The electrical resistivity $\rho$ and Hall coefficient $R_H$ of the tetragonal single-layer cuprate La$_{1.6-x}$Nd$_x$Sr$_x$CuO$_4$ were measured in magnetic fields up to $H = 37.5$ T, large enough to access the normal state at $T \to 0$, for closely spaced dopings $p$ across the pseudogap critical point at $p^* = 0.23$. Below $p^*$, both coefficients exhibit an upturn at low temperature, which gets more pronounced with decreasing $p$. Taken together, these upturns show that the normal-state carrier density $n$ at $T = 0$ drops upon entering the pseudogap phase. Quantitatively, it goes from $n = 1 + p$ at $p = 0.24$ to $n = p$ at $p = 0.20$. By contrast, the mobility does not change appreciably, as revealed by the magnetoresistance. Our data are in excellent agreement with recent high-field data on YBa$_2$Cu$_3$O$_{6.6}$ and La$_{2-x}$Sr$_x$CuO$_4$. The quantitative consistency across these different cuprates shows that a drop in carrier density from $1 + p$ to $p$ is a universal signature of the pseudogap transition at $T = 0$. We discuss the implication of these findings for the nature of the pseudogap phase.

DOI: 10.1103/PhysRevB.95.224517

I. INTRODUCTION

After more than two decades, the pseudogap phase of cuprate superconductors remains an enigma, the subject of active debate. Most experimental studies so far have been carried out either at high temperature, above the onset of superconductivity at $T_c$, where signatures are typically broad, or at low temperature, inside the superconducting phase, where it is difficult to separate the pseudogap from the superconducting gap. Experiments of a third kind are called for: in the $T = 0$ limit, without superconductivity [1]. This can be achieved by applying large magnetic fields to suppress superconductivity.

Twenty years ago, Ando, Boebinger, and co-workers pioneered this approach with measurements of the electric resistivity $\rho(T)$ in the cuprate La$_{2-x}$Sr$_x$CuO$_4$ (LSCO), using pulsed fields up to 61 T [2,3]. They discovered an upturn in $\rho(T)$ at low $T$, for hole concentrations (dopings) below $p \simeq 0.16$. The mechanism responsible for what was called a “metal-to-insulator crossover” has remained unclear until very recently [4] (see below). Later on, Hussey and co-workers showed that $\rho(T)$ in LSCO decreases linearly as $T \to 0$ at $p = 0.18$, and up to $p = 0.23$ [5]. The critical doping below which an upturn appears in the resistivity of LSCO is therefore $p = 0.18$.

Boebinger and co-workers also performed measurements of the Hall coefficient $R_H$, again in fields up to 60 T, in both Bi$_2$La$_2$-Sr$_x$CuO$_{6+\delta}$ (Bi-2201) [6] and LSCO [7]. These revealed a small anomaly at low $T$, in the form of a peak in the (positive) Hall number $n_H \sim 1/R_H$, located at $p \simeq 0.17$. An explanation for this anomaly has yet to be found.

Starting in 2007, high-field Hall measurements in YBa$_2$Cu$_3$O$_{6+\delta}$ (YBCO), also up to 60 T, revealed that $R_H$ is deeply negative at $T \to 0$ in the doping range $0.08 < p < 0.16$ [8,9]. Quantum oscillations observed in that same range [10–12] have been interpreted in terms of a small electron pocket in the Fermi surface, attributed to a reconstruction caused by some density-wave order [13,14]. Subsequent studies showed that this Fermi-surface reconstruction (FSR) is caused by charge-density-wave (CDW) modulations, detected by nuclear magnetic resonance (NMR) [15–17] and x-ray diffraction (XRD) [18–20] in the same doping range [21,22].

Recently, Hall measurements in YBCO were extended to higher doping by using fields up to 88 T [23]. Two findings were made. First, the FSR ends at $p = 0.16 \pm 0.005$, as does the CDW phase (in zero field) [21,22]. This means that the critical doping for CDW order, $p_{CDW} = 0.16 \pm 0.005$, is distinctly lower than the pseudogap critical point, which in YBCO is located at $p^* = 0.19 \pm 0.01$ [24]. A similar separation of normal-state critical points was also found in LSCO from high-field Seebeck measurements [25], with $p_{CDW} = 0.15 \pm 0.005$ and $p^* = 0.18$ [4]. The implication is that the pseudogap phase is distinct from the CDW phase. The pseudogap is not a high-temperature precursor of the low-temperature charge order, for example. However, CDW order may well be a secondary instability of the pseudogap phase, once the latter has set in [26].

The second finding in YBCO is a dramatic drop in $n_H$ as doping is decreased below $p^*$ [23]. This drop was attributed to a decrease in carrier density $n$, from $n = 1 + p$ at $p > p^*$ to $n = p$ at $p < p^*$. Based on this insight, it was recently demonstrated that the upturn in the resistivity of LSCO can be accounted for quantitatively, thereby resolving the 20-year-
old puzzle [4]. The “metal-to-insulator crossover” is in fact the consequence of a $T = 0$ metal-to-metal transition into the pseudogap phase at $p^\ast$, whose ground state is a metal with $n = p$ hololike carriers.

In this paper, we study a third cuprate, La$_{1.6-x}$Nd$_{0.4}$Sr$_x$CuO$_4$ (Nd-LSCO), known to exhibit an upturn in both $\rho(T)$ and $R_{\parallel}(T)$ [27]. As we shall see, this is really what proves that the upturns are due to a loss of carrier density. An important advantage of Nd-LSCO is that the opening of the pseudogap measured spectroscopically is really what proves that the upturns are due to a loss of hololike and electronlike carriers. This offers a possible explanation for the puzzling Hall anomaly seen in Bi-2201 [6] and LSCO [7].

II. METHODS

Large single crystals of Nd-LSCO were grown by a traveling float-zone technique in an image furnace, with nominal Sr concentrations $x = 0.20, 0.21, 0.22, 0.23$, and 0.25. Samples were cut into small rectangular platelets of typical dimensions of 1 mm in length and 0.5 mm in width (in the basal plane of the tetragonal structure), with a thickness of 0.2 mm along the $c$ axis. The hole concentration $p$ of each sample is taken to be $p = x$, except for the $x = 0.25$ sample, whose doping is $p = 0.24 \pm 0.005$ (see the Appendix). Each sample is labeled by its $p$ value.

Six contacts were made on each sample with H20E silver epoxy, in such a way as to short-circuit the $c$ axis, and diffused by annealing at high temperature in oxygen (two contacts for the current, two for the longitudinal resistivity and two for the transverse Hall signal). Measurements were performed using a standard four-point technique with the current applied along the length of the sample (in the CuO$_2$ plane). The magnetic field was applied parallel to the $c$ axis (normal to the CuO$_2$ plane). All samples were measured in Sherbrooke at a fixed field of $H = 0$ and $H = 16$ T. In Nijmegen, two types of measurements were carried out: field sweeps up to 37.5 T
at a typical speed of 4 T/min, at various fixed temperatures; temperature sweeps at a fixed field of $H = 33$ T.

### III. RESULTS

The aim of our study was to investigate in detail the onset of the pseudogap phase, as the material is taken across $p^*$ (Fig. 1), in the absence of superconductivity, by measuring the in-plane transport coefficients $\rho$ and $R_H$ in magnetic fields large enough to access the normal state at low temperature, for closely spaced dopings from $p = 0.20$ to $p = 0.24$.

#### A. Resistivity

At $p = 0.24$, $\rho(T)$ is known to be perfectly linear below $80$ K [27]. Below $p^*$, an upturn appears at low temperature. In Fig. 2, the electrical resistivity of our Nd-LSCO sample with $p = 0.22$ is plotted as a function of temperature. Above $50$ K, $\rho(T)$ is linear in temperature. Upon cooling below $T \approx 50$ K, $\rho(T)$ shows a clear upturn at low $T$. A temperature sweep at $H = 33$ T allows us to track that upturn down to $T \approx 5$ K.

In Fig. 3, we report several low-$T$ isotherms of $\rho$ vs $H$ measured up to $H = 37.5$ T in our samples of Nd-LSCO with $p = 0.21, 0.22, 0.23$, and $0.24$. We see that by $33$ T the normal state is reached at all temperatures down to at least $4$ K. The temperature dependence can be obtained by taking a cut at fixed field. Doing this for $p = 0.22$ at $H = 33$ T yields the dots plotted in Fig. 2, in good agreement with the continuous $33$-T curve.

It is useful to characterize the resistivity of Nd-LSCO in two ways. First, by fitting the linear regime above $70$ K to $\rho(T) = \rho_0 + AT$ (dash-dotted line in Fig. 2), we obtain the extrapolated residual resistivity $\rho_0$. Second, by extending the high-field low-temperature data down to $T = 0$ (dashed line in Fig. 2), we obtain the actual normal-state resistivity in the $T = 0$ limit, $\rho(0)$. At $p = 0.22$, for example, we obtain $\rho_0 = 29 \pm 2 \mu\Omega$ cm and $\rho(0) = 148 \pm 3 \mu\Omega$ cm (Fig. 2).

There is a positive magnetoresistance (MR) in all samples, which grows as $H^2$ (Fig. 9). By extrapolating to $H = 0$ a quadratic fit to the high-field data in the normal state (dashed lines in Fig. 3), we obtain $\rho(H \to 0)$, and define the relative MR as $\Delta \rho / \rho(H \to 0) \equiv \rho(H) / \rho(H \to 0) - 1$. In Sec. III D, we relate this MR to the mobility, studied across $p^*$. Using MR data as in Fig. 9(b) for $p = 0.22$, we can remove the MR from the value of $\rho(0)$ obtained in high fields (e.g., $33$ T). For $p = 0.22$, this yields $\rho(0) = 136 \pm 5 \mu\Omega$ cm. We use the dimensionless ratio of this MR-free value of $\rho(0)$ to $\rho_0$ to quantify the change in resistivity at $T = 0$ caused by the pseudogap (see Sec. III C).

In Fig. 4, we show how the upturn in $\rho(T)$ evolves with doping. For a close comparison of data from four different samples, we normalize the four curves so that they are all equal above $60$ K. Specifically, we subtract $\rho_0$ and then normalize $\rho(T) - \rho_0$ to unity at $T = 75$ K. At $p = 0.24$, the data show that $\rho(T)$ is perfectly linear below $80$ K, as observed before.
As soon as the doping is reduced below \( p^* \), an upturn in \( \rho(T) \) develops at low \( T \). The upturn grows rapidly as \( p \) is further reduced. The value of \( \rho(T) - \rho_0 \) at \( T \to 0 \) is plotted in the inset of Fig. 4. We see that it grows linearly from \( p^* \) down, in good agreement with the \( c \)-axis resistivity [30], thereby confirming, on a different set of samples, the location of the critical doping in Nd-LSCO, at \( p^* = 0.23 \pm 0.01 \).

### B. Hall coefficient

The Hall coefficient \( R_H \) was measured simultaneously on the same samples as the resistivity. The data for five samples with dopings \( p = 0.20, 0.21, 0.22, 0.23, \) and \( 0.24 \) are displayed in Fig. 5 as a function of temperature. Curves at \( H = 16 \) T reveal the essential features, confirmed and extended to lower \( T \) by the 33-T curves. The data at \( p = 0.20 \) and \( p = 0.24 \) are in excellent agreement with the previous study [27]. Isotherms up to \( H = 37.5 \) T are displayed in Fig. 6. These show that the normal state is reached at \( H = 33 \) T for all temperatures down to \( T = 4.2 \) K for \( p = 0.21 \) and 0.22, and down to \( T = 1.5 \) K for \( p = 0.20 \) and 0.23. Cuts at \( H = 33 \) T agree very well with the temperature sweeps of Fig. 5.

At \( T = 80 \) K, \( R_H \) increases monotonically with decreasing \( p \), as it does in all hole-doped cuprates at \( T > T^* \) [32,33]. At \( p = 0.24 \), as observed before [27], \( R_H(T) \) is flat below \( \sim 50 \) K, and \( R_H(0) \approx V/e(1 + p) \), where \( V \) is the unit-cell volume and \( e \) is the electron charge, the value expected for a single large hololike Fermi surface containing \( 1 + p \) holes per Cu atom.

At \( p = 0.20, 0.21, \) and 0.22, there is a clear upturn in \( R_H(T) \) at low \( T \), starting roughly below the temperature where \( \rho(T) \) has its minimum (Fig. 4). In other words, the upturn in \( \rho(T) \) also shows up in \( R_H(T) \). However, this is not true at \( p = 0.23 \), where \( R_H(T) \) shows no upturn at low \( T \) (Fig. 5).

### C. Carrier density

In Fig. 7, we plot the Hall number \( n_H = V/(eR_H) \) at \( T \to 0 \) as a function of doping, obtained using \( R_H(0) \), the value of \( R_H \) extrapolated to \( T = 0 \) in Fig. 5. We see that at \( T = 0 \) the onset of the pseudogap at \( p^* \) causes a drop from \( n_H \approx 1 + p \) at \( p > p^* \) to \( n_H \approx p \) at \( p < p^* \).

At \( p = 0.24 \), it is certainly reasonable to interpret \( n_H \) as a carrier density (with units of holes/Cu atom), since the data yield \( n_H(0) = 1.3 \pm 0.1 \) and the Luttinger rule requires the carrier density to be \( n = 1 + p = 1.24 \) for a single large hololike Fermi surface. By itself, the drop in \( n_H \) below \( p^* \) does not necessarily imply a drop in carrier density, for it could be due to a change in Fermi-surface curvature, such as could occur at a nematic quantum critical point [34]. However, the fact that \( \rho(T) \) shows an increase at low \( T \) does imply a loss of carriers. A drop of carrier density from \( 1 + p \) to \( p \) will cause the resistivity at \( T = 0 \) to increase by a factor \((1 + p)/p\), if the mobility does not change (we show in Sec. III D that it changes very little). It is remarkable that this factor is precisely what is observed in Nd-LSCO, as noted earlier for \( p = 0.20 \) [27], in the sense that the resistivity at \( T \to 0 \), \( \rho(0) \), is larger than the
FIG. 6. Isotherms of the Hall coefficient in Nd-LSCO, as a function of magnetic field $H$, for four dopings as indicated, at various temperatures as indicated.

FIG. 7. Hall number $n_H$ at $T \to 0$ as a function of doping, for Nd-LSCO (red squares) and YBCO (blue circles) [23]. Below $p = 0.1$, the grey squares are for LSCO [35], and the grey circles for YBCO [33]. The vertical dashed lines mark the location of the pseudogap critical point, at $p^* = 0.23 \pm 0.01$ in Nd-LSCO (red) and $p^* = 0.195 \pm 0.01$ in YBCO (blue) [23]. The solid blue and red lines are a guide to the eye. The two dotted lines mark $n_H = 1 + p$ and $n_H = p$, as indicated.

residual resistivity the metal would have at that doping, $\rho_0$, if the pseudogap did not cause an upturn. Indeed, at $p = 0.20$, $\rho(0)/\rho_0 = 5.8$ [27], while $(1 + p)/p = 6$.

Following Ref. [4], we define the carrier density $n_\rho$ derived from $\rho(T)$, as $n_\rho = (1 + p)\rho(0)/\rho(0)$. By construction, this gives $n_\rho = 1 + p$ at $p = 0.24$ since at that doping there is no upturn, and $\rho(0) = \rho_0$. In Fig. 8, we plot $n_\rho$ vs $p$ [using MR-corrected values of $\rho(0)$] and see that $n_\rho \simeq p$, at $p = 0.20$, 0.21, and 0.22. Note that the drop in $n_\rho$ starts earlier than the drop in $n_H$. In Sec. IV C, we mention a possible explanation for this difference.

D. Mobility

It is instructive to investigate the impact of the pseudogap phase on the mobility $\mu$ of the charge carriers. We estimate $\mu$ in two separate ways. First, by looking at the magnetoresistance, which varies as $MR \propto (\omega_c \tau)^2 \propto (\mu H)^2$ in the weak-field limit, where $\omega_c$ is the cyclotron frequency and $\tau$ is the scattering time. The MR in our data does vary as $H^2$ (Fig. 9). In Fig. 9(b), we plot the relative MR as a function of temperature, evaluated at 37.5 T, for two dopings, above and below $p^*$. At $p = 0.24 > p^*$, we see that the MR decreases monotonically with increasing temperature, by a factor of $\sim 8$ between $T = 0$ and $T = 80$ K [Fig. 9(b)]. Since $MR \propto (\omega_c \tau)^2$, this is roughly consistent with the threefold increase in $\rho$ over that interval [Fig. 9(a)], reflecting an increase in scattering rate (decrease in $\tau$) by a factor $\sim 3$. 
Comparing the data at $p = 0.24$ with MR data at $p = 0.22 < p^*$ reveals a striking fact: even though the resistivity now undergoes a huge upturn that causes a fivefold enhancement of its value at $T = 0$ [Fig. 9(a)], the MR is virtually identical to (perhaps even slightly larger than) what it was above $p^*$ [Fig. 9(b)], i.e., it is essentially unaffected by the onset of the pseudogap. This demonstrates two important facts. First, the upturn in the resistivity is not due to an increase in scattering rate. Second, the upturn reflects essentially the full drop in carrier density, without the usual compensating enhancement of the mobility across a transition, so that $\rho \sim 1/(ne\mu) \sim 1/n$. The same observation, $\rho \sim 1/n$, was made in LSCO [4]. This interesting property of the pseudogap phase provides a window on the nature of disorder scattering in that phase.

The second way to estimate the mobility is through the Hall angle, controlled by the ratio $R_H/\rho$. This is proportional to $\mu$ in a single-band (single-carrier) metal. In Fig. 10(a), we plot the ratio $R_H/\rho$ as a function of temperature for the same two Nd-LSCO samples. At $p = 0.24 > p^*$, we see that $R_H/\rho$ decreases monotonically with increasing temperature, by a factor 3 between $T = 0$ and $T = 80$ K, consistent with the threefold increase in scattering rate [given the flat $R_H$ (Fig. 5)]. Note that the value at $T = 0$ is such that $\omega_c\tau = R_H\hbar/\rho = 0.075$ at $H = 37.5$ T. The fact that $\omega_c\tau \ll 1$ shows that we are indeed in the weak-field limit, justifying the use of a $H^2$ fit for the MR.

The ratio $R_H/\rho$ is very similar for the two samples, above $T \approx 30$ K, in agreement with the MR data [Fig. 9(b)]. Below 30 K, however, $R_H/\rho$ at $p = 0.22$ shows a pronounced drop [Fig. 10(a)], not seen at all in the MR [Fig. 9(b)]. It is therefore not due to a change of mobility at low temperature.

As discussed in Sec. IV C, this anomaly may reflect the onset of electronlike carriers generated when the Fermi surface is transformed by the pseudogap phase.

IV. DISCUSSION

A. Pseudogap in ARPES and transport

Daou et al. attributed the upturn in $\rho(T)$ they measured in Nd-LSCO at $p = 0.20$ to the opening of the pseudogap without
The solid line is a smooth fit through the points. For a single-carrier situation, this ratio is proportional to the mobility. Below the pseudogap as a function of temperature and find that it closes at \( T = 0 \). Their ARPES study therefore establishes clearly that the upturn in \( \rho(T) \) observed in Nd-LSCO is a signature of the pseudogap phase. The same link between the ARPES-detected pseudogap and the onset of resistivity upturn has been made for LSCO [26,27].

Note that the signature of \( T^* \) in \( \rho(T) \) can be different in different cuprates or samples. While it is typically an upturn in samples of Nd-LSCO and LSCO [4,26], it is usually a downturn in YBCO (Ref. [35]), for example. We can understand this difference if the effect of the pseudogap is to cause not only a loss of carrier density, which increases \( \rho \), but also a loss of inelastic scattering, which decreases \( \rho \) [26]. In clean samples, like typical YBCO samples, the latter effect dominates and so \( \rho(T) \) drops below \( T^* \), whereas in typical samples of Nd-LSCO or LSCO, which are more disordered, the magnitude of inelastic scattering is much smaller relative to the magnitude of elastic disorder scattering, and so the loss of carrier density overwhelms any loss of inelastic scattering, and \( \rho(T) \) rises below \( T^* \). To see in YBCO a low-\( T \) upturn in \( \rho(T) \) one needs to introduce disorder, as was done by Rullier-Albenque et al. with electron irradiation [36]. The upturn they saw in the resistivity of YBCO at \( p = 0.18 \) is in qualitative agreement with the carrier density measured by the Hall effect [23] (see Ref. [4]). In Bi-2201, both features are observed: \( \rho(T) \) shows a slight drop below \( T^* \) [35], at a temperature consistent with the opening of the pseudogap seen in ARPES [37], and it also shows a pronounced upturn at \( T \rightarrow 0 \) [38].

In summary, the loss of carrier density detectable in transport properties is a generic signature of the critical point \( p^* \) at which the pseudogap opens in the normal state of cuprate superconductors at \( T = 0 \).

**B. CDW critical point**

Having established that \( p^* = 0.23 \) is the critical doping at which the pseudogap phase begins in the normal state of Nd-LSCO at \( T = 0 \) (Fig. 1), we now need to identify the critical point \( p_{CDW} \) where CDW order sets in. Daou et al. assumed that the stripe order seen in Nd-LSCO at low temperature also ended at \( p^* \), i.e., that CDW and SDW modulations both ended at that point [27,39]. Recent studies have found that \( p_{CDW} \) lies well below \( p^* \), so that CDW and pseudogap phases are distinct [23,25].

Indeed, in YBCO, XRD studies find that CDW modulations vanish at \( p_{CDW} = 0.16 \pm 0.005 \) [21,22]. High-field Hall data at \( T \rightarrow 0 \) reveal that \( R_H < 0 \) at \( p = 0.15 \) whereas \( R_H > 0 \) at \( p = 0.16 \), showing that the CDW-induced FSR also ends at \( p = 0.16 \) [23], while various measurements of the pseudogap onset yield \( p^* = 0.19 \pm 0.01 \) [24], and the drop in \( n_H \) is seen at \( p^* = 0.195 \pm 0.005 \) [23].

In LSCO, high-field Seebeck data were used in a similar fashion to pin down the end point of FSR, giving \( p_{CDW} = 0.15 \pm 0.005 \) [25]. This is again consistent with the fact that XRD detects no CDW modulations in LSCO at \( p = 0.15 \) [40]. Given that it is observed in two rather different cuprate materials, the separation between \( p^* \) and \( p_{CDW} \) is most likely a generic property of cuprates. Note that the difference (\( p^* - p_{CDW} \)) \( \approx 0.03-0.04 \) in both cases.

In Nd-LSCO, CDW order has been detected by XRD at \( p = 0.15 \) [41], but there is no report of any CDW modulations at \( p > 0.15 \). (Note that SDW modulations are seen with neutron diffraction at \( p = 0.20 \) [42], but this does not necessarily imply...
the presence of CDW order. Indeed, all cuprates show SDW modulations at $p < 0.08$, without any CDW modulations.) Both Hall and Seebeck coefficients drop at low temperature (with $S < 0$) at $p = 0.15$ [43,44], while both $R_H(T)$ and $S(T)$ grow monotonically as $T \to 0$ (and remain positive) at $p = 0.20$ [27,39]. Hence in Nd-LSCO, $p_{CDW} < 0.20$, separated from $p^*$ by an interval of at least 0.03. A similar situation prevails in La$_{1.8-x}$Eu$_x$Sr$_{2}$CuO$_4$ (Eu-LSCO), a closely related material, where $S < 0$ at $p = 0.16$, $S > 0$ at $p = 0.21$, while $p^* \simeq 0.24$ [14].

C. Fermi-surface transformation

Having established that $p^*$ is purely the critical point of the pseudogap phase, devoid of superconductivity or CDW order, let us see what its intrinsic properties are, as may be deduced from transport measurements. The key signature is a drop in carrier density from $n = 1 + p$ at $p > p^*$ to $n = p$ at $p < p^*$. This conclusion can only be reached by looking at both $R_H$ and $\rho$. While the drop in $n_H$ by itself does suggest a drop in $n$, it is not conclusive, since it could be just a change of Fermi-surface curvature, or a deformation at roughly constant volume. It is the huge upturn in $\rho(T)$ that really shows there is a loss of carrier density.

The fact that $n_p \simeq p$ at $p = 0.20, 0.21,$ and 0.22 is striking (Fig. 8). The same finding was reported for LSCO, where $n_p \simeq p$ at $p = 0.14–0.15$ [4]. Our data therefore confirm the conclusion of Ref. [4] that the fundamental mechanism for what has been called a “metal-to-insulator crossover” for two decades [2,3] is a metal-to-metal transition at $T = 0$ that transforms the Fermi surface and cuts the carrier density down by 1.0 hole per Cu atom.

One of our important findings is that the pseudogap phase onsets rapidly at $T = 0$: $n_p$ drops from $1 + p$ to $p$ in a doping interval of at most 0.015 (Fig. 8), i.e., 6% of $p^*$. This argues in favor of a transition, as opposed to a crossover.

The transition in $n_H$ is wider than in $n_p$, and it has additional structure (Fig. 8). Going back to the raw data of Fig. 5, we see that, at $p = 0.23$, $R_H(T)$ does not show any upturn at low $T$, while $\rho(T)$ does (Fig. 4). In other words, the drop in $n_H$ is not detected at $p = 0.23$, but only at $p = 0.22$, while the drop in $n_p$ is clearly seen at $p = 0.23$ (Fig. 8).

A possible explanation for this difference is the presence of electronlike carriers in the Fermi surface of the pseudogap phase, within a small doping interval immediately below $p^*$. This is why the upturn in $R_H(T)$ (Fig. 5) is less pronounced than it is in $\rho(T)$ (Fig. 2): electronlike carriers make a negative contribution to $R_H$ that reduces the large (positive) rise due to the loss of 1.0 hole per Cu. A good way to visualize the negative contribution to the Hall response made by electronlike carriers is to plot $R_H/\rho$ vs $T$, as done in Fig. 10(a). In Nd-LSCO at $p = 0.24$, $R_H/\rho$ increases monotonically with decreasing $T$ all the way to $T \simeq 0$. At $p = 0.22$, $R_H/\rho$ shows the same monotonic increase down to $T^*$, but then it drops below ~30 K. This drop relative to monotonic background can only come from a negative contribution to the Hall signal, since the mobility keeps increasing monotonically all the way, as established by the MR [Fig. 9(b)].

We propose that the narrow peak in $n_H$ observed in LSCO just below $p^* \simeq 0.18$ (Ref. [7]) has the same origin. In

![FIG. 11. Sketch of the Fermi surface of a single-layer tetragonal cuprate as it evolves with doping in a scenario where a phase with modulations of wave vector $Q = (\pi,\pi)$ sets in below $p^*$. Above $p^*$, the large hololike Fermi surface centered at $(\pi,\pi)$ contains 1 + $p$ holes (per Cu atom). Just below $p^*$, the new periodicity and associated Brillouin zone (red dashed line) cause a folding of the large Fermi surface that produces nodal hole pockets (blue) and antinodal electron pockets (green). With further decrease in $p$, as the modulations and associated gap get stronger, the electron pockets shrink and eventually vanish, below some doping $p_0$. Below $p_0$, the four nodal hole pockets contain a total of $p$ holes.](224517-8)
of a field moves $p_{SDW}$ up to $\sim 0.15$ in $H = 15$ T [48]. It is conceivable that a field of 60 T, large enough to fully suppress superconductivity in LSCO, would move $p_{SDW}$ up to $p^* = 0.18$, making the phase diagram of LSCO in high fields qualitatively similar to that of Nd-LSCO in zero field. (In YBCO, the field needed to suppress superconductivity is 150 T.)

### E. Other scenarios

A number of theoretical scenarios have been proposed to account for the pseudogap phase of cuprate superconductors. In some, the pseudogap phase is a state that breaks a symmetry. For example, $d$-density-wave order breaks translational symmetry with the same $Q$ vector as the commensurate AF state, and therefore produces the same reduced Brillouin zone, Fermi-surface pockets (as in Fig. 11), and associated loss of amplitude. For example, the Hall number drops sharply at $p^*$ [50].

Scenarios without broken translational symmetry could also apply. In the Yang, Rice, and Zhang (YRZ) model [51], umklapp scattering derived from the Mott insulator, occurring along a line in $k$ space that coincides with the AF Brillouin zone, causes a transformation of the Fermi surface that results in small nodal hole pockets with $n = p$, but these are now confined to one side of the umklapp line/AF zone boundary. There is also a regime of small coexisting antinodal electron pockets immediately below $p^*$. Calculations of $n_H$ vs $p$ in the YRZ model yield good agreement with experimental data [31].

In the FL* model [52] and in DMFT solutions to the Hubbard model [53], small nodal hole pockets also appear without broken symmetry, but their location is not pinned to the AF zone boundary.

We propose three avenues of investigation that could help discriminate between the various scenarios. First, it is important to understand what controls the actual location of the critical point, which varies considerably amongst hole-doped cuprates [26], e.g., $p^* = 0.18$ in LSCO [4] vs $p^* = 0.23$ in Nd-LSCO. Second, the critical point is characterized by two fundamental properties, both of which should be explained within a single model: the drop of carrier density below $p^*$, discussed here, and the linear $T$ dependence of $\rho(T)$ as $T \to 0$ at $p^*$, established in LSCO [5] and Nd-LSCO [27]. Third, a mechanism for the transformation of the Fermi surface that would account for the large drop in carrier density below $p^*$ should also account for the lack of change in the mobility, in the regime of disorder scattering at $T = 0$.

### V. SUMMARY

In summary, we performed high-field measurements of the resistivity and Hall coefficient in Nd-LSCO across the critical doping where the pseudogap phase ends, at $p^* = 0.23$. At $p > p^*$, $R_{H}(T)$ is flat and it yields a Hall number $n_H \sim 1 + p$, consistent with a carrier density of $n = 1 + p$ holes per Cu atom. The resistivity is linear in $T$ as $T \to 0$. At $p < p^*$, both $\rho(T)$ and $R_{H}(T)$ exhibit an upturn at low $T$, showing that the pseudogap phase causes a drop in carrier density. Quantitatively, we observe a drop from $n \simeq 1 + p$ at $p > p^*$ to $n \simeq p$ at $p < p^*$. As observed in LSCO [4], the resistivity of Nd-LSCO reflects the full effect of this loss of carriers, rising to

---

**FIG. 12.** Calculated Hall number $n_H$ in the $T = 0$ limit as a function of doping, across a quantum critical point for the onset of antiferromagnetic order, below $p^* = 0.20$ (vertical dashed blue line), with wave vector $Q = (\pi, \pi)$ (blue dots; Ref. [31]). Normal-state $n_H$ measured in YBCO (red squares), above $p = 0.15$ (Ref. [23]) and below $p = 0.09$ (Ref. [33]), on either side of the CDW phase. The solid blue line is a guide to the eye. The two dotted lines mark $n_H = 1 + p$ and $n_H = p$, as indicated.

antinodal electron pockets, whose width is controlled by how fast the gap rises. For the parameters chosen in the calculation, the width of the intermediate regime between $p^*$ and $p_0$ is 0.03, in agreement with the observed width in the drop of $n_H$ vs $p$ for both YBCO and Nd-LSCO (Fig. 7). In summary, the AF scenario accounts naturally for the observation that $n = p$ below $p^*$, and it explains why there is a width to the drop in $n_H$, due to the presence of electron pockets. It is not clear, however, that such a scenario really applies to hole-doped cuprates. (Note that it is quite reasonable for electron-doped cuprates [45].) In order to confirm its applicability, one would need to detect AF modulations in the normal state at $T \to 0$. In Nd-LSCO, magnetic Bragg peaks are observed by neutron diffraction up to $H = 15$ T [48]. Application of a field moves $p_{SDW}$ up to $\sim 0.15$ in $H = 15$ T [48]. It is conceivable that a field of 60 T, large enough to fully suppress superconductivity in LSCO, would move $p_{SDW}$ up to $p^* = 0.18$, making the phase diagram of LSCO in high fields qualitatively similar to that of Nd-LSCO in zero field. (In YBCO, the field needed to suppress superconductivity is 150 T.)

E. Other scenarios

A number of theoretical scenarios have been proposed to account for the pseudogap phase of cuprate superconductors. In some, the pseudogap phase is a state that breaks a symmetry. For example, $d$-density-wave order breaks translational symmetry with the same $Q$ vector as the commensurate AF state, and therefore produces the same reduced Brillouin zone, Fermi-surface pockets (as in Fig. 11), and associated loss of amplitude. For example, the Hall number drops sharply at $p^*$ [50].

Scenarios without broken translational symmetry could also apply. In the Yang, Rice, and Zhang (YRZ) model [51], umklapp scattering derived from the Mott insulator, occurring along a line in $k$ space that coincides with the AF Brillouin zone, causes a transformation of the Fermi surface that results in small nodal hole pockets with $n = p$, but these are now confined to one side of the umklapp line/AF zone boundary. There is also a regime of small coexisting antinodal electron pockets immediately below $p^*$. Calculations of $n_H$ vs $p$ in the YRZ model yield good agreement with experimental data [31].

In the FL* model [52] and in DMFT solutions to the Hubbard model [53], small nodal hole pockets also appear without broken symmetry, but their location is not pinned to the AF zone boundary.

We propose three avenues of investigation that could help discriminate between the various scenarios. First, it is important to understand what controls the actual location of the critical point, which varies considerably amongst hole-doped cuprates [26], e.g., $p^* = 0.18$ in LSCO [4] vs $p^* = 0.23$ in Nd-LSCO. Second, the critical point is characterized by two fundamental properties, both of which should be explained within a single model: the drop of carrier density below $p^*$, discussed here, and the linear $T$ dependence of $\rho(T)$ as $T \to 0$ at $p^*$, established in LSCO [5] and Nd-LSCO [27]. Third, a mechanism for the transformation of the Fermi surface that would account for the large drop in carrier density below $p^*$ should also account for the lack of change in the mobility, in the regime of disorder scattering at $T = 0$.

### V. SUMMARY

In summary, we performed high-field measurements of the resistivity and Hall coefficient in Nd-LSCO across the critical doping where the pseudogap phase ends, at $p^* = 0.23$. At $p > p^*$, $R_{H}(T)$ is flat and it yields a Hall number $n_H \sim 1 + p$, consistent with a carrier density of $n = 1 + p$ holes per Cu atom. The resistivity is linear in $T$ as $T \to 0$. At $p < p^*$, both $\rho(T)$ and $R_{H}(T)$ exhibit an upturn at low $T$, showing that the pseudogap phase causes a drop in carrier density. Quantitatively, we observe a drop from $n \simeq 1 + p$ at $p > p^*$ to $n \simeq p$ at $p < p^*$. As observed in LSCO [4], the resistivity of Nd-LSCO reflects the full effect of this loss of carriers, rising to
a value at $T = 0$ that is enhanced by a factor $(1 + p)/p$ relative to what it would be without pseudogap. This implies that the mobility at $T = 0$ is essentially unaffected by the opening of the pseudogap below $p^*$, in agreement with the fact that the relative magnetoresistance has the same magnitude on both sides of $p^*$.

At $T = 0$, the change from a metal with $n = 1 + p$ carriers to a metal with $n = p$ carriers happens very rapidly, within an interval $\delta p/p^* < 6\%$. We conclude that the onset of the pseudogap phase at $T = 0$ is a transition (vs doping), whereas it appears to be a crossover as a function of temperature.

Below $p^*$, we find that the (positive) Hall angle drops at low temperature, possible evidence for the presence of electronlike carriers in the pseudogapped Fermi surface. This could explain the small anomalous peak in $n_H$ vs $p$ observed in Bi-2201 \[6\] and LSCO (Ref. \[7\]) just below $p^*$.

Our data are quantitatively consistent with the drop in carrier density observed in YBCO from high-field Hall data \[23\] and in LSCO from high-field resistivity data \[4\], both in the size of the drop (by 1.0 hole per Cu) and in the width of the transition ($\delta p \simeq 0.03$–0.04). The Fermi-surface transformation across $p^*$ observed in all three cuprates can be described nicely by a quantum phase transition into a phase of long-range AF order with wave vector $(\pi, \pi)$. However, because there is no evidence of long-range commensurate AF order at high doping in hole-doped cuprates, the real mechanism may be different. A key question is whether translational symmetry is broken or not, and if so, on what length scale.
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APPENDIX: DOPING VALUES

As is usual, we assume that the doping $p$ of Nd-LSCO samples is given by their Sr content $x$, i.e., $p = x$. Of course, the distribution of Sr atoms in a particular sample depends on the growth conditions and a $p$ value slightly away from $x$ is not unusual. We refine the relative dopings of our five samples as follows. In Fig. 13(a), we plot $T_c$ vs $x$. We observe that $T_c$ is a smoothly decreasing function of $x$ except for the $x = 0.25$ sample, whose $T_c$ is much too high (as also found in Ref. \[27\]). Its $T_c$ value is instead consistent with a doping $p = 0.236$. We also observe that $n_H$ at $T = 80$ K (above $T^*$) increases linearly with $x$ for the first four samples [Fig. 13(b)], while the fifth sample (with $x = 0.25$) has a slightly too low value. Its $n_H$ value is consistent with $p = 0.246$. We therefore find that $p = x$ within $\pm 0.003$ for the first four samples, and $p = 0.24 \pm 0.005$ for the fifth sample (Table I).

![FIG. 13. (a) Bulk critical temperature $T_c$ of our five samples of Nd-LSCO, measured with a vibrating sample magnetometer, plotted as a function of Sr content $x$. The dashed line is a polynomial fit to the four lowest dopings. (b) Hall number $n_H$ of the same samples, measured at $T = 80$ K, as a function of $x$. The dashed line is a linear fit to the four lowest dopings.](224517-10)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$x$</th>
<th>$T_c$ from $p$</th>
<th>$n_H$ from $p$</th>
<th>$p$</th>
<th>Label</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0.20</td>
<td>0.201 ± 0.002</td>
<td>0.20 ± 0.002</td>
<td>0.20</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.21</td>
<td>0.209 ± 0.003</td>
<td>0.21 ± 0.003</td>
<td>0.21</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.22</td>
<td>0.220 ± 0.002</td>
<td>0.22 ± 0.003</td>
<td>0.22</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.23</td>
<td>0.231 ± 0.002</td>
<td>0.23 ± 0.003</td>
<td>0.23</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>0.236 ± 0.002</td>
<td>0.246 ± 0.006</td>
<td>0.24</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>


