High Risk of Infection During Triple Therapy with First-Generation Protease Inhibitors: A Nationwide Cohort Study
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ABSTRACT

Background & Aims: Peginterferon (PegIFN) remains the backbone of therapy for chronic hepatitis C (CHC) in economically constrained regions. However, PegIFN may cause neutropenia and addition of a protease inhibitor can increase the likelihood of neutropenia. The aims of this study were to assess the occurrence of clinically relevant infections during first-generation protease inhibitor based therapy and its risk factors as well as the relation to treatment-induced neutropenia.

Methods: This multicenter (n=45) retrospective cohort study included CHC patients treated in the Netherlands. Based on absolute neutrophil count, categories of neutropenia were defined as: severe (<500/µL), moderate (500-750/µL) and mild (750-1500/µL). Likewise, infections were classified as severe (intravenous antibiotics/hospitalization) and moderate (anti-infective treatment). We assessed risk factors for infections using multivariable regression analysis with correction for multiple measurements.

Results: We included 467 CHC patients, 319 (68%) were male and 111 (24%) had cirrhosis. A total of 185 clinically relevant infections (34 severe) occurred in 145 patients (31%). During treatment 310 patients experienced neutropenia (34 severe). Multivariable analysis identified female sex (OR 1.7, 95%CI 1.2-2.5), chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (COPD) (OR 2.7, 95%CI 1.6-4.5) and diabetes mellitus (OR 1.7, 95%CI 1.0-3.0) as risk factors for infections. Neutropenia at the previous visit was not associated with infection (univariable analysis: OR 0.9, 95%CI 0.6-1.3).

Conclusion: This study shows that therapy with first generation protease inhibitors was complicated by an infection in 31% of patients. Not neutropenia, but female sex, COPD and diabetes mellitus were independent risk factors for infection. These patients should be monitored carefully once a PegIFN regimen is initiated.
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INTRODUCTION

For many years pegylated interferon alpha (PegIFN) has been the backbone of chronic hepatitis C (CHC) treatment. The introduction of the first-generation direct acting antivirals (DAAs), telaprevir and boceprevir, initiated a cascade of developments of new generation DAAs [1]. From 2014 onwards, PegIFN-free treatment options with higher cure rates and better tolerability have become available in many western countries [2]. These new PegIFN-free regimens are very costly, limiting the availability in many economically deprived regions worldwide, where the majority of the global CHC population resides [3-6]. Guidelines still recommend telaprevir and boceprevir for use in countries where new generation DAAs are not available. Therefore, triple therapy still maintains its therapeutic value [7, 8].

One of the drawbacks of triple therapy is its high rate of adverse events, which often can be attributed to the use of PegIFN. Neutropenia is frequently reported and mainly caused by bone marrow suppression [9, 10]. To prevent infections, product labels and guidelines advise dose reductions or even discontinuation of treatment if neutrophil count drops below 750/µL or 500/µL, respectively [7, 11]. However, prior studies in CHC patients undergoing (Peg)IFN and ribavirin...
(RBV) therapy did not find an association between treatment-induced neutropenia and infections, while dose reductions of PegIFN can reduce effectiveness [12-17]. The situation may be different with triple therapy, because phase III studies found that the inclusion of boceprevir to the CHC treatment strategy increased the likelihood of neutropenia compared to PegIFN and RBV [18, 19]. In addition, comparative studies found more neutropenia in boceprevir than telaprevir treated patients [20, 21]. Real world data furthermore suggest that triple therapy substantially increases the risk of severe infections. However, the current evidence for this association is limited to CHC patients with cirrhosis [22-24]. Therefore, the aims of this study were (i) to investigate the occurrence and risk factors for clinically relevant infections and (ii) the relation of on-treatment neutropenia with infections in CHC patients who received triple therapy with boceprevir or telaprevir.

METHODS

Population and design
This nationwide, multicenter, real world cohort study included patients with CHC genotype 1 infection treated with telaprevir or boceprevir and PegIFN and RBV in the Netherlands (2011-2015) [unpublished data]. Patients across all fibrosis stages were included. We retrospectively identified patients from local databases, and excluded patients with a co-infection with human immunodeficiency virus or hepatitis B virus. Treatment choice between telaprevir and boceprevir was at the discretion of the physician and it was administered according to national guidelines [25]. We conducted the study in accordance with good clinical practice (GCP) guidelines, and the code of conduct for medical research (www.federa.org). Approval from participating centers was obtained following local regulations.

Outcomes and definitions
The primary outcome of this study was the occurrence of infections during treatment up to four weeks after cessation of treatment. Secondary outcomes were occurrence and severity of neutropenia, risk factors for infection, and severity of infection. In addition, the time until occurrence of the first infection after start of treatment was assessed. Infections were classified as severe in case of hospitalization or intravenous antibiotic administration, moderate if oral or topical anti-infective agents were administered and mild if no treatment was given. Moderate and severe infections were considered clinically relevant. Based on the thresholds for dose reduction and treatment discontinuation of PegIFN, we distinguished three categories of neutropenia: severe if absolute neutrophil count (ANC) was below 500/µL, moderate if ANC was between 500 and 750/µL and mild if ANC was between 750 and 1500/µL [13, 25]. We used Fib-4 > 3.25 to classify patients as cirrhosis, because of its high performance in detecting cirrhosis and high availability of included biomarkers in the general population [26]. History of decompensated liver disease was defined as a history of ascites, variceal bleeding or hepatic encephalopathy.

Data acquisition
We collected all details on demographics, disease characteristics, infectious (serious) adverse events, and laboratory values. Laboratory values included hematological tests, creatinine, aminotransferases, and indicators of liver function. If two infections occurred within the same timeframe, we only included the most severe infection.

Statistical analysis
We described categorical variables as proportions and continuous variables as means (standard deviation, SD) or medians (interquartile range, IQR). The Kaplan-Meier method was used to assess time till occurrence of the first infection and the cumulative incidence rates of infections at 12 and 24 weeks after treatment initiation. Those time points were chosen as the introduction of new generation DAAs allows shortened use of PegIFN for 12 or 24 weeks [7]. Chi-square tests were performed to compare occurrence of at least one clinically relevant infection between subgroups of patients with and without diabetes mellitus (DM), chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (COPD) and cirrhosis. To identify predictors for clinically relevant infections we performed univariable and multivariable logistic regression analyses with correction for multiple measurements within a patient. Variables with a p-value ≤0.2 in univariable analysis were included in multivariable analysis together with age, sex, cirrhosis and DM as fixed factors (backward stepwise method, complete cases). ANC at the visit prior to the occurrence of infection was included in univariable analysis. Odds ratios (OR) with 95% confidence intervals (95%CI) are reported. As a sensitivity analysis, all reported infections were included.

All analyses included the intention to treat population, and all tests were two-sided with a significance level of p < 0.05. The analyses were performed using SPSS (IBM SPSS Statistics 20) and SAS 9.3 (SAS Institute, Cary, NC, USA).

RESULTS

Population
Our cohort study included 489 patients in total, of which 22 were excluded. Therefore, 467 patients from 45 centers in the Netherlands were analyzed (Supplementary figure 1). Patients were treated with telaprevir (n= 265) or boceprevir (n= 202) and PegIFN and RBV. Mean age was 51 years (range 19-77), 319 (68%) patients were male, and 111 (24%) patients presented with cirrhosis. Baseline characteristics are shown in Table I.

Infections
In total, 233 infections in 171 patients were reported (34 severe, 151 moderate, and 47 mild), and thus 185 were clinically relevant occurring in 145 patients (31%). A total of 79 of 265 telaprevir treated patients experienced 103 infections and 66 of 202 boceprevir treated patients experienced 82 infections. Incidence and severity of infections were similar for telaprevir vs. boceprevir (p=0.35, Fig. 1). Main sites of infection were dermatological, respiratory, and gastro-intestinal (Table II). In total, 34 severe infections were observed in 31 patients (21 telaprevir and 10 boceprevir treated patients). Sites and diagnoses of severe infections are listed in Table III. Among patients with DM, COPD or cirrhosis, more infections were reported than in patients without DM, COPD or cirrhosis (DM: 46% vs. 29%, p=0.012; COPD: 57% vs. 29%, p<0.001;
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Infection resulted in death in two patients: one patient was admitted with anemia and sepsis (bloodculture: *Klebsiella* and *Staphylococcus Aureus*) and died in hospital while the other patient died from a mycotic endocarditis (bloodculture: *Candida Parapsilosis*).

The median time to develop a clinically relevant infection was 14 weeks (IQR 6-26 weeks). Cumulative incidence of infection within first 12 weeks was 17.4% (95%CI 12.9-21.9) for telaprevir treatment and 12.6% (95%CI 7.9-17.3) for boceprevir treatment (Fig. 3). Overall, no significant differences were seen in the cumulative incidence of infections between telaprevir and boceprevir (p=0.712).

Neutrophil counts and infections

At baseline, mean ANC was 3454/µL (SD 1532) and 21 (of 284 available measurements) patients had severe neutropenia. Only 5 (24%) of these patients developed an infection (1 severe). Neutrophil count dropped by an average of 2201/µL (SD 1339) during treatment. A total of 310 (74%) of 419 patients with available ANC measurements (48 patients had...
no ANC tests available) experienced neutropenia during treatment. There were more neutropenia episodes in patients treated with boceprevir than with telaprevir (83% vs. 67%, p<0.001), and we detected a trend towards a higher cumulative incidence of severe neutropenia among patients treated with boceprevir (p=0.052, Fig. 4). The median time to nadir neutrophil count per patient was 16 weeks (IQR 8-24 weeks), this was similar for both DAAs.

In 127 of 185 (69%) clinically relevant infections, neutrophil count from the previous visit had been recorded and median neutrophil count prior to infection was 1600/µL (IQR 1.1-2.3).

Overall, 57 times a clinically relevant infection was diagnosed (moderate n=50; severe n=7) in patients who had neutropenia at the preceding visit (89% mild). By contrast, 1456 visits with neutropenia (96%) were not followed by an infection.

**Risk factors for infection**

Results of univariable and multivariable logistic regression analysis are shown in Table IV. Neutropenia at the previous visit was not associated with occurrence of infections (univariable OR 0.85, 95%CI 0.57-1.27). Furthermore moderate or severe neutropenia (ANC <750/µL) seemed to be predictive for overall infection.

Table III. Sites and diagnoses of severe infections

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Site of infection</th>
<th>No of infections</th>
<th>Diagnoses</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Respiratory</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>Pneumonia (6), pneumonia and exacerbation of chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (4), respiratory tract infection (3), viral pleuritis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>General</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>Sepsis, febris e causa ignota (3), fever after transfusion of packed cells</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dermatological</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>Abscess, cellulitis, erysipelas, impetigo bacteremia, wound infection after sigmoid resection</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Renal – urinary tract</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>Complicated urinary tract infection, urosepsis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gastro-intestinal (incl. oral infections)</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>Tooth abscess, gastro-enteritis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ophthamology</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Bacterial eye infection</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>Spontaneous bacterial peritonitis (SBP), neutropenic fever, endocarditis, staphylococcal sepsis after phlebitis, osteomyelitis</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Fig. 2.** Clinically relevant infections among patients with known risk factors. The bars represent the percentage of patients who experienced a clinically relevant infection: 2a) patients with and without diabetes mellitus (DM); 2b) patients with and without chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (COPD); 2c) patients with and without cirrhosis.

**Fig. 3.** Kaplan-Meier curves showing the occurrence of the first clinically relevant infection during treatment in patients treated with either telaprevir or boceprevir (12-weeks and 24-weeks cumulative incidence rates).

**Fig. 4.** Kaplan-Meier curves showing the development of severe neutropenia during treatment in patients treated with either telaprevir or boceprevir (12-weeks and 24-weeks cumulative incidence rates).
Infections (OR 0.45, 95%CI 0.20-0.99), however this was not significant in the multivariable model. The final multivariable analysis identified female gender (OR 1.7, 95%CI 1.2-2.5), COPD (OR 2.7, 95%CI 1.6-4.5) and presence of DM (OR1.7, 95%CI 1.0-3.0) as risk factors for infections. The presence of cirrhosis did not reach significance (OR 1.4, 95%CI 0.9-2.1). When adding mild infections to the regression analysis, COPD and female gender remained risk factors, while DM lost significance (p= 0.11).

**DISCUSSION**

Our study demonstrates that a 24-48-week course with boceprevir or telaprevir, PegIFN and RBV for CHC is associated with a high incidence (31%) of clinically relevant infections. Within the first 12 weeks, the cumulative incidence of infections was 13-17% depending on the type of PI. Skin and respiratory infections were the most commonly seen. The infection incidence rates resemble the rates of 12-26% which are reported in the literature for PegIFN based therapy with and without a PI, indicating the magnitude of this problem [12-14, 22-24, 27-29]. The CUPIC cohort was the first cohort that signaled the high risk for severe infection with first-generation PIs in cirrhotic patients, and identified two important risk factors: baseline albumin below 35 g/L and baseline platelet count ≤ 100 x10^9/L [23]. Out of the cirrhotic patients in our cohort (n=111), 12 patients had both risk factors, and 5 (42%) developed a severe infection, comparable to the CUPIC cohort (51.4%). Presence of only one risk factor led to a severe infection in 22% (albumin < 35 g/L) and 8% (platelets ≤ 100 x10^9/L) of patients with cirrhosis, again resembling CUPIC data [23]. Still, the combined risk factors were not identified as predictor for clinically relevant infections here. The independent factors that drove the risk for infection in our study were female sex, DM and COPD, but not neutropenia. The association of female sex with infections during CHC therapy has been reported previously and was explained by a higher incidence of urinary tract infections (UTI) or vaginal infections [12, 27]. Our findings are in agreement with these studies as 14% of clinically relevant infections were UTIs or vaginal infections (n=26) and 92% of these were observed in females. Another explanation might be the higher incidence of cirrhosis in females compared to males in our cohort (54% vs. 22%). Cirrhosis is established as a risk factor for infection in the literature, whilst our cohort only showed a trend for significance in the multivariable analysis [30-32]. The higher proportion of females with cirrhosis in our cohort might have influenced the regression analysis. Diabetes mellitus is a known risk factor for infection, but it is also associated with CHC [33]. A higher infection rate in diabetic CHC patients was therefore hypothesized and could be explained by various factors, such as vascular insufficiency and impaired leucocyte function in these patients [12, 13, 34, 35]. Our study implies that diabetic patients should be monitored for infection during CHC therapy. The only other triple therapy cohort study that assessed risk of infection was restricted to cirrhotics and found that respiratory infections were overrepresented in those on PI therapy [22]. Our cohort supports this finding, as respiratory infections accounted for 41% of severe infections and 19% of moderate infections. The identified risk factor COPD might relate to this, as COPD is a known risk factor for respiratory infections [36]. The risk factors in our study (female sex, DM and COPD) are factors that cannot be influenced and are not related to...
the type of CHC therapy. They are furthermore identified by previous CHC cohorts with PegIFN and RBV regimes [12, 13, 36, 37]. It is therefore likely that they remain risk factors for infection in future IFN-containing CHC regimes, thus these patients should be monitored carefully for infection during any PegIFN based regime.

Drug induced neutropenia is thought to be an important risk factor for infection. This stems from oncologic research as development of neutropenia following chemotherapy usually heralds a severe clinical situation necessitating admission and prompt administration of antibiotics [38]. There is a wealth of literature that establishes that PegIFN induced neutropenia does not pose an increased risk for infections in CHC patients [12, 22, 39]. Indeed, in our cohort neutropenia did not increase the risk of infection; it even seemed to be associated with a lower risk for infections. Altogether this suggests that neutropenia due to chemotherapy is different from that due to PegIFN. Oncology patients differ in factors which affect susceptibility for infection such as alteration of organ function caused by their underlying disease and presence of mucosal damage [40, 41]. Because these findings are absent in stable CHC patients, it is reasonable to believe that CHC patients receiving triple therapy are less immune-compromised than oncology patients and that thresholds for PegIFN dose reductions, based on the presence of neutropenia, may be too strict.

The advent of new generation DAAs allows to pinpoint the culprit for neutropenia in CHC. Neutropenia still occurs with any PegIFN containing regimen regardless of the DAA included [42-45]. IFN-free regimens do not cause neutropenia suggesting that PegIFN is the cause rather than CHC, DAA or RBV [43]. Here, boceprevir was associated with higher neutropenia rates than telaprevir. Whether this PI interacts with PegIFN for inducing neutropenia or whether it is a class effect of the PIs, cannot be assessed in this study. This finding should be interpreted with caution. Despite the higher incidence of neutropenia, infection rate was comparable between both drugs, confirming the lack of association between neutropenia and infections in CHC therapy.

The strengths of this study are both the size of our real world cohort and its nationwide character. Unique to our cohort is that it includes CHC patients across all fibrosis stages in the Netherlands and is not limited to cirrhotic patients. Patients visited the clinic frequently resulting in detailed records. However, the retrospective design enhances the risk of reporting bias. We made an effort to minimize this risk by adhering to a strict definition of severity of infections and restricting our analysis to infections necessitating anti-infective therapy. Furthermore, telaprevir and boceprevir are first generation DAAs that have lost market share in view of the advent of more effective and better tolerable new generation DAAs. However, these drugs continue to be used in economically deprived countries that use PegIFN as a backbone for CHC therapy [46, 47].

CONCLUSION

Our real world nationwide cohort study showed that the incidence of infections during PegIFN-based triple therapy is high, even among patients without cirrhosis. Neutropenia occurs frequently, but does not increase the risk for infection. Female gender, DM and COPD, however, are risk factors for infection and are independent of type of CHC therapy, suggesting that these patients should be carefully monitored for infections once a PegIFN-based regimen is initiated.
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Supplementary Fig. 1. Study flowchart

Nationwide 47 hepatitis treatment centers

Exclusion of centers:
- 1 center: no treated patients
- 1 centre: tardily assessment of study protocol

Data collection in 45 centers (8 academic)
489 patients identified

22 patients excluded
- 5 no consent
- 6 treatment not finished at time of data collection
- 4 treatment in another centre
- 3 missing files
- 2 peginterferon/ribavirin instead of triple therapy
- 2 HBV co-infected

467 patients received at least one dose of telaprevir (n= 265) or boceprevir (n=202)