Evaluation of dextran(ethylene glycol) hydrogel films for giant unilamellar lipid vesicle production and their application for the encapsulation of polymersomes†
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Giant Unilamellar Vesicles (GUVs) prepared from phospholipids are becoming popular membrane model systems for use in biophysical studies. The quality, size and yield of GUVs depend on the preparation method used to obtain them. In this study, hydrogels consisting of dextran polymers crosslinked by poly(ethylene glycol) (DexPEG) were used as hydrophilic frameworks for the preparation of vesicle suspensions under physiological ionic strength conditions. A comparative study was conducted using hydrogels with varied physicochemical properties to evaluate their performance for GUV production. The prepared GUVs were quantified by flow cytometry using the Coulter Principle to determine the yield and size distribution. We find that hydrogels of lower mechanical strength, increased swellability and decreased lipid interaction favour GUV production, while their resulting size is determined by the surface roughness of the hydrogel film. Moreover, we embedded polymersomes into the crosslinked hydrogel network, creating a DexPEG – polymersome hybrid film. The re-hydration of lipids on those hybrid substrates led to the production of GUVs and the efficient encapsulation of polymersomes in the lumen of GUVs.

1 Introduction

The cellular membrane defines the boundary between the cytoplasm and the cell exterior regulating important intra- and intercellular processes in the biological milieu. Therefore, the development of minimal cell models that allow in vitro studies become highly relevant to simplify investigations into these phenomena. Giant Unilamellar Vesicles (GUVs) are excellent in vitro biomembrane models because of their membrane curvature and similar size to cells, being readily observable by optical microscopy. The GUV model has been used in the study of biomembrane properties, membrane–protein, and membrane–peptide interactions, channel formation in membranes or transmembrane transport of ions. Thus, the efficient formation of high quality GUVs under relevant physiological conditions is highly desirable.

The use of hydrogels as substrates for GUV growth is attractive due to their potential to circumvent disadvantages encountered in traditionally used preparation methods such as natural swelling and electroformation. Covalent hydrogels are insoluble, chemically crosslinked polymer networks consisting of hydrophilic precursors that swell rapidly upon the addition of water to create a three-dimensional network whereas physical hydrogels rely on non-covalent interactions to form such materials. Most often, these networks have been applied in the areas of controlled drug delivery and tissue engineering, but rarely in the growth of cell-sized vesicles (GUVs). Only recently, it has been shown that hydrogel films can enable GUV formation under physiological conditions. Horger et al. proposed the use of physical gel substrates based on non-crosslinked agarose for the preparation of GUVs under near...
physiological conditions using several lipid compositions. However, traces of agarose remain in the vesicle inner volume and the lipid membrane affecting their mechanical properties. To tackle these drawbacks Lira et al.\(^{32}\) used thermal post-treatment of agarose-GUVs to release the encapsulated agarose thereby recovering GUV responses in electro-deformation studies. Alternatively, films of hydrogels based on poly(vinyl alcohol)\(^{33}\) and crosslinked polyacrylamide,\(^{31}\) have also been employed in the preparation of GUVs. While these polymers are not detected in the lipid bilayer of GUVs, they afford minimal control over their production and size.\(^{34}\) We recently presented a facile method to prepare GUVs under physiological ionic strength conditions using a neutral, chemically crosslinked hydrogel substrate (DexPEG) consisting of a dextran polymer crosslinked by polyethylene glycol (PEG).\(^{35}\) Maleimide-thiol coupling chemistry was used to simultaneously crosslink the biocompatible polymer chains and a glass surface forming an immobilized hydrogel material. Chemically anchoring the hydrogel to a glass surface prevented its detachment during the hydration of the lipid-DexPEG hydrogel film when forming GUVs. Moreover, the DexPEG system demonstrated the potential to form defect-free vesicle structures when rehydrated from the dry state using physiologically relevant buffers.

To further understand GUV production on such chemically crosslinked substrates, we herein examine the effect of modulating the physicochemical properties of the DexPEG network by controlling its crosslink density. We synthesized various DexPEG hydrogels by reacting a dextran polymer with varying degrees of maleimide substitution and poly(ethylene glycol) (PEG) polymers with distinct molecular weights, a number of thiol functional groups and architectures (Scheme 1 and Table 1), and examined their physicochemical properties. GUV production on various DexPEG hydrogel substrates was compared with respect to their size and yield by flow cytometry using the same lipid composition, ionic strength and growth times. Additionally, GUV formation was imaged over time during hydrogel swelling to better understand the GUV growth process from the DexPEG chemically crosslinked hydrogel and calorimetry studies were performed to probe lipid–polymer interactions. Moreover, we demonstrate the potential of this method to encapsulate biological and colloidal cargo, such as polymersomes, in a facile manner.

### 2 Experimental

#### 2.1 Chemicals and materials

- **1-Palmitoyl-2-oleoyl-sn-glycero-3-phosphocholine (POPC)**, 1,2-dioleoyl-sn-glycero-3-phosphoethanolamine-N-[methoxy(polyethylene glycol)-2000] (ammonium salt) (PEG2000-PE) and 1,2-dioleoyl-sn-glycero-3-phosphoethanolamine-N-(7-nitro-2,1,3-benzoxadiazol-4-yl) (ammonium salt) (18:1 NBD-PE) were purchased from Avanti Polar Lipids. Dextran from Leuconostoc (\(M_n = 70000 \text{ Da}\), dried in vacuum oven for several days before use), cholesterol (CH), \(\beta\)-alanine, 4-(dimethylamino)pyridine (DMAP), magnesium sulfate (MgSO\(_4\)), poly(ethylene glycol)dithiol (M\(_W\) = 3400 Da) (3), \(N\_N\_N\)-bis(isopropylcarboxamidimide) (DIC), acetic acid, ethyl acetate, toluene, dimethyl sulfoxide (DMSO) and 2-propanol were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich. Maleic anhydride and p-toluene sulfonic acid monohydrate (PTSA) were purchased from Fluka. Poly(ethylene glycol) dithiols (\(M_W = 2000 \text{ Da}\) and 10000 Da) (2 and 4) were purchased from Iris Technologies GmbH. 4-arm poly(ethylene glycol) thiol (pentaerythritol core) (5) and 8-arm poly(ethylene glycol) thiol (triptaerythritol core) (6) were purchased from JenKem Technology, USA. NIST traceable latex particles standard with nominal sizes 10 \(\mu\text{m}\) and 20 \(\mu\text{m}\) were purchased from Beckman Coulter. The salt of 4-(dimethylamino)pyridinium 4-toluenesulfonate (DPTS) was prepared from DMAP and PTSA in equimolar quantities.\(^{36}\) N-Maleoyl-\(\beta\)-alanine was prepared following the previously reported procedure for obtaining maleimido alkanoic acids.\(^{37}\) DexMal (1) was synthesized by DIC mediated esterification of the hydroxyl groups of dextran with \(N\)-maleoyl-\(\beta\)-alanine as previously reported.\(^{35}\) PS\(_{2300-6}\)-PEG\(_{44}\)-OMe and PS\(_{2300-6}\)-PEG\(_{44}\)-Atto488 (5 wt%) block copolymers were used for the synthesis of 500 nm size polystyrene-block-polyethylene glycol polymersomes following a previously reported procedure (synthetic details can be found in the ESI\(^{38,39}\)).

#### 2.2 Preparation of DexPEG and PEG hydrogel substrates for GUV growth

**DexPEG coated glass substrates.** 1 (2 wt%, DS = 2, 4, 6 and 12) was crosslinked by 2, 3, 5 and 6 in equimolar ratios (maleimide:thiol) at room temperature to form several DexPEG hydrogel films. For example, 1 DS = 4 (60.0 mg) dissolved in water (2.5 g)
and 11.1 mg of 2 (2000 Da) dissolved in water (0.5 g) were reacted to provide a DexPEG hydrogel solution. The solution was shaken in a vortex for 1 minute and used immediately for the preparation of the glass substrates. The DexPEG solution (600 µL) was drop-casted on pre-prepared thiol microscope glass slides. A homogeneous polymeric film was formed after evaporating water for 30–45 minutes at 40 °C. The DexPEG-coated microscope slides were stored until further use.

**Hybrid DexPEG-polymersome hydrogel substrates.** 1 (1 wt%, DS = 4) was mixed with a 500 µL dispersion of polymersomes (0.5 mg mL⁻¹ fluorescent P2000-b-P300a-OMe polymersomes) and crosslinked by 2 and 5 in equimolar ratios (maleimide:thiol) at room temperature to provide a final concentration of polymersomes of 0.25 mg mL⁻¹. The solution was shaken, drop-casted, and dried as described above. The hybrid DexPEG-polymersome hydrogel coated microscope slides were stored until further use.

**PEG hydrogel coated glass substrates.** Commercial tetra-arm PEG 5 was crosslinked with hydrogen peroxide (H₂O₂) by forming disulfide tetramers. First, 5 (2 wt%) was dissolved in 100 µL water and mixed with 10 µL H₂O₂ (30 wt% in water). The solution was shaken in a vortex for 1 minute and immediately used for substrate preparation. Drop casting of the hydrogel solution on thiol functionalized microscope slides resulted in the formation of an inhomogeneous hydrogel film after 5 minutes. The PEG-coated microscope slides were stored until further use.

### 2.3 Formation of GUVs

Giant Unilamellar Vesicles (GUVs) were grown on various DexPEG and hybrid DexPEG-polymersome hydrogel coated microscope glass slide substrates. A lipid solution (10 µL) composed of POPC:cholesterol (80:20 molar ratio, 14 mM) and DOPE-NBD (0.7 mol%) or POPC:PEG 2000-PE (75:20:5 molar ratio, 14 mM) and DOPE-NBD (0.7 mol%) were drop-casted on a hydrogel-coated glass slide. The drop-casted lipid solution was evaporated by using a gentle stream of nitrogen and the coated glass slide was placed in a vacuum oven overnight at room temperature. A liquid chamber was made by placing a 15 mm (OD) glass O-ring on top of the hydrogel using high vacuum silicon grease to generate a seal. GUV growth was initiated by hydrating the lipid-DexPEG hydrogel film with 400 µL of PBS. The hydrated substrates were left to stand overnight at room temperature.

### 3 Results and discussion

The degree of substitution (DS) of 1 and the architecture of the polymer crosslinkers (2–6) were systematically varied to modulate the physicochemical properties of the DexPEG hydrogel materials (Scheme 1). Esterification of the dextran polymer with various equivalents of N-maleoyl-β-alanine at room temperature resulted in functionalized polymers of 1 with various degrees of substitution. The DS of the maleimide-modified dextran polymer (DexMal) is defined as the number of maleimide groups per 100 glucopyranose residues of dextran from 1H NMR measurements. A proportional increase in the DS was observed up to DS = 6 with the number of equivalents of N-maleoyl-β-alanine added. For DS > 6, less N-maleoyl-β-alanine was required to achieve a greater increase in the DS value (Fig. S1, ESI†). Additionally, the successful reaction of N-maleoyl-β-alanine with the dextran polymer was confirmed by IR spectroscopy through the growth of the band 1700 (C=O), 1650 and 700 (vinyl) cm⁻¹, increasing sharply with the substitution degree (Fig. S2, ESI†). In order to form hydrogels, polymers of 1 were reacted with thiolated linear (molecules 2, 3 and 4), tetra-arm or octa-arm PEGs (molecules 5 and 6) in an equimolar ratio (maleimide:thiol) resulting in their crosslinking by Michael addition. The polymer mixture (DexPEG) was drop-casted on thiolated glass slides as previously described. The quality of the hydrogel film formed on the glass surface varied with DS by visual inspection. DexPEG hydrogels with a DS from 2 to 4 on polymer 1 produced clear and homogeneous films on the glass surface regardless of the architecture of the PEG crosslinker used, whereas higher DS polymers of 1 resulted in inhomogeneous hydrogel films.

Oscillatory rheology time sweeps were performed to provide insight into the gelation time and mechanical properties of the various DexPEG hydrogels. Their mechanical stiffness was examined as a function of the DS of 1, the molecular weight, the number of thiols, and architecture of the crosslinker precursor (2, 3, 4, 5 and 6). Samples consisting of 1 with a highest DS (DS = 9) produced weaker network structures with a slower gelation rate (Fig. 1A) in comparison to those with a lower DS (DS = 4, 6). Conversely, fast gelation and increased mechanical strength were observed when higher molecular weight PEG crosslinkers (4, 5, 6) (Fig. 1B) were compared to those with a lower molecular weight (2, 3). Interestingly, increasing the amount of thiol reactive groups 2-fold in the crosslinker led to a higher storage modulus of 5 over 6. Most likely, this unexpected decrease in mechanical properties arises...
due to the amount of unreacted groups and intramolecular loops formed during the coupling reaction between 1 and the various PEG polymer chains when the number of thiols are increased 4-fold in the crosslinker precursor 6.\(^6\)

The swelling properties of the various DexPEG hydrogels were examined to better understand the differences in their network architecture. We studied the equilibrium mass swelling ratio of DexPEG hydrogels in glass vials excluding lipids. The equilibrium mass swelling ratio is defined as \(W_s/W_d\), where \(W_s\) is the swollen weight of the gel after equilibration in buffer and \(W_d\) is the dry weight of the lyophilized gel. With respect to the degree of substitution of the dextran polymer, the swelling ratio immediately decreases when the DS of 1 increases (from DS = 2 to 4), due to the increasing number of crosslinks. The swelling ratio then gradually increases (from DS = 4 to 9) with higher substitution degrees until reaching a plateau after DS = 9 (Fig. 1C). The increase in the swelling ratio revealed that polymers of 1 with the lowest and highest DS provided a loose network structure. At lower DS this is possibly due to the lack of crosslinking, while at higher DS the insolubility of the maleimide-substituted polymer becomes important. The opposite effect was observed, in comparison to the substitution degree in polymers of 1, for PEG crosslinkers with different molecular weights. The mass swelling ratio was decreased in PEG crosslinkers with a higher molecular weight (5, 6) with respect to PEG crosslinkers with a lower molecular weight (2, 3) in the swelling of the hydrogel with a higher DS (DS = 9, Fig. 1D). Increasing the amount of thiol reactive groups in the polymer architecture produced lower swelling ratios relative to the linear crosslinkers with a less amount of thiol reactive groups. This trend is consistent with mechanical measurements, suggesting that increased crosslinking and higher molecular weight reduce the swelling of the hydrogel material. Additionally, the DexPEG hydrogel containing 6 displayed a higher swelling ratio as compared to hydrogels crosslinked with 5, further supporting the formation of fewer effective crosslinks as observed in rheological measurements and a more swellable network in the former.

Next, we examined the microstructure of selected DexPEG hydrogels in the swollen state by cryo-scanning electron microscopy (cryo-SEM) (Fig. 2). With increasing substitution degree of 1, larger pore sizes or discontinuities in the network were observed in the hydrogel material. DexPEG hydrogels of 1 with a DS = 4 (Fig. 2B) displayed a porous network morphology with pores around 200 nm in size, whereas a DS = 6 (Fig. 2E) showed larger pores (~1 μm). In contrast, DexPEG hydrogels with a DS > 6 on 1 lacked the ability to form a three-dimensional network structure (i.e. Fig. 2F). Furthermore, increasing the number of thiol groups in the PEG crosslinker 2- and 4-fold, yielded DexPEG hydrogels with a highly porous honeycomb-like structure. Thus modulation of the PEG architecture by varying the crosslink density had a clear effect on the hydrogel microstructure as observed by a direct comparison of linear PEG precursors 2 and 3 (Fig. 2A and B) with tetra-arm PEG 5 (Fig. 2D) and octa-arm PEG 6 (Fig. 2C).

We previously found that DexPEG hydrogels with a decreased crosslink density grow GUVs with a larger mean diameter.\(^{15}\)

![Fig. 2 Cryo-SEM of DexPEG hydrogels with different DS values and the PEG crosslinker. (A) 1 DS = 4 and crosslinker 2; (B) 1 DS = 4 and crosslinker 3; (C) 1 DS = 4 and crosslinker 5; (D) 1 DS = 4 and crosslinker 6; (E) 1 DS = 6 and crosslinker 3; (F) 1 DS = 12 and crosslinker 3.](image)

Therefore, the effect of the maleimide/thiol ratio on the morphology of these hydrogels was also examined by cryo-SEM (Fig. S3, ESI†). When the PEG crosslinker concentration was decreased, an inhomogeneous network structure was observed due to fewer crosslinks formed. These results are in good agreement with the obtained mechanical data (Fig. S4, ESI†) indicating the formation of a weak and loose network.

The correlation between the hydrogel crosslink density and its effect on GUV yield was quantified by flow cytometric (FC) experiments. Stock solutions of the lipid mixtures POPC: cholesterol (80:20 mol%) or POPC: cholesterol:PEG2000-PE (75:20:5 mol%) were deposited on the DexPEG hydrogel coated microscope slides with varied DS and crosslinkers. Both lipid compositions also contained 0.7 mol% of 1,2-dioleoyl-sn-glycero-3-phosphoethanolamine-N-(7-nitro-2-1,3 benzoxadiazol-4-yl) (ammonium salt) (DOPE-NBD) to allow fluorescence detection of the formed GUVs. Subsequently, the solvent was evaporated under a gentle stream of nitrogen and placed in a vacuum oven overnight to prepare the lipid film on the hydrogel surface. The lipid-coated hydrogel films were then hydrated in phosphate-buffered saline (PBS, pH 7.4) and the resulting free-floating GUVs were collected and characterized by FC. PEGylated and non-PEGylated GUVs were formed on all hydrogels and detected in both fluorescence and side scattering channels, producing typical populations of GUVs (Fig. S5 and S6, ESI†). The use of PEGylated lipids in the lipid composition decreased GUV aggregation, resulting in an increased frequency of single events (i.e. a more precise counting of individual GUVs) for all DexPEG combinations (Fig. 3). Firstly, increasing the DS from 2 to 12 in 1 resulted in a higher GUV yield (Fig. 3A). In contrast,
increasing 2-fold the number of thiol groups on the PEG cross-linkers decreased the GUV yield (Fig. 3B). Only hydrogels synthesized with 6 did not follow this trend due to higher swelling of this DexPEG combination (Fig. 3B). These experiments suggest that the main driving force for GUV production is the swelling of the dextran hydrogel network during the rehydration step of the lipid-coated hydrogel films with the degree of substitution of the polymer and crosslink density affecting this process.

The size distribution of the produced GUVs was estimated using the Coulter Principle in a Quanta SC FC instrument based on electrical impedance. The electric volume (EV) parameter is proportional to the electrical impedance and does not depend on the laser wavelength, geometry or refractive index of the sample, overcoming limitations of forward and side scattering monotonic measurements for the determination of particle size by flow cytometry. We transformed the EV parameter measured to the GUV diameter (μm) (see eqn (S1) and (S2) in the ESI†). Validation of this method was made with microsphere standards with nominal sizes of 10 μm and 20 μm (Fig. S7, ESI†). These measurements yielded a size distribution profile for a large set of PEGylated GUVs. The advantage of this method is the possibility of counting large populations of GUVs, in comparison to microscopy-based methods that only consider smaller population sizes due to the small focal volume. All tested DexPEG hydrogel substrates, independent of the DS of 1 or the PEG crosslinker architecture, produced GUV populations with sizes ranging between 5 μm and 20 μm in diameter (Fig. 4). DexPEG hydrogels prepared from multi-arm PEG crosslinkers 5 and 6 produced GUVs with a similar size distribution as compared to linear PEG crosslinker hydrogels composed of 2 or 3, but with lower yields (Fig. 4B).

These size distributions are in line with those reported previously by optical microscopy using a lipid composition of POPC: cholesterol (80:20 mol%) on DexPEG substrates with an equimolar ratio of precursors (diameter = 10 ± 5 μm, N = 55 GUVs).
We previously found that GUV size could be tuned by decreasing the crosslink density within the hydrogel by reducing the molar ratio of 2 with respect to 1 (DS = 4). However in this work, we find that further increasing the crosslink density in these networks modulates their ability to swell, and therefore, affects the GUV yield.

The GUV formation and growth process from DexPEG hydrogels was further studied by differential interference contrast (DIC) microscopy. Lipids (POPC: cholesterol, 80:20 mol%) were spread on DexPEG hydrogels composed of dextran 1 (DS = 2) and crosslinker 2 (linear) or 5 (tetra-arm). The hydrogel/lipid film was swollen with 200 mM sucrose in PBS (pH 7.4) and images were taken every second for 10 minutes. The initial GUV diameter was a few microns and became larger due to coalescence from crowding. These GUV coalescence events are quantified as a function of time in Fig. 5. The average size of GUVs increases while the number of GUVs decreases upon GUV coalescence. Interestingly, variation in the initial GUV sizes was observed as a function of the varying surface roughness within the DexPEG hydrogel using crosslinker 2 or 5. Qualitative inspection of hybrid lipid/DexPEG hydrogel films under a microscope showed varying surface roughnesses; representative examples are shown in Fig. 5B (relatively rough) and Fig. 5C (relatively smooth). Varying surface roughness could also be observed by a simple direct visual inspection of the prepared samples; rough areas appeared as an opaque film (see Fig. S9, ESIF). On rougher surfaces (Fig. 5B) GUVs coalesced less and maintained a smaller size (∼5 μm diameter), while on smoother surfaces (Fig. 5C), the formed GUVs were larger (∼14 μm diameter). This phenomenon was consistent across samples from crosslinker 2 or 5, measured each in triplicate. Therefore, the thickness and roughness of the DexPEG hydrogel film needs to be considered for controlling polydispersity of GUVs. Additionally, the growth of fluorescently labelled GUVs was followed using confocal microscopy imaging reconstruction (Fig. 6). GUVs were found to swell from the surface of the DexPEG hydrogel matrix as depicted. The orthogonal reconstruction of the confocal image allowed the imaging of the budding of vesicles during the first minutes of lipid hydration on the hydrogel scaffold, clearly showing the self-assembly of small vesicles on the hydrogel surface and the mechanism of growth by coalescence.

To better understand the effect of the various hydrogel components on GUV growth, the interaction of lipids with the various hydrogel components was probed by differential scanning calorimetry (DSC). The melting temperature ($T_m$) of DOPC lipids in the presence and absence of the DexPEG film was determined by differential scanning calorimetry (DSC). A single, sharp endothermic transition arising from the gel to the liquid-crystalline phase was found for pure DOPC at 7°C. This transition is higher than the reported value of the hydrated lipid indicating that the water/lipid ratio was ∼3.12 In the presence of the DexPEG hydrogel, the $T_m$ for DOPC shifted to 18°C and was broadened (Fig. S8A, ESIF†), indicative of a moderate lipid–DexPEG interaction. As a comparison, we also determined the $T_m$ of DOPC in the presence of a gel consisting
solely of disulphide crosslinked PEG (2 wt% of 5). Surprisingly, the intensity of gel-to-liquid crystalline phase transition of DOPC was suppressed (Fig. S8B, ESI†) suggestive of a stronger interaction of the lipids with the PEG network over DexPEG. In addition, the growth of GUVs on hydrogel films composed only of 5 was not observed. While the strong interaction of lipids with the PEG crosslinker decreases GUV formation, their lack of interaction with the dextran scaffold may have an important role in the vesicle self-assembly. These results suggest the potential to control this process by using polymers that show minimal lipid interactions for their construction.

Once the effect of the physicochemical properties of DexPEG hydrogels on GUV formation was examined using various methods, we sought to explore the potential of this method to create compartmentalized GUVs. Compartmentalization is a fundamental characteristic of a cellular structure and it is desired in the formation of minimal cell models for the understanding of multi-step biological processes. However, the formation of compartmentalized GUVs using rehydration methods is still challenging, unlike the encapsulation of a biomolecular cargo that is achievable using gentle hydration and microfluidic methods.40-42 Firstly, a 10 bp fluorescent oligonucleotide (5′-FAM-TGGAGAAGGC-3′) was encapsulated by direct rehydration of a dried lipid film with the composition of DOPC : DOPE : cholesterol (40 : 40 : 20 mol%) on the DexPEG hydrogel substrate (1 DS = 4, crosslinker 2) using the nucleic acid solution. The free-floating GUVs were collected from the growth chamber and a non-encapsulated fluorescent oligonucleotide was removed with a washing step in PBS prior to imaging by confocal microscopy (Fig. 7A). Secondly, the same method was applied in the encapsulation of colloidal particles in the form of fluorescently labelled polymersomes synthesised from PSS100-b-PEG44-OMe and labelled with PS280-b-PEG44-Atto488 (5 wt%) block copolymers (polymersome diameter of 500 nm and zeta potential 50 mV in PBS pH 7.4). Fluorescence imaging of free-floating GUVs showed that polymersomes were present only in the outside of GUVs without being encapsulated (Fig. S10, ESI†). Finally, to successfully encapsulate GUVs we followed a different approach by embedding the polymersomes into the DexPEG hydrogel scaffold, forming a hybrid DexPEG-polymersome hydrogel film. The DexMal precursor (1 DS = 4) was mixed with a solution of polymersomes, prior to the addition of the reactive PEG crosslinker 2. Subsequently, the DexPEG solution containing polymersomes was drop-casted on thiolated glass slides to form an anchored hybrid DexPEG-polymersome hydrogel film. A lipid film was drop-casted on the surface of the dried hydrogel film as described previously and its direct rehydration using PBS resulted in GUVs with encapsulated polymersomes in the inner aqueous volume as imaged by dual colour confocal microscopy (Fig. 7B). Polymersomes were not observed either trapped or aggregated in the lipid membrane of GUVs and the localization of polymersomes in the inner volume of GUVs was confirmed by cryo-SEM imaging (Fig. 7C and D). In these images, the wavy GUV surface, most likely arising from the freezing process during sample preparation, helped in localizing GUVs and identifying polymersomes within the vesicle lumen.

Moreover, the effect of the lipid composition for the encapsulation of polymersomes was probed with the lipid mixtures DOPC : DOPE : cholesterol (40 : 39.5 : 20 mol%) to promote steric repulsion and POPC : POPG (50 : 50 and 90 : 10 mol%) for increasing the electrostatic repulsion between lipids and polymersomes during the vesicle budding process on the hybrid DexPEG – polymersome substrate. The encapsulation of polymersomes in the lumen of GUVs was observed by fluorescence microscopy for all lipid combinations (Fig. S11, ESI†). During the fluorescence imaging of polymersomes loaded GUVs, we always observed super-filled GUVs (~5–10% of the total GUV population), which contained a significantly higher number of polymersomes per GUV as one could expect from the initial polymersome concentration in the buffer solution (Fig. 7B). This phenomenon has been previously reported by Luisi et al. in a small liposomal subpopulation due to particular environmental local conditions that might lead to the perturbation of the vesicle formation mechanism.43-45 Therefore, further physicochemical studies of the formed super-filled GUVs from DexPEG substrates are required to better understand the overcrowding process in cell-sized GUVs. All these data together show that DexPEG chemically crosslinked hydrogel films can be easily adapted for the facile encapsulation of biomolecular cargos and colloidal particles without the use of any sophisticated equipment.
Importantly, if the polymersomes were not embedded within the hydrogel during the synthesis step, polymersomes were not found within the GUV interior.

4 Conclusions

Collectively, oscillatory rheology, equilibrium swelling, cryo-SEM and FC experiments show that the physicochemical properties of the polymer network strongly affect GUV production in terms of size and yield under physiologically relevant conditions. Polymer scaffolds of lower mechanical strength and increased swelling ratios favour the budding of vesicles and GUV growth, whereas an increased crosslink density negatively impacts the GUV yield. By imaging using various microscopes, we observed that the GUV size is significantly affected by the homogeneity of the network and surface roughness of the film. We find that lipid interactions with the hydrogel film should be considered in the design of these scaffolds as strong lipid–polymer interactions preclude the formation of GUVs. Hence, we look to further understand the impact of lipid–polymer interactions and the effect of surface roughness on GUV formation such that monodisperse populations of GUVs can be made. We demonstrate, for the first time, that a chemically crosslinked hydrogel film, consisting of DexPEG, can be used as a suitable scaffold for the GUV encapsulation of a colloidal cargo, such as polymersomes. We believe that the formation of multicompartimentalized GUVs using this method can be an extremely useful tool to create minimal cell models that can provide insight into cellular structure and function to support research in the areas such as the origin of life.
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