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Next-generation DNA sequencing identifies novel gene variants and pathways involved in specific language impairment

Xiaowei Sylvia Chen\textsuperscript{1}, Rose H. Reader\textsuperscript{2}, Alexander Hoischen\textsuperscript{3}, Joris A. Veltman\textsuperscript{3,4,5}, Nuala H. Simpson\textsuperscript{2}, Clyde Francks\textsuperscript{1,5}, Dianne F. Newbury\textsuperscript{2,6} & Simon E. Fisher\textsuperscript{1,5}

A significant proportion of children have unexplained problems acquiring proficient linguistic skills despite adequate intelligence and opportunity. Developmental language disorders are highly heritable with substantial societal impact. Molecular studies have begun to identify candidate loci, but much of the underlying genetic architecture remains undetermined. We performed whole-exome sequencing of 43 unrelated probands affected by severe specific language impairment, followed by independent validations with Sanger sequencing, and analyses of segregation patterns in parents and siblings, to shed new light on aetiology. By first focusing on a pre-defined set of known candidates from the literature, we identified potentially pathogenic variants in genes already implicated in diverse language-related syndromes, including \textit{ERC1}, \textit{GRIN2A}, and \textit{SRPX2}. Complementary analyses suggested novel putative candidates carrying validated variants which were predicted to have functional effects, such as \textit{OXR1}, \textit{SCN9A} and \textit{KMT2D}. We also searched for potential “multiple-hit” cases; one proband carried a rare \textit{AUTS2} variant in combination with a rare inherited haplotype affecting \textit{STARD9}, while another carried a novel nonsynonymous variant in \textit{SEMA6D} together with a rare stop-gain in \textit{SYNPR}. On broadening scope to all rare and novel variants throughout the exomes, we identified biological themes that were enriched for such variants, including microtubule transport and cytoskeletal regulation.

Developmental disorders of speech and language affect approximately 10% of children at school entry\textsuperscript{1} and are related to educational, behavioural and psychological outcomes. Two primary language-related disorders that have been extensively investigated at the genetic level are specific language impairment (SLI) and developmental dyslexia. They impair spoken and written language skills respectively and are clinically defined as disorders affecting the given domain despite full access to education and no pre-existing neurological disabilities that might explain the impairment, such as an auditory or intellectual deficit\textsuperscript{5}. SLI and dyslexia are both highly heritable\textsuperscript{3}, and show high comorbidity, with complex genetic underpinnings involving multiple susceptibility loci\textsuperscript{4}. However, little is currently known regarding the crucial biological risk mechanisms.

A range of methods have been used to investigate the genetic architecture underlying speech and language disorders. Initial linkage studies of family-based samples identified SLI susceptibility loci on chromosomes 2p22, 10q23\textsuperscript{5}, 13q21 (SLI3, OMIM\#607134)\textsuperscript{6}, 13q33\textsuperscript{5}, 16q23–24 (SLI1, OMIM\#606711)\textsuperscript{7}, and 19q13 (SLI2, OMIM\#606712)\textsuperscript{7}. Similarly, early studies of families affected by dyslexia uncovered regions of linkage on multiple chromosomes, including 15q21 (DYX1, OMIM\#127700), 6p2.3-p2.13 (DYX2, OMIM\#600202), 2p16-p15 (DYX3, OMIM\#604254)\textsuperscript{9}, 7p12-q13 (DYX5, OMIM\#608968)\textsuperscript{10}, 18p11.2 (DYX6, OMIM\#606616)\textsuperscript{11}, 11p15.5 (DYX7)\textsuperscript{12}, 1p36-p34 (DYX8, OMIM\#608995)\textsuperscript{13} and Xq27.2-q28 (DYX9, OMIM\#300509)\textsuperscript{12}. Subsequent investigations have identified associations and/or aetiological chromosomal rearrangements that implicate...
genes within several of these linkage regions (reviewed by ref. 16). Key genes include CMIP (C-maf-inducing protein, OMIM*610112) and ATP2C2 (ATPase, Ca2+ -transporting, type 2c, member 2, OMIM*613082) in SLI1; DYSX1C1 (OMIM*608706) in DYSX1; KIAA0319 (OMIM*609269) and DCDC2 (Doublecortin domain-containing protein 2, OMIM*605755) in DYSX3; C2orf56/MRPL19 (Mitochondrial ribosomal protein L19, OMIM*611832) in DYSX5; and ROBO1 (Roundabout, Drosophila, homologue of, 1, OMIM*602430) in DYSX5.

Additional risk loci and variations are beginning to be suggested by genome-wide association scans (GWAS, reviewed by ref. 24), but few have exceeded accepted thresholds for significance, and they have yet to be validated by independent replication studies.

Although the majority of speech and language impairments are modeled as complex genetic disorders, there is increasing evidence that common DNA variations are unlikely to provide a full account of their molecular basis. Thus, although linkage and association studies have identified strong evidence of a genetic influence, many rarer variants with aetiological relevance may be overlooked because they will not be captured by single nucleotide polymorphism (SNP) arrays, or do not reach stringent significance parameters. Recent findings indicate that the boundary between common traits and monogenic forms of disorder may be less defined than previously thought. Accordingly, with advances in molecular technologies, examples can be drawn from the literature of rare or private high-penetration variants that contribute to certain forms of speech and language deficits. Mutations of the FOXP2 transcription factor (Forkhead box, P2, OMIM*605317) are known to lead to developmental syndromes involving verbal dyspraxia, or childhood apraxia of speech, accompanied by problems with many aspects of language.

\[ \text{Methods} \]

**In SLI**

In total, across all 43 probands, 353,686 raw variant calls were made, of which 62.2% fell outside known coding variants, corresponding to 48,722 variants per individual (min = 30, max = 68,682) and/or reading disorders. Next, we characterized rare or novel variants of potential high risk from elsewhere in the genome by defining stop-gain variants, as well as searching for potential cases of compound heterozygotes for rare disruptive variants. Finally, we looked for likely “multiple-hit” events by searching for probands who carried more than one event of potential significance across different genes. For all variants in this stage of analysis we performed independent validation using Sanger sequencing, and assessed inheritance patterns in the available siblings and parents. Given the relatively small sample size of our study, these constraints provide a framework to maximize our chances of identifying contributory variants under an assumption that those variants will explain

**Results**

**Exome sequencing in SLI.** We performed whole exome sequencing of 43 unrelated probands affected by SLI (see Methods). On average, 129.3 million mapped reads (median = 133.3; min = 67; max = 173) were generated per sample. Across all 43 samples, an average of 85.5% of the target sequence was captured at a minimum read depth of ten. The mean read depth of the exonic regions was 86.8, with 39.5% of reads reaching this level. Sequence metrics can be found in Supplementary Table S1. The coverage versus read depth of all samples is shown in Supplementary Figure S1.

In total, across all 43 probands, 353,686 raw variant calls were made, of which 62.2% fell outside known coding sequence. After removing variants with low quality (see Methods), 270,104 remained. 35,550 (13.2%) of these were predicted to affect protein coding, including 34,571 nonsynonymous variants, 549 stop-gains/losses, and 270,104 remained. 35,550 (13.2%) of these

**In the first stage of analysis, we performed a tightly constrained search for aetiological relevant variants, using several complementary methods. We began by identifying all variants occurring within a selection of known candidate genes that have previously been suggested as susceptibility factors in primary speech, language and/or reading disorders. Next, we characterized rare or novel variants of potential high risk from elsewhere in the exome by defining stop-gain variants, as well as searching for potential cases of compound heterozygotes for rare disruptive variants. Finally, we looked for likely “multiple-hit” events by searching for probands who carried more than one event of potential significance across different genes. For all variants in this stage of analysis we performed independent validation using Sanger sequencing, and assessed inheritance patterns in the available siblings and parents. Given the relatively small sample size of our study, these constraints provide a framework to maximize our chances of identifying contributory variants under an assumption that those variants will explain
A large proportion of the trait variance. Throughout this paper, we refer to guidelines for inferring likely causality, as proposed by MacArthur and colleagues52.

In the second stage of analysis, we broadened our scope to consider all rare and novel variants identified throughout the exome, and tested for biological pathways that showed enrichment in our dataset, using within-proband and group-based approaches. Moreover, we assessed how the pattern of findings was affected by the relative frequency of the variants being studied. Thus, this second stage went beyond the level of individual genes to provide a foundation for exploring potential mechanisms that could be involved in aetiology of SLI.

Nonsynonymous variants in selected candidate genes. According to current guidelines for evaluating causality in whole exome/genome datasets, genes previously implicated in similar phenotypes should be evaluated before exploring potential new candidates52. Therefore, prior to beginning any bioinformatic analyses of our exome data, we performed a literature search to identify a set of candidate genes that had been most reliably implicated in speech, language and reading disorders by earlier research. This literature survey yielded 19 candidate genes (Table 2).

In total, we observed 5 novel variants (in ERC1, GRIN2A, GRIN2B, CNTNAP2 and SEMA6D) and 6 rare SNVs (in ATP2C2, AUTS2, CNTNAP5, ROBO1 and SRPX2) in the predefined set of candidate genes (Table 2). All of these variants led to nonsynonymous changes. Those with an EVS European American allele frequency of <1% (n = 9) were subsequently sequenced in available relatives to examine their segregation within the nuclear families (Fig. 1, Supplementary Figure S2). Three such variants were considered the most likely to represent pathogenic changes based upon their inheritance, position in the protein and findings from previous literature. These include a de novo substitution (p.G688A) in a sporadic case in GRIN2A (with true de novo status validated via SNP data), a start-loss (disruption of the first methionine codon) in ERC1 and a substitution (p.N327S) in SRPX2 (Fig. 1). We also observed a novel substitution in SEMA6D (p.H807D), and rare nonsynonomous changes in

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Gene</th>
<th>Validated calls with pop freq &gt;5%*</th>
<th>Validated calls with pop freq 1–5%*</th>
<th>Validated calls with pop freq &lt;1%*</th>
<th>Novel validated calls</th>
<th>Total validated calls</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ATP2C2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AUTS2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CMIP</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CNTNAP2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CNTNAP5</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DCCD2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DOCK4</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DYX1C1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ERC1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FOXP1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FOXP2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GRIN2A</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GRIN2B</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KIAA0319</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NFXL1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ROBO1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SEMA6D</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SETBP1</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SRPX2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

All 18 (48.6%) 8 (21.6%) 6 (16.2%) 5 (13.5%) 37

Table 1. Number of validated calls in candidate genes in SLIC probands. *Population frequency is taken from 1000 Genomes (Apr2012_ALL) samples. #Novel variants were not described by 1000 Genomes (Apr2012_ALL) or by the exome variant server (ESP5400_ALL). A full list of all 37 variants can be found in Supplementary Table S4.
AUTS2 (p.R117C) and ROBO1 (p.V234A) that co-segregated with disorder in affected relatives of the respective probands (Supplementary Figure S2).

Variants of higher risk: rare stop-gains and potential compound heterozygotes. We next extended our investigation beyond known candidate genes, using two strategies to highlight coding variants of potential deleterious effect from elsewhere in the genome. In one approach, we identified and validated stop-gain variants in our dataset which are rare (<1% in EVS and 1000 Genomes) or novel. (We did not detect any validated rare/novel stop-loss or frame-shift variants in this dataset.) Stop-gain variants result in truncated proteins and have potential to yield more severe consequences than the majority of single amino-acid substitutions. In the other approach, we searched for genes that carried more than one rare, disruptive variant in the same proband, which may represent potential compound heterozygotes. (There were no instances where rare/novel disruptive variants occurred in the homozygous state in the cohort.) Within our sample, these approaches allowed us to focus upon variants that carry an increased chance of being deleterious. As recommended by MacArthur and colleagues, we targeted rare and novel variants, drawing upon large, ethnically matched control data and employing multiple bioinformatic prediction algorithms to evaluate potential pathogenicity. Moreover, again following accepted guidelines, we validated all variants of interest with an independent method (Sanger sequencing) and investigated co-segregation patterns within family units.

Following annotation and data filtering, we successfully validated 7 rare or novel stop-gain variants. These validated variants were found in the OR6P1 (Olfactory receptor, family 6, subfamily P, member 1), NUDT16L1 (Nudix (Nucleoside Diphosphate Linked Moiety X)-Type Motif 16-Like 1), SYNPR (Synaptoporin), OXR1 (Oxidation resistance 1, OMIM*605609), IDO2 (Indoleamine 2,3-dioxygenase 2, OMIM*612129), MUC6 (Mucin 6, OMIM*158374) and OR52R2 (Olfactory Receptor, Family 52, Subfamily B, Member 2) genes. Each was <0.25% in reference samples and found to occur in a heterozygous state in a single proband in our dataset (Table 3). None occurred in known candidate genes for neurodevelopmental disorders. Note that olfactory receptor and mucin family genes are especially susceptible to false positive findings in next-generation sequencing, due to mapping artefacts (http://massgenomics.org/2013/06/ngs-false-positives.html). Thus, although these variants were validated by Sanger sequencing, they should be treated with caution. We again investigated the segregation of these variants within nuclear families (Supplementary Figure S3). Two variants showed evidence of co-segregation with disorder. One validated stop-gain, very near the start of the OXR1 gene (NM_001198534:p.W5X, NM_001198535:p.W5X), was found in three children from a family, two affected by SLI necessitating speech and language difficulties and a third with a diagnosis of dyslexia (Fig. 2). The variant was not found in the mother, suggesting that it was most likely inherited from the father, who reports a history of speech and language difficulties but for whom we do not have any genetic information. In another pedigree, a validated stop-gain in MUC6 (NM_005961:p.C703X) was passed from a father to four children, all of whom had expressive and receptive language difficulties (Fig. 2).
In screening for potential cases of compound heterozygotes, we identified 11 genes which carried two or more rare or novel variants in the same proband (Table 4, Supplementary Figure S4). Upon family screening, four such cases were found to represent possible compound heterozygotes where two rare, potentially deleterious variants were inherited from opposite parents and co-segregated with disorder in the children (Supplementary Figure S4). The relevant variants occurred in the \textit{FAT3} (Fat tumor suppressor, Drosophila, homologue of, 3, OMIM*612483), \textit{KMT2D} (Histone-lysine N-methyltransferase 2D, OMIM*602113), \textit{SCN9A} (Sodium channel, voltage-gated, type IX, alpha subunit, OMIM*603415) and \textit{PALB2} (Partner and localizer of \textit{BRCA2}, OMIM*610355) genes. Heterozygous mutations in the \textit{SCN9A} gene have previously been associated with generalized epilepsy with febrile seizures (OMIM#613863) and Dravet syndrome (severe myoclonic epilepsy of infancy, OMIM#607208) when accompanied by mutations in the \textit{SCN1A} (Sodium channel, neuronal type 1, alpha subunit, OMIM*182389) gene\textsuperscript{54,55}. Loss-of-function mutations in \textit{KMT2D} have been reported to cause Kabuki syndrome (OMIM#147920)\textsuperscript{56–58}, a severe syndromic form of intellectual disability associated with...
dysarthria and oromotor deficits, microcephaly and nystagmus. The KMT2D variants in our cohort were rare nonsynonymous changes, rather than confirmed loss-of-function mutations, and the individuals who carried them did not show features of Kabuki syndrome.

Four of the 43 probands investigated carried more than one rare variant across our prioritized high-risk categories described above, potentially representing “multiple-hit” events. The proband carrying a rare coding variant in AUTS2 also had a stop-gain in OR52B2, and multiple rare variants in each of the OR52B2, KIAA0586 (OMIM*610178) and STARD9 (Start domain-containing protein 9, OMIM*614642) genes, all of which were successfully confirmed with Sanger sequencing. The majority of these variants were inherited from a mother who did not report a history of speech and language problems. Both siblings in this family were affected and both carried the rare variants in AUTS2 and STARD9 (Fig. 3). Interestingly, in another family, a proband also carried multiple rare validated variants in the STARD9 gene together with the rare missense variants in KMT2D mentioned above (Fig. 3). In both families, the STARD9 variants were not compound heterozygotes but instead appeared to represent inherited overlapping rare haplotypes that harboured multiple coding variants. One further proband carried a novel nonsynonymous variant in the

Table 3. Stop-gain variants identified in SLIC probands. Scores shown in bold & italic represent changes that are predicted to be functionally significant. Variants highlighted in bold represent co-segregating stop-gains (see Figs 2 and 3 for family pedigrees). *Family pedigree shown in Fig. 3.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variant Position</th>
<th>Gene</th>
<th>dbSNP137 Ref</th>
<th>Var</th>
<th>Proband ID</th>
<th>1000G (ALL) variant freq</th>
<th>EVS (5400_ALL) variant freq</th>
<th>Variant status</th>
<th>Coding change</th>
<th>% of protein missing</th>
<th>PhyloP</th>
<th>Phast Cons</th>
<th>SIFT</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>chr1:158532597</td>
<td>OR6P1</td>
<td>rs142215019</td>
<td>G</td>
<td>T</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>0.10%</td>
<td>rare</td>
<td>NM_001160325:exon1:c.C798A:p.Y266X</td>
<td>16.4%</td>
<td>−0.62</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>1.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>chr3:63466576</td>
<td>SYNPR</td>
<td>rs376661036</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>A</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>rare</td>
<td>NM_144462:exon2:c.C93A:p.C31X</td>
<td>84.7%</td>
<td>−0.72</td>
<td>0.60</td>
<td>1.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>chr8:107738486</td>
<td>ORX1</td>
<td>rs145739822</td>
<td>G</td>
<td>A</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>0.14%</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NM_001198534:exon1:c.G15A:p.W5X</td>
<td>97.7%</td>
<td>4.24</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>1.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>chr8:39847306A</td>
<td>IDO2</td>
<td>rs199869245</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>T</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>rare</td>
<td>NM_194294:exon8:c.C655T:p.R219X</td>
<td>49.5%</td>
<td>1.90</td>
<td>0.95</td>
<td>1.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>chr11:1027390</td>
<td>MUC6</td>
<td>rs2000217410</td>
<td>G</td>
<td>T</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>rare</td>
<td>NM_005961:exon17:c.C2109A:p.C703X</td>
<td>71.2%</td>
<td>0.40</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>1.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>chr11:6190828*</td>
<td>OR52B2</td>
<td>rs190537696</td>
<td>A</td>
<td>T</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>0.14%</td>
<td>rare</td>
<td>NM_001004052:exon1:c.T729A:p.C243X</td>
<td>25.0%</td>
<td>0.59</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>1.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>chr16:4745030</td>
<td>NUDT16L1</td>
<td>rs146701095</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>T</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>0.05%</td>
<td>rare</td>
<td>NM_001193452:exon3:c.C556T:p.Q186X</td>
<td>3.6%</td>
<td>1.77</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>1.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 2. Co-segregating stop-gain variants. (a) ORX1, Proband 29. Chr8:107738486, rs145739822, NM_001198534:exon1:c.G15A:p.W5X, Father reports history of speech and language problems. No DNA sample was available for father. Proband and sibling 2 have special educational needs. Sibling 1 does not have language or IQ scores available, but has been diagnosed with dyslexia. (b) MUC6, Proband 8. Chr11:1027390, rs2000217410, NM_005961:exon17:c.C2109A:p.C703X. Mother reports history of speech and language problems. Proband has special educational needs. For key for symbols used in this figure, please refer to Fig. 1.

Proband with multiple variants of putative interest. Four of the 43 probands investigated carried more than one rare variant across our prioritized high-risk categories described above, potentially representing “multiple-hit” events. The proband carrying a rare coding variant in AUTS2 also had a stop-gain in OR52B2, and multiple rare variants in each of the OR52B2, KIAA0586 (OMIM*610178) and STARD9 (Start domain-containing protein 9, OMIM*614642) genes, all of which were successfully confirmed with Sanger sequencing. The majority of these variants were inherited from a mother who did not report a history of speech and language problems. Both siblings in this family were affected and both carried the rare variants in AUTS2 and STARD9 (Fig. 3). Interestingly, in another family, a proband also carried multiple rare validated variants in the STARD9 gene together with the rare missense variants in KMT2D mentioned above (Fig. 3). In both families, the STARD9 variants were not compound heterozygotes but instead appeared to represent inherited overlapping rare haplotypes that harboured multiple coding variants. One further proband carried a novel nonsynonymous variant in the
Table 4. Genes with more than one rare variant in the same SLIC proband. Scores shown in bold & italic represent changes that are predicted to be functionally significant. Variants highlighted in bold represent potential compound heterozygotes. *Family pedigree shown in Fig. 3. **Stop-gain, also represented in Table 3.

Biological function enrichment analysis of genes with rare and novel SNVs. Prior studies suggest that, with a few prominent exceptions28, most cases of speech and language impairments follow a complex disorder model where risk is determined by combinations of deleterious variants60,61. This is further supported by the observation of multiple rare events of potential significance in a subset of our families, described above.

SEMA6D (Semaphorin 6D, OMIM*609295) gene together with a rare stop-gain in the SYNPR gene (Fig. 3). The proband is the only family member to inherit both variants and is the only family member with a history of speech and language impairment. Finally, one other family carried a novel variant in GRIN2B and language impairment. Finally, one other family carried a novel variant in MYO19. However, there was no obvious pattern of co-segregation across these variants.

**Table 4**. Genes with more than one rare variant in the same SLIC proband. Scores shown in bold & italic represent changes that are predicted to be functionally significant. Variants highlighted in bold represent potential compound heterozygotes. *Family pedigree shown in Fig. 3. **Stop-gain, also represented in Table 3.
first step towards an unbiased assessment of the role of rare variants in SLI and will help direct further studies in larger sample sets.

Within each proband, we generated a gene set corresponding to transcripts carrying novel or rare (≤1% population frequency) stop-gain, splice-site, or nonsynonymous SNVs that were predicted to be deleterious by SIFT or Polyphen, allowing the investigation of protein-interaction pathways within individuals. Pathways that were significantly shared by more than half of the probands included cell adhesion, regulation of the actin cytoskeleton, calcium signaling and integrin cell-surface interactions (FDR < 0.01, Supplementary Table S5).

We went on to pool these gene sets across all probands (based on a total of 2,818 SNVs, listed in Supplementary Table S6) enabling the identification of gene ontology (GO) classes that were over-represented at the group level with respect to rare SNVs predicted to be deleterious. The most significantly enriched GO term was GO:0001539: “ciliary of bacterial-type flagellar motility” (P = 8.33 × 10−5), which is a small functional group consisting of 27 genes (Table 5). Twelve Dynein genes contributed to the 5-fold enrichment in this class. Other significantly over-represented terms included microtubule-based movement, cell adhesion, and actin cytoskeletal organization (FDR < 0.01, Table 5).

In a final exploratory step, we investigated the effects of expected variant frequency on pathway representation. These analyses involved a relaxed gene list in which no restrictions were applied in terms of SIFT/polyphen predictions (i.e. all non-synonymous, stop-gain and stop-loss variants with population frequency of ≤5%). The list was split into three discrete segments based on expected frequency; genes which carried novel variants (3,876

Figure 3. Probands with multiple hits of putative interest. (a) Proband 19. Rare AUTS2 variant, stop and rare variant in OR52B2, rare variants in KIAA0586 and STARD9. Parents do not report history of speech and language problems. No sample available for father. All children have special educational needs. (b) Proband 12. Multiple rare variants in KMT2D and STARD9. No family history available but maternal NWR score in normal range. No sample available for father. (c) Proband 30. SYNPR rare stop variant and SEMA6D novel nonsynonymous variant. Parents do not report history of speech and language problems (although mother has low NWR score). Proband has special educational needs. For key for symbols used in this figure, please refer to Fig. 1.
variants that were not reported in the 1000 Genomes or EVS, as shown in Supplementary Table S7), genes which carried variants that had been reported in the 1000 Genomes with a variant frequency of < 1% (7,084 variants, as shown in Supplementary Table S8) and, an additional set of genes with variants of expected 1000 Genomes frequency between 1% and 5% (4,971 variants, as shown in Supplementary Table S9). Four related themes were found to be significant across variant frequency groups – microtubule-based movement, neuromuscular junction development, cilia and sequestration of calcium ions (Table 6). In general however, significant GO terms were found to cluster differently between frequency classes (Fig. 4). Genes carrying variants in the higher frequency

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Term</th>
<th>ExpCount</th>
<th>Count</th>
<th>P-value</th>
<th>FDR</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ciliary or bacterial-type flagellar motility</td>
<td>3.65</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>8.33E-05</td>
<td>0.012</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>microtubule-based movement</td>
<td>20.46</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>0.000197</td>
<td>0.009</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>cell adhesion</td>
<td>125.70</td>
<td>160</td>
<td>0.000543</td>
<td>0.005</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>homophilic cell adhesion</td>
<td>18.71</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>0.000683</td>
<td>0.008</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>actin cytoskeleton organization</td>
<td>55.05</td>
<td>78</td>
<td>0.000777</td>
<td>0.004</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>extracellular matrix organization</td>
<td>46.57</td>
<td>65</td>
<td>0.002975</td>
<td>0.011</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>protein depolymerization</td>
<td>8.75</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>0.004587</td>
<td>0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>cellular component assembly involved in morphogenesis</td>
<td>20.99</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>0.005049</td>
<td>0.003</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>dendrite development</td>
<td>17.23</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>0.005803</td>
<td>0.002</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>double-strand break repair via homologous recombination</td>
<td>6.99</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>0.007348</td>
<td>0.004</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>neuromuscular junction development</td>
<td>4.98</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>0.007624</td>
<td>0.005</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>actin polymerization or depolymerization</td>
<td>15.48</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>0.00954</td>
<td>0.006</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>cell projection organization</td>
<td>126.51</td>
<td>151</td>
<td>0.009759</td>
<td>0.000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 5. Enriched GO terms with variants less than 1% frequency across probands. Pathways with P < 0.01 and size >10 genes are shown in the table. Those in bold are also found to be significantly enriched when considering a relaxed gene list over different variant frequencies, shown in Table 6.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Term</th>
<th>ExpCount</th>
<th>Count</th>
<th>P-value</th>
<th>FDR</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>cellular response to interleukin-4</td>
<td>5.42</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>2.35E-04</td>
<td>0.006</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>maintenance of location in cell</td>
<td>24.07</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>2.41E-04</td>
<td>0.004</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>keratinization</td>
<td>3.85</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>9.66E-04</td>
<td>0.004</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>microtubule anchoring</td>
<td>7.94</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>0.0052</td>
<td>0.012</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>neuromuscular junction development</td>
<td>8.96</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>0.0078</td>
<td>0.003</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>release of sequestered calcium ion into cytosol by sarcoplasmic reticulum</td>
<td>4.09</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>0.0090</td>
<td>0.002</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 6. Enriched GO terms across probands split by variant frequency. Pathways with P < 0.01 and size >10 genes are shown in the table. Highlighted key words indicate functions consistently found in all GO enrichment analysis.
group (1% to 5%) were predominantly localized within the classes "Cellular response to interleukin-4" and "Microtubule-based movement" while the GO enrichments for "Cell proliferation in forebrain" and "Extracellular matrix disassembly" relate mainly to the rarer variants (less than 1% and novel) (Fig. 4).

Discussion
In this study, we used exome sequencing followed by Sanger validations and segregation analyses, to perform a characterization of exome variants of likely aetiological relevance in SLI, a common form of developmental language disorder. In a dataset of 43 well-phenotyped probands, based on validation, bioinformatics characterization and previous associations, we observed potentially pathogenic variants in several genes that have already been implicated in speech- and language-related syndromes. Specifically, we identified a private start-loss variant in ERC1, a gene previously implicated in childhood apraxia of speech45; a novel de novo substitution disrupting GRIN2A, a gene mutated in epilepsy-aphasia spectrum disorders 36,62,63; and a hemizygous disruption of SRPX2 that has previously been identified in people with Rolandic epilepsy with speech apraxia 34. Thus, although the language difficulties in SLI must (by definition) be unexpected, our findings suggest that a proportion of affected children might actually represent cases of undiagnosed developmental syndromes that may be clinically identifiable. As a note of interest, the three candidate genes highlighted above all show links with epilepsy and/or motor speech problems. Although this may represent a selection bias, it raises the possibility that certain clinical features could be useful endophenotypes for helping to identify high-penetrance coding variants in speech and language disorders.

Consistent with accepted guidelines for defining SLI, none of the probands of our cohort were diagnosed with epilepsy. Yet, two of the three genes noted above were previously implicated in language-related forms of epilepsy. Disruptions of GRIN2A may account for between 9 and 20% of cases of Rolandic epilepsy35–37. Heterozygous loss-of-function mutations of the KMT2D gene are implicated in

Figure 4. Clusters of significant GO terms enriched with variants of different frequency. Enriched GO terms were identified using three gene lists marked by variant frequency (novel, less than 1%, and between 1–5%). The resulting GO terms associated with the three gene lists are colour-coded (Cyan: between 1–5%; Gold: less than 1%; Red: novel) and with size representing the number of genes within each GO term. The GO terms were clustered based on their functional similarity. Five major functional categories could be identified, namely "Extracellular Matrix Disassembly", "Cell Proliferation in Forebrain", "Microtubule-based Movement", "Release of Sequestered Calcium ion into Cytosol", and "Cellular response to interleukin-4". Lines connecting the GO terms indicate levels of similarity between each connected pair.
Kabuki syndrome, a severe developmental syndrome that often presents with heterogeneous oromotor, speech, and language deficits. The KMT2D variants we identified are non-synonymous changes that may alter protein properties but are very unlikely to act as fully penetrant loss-of-function alleles, especially given that carriers of these variants do not suffer from Kabuki syndrome. Thus, if they are indeed aetiologically relevant for SLI, we must speculate that they increase risk in a subtle manner; functional assays would be required to shed more light on this hypothesis. Overall, our findings are in line with the proposed existence of shared molecular mechanisms between different neurodevelopmental disorders affecting speech and language circuits of the brain.

The heterogeneity of speech and language disorders and the complexity of the underlying genetic mechanisms are further illustrated by the observation that most of our cases did not carry obvious disruptive coding variants in known genes implicated by prior literature and by the fact that few of the identified genes fell within known regions of linkage for SLI or dyslexia. Indeed, of the genes identified as candidates in this manuscript, only the MUC6 gene falls in a previously demonstrated linkage locus (DYX7). Furthermore, although we did observe novel and rare variants in candidate language-related genes in some probands, many did not co-segregate with disorder within the family unit and their aetiological role could not be clarified, indicating that they are unlikely to be directly causal, but could perhaps increase risk of SLI in a more complex manner. Even in cases where co-segregation was established, the small size of the family units and the limitations of phenotyping in adults limit the conclusions that can be drawn. In line with current guidelines, all variants would therefore require functional studies to robustly validate their relevance to SLI risk. In addition, future surveys in much larger SLI cohorts could also be informative on contributions of the various known genes to risk.

Beyond known candidate genes from the literature, we searched for variants with likely deleterious effects from elsewhere in the exome. We identified and validated two rare stop-gain variants that occurred in multiple affected children within family units. A stop-gain near the start of the OXR1 gene was found in three siblings with speech and language-related difficulties. The OXR1 protein plays a critical role in neuronal survival during oxidative stress and is a candidate gene for Amyotrophic Lateral Sclerosis. Knock-out of the OXR1 gene in mice leads to progressive neurodegeneration and motor coordination deficits. This gene therefore represents an interesting future candidate for involvement in neurodevelopmental disorder. A stop-gain in the MUC6 gene was found in four siblings with expressive and receptive difficulties in another family. An important note of caution should be made here, since MUC6 genes are known to be particularly susceptible to false positive findings in next-generation sequencing studies, due to mapping artefacts (see http://massgenomics.org/2013/06/ngs-false-positives.html). As with all the other variants of interest that we discuss here, independent validation came from Sanger sequencing, still considered the gold standard method, which can increase confidence that these are not artefactual findings.

It has previously been postulated that some forms of neurodevelopmental disorder may follow a “double-hit” model in which combinations of events with relatively large effect sizes disrupt inter-connected pathways and substantially increase the risk of neurodevelopmental disorder. To begin exploring this proposal with respect to SLI, we searched for genes which carried multiple rare variants of likely deleterious effect within the same proband, and probands who carried multiple events of potential interest across candidate genes. We identified several cases with multiple rare coding variants at different loci, although these did not occur in genes with obvious functional connections and they would thus need validation with further experimental data. One proband with multiple variants of interest carried a rare variant in the AUTS2 gene in combination with a rare inherited haplotype in the STARD9 gene. AUTS2 is a long-standing candidate for autism susceptibility and disruptions of this gene have been reported in individuals with developmental delay, ADHD, epilepsy and schizophrenia. Indeed, it has been described as a locus that confers risk across neurodevelopmental diagnostic boundaries. The functions of the AUTS2 protein are largely unknown but it has been suggested to play a role in cytoskeletal regulation. The STARD9 gene encodes a mitotic kinesin which functions in spindle pole assembly. Interestingly, another proband also carried multiple rare variants in the STARD9 gene (Fig. 3). In both cases, the STARD9 variants were not compound heterozygotes but instead appeared to represent inherited overlapping rare haplotypes that harboured multiple coding variants. The finding of co-occurring variants in two SLI probands leads us to speculate that pathways related to cytoskeletal function might be relevant for language disorders.

Potential involvement of cytoskeletal regulation in mechanisms underlying SLI susceptibility was also suggested by our independent pathway-based investigations of the exome data. GO analyses between and within probands converged on biological processes including microtubule-based movement, specifically the roles of dyneins and kinesins. These findings thus suggest an intriguing link between the specific variants identified in single probands and the patterns of variants seen across all probands. In addition, certain biological functions appeared to cluster within variant frequency groupings. While novel and rare (0–1%) variants were over-represented within “Extracellular matrix disassembly” pathways, more common variants (1–5%) were predominantly localized within the “Microtubule-based movement” class. A potential contribution of microtubule transport pathways to risk of speech and language problems would be of particular interest given the established links between candidate genes for neurodevelopmental disorders and dynein and cilia function. The GO categories identified as being over-represented are large functional classes and the sample sizes are small, but these analyses provide preliminary indications of pathways that may be relevant to speech and language disorders. Further investigations of larger samples will be required to validate these initial findings and to elucidate whether particular subsets of genes are enriched with risk variants or whether the risk is distributed across the entire class.

The ultimate aim of exome studies is to perform an unbiased screen of all variants across the entire coding sequence. Given the sample size of the present study, we used a number of complementary methods to constrain searches for variants of interest and associated pathways. It is therefore important to note that our analyses necessarily highlight a constricted subset of loci that have supporting data from previous datasets or have an increased likelihood of aetiological significance. We have listed all identified variants within each category in the Tables presented here and as Supplementary data. Nonetheless, these analyses have enabled the detection of cases with
potentially pathogenic mutations (ERC1, GRIN2A, SRPX2), and support the role of known candidate genes and pathways (AUTS2, ciliary function). Moreover, our findings highlight a number of new putative candidates for future study (e.g. OXR1, STARD9) and novel pathways and processes (microtubule transport, cytoskeletal regulation) that may be relevant to speech and language development.

**Methods Participants.** Participants for this study were taken from the SLIC (SLI consortium) cohort, the ascertainment and phenotyping of which has been described extensively in prior publications, and were recruited from five centres across the UK; The Newcomen Centre at Guy's Hospital, London (now called Evelina Children's Hospital); the Cambridge Language and Speech Project (CLASP); the Child Life and Health Department at the University of Edinburgh; the Department of Child Health at the University of Aberdeen; and the Manchester Language Study. A full list of SLIC members can be found in the Acknowledgements section. All methods were performed in accordance with the relevant ethical guidelines and regulations. Ethical agreement was given by local ethics committees. Guys Hospital Research Ethics Committee approved the collection of families from the Newcomen Centre to identify families from the South East of England with specific language disorder, Ref. No. 96/7/11. Cambridge Local Research Ethics Committee approved the CLASP project “Genome Search for susceptibility loci to language disorders”, Ref. No. LREC96/212. Ethical approval for the Manchester Language Study was given by the University of Manchester Committee on the Ethics of Research on Human Beings, Ref. No. 03061. The Lothian Research Ethics Committee approved the project “Genetics of specific language impairment in children in Scotland”, Ref. No. LREC/1999/6/20. All subjects provided informed consent.

Briefly, the SLIC cohort comprises a set of British nuclear families who were recruited through at least one child with a formal diagnosis of SLI. This diagnosis was based on impaired expressive and/or receptive language skills (2.5 standard deviations (SD) below the normative mean of the general population), assessed using the Clinical Evaluation of Language Fundamentals (CELF-R). The language impairments had to occur against a background of normal non-verbal cognition (not more than 1 SD below that expected for their age), assessed using the Perceptual Organisation Index (a composite score derived from Picture Completion, Picture Arrangement, Block Design and Object Assembly subtests) of the Wechsler Intelligence Scale for Children (WISC). Following recruitment of the proband, language and IQ measures were collected for all available siblings, regardless of language ability and DNA samples were collected from parents and children. Crucially, although there have been reports of linkage distribution, association and CNV analysis of the SLIC families, no prior investigation has used exome-wide next-generation sequencing approaches to investigate etiology in this cohort. For the present study, we first selected unrelated probands from the SLIC cohort who had severe SLI, based on in-depth phenotypic data on multiple measures of language and cognition, along with sufficient quantities of high-quality DNA available for next-generation sequencing. This yielded a set of forty three unrelated probands for whom whole exome sequencing was carried out. The group of probands had mean scores of 65.9 (−2.3 SD below expected for chronological age) and 73.8 (−1.7 SD) for expressive and receptive language respectively, and a mean verbal IQ of 84.2 (−1.1 SD), compared to a mean non-verbal IQ of 98.7 (−0.1 SD) in line with the mean of the general population (all scores normalized to a population mean of 100 and SD of 15).

In our Figures examining family segregation of variants (see below) we present information regarding the core phenotypes; CELF-R expressive and receptive language scores, which were used to determine proband and sibling affection status. Where available, we also present data for additional phenotypes. These include the total verbal and non-verbal IQ scores from the Wechsler Intelligence Scale for Children and scores on nonword repetition tasks. Although these were not used to ascertain affection status, they sometimes provided additional information specific deficits in individuals. Nonword repetition is hypothesized to represent an index of phonological short term memory, while the IQ measures indicate general levels of verbal and non-verbal ability.

**Exome sequencing and variant discovery.** Exome capture was performed using 10 μg of genomic DNA from each participant. Exons and flanking intronic regions were captured with the SureSelect Human All Exon version-2 50 Mb kit (Agilent, Santa Clara, CA, USA), which is designed to capture 99% of human exons defined by NCBI Consensus CDS Database from September 2009, and 93% of RefSeq genes (~23,000). Captured fragments were sequenced using the SOLiD series 5500xl DNA sequencing platform (Life Technologies, Carlsbad, CA, USA) with 50 nt, single-end runs. Sequence alignment and variant calling were performed within the Genome Analysis Toolkit (GATK version-2.7.2)95. BAM files went through several stages of preprocessing, including removal of PCR duplicates using Picard Tools version-1.77 (URL:http://picard.sourceforge.net/), Base Quality Recalibration, and Indel Realignment (which form part of the GATK software package). Calling of single nucleotide variants (SNVs) was performed using a combined calling algorithm with HaplotypeCaller, which can provide a better stringency of calling and more accurate estimation of variant quality.

Raw variant calls were filtered using the Variant Quality Score Recalibration function according to GATK’s Best Practice recommendations, with the following training sets: human hapmap-3.3,hg19 sites, 1000G-omni-2.5,hg19 sites, and 1000G-phase1-high.confidence-SNPs,hg19 sites for SNVs, and Mills-and-1000G-gold.standard-INDELs,hg19 for INDELs. Using this training set, variant call files are recalibrated and filtered according to various parameters including the normalization of read depth (QD), the position of the variant within the read (ReadPosRankSum), the mapping quality of variant call reads (MQRankSum), strand bias (FS), and inbreeding coefficients (InbreedingCoeff). The PASS threshold after recalibration was set at 99 (99% of the testing dbSNP-137 variants could be identified using the trained model).

Filtered variants were annotated according to coordinates of human genome build hg19, RefSeq genes and dbSNP137 using the ANNOVAR annotation tool which enables gene-based (e.g. functional consequence of identified changes), region-based (e.g. segmental duplications, DNase hypersensitive sites) and filter-based (e.g. population frequencies, SIFT scores) annotations. Following annotation, all intergenic, intronic, non-coding
3. We searched for potential compound heterozygotes by identifying all probands who carried two or more rare coding variants identified within a set of the most robust candidate genes from the literature, defined prior to the start of the analysis. This set included 19 genes (CIMP, ATP2C2, CNTNAP2, NFNL1, FOXP1, FOXP2, DYX1C1, KIAA0319, DCDC2, ROBO1, SRPX2, GRIN2A, GRIN2B, ERC1, SETBP1, CNTNAP5, DOCK4, SEMA6D, and AUTS2), as detailed in the main text.

4. We highlighted potential cases of “multiple-hits” by following up all probands who had more than one variant which fell into any of the above classes of investigation.

All the above variants were validated by Sanger sequencing within the probands in whom they were called. Validated variants of interest were then also sequenced in all available parents and siblings of the proband allowing the evaluation of possible segregation patterns within nuclear pedigrees.

Pathway-based analyses. In the second stage of analyses, we performed a more exploratory investigation of biological pathways within the exome dataset. For each proband, we collated a list of all genes containing rare likely disruptive variants, defined as nonsynonymous and stop-gain/loss variants, splice-site changes and frame-shift INDELS that had a frequency of ≤1% in 1000 Genomes (ALL)97,98 and the NHLBI GO ESP Exome Variant Server (EV5, ESP5400, ALL samples) http://evs.gs.washington.edu/EVS/), and that were predicted to be deleterious (SIFT score ≤0.05 or PolyPhen2 score ≥0.85) (2,818 variants in total for all probands, Supplementary Table S6). We then used the KEGG99 and Reactome100 databases to identify pathways affected by these variants within probands. To test whether the observed number of SLI probands sharing a particular affected pathway was higher than chance, random subject-gene associations were generated, by picking the same number of genes randomly from all genes with variants. Thus, a permuted pathway-to-subjects mapping was generated by repeating the process 1000 times. The FDR was calculated as the number of times when a pathway was seen in equal or more probands than the observed probands divided by 1000.

Following this within-proband analyses, we went on to perform gene ontology (GO) analyses in the dataset as a whole. A list of all genes containing rare and disruptive variants (defined as above, based on 2,818 variants, Supplementary Table S6) was tested against the background gene list (all genes with all variants). Over-represented classes were identified across all probands using the GO database101 and hypergeometric tests were conducted within GOstats102 using a P-value- and FDR-level of 0.01.

Finally, we examined effects of variant frequency upon gene pathways. For these analyses, we focused on all nonsynonymous, stop-gain and stop-loss variants that had a frequency of ≤5% in 1000 Genomes (ALL)97,98, regardless of functional predictions. From this list we selected genes which carried novel variants i.e. variants that were not found in 1000 Genomes and not found in EVS (a total of 3,876 variants, as listed in Supplementary Table S7). The remaining genes were split into (i) genes that carried variants that had been reported in the 1000 Genomes with a variant frequency of <1% (7,084 variants, Supplementary Table S8) and,
(ii) genes which carried variants with an 1000 Genomes frequency of between 1% and 5% (4,971 variants, Supplementary Table S9).
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