions (especially executive and visuococonstructive dysfunction), but without damage to the anterior thalamic nuclei and without severe memory dysfunction, and thus without KS.²⁶,⁵⁴–⁶¹ Keeping in mind that an irreversible, severe amnesia is required for the diagnosis of KS,³⁴ this subgroup with less severe histopathological damage and less severe cognitive dysfunctions deserves in-depth scientific study. Patients with these hitherto neglected residual syndromes following WE may have contaminated control groups of “uncomplicated” alcoholics in studies on cognitive dysfunction in KS and
created the illusion of irreversible residual disturbances of cognition due to EN in long detoxified alcoholics.\textsuperscript{24} This misconception may also have led to the continuity hypothesis\textsuperscript{12,64} (see “Dismissing the continuity hypothesis” section). Pitel et al assessed whether long-abstinent alcoholics with neuropsychological deficits, but without KS, fulfilled one or more of the Caine criteria, retrospectively applied to the patient’s history after having reviewed the medical records.\textsuperscript{24} They found that patients meeting zero criteria did not differ from healthy controls, those meeting one criterion presented mild-to-moderate deficits on some of the functional domains, and those meeting two or more criteria had the most severe deficits on each of the domains examined. They concluded that the presence of signs of WE explains, at least partially, the heterogeneity of alcoholism-related cognitive and motor deficits. In other words, the presence of neuropsychological deficits in long abstinent alcoholics without KS is strongly associated with signs of WE.

**Neuropathology**

Between 1892 and 2000, around 45 papers have been published on the neuropathology of KS, resulting in a succession of discoveries, in which each further addition did not challenge the involvement of the structures described before. The increasing sophistication of histopathological research and the dramatically increased survival of WE and KS patients since the general availability of thiamine replacement therapy in the 1960s are probably the most important factors leading to the addition of new structures to the list of lesions involved in the occurrence of KS. The last series of papers on clinic-anatomical correlation based on histopathology, by researchers of the NSWTRC\textsuperscript{26} (see also the “Wernicke encephalopathy” section and Table 2) are by far the most sophisticated histopathological studies on KS to date.\textsuperscript{31}

Still, the current state of knowledge of KS is portrayed as controversial or conflicting,\textsuperscript{12,65} especially with regard to the question whether the critical lesion for the severe memory disorder is to be found in the dorsomedial or in the anterior thalamic nuclei. However, Harding et al, in one of the NSWTRC studies,\textsuperscript{57} presented clear evidence for the critical role of the anterior thalamic nuclei. They convincingly argued that earlier studies\textsuperscript{16,32} failed to detect the histopathological damage in the anterior thalamic nuclei, because these studies did not utilize unbiased stereological techniques. In their study, patients with severe damage to the mediodorsal thalamic nuclei but without damage to the anterior thalamic nuclei after WE did not suffer from severe memory impairment during life. That the anterior nuclei are the critical lesion site for the memory disorder in KS is widely supported by converging evidence from anatomical studies (where these nuclei are seen as part of the limbic-diencephalic memory circuit, like the hippocampus), animal studies, and studies on vascular lesions in the thalamus.\textsuperscript{66–71} In contrast, the mediodorsal nuclei are densely and reciprocally connected to the prefrontal cortex and the amygdala, and probably belong to the extended-amygdala system.\textsuperscript{67}

**Neuroimaging**

In the acute phase of WE, characteristic alterations (enhanced signal intensity in one or more of the structures depicted in Figures 1 and 2 and enumerated in Table 2; see also Figure 3) appear in approximately half of the cases.\textsuperscript{25,72,73} Therefore, finding these alterations on MRI strongly supports a clinical diagnosis of WE,\textsuperscript{25} and when KS is suspected, their presence supports the clinical diagnosis (see also “Definition, diagnosis, and epidemiology” section).

MRI studies of alcoholic KS patients reveal that many of them have gray and white matter volume deficits, but also that these do not differ from the volume deficits observed in alcoholic patients without KS.\textsuperscript{74,75} The medial thalami and the mammillary bodies are probably more severely damaged in KS patients, though a consistent correlation between mammillary...
In non-alcoholic KS patients, MRI scans do not show consistent gray or white matter volume reductions. In some of these patients, atrophy of the mammillary bodies, the thalamus, or the cerebellar vermis has been found. However, in the eleven best documented cases of non-alcoholic KS to date, in whom both MRI and extensive neuropsychological assessment were performed, no marked atrophy in any region or structure was found. Therefore, we argue that in individual cases, MRI does not enable us to reliably diagnose KS, although an MRI with characteristic lesions in the acute phase of WE (Figure 3) obviously supports a subsequent diagnosis of KS.

The contribution of alcohol

There is little doubt that chronic alcohol abuse has always been the most important factor in creating the context in which WE and KS could occur. Two of the three patients originally described by Wernicke and two thirds (30/46) of the patients described by Korsakoff were alcoholics. In modern cohorts, the proportion of alcoholics among the patients developing WE or KS has increased to at least 90%. However, it is still debated whether EN is in any sense essential for the occurrence of WE or essential for the development of KS following WE. Severe alcohol abuse certainly contributes to the development of WE: the high calorie content of alcohol suppresses the feeling of hunger and favors malnutrition, the combustion of alcohol requires extra thiamine pyrophosphate (a co-enzyme in energy-bound processes), alcoholic gastroenteritis impairs the absorption of thiamine, alcoholic liver diseases reduces thiamine storage in this organ, and alcohol may impair the utilization of thiamine.

Still, none of these factors are essential for the development of WE or KS. WE develops when thiamine is below a critical level in gastrointestinal conditions, in cancer, in hyperemesis gravidarum, after chemotherapeutic treatments and in

Figure 2 Histopathological damage due to ethanol neurotoxicity (EN) and thiamine deficiency (TD). The yellow areas (1) indicate superior frontal cortical damage due to EN; red and orange areas indicate damage due to TD. The red area indicates the damage to the anterior thalamic nuclei (3) that is probably the critical lesion for the memory disorder in KS. Highlighted in orange area: dorsomedial thalamic nuclei (2), mammillary bodies (4), basal forebrain (5), dorsal and median raphe nuclei with floor and walls of the 3rd and 4th ventricles (6), and the cerebellar vermis (7). This figure is based on Table 2, which is a summary of the findings of the NSWTRC. In addition, color is added to structures not investigated explicitly by the New South Wales Tissue Resource Centre, based on histopathological findings reported by other authors. Courtesy of Peter van Domburg, © 2017. Department of Neurology, Zuyderland Medical Center, Sittard, The Netherlands.

Figure 3 Three fluid-attenuated inversion recovery (FLAIR) images (5 mm thick with a 2.5 mm skip) of a 35-year-old man with schizophrenia and acute nutritional deficiency-induced Wernicke encephalopathy (WE). Note the hyperintense signal in the characteristic loci for WE pathology. Reproduced with permission from Sullivan & Pfefferbaum, © 2008 Sullivan & Pfefferbaum, Department of Psychiatry & Behavioral Sciences, Stanford University, Stanford, CA, USA.
systemic diseases such as AIDS, renal diseases, thyrotoxicosis, and magnesium depletion – regardless of alcohol intake. The incidence of WE and KS in these conditions may be as high as the incidence in alcohol use disorders.\(^{50}\)

Furthermore, the evidence that EN is essential for the progression of WE to KS is contestable. The progression rate of WE to KS in alcoholic WE patients is often considered to be around 84%, a figure that is mentioned in most of the review papers.\(^{2,67,81-83}\) However, this figure was derived from the monograph of Victor et al\(^{16,51}\) and was not intended as an estimation of the general progression rate of WE to KS in alcoholics. It was simply a description of their cohort, which was probably not very representative, chiefly because they used clinical definitions that have become obsolete now. For the diagnosis of WE, they required the classical triad, resulting in a selection bias favoring severe cases. The diagnosis of KS, on the other hand, was made when there was a memory disorder in the (sub)acute phase, even when it disappeared quickly (in one case it lasted only 9 days). In a subsequent – though rarely cited – study, Wood et al found a progression rate of alcoholic WE to KS of 56%.\(^{84}\) Moreover, in large studies based on autopsy, the prevalence of WE brain pathology is much higher than the prevalence or incidence of KS.\(^{21,22,48}\) Therefore, it is likely that the proposed progression rate of 85% in alcoholics is an unreliable high estimation. Conversely, although the progression rate of WE to KS in non-alcoholic WE patients has been suggested to be low (\(\leq 29\%\)),\(^{85,86}\) later reviews have reported that in up to 56% of these patients, WE is followed by “clinically obvious memory impairment” (Scalzo et al,\(^{50}\) p 1365). This progression rate is identical to the one found by Wood et al in alcoholic WE patients.\(^{84}\)

These conclusions find support from animal studies, where the interval between the onset of WE and start of thiamine replacement is a crucial factor in determining the severity of the ensuing residual damage and the remaining disturbances.\(^{67}\) Moreover, animal studies and well-designed clinical studies in humans found that the effects of EN on the brain are largely or completely reversible, unlike the effects of TD. A recent review on TD in laboratory animals concludes that

[... the interaction between ethanol and thiamine deficiency does not produce more behavioral or neural pathology, with the exception of reduction of white matter, than long-term thiamine deficiency alone.\(^{67}\)]

Reduction in white matter is also the main effect of EN in humans. This atrophy of white matter in alcoholics is probably the result of demyelination, a largely or completely reversible process.\(^{87}\) On CT and MRI, white matter atrophy gradually diminishes in alcoholics who stopped drinking and remain abstinent, probably as a result of remyelination.\(^{77,88}\) Moreover, well-nourished and otherwise healthy alcoholics usually show minimal cognitive or behavioral effects of their chronic heavy alcohol use,\(^{89}\) often improve quickly after abstinence,\(^{90,91}\) and typically recover completely after an abstinence period of 1 or 2 years.\(^{92}\)

There is some evidence, mostly from animal studies, that EN may also result in irreversible gray matter (neuronal) damage, but “the degree of neuronal loss in alcoholics without additional nutritional or metabolic pathologies is surprisingly subtle” (Sutherland et al,\(^{93}\) p 606). Moreover, the (favorable) outcomes of clinical studies suggest that neuronal damage is not severe in humans, or can be adequately compensated for.\(^{92}\)

### Dismissing the continuity hypothesis

The evidence discussed in the previous two sections amount to a dismissal of the continuity hypothesis, both in its original\(^{62,63,94}\) and in its revised\(^{64}\) forms. Basically, the continuity hypothesis states that cognitive disturbances in non-KS alcoholics and alcoholic KS patients lie along a continuum of mild to moderate deficits; due to EN, there is a correlation between cognitive performance and drinking history. According to the revised continuity theory by Pitel et al,\(^{64}\)

[... there is an “episodic memory continuity” between AL and KS and a “working memory continuity” among AL, KS, and alcohol dementia patients (“AL” refers to uncomplicated alcoholics).]

However, this hypothesis holds only in specific situations. Uncomplicated alcoholics may suffer from cognitive disturbances, but these will diminish and even disappear after prolonged abstinence. Recently detoxified alcoholics may still suffer from cognitive disturbances, but probably only as long as the temporary effects of demyelination last and as long as re-myelination is not completed. All evidence indicates that memory deficits in alcoholics who did not suffer from TD and did not develop WE disappear within a few weeks. The recovery of executive and other cognitive disorders may require a few to several months. Moreover, there is no convincing evidence that EN alone may lead to “alcohol dementia.” So far, all patients who received this diagnosis eventually turned out to suffer from residual syndromes after WE, from AD, from other forms of dementia, or from other forms of alcohol-related co-occurring brain damage (pellagra, traumatic brain injury, pontine or extra-pontine myelinolysis, Marchiafava–Bignami disease, and hepatic encephalopathy).\(^{16,51}\)
It is true that a minority of purportedly “uncomplicated” alcoholics does not recover completely after 1 or 2 years of abstinence. However, the best explanation to account for irreversible cognitive disorders in a minority of “uncomplicated” alcoholics is TD. In other words, these “uncomplicated” alcoholics may not be uncomplicated after all. WE usually (in 50%–70%) has an unobtrusive symptomatology and course. Therefore, the diagnosis is missed more often than not. Moreover, while there is histopathological evidence for a continuum of irreversible TD effects in the brain, of which KS is only the most conspicuous and most severe variant, there is no convincing evidence for a continuum of irreversible EN effects. In all probability, AE is a temporary and (almost) completely reversible disorder. In the minority of chronic alcoholics with residual cognitive disturbances after 1 or 2 years of abstinence, it is important to exclude mild forms of WE (up to 19%, mostly undiagnosed), Marchiafava–Bignami disease, pontine or extrapontine myelinolysis, pellagra, traumatic brain damage, and hepatic encephalopathy, before these cognitive effects can be ascribed to EN. Exclusion of these comorbid pathologies is often very difficult to achieve without histopathological postmortem examinations, which cannot be accomplished in clinical studies. Therefore, to date, there is little direct evidence for irreversible cognitive effects caused by EN. However, we fully acknowledge the reality of AE, that is, of the temporary, reversible (after prolonged abstinence) cognitive effects of EN.

Therefore, the continuity hypothesis amounts to little more than the redundant statement that the temporary effects of EN and the largely irreversible effects of TD often co-occur and may produce different combinations of cognitive disturbances. These disturbances usually diminish after thiamine replacement and abstinence from alcohol, due to a small improvement of TD effects and a (nearly) complete recovery from EN effects, typically in the course of a few months. In short, the continuity hypothesis has become obsolete now.

Cognitive and behavioral symptoms
KS is generally known as a disorder of memory, but from the very first reports, it was obvious that it is a syndrome with many cognitive and behavioral symptoms. In addition to amnesia, Korsakoff, Gudden, and Bonhoeffer already listed apathy, flattened affect, and confabulations as characteristic symptoms. The concept of “executive functions” has fully crystallized only recently, but Grünthal and Van der Horst already listed apathy, flattened affect, and characterized the symptoms in patients with KS that we would now characterize as disorders of executive function. In this section, we present an overview of the main symptoms of KS, based on the most recent research.

Episodic memory dysfunction
Memory dysfunction is the only symptom of KS that has been studied comprehensively in the past 125 years. In the earlier studies, investigators were puzzled by apparent contradictions in the memory performance of KS patients, but these contradictions could be resolved in the 1970s and 1980s, after the discovery of multiple memory systems that can be disrupted independently by pathological processes.

The severe memory impairment as a core characteristic for KS primarily relates to declarative memory. Within this declarative memory domain, both episodic memory, related to explicitly remembered personally experienced events specific to time and place, and semantic memory, related to facts, are affected. In each of these subdomains, the anterograde memory processes are typically more severely affected than retrograde memory processes.

A more recent development in KS studies is the theory that anterograde episodic memory impairment in KS is due primarily to a deficit in contextual memory. KS amnestic often encode temporal and spatial contextual information less efficiently than normal controls and consequently have great difficulties in source memory. Source memory is conceptualized as a core component of episodic recall. It allows for the reconstruction of contextual details characterizing the acquisition of episodic events.

In KS, anterograde amnesia is surely one of the most prominent symptoms, but remote memory is affected as well. The resulting retrograde amnesia comprises both non-personal information – like news facts – and events from autobiographical memory. Remote memory loss in KS patients can extend back many years, even several decades, but early (eg, childhood) memories are relatively spared. This disproportionate loss of more recent memories in KS and other pathologies is called a “temporal gradient.” This phenomenon was first described by Théodule Ribot (in 1881) and is since then known as Ribot’s law. In KS, the majority of studies have found evidence for a steep temporal gradient, but some have observed more uniform remote memory impairments across all past time periods. Methodological difficulties may be a partial explanation for this inconsistency, but, more importantly, there were also differences in the kind of memory studied: non-personal versus autobiographical memory. Because autobiographic memories are difficult to assess, they are studied less often than non-personal memories. Autobiographical episodic memory refers to personal events that a person is able to
re-experience in a detailed spatial and temporal context, for example, remembering how you met your first love in the bar of the swimming pool on a warm Friday evening. In a recent study, Rensen et al. showed that the temporal gradient extended to episodic autobiographical memory, replicating previous findings.1,10,119,123

Semantic memory dysfunction
Semantic memory refers to the memory of language, concepts, and well-rehearsed facts (eg, “Paris is the capital of France”), independent of the recall of any particular episode or incident specific in time and place.102 Semantic memory is relatively preserved in KS. Unlike dementia patients, KS patients show near-normal performances on semantic memory tests.124,125 The ability to retrieve information from preexisting semantic memory seems to be preserved.1,13,126–128 However, Pitel et al. demonstrated that, compared to uncomplicated alcoholics, KS patients are impaired in new category and feature learning, which is independent of episodic memory. This specific impairment may therefore reveal a genuine deficit of semantic memory in KS. Autobiographical semantic memory has also been studied in KS. The semantic aspect refers to knowledge that is not linked to single events, for example, knowing that you used to swim on Fridays or what your home address was during childhood. A temporal gradient has been repeatedly demonstrated in semantic autobiographical memory in KS.2,110,119,122

Procedural memory
Procedural memory is the nondeclarative memory system underlying verbal, motor, and other cognitive skills,136 resulting in learning without awareness (ie, implicit learning). Several studies of procedural learning in KS patients showed preserved learning,131–136 while others reported impaired learning.137–141 These differences may be largely explained by the type of material to be acquired, complicated by the fact that many procedural tasks also rely on explicit memory and executive functions that are impaired in KS. In recent reviews, it is therefore concluded that patients with KS show maximum procedural learning potential when the task is minimally dependent on other cognitive domains than procedural learning, when feedback is given during the task, and when the task itself is restricted in response options.142,143

Executive dysfunction
The concept of executive dysfunction did not exist before the 1970s.77 “Executive function” is an umbrella term for planning, response inhibition, response generation, concept shifting, and working memory updating.144,145 Grünthal correctly observed (in 1924) that KS patients have great difficulty in recalling the context of memories.98 He also realized that confabulations could not always be explained as automatic or unconscious attempts to fill memory gaps. They are often better understood as failures in context reconstruction. He therefore characterized this problem as an “alignment disorder” (Einstellungsstörung). Van der Horst was the first (in 1932) to observe that KS patients have great difficulty in organizing their memories in the appropriate temporal sequence.99 They lose track of the order of events, a symptom that is known since 1929 as “achronogenesis.”146 Still, it took considerable time to realize that KS patients might also have severe problems in planning and task organization, possibly because these problems are difficult to comprehend without some apprehension of executive functions.147

The executive dysfunction in KS is unremitting and affects all executive processes, including response inhibition, response generation, working memory updating, planning, and concept shifting.147,148 Lack of illness insight is related to executive dysfunction. Alcoholic KS patients have been found to report no cognitive complaints on self-report measures of subjective cognitive problems, despite their poor performance on several cognitive tests.149 There is evidence that in non-alcoholic KS, awareness of cognitive dysfunction may be preserved.41,42

Confabulations
Confabulations can be defined as “false or erroneous memories arising involuntarily (ie, not deliberately) in the context of a neurological amnesia”.15 The involuntary and unconscious nature of confabulations is well captured in the colloquial description “honest lying.”150 Korsakoff devoted a whole paper to this symptom of KS.17 Bonhoeffer was the first to use the word “confabulation” [Konfubulation] in this context.27

Bonhoeffer already distinguished between momentary or embarrassment confabulation [Augenblickskonfabulation, Verlegenheitskonfabulation] and fantastic or productive confabulation [phantastische Konfabulation, produktive Konfabulation].27,28 Following Bonhoeffer, a distinction is made between provoked (or reactive, or momentary) and spontaneous (or fantastic) confabulations.151–153 In provoked confabulations, the patient confabulates in an inconsistent fashion. Usually these confabulations are triggered and the erroneous memory communicated is generally plausible. In the spontaneous or fantastic type, the confabulations are presented and elaborated without provocation. They are less variable than the provoked confabulations and generally have a grandiose content.152,154
Kopelman, after reviewing many opinions on the phenomenology, mechanisms, and neuropathology of confabulation, concluded that provoked confabulations are probably fleeting disorders or intrusion errors, due to failing memory, also seen in healthy subjects when their memory is weak. Therefore, they do not necessarily imply an underlying pathology or a specific anatomical basis.

The underlying mechanisms of confabulations are under debate, but have been linked to intrusions on memory tests, both temporal context confusion, both temporal context confusion and executive dysfunction, and poor strategic and memory-monitoring capacities. The spontaneous confabulations in KS usually disappear within weeks after the development of KS, but provoked confabulations can often be found in its chronic stage.

Apathy
Apathy can be defined as “an absence of responsiveness to stimuli as demonstrated by a lack of self-initiated action.” This is just one of the many possible definitions. Modern definitions are broader than those of a century ago – when apathy was exclusively seen as a disorder of volition – and comprise cognitive and affective symptoms. This probably led to an unwarranted overlap with executive dysfunction and depression.

That apathy is a characteristic and fundamental symptom of alcoholic KS has been established from the earliest descriptions of this syndrome. However, in modern studies on KS, this symptom has rarely attracted any attention so far. The only paper we were able to find on apathy in KS is that written by Talland in 1960. Apathy might be less conspicuous or even absent in non-alcoholic KS.

Although modern studies on the neuropathology underlying apathy in KS are lacking, all recent studies on the neuropathological basis of apathy, abulia, avolition, athymhormia, or akinetic mutism point to the prefrontal anterior cingulate cortico-subortical circuit, which connects the anterior cingulate with the basal ganglia and the mediodorsal thalamic nuclei. Lesions in other cortico-subcortical circuits rarely – if ever – cause apathy or any other diminishment of volition.

Disorders of affect, emotion perception, and social cognition
From the earliest reports, alcoholic KS patients have been described as emotionally flat and affectively detached, but that is not the whole picture. In the early stages, KS patients are frequently irritable or euphoric, and they usually remain affectively unstable throughout the rest of their lives; suspicion and intense emotions are easily provoked. So far, affective impairments have rarely been studied in KS. Elaborating on the fairly recent recognition of executive dysfunction in KS patients, there is a tendency to explain affective impairments in KS as a consequence of a lack of reflective abilities rather than a primary lack of affective abilities. For instance, deficits in perspective taking, an important aspect of Theory of Mind, have been found to be related to executive dysfunction in KS, and not to any inability to infer the thoughts and feelings of others. Recently, this explanation has been challenged. Based on previous studies, these authors argue that a subtler exploration of affective abilities in KS suggests that they might be impaired. For instance, the evidence that KS patients have a serious impairment of affective prosody perception and facial expression recognition, with stronger difficulties for categories like fear, anger, and surprise, suggest that affective abilities are not unaffected in KS. These hypotheses are strengthened by the evidence that KS patients tend to overestimate the affective content of stimuli and show emotional overreaction or enhanced sensitivity in other situations.

Pharmacological treatment and cognitive rehabilitation
As a residual syndrome after WE, KS can be seen as a form of acquired brain damage. After treating WE with thiamine replacement and after a convalescence phase, the effect of pharmacological interventions is necessarily confined to enhancing the skills that remain, or to suppress symptoms that interfere with normal functioning. In patients with traumatic brain injury, there is some evidence that these interventions may be effective. In KS, however, there is no evidence of any beneficial effect of pharmacological therapy. Several case studies and eight double-blind trials have been published, where KS patients were treated with clonidine, fluvoxamine, reboxetine, or rivastigmine. Together, these studies did not produce any consistent evidence for the efficacy of any of these interventions. Therefore, we may safely conclude that, until this date, no effective pharmacological treatment for KS is available.

The perspectives for rehabilitation programs are more promising than those of pharmacological interventions. The traditional view that KS is a static condition that does not permit further recovery is obsolete and therefore gradually abandoned, thanks to accumulating evidence that memory rehabilitation is possible in KS. Memory compensation techniques such as using agendas, memory cards, smartphones, and smartwatches are promising. Six studies on the use of traditional and digital assistive technologies...
(reviewed in Oudman et al143) produced evidence that these memory compensation techniques may be helpful in KS, provided that 1) the formulated goals are restricted, 2) much time is available to guide the patient, and 3) the use of these technologies is holistically embedded or combined with elaborated learning techniques.143

Interventions based on errorless learning are probably – at least in theory – best suited to the cognitive abilities and disabilities of KS. Its most important element is that the patient is not allowed to make errors during learning. All guessing is eliminated, in order that the patients’ procedural memory is not allowed to become familiar with an incorrect or unproductive strategy, which the defective episodic memory cannot correct or compensate for.186,187 So far, only a few studies have been published in which errorless learning has been compared to trial-and-error learning.134,188–190 The results of these studies are somewhat mixed, but errorless learning might be beneficial compared to trial-and-error learning in some learning situations. Moreover, the beneficial effects of errorless learning are not restricted to procedural learning but may also support semantic learning.143

**Conclusion and further perspectives**

In this narrative review, we summarized the current knowledge on KS. It differs in important respects from other modern reviews on KS and WE.2,48,81–83 That is, we argue that the contribution of alcohol abuse to the development of WE and KS is limited to creating the context in which TD is most likely to develop and in which lengthy patients’ and doctors’ delay are prone to occur. Alcohol abuse is the most important cause of malnutrition and TD, and the living conditions and socioeconomic status of alcoholics are responsible for extended treatment delays, thus favoring the progression of WE to KS. However, we have not been able to uncover convincing evidence for an essential contribution of EN to the development of WE or to the progression of WE to KS. The contribution of alcohol abuse to the development of WE and KS is massive, but seems to be circumstantial. Animal experiments and recent studies on the development of WE and KS in non-alcoholic patients have demonstrated that the occurrence of WE and KS can be fully explained by TD. Moreover, the best explanation for the progression of WE to KS is treatment delay. Furthermore, we concluded that there is little evidence to support the continuity hypothesis, neither in its original162,63,94 nor in its revised49 version.

The study of the complete spectrum of residual syndromes after WE is only possible when KS is adequately and comprehensively defined and when it can be diagnosed reliably. Therefore, there is a clear need for a generally accepted definition (for which we formulated a proposal in the “Definition, diagnosis, and epidemiology” section) and reliable diagnostic criteria. Moreover, the scattered information about the etiology, symptoms, treatment, and course of the many forms of collateral brain damage in alcoholics should be integrated in a comprehensive “taxonomy of alcohol-related brain damage.” A renewed interest in postmortem histopathological analysis of brain tissue of KS patients is an important prerequisite for the further development of our knowledge of KS, especially for the functional localization or association of its symptoms with damage in various thalamic nuclei. With neuroimaging techniques, the underlying neuropathology of KS cannot be rendered visible in a reliable way. This is a major drawback, not just for our knowledge of KS. KS is the most constant and consistent form of thalamic pathology. Improved knowledge of KS may help to answer vexing questions with regard to thalamic function: 1) What are the precise functions of the structures that are joined in the hippocampaldiencephalic memory circuit, such as the thalamus, mammillary bodies, fornix, retrosplenial cortex, hippocampus proper, and parahippocampal structures9191–193? 2) What is the exact functional specialization of the separate thalamic nuclei?194–197? 3) In which ways do the anterior and mediodorsal thalamic nuclei contribute to episodic memory?12,195,198–200
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