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ABSTRACT

Objective: We hypothesised that frailty assessment is of additional value to predict delirium and mortality after transcatheter aortic valve implantation (TAVI).

Methods: Observational study in 89 consecutive patients who underwent TAVI. Inclusion from November 2012 to February 2014, follow-up until April 2014. Measurement of the association of variables from frailty assessment and cardiological assessment with delirium and mortality after TAVI, respectively.

Results: Incidence of delirium after TAVI: 25/89 (28%). Variables from frailty assessment protectively associated with delirium were: Mini Mental State Examination, (OR 0.79; 95% CI 0.65 to 0.96; p=0.02), Instrumental Activities of Daily Living (OR 0.79; 95% CI 0.63 to 0.99; p=0.04) and gait speed (OR 0.05; 95% CI 0.01 to 0.50; p=0.01). Timed Up and Go was predictively associated with delirium (OR 1.14; 95% CI 1.03 to 1.26; p=0.01). From cardiological assessment, pulmonary hypertension was protectively associated with delirium (OR 0.34; 95% CI 0.12 to 0.98; p=0.05). Multivariate logistic analysis: Nagelkerke R²=0.359, Mini Mental State Examination was independently associated with delirium. Incidence of mortality: 11/89 (12%). Variables predictively associated with mortality were: the summary score Frailty Index (HR 1.66, 95% CI 1.06 to 2.60; p=0.03), European System for Cardiac Operative Risk Evaluation (EuroSCORE) II (HR 1.14, 95% CI 1.06 to 1.22; p=0.001) and complications (HR 4.81, 95% CI 1.03 to 22.38; p=0.05). Multivariate Cox proportional hazards analysis: Nagelkerke R²=0.271, Frailty Index and EuroSCORE II were independently associated with mortality.

Conclusions: Delirium frequently occurs after TAVI. Variables from frailty assessment are associated with delirium and mortality, independent of cardiological assessment. Thus, frailty assessment may have additional value in the prediction of delirium and mortality after TAVI.

INTRODUCTION

Severe symptomatic aortic stenosis occurs in 3.4% of patients aged >75 years, for which the standard treatment is surgical aortic valve replacement. However, in patients with older age and left ventricular dysfunction surgery is often denied. Transcatheter aortic valve implantation (TAVI) has been developed as an alternative to surgical aortic valve replacement in high-risk patients with symptomatic aortic valve stenosis. TAVI has been shown to be superior...
to medical treatment, while survival rates are similar or even higher compared with surgical aortic valve replacement. Nevertheless, in these high-risk elderly patients, morbidity and mortality after TAVI is substantial; 1-year mortality was 14.2–19% and 2-year mortality was 33.9–43.3%. Although reduction of mortality is noted, adequate risk assessment in this population is mandatory.

The European System for Cardiac Operative Risk Evaluation (EuroSCORE) is widely used to predict operative mortality in cardiac surgery. However, this model was developed and validated in a standard surgical risk population. Frailty, defined as a syndrome of impaired physiological reserve and decreased resistance to stressors, has been shown useful to identify patients at increased risk of mortality after TAVI. Therefore, a risk model for elderly and high-risk patients undergoing TAVI should comprise frailty.

Delirium frequently occurs after TAVI; incidence 12–53%. In-hospital delirium is associated with mortality, morbidity and increased costs, whereas it is often unrecognised and may be preventable in 30–40% of cases. As a potent indicator of patients’ safety, delirium provides a target for system-wide process improvements. Frailty has been shown to be associated with postoperative delirium. In patients referred for TAVI, predictive models for delirium may be useful to identify high-risk patients, to allow treatment stratification and proactive implementation of preventive strategies.

Baseline measures
Cardiological assessment
In the heart team patients were discussed based on reported patient history and routine cardiological examination including echocardiography, coronary angiography and additional imaging with respect to access site and suitability for TAVI, when appropriate. In addition, EuroSCORE I and EuroSCORE II variables were obtained (age, gender, renal impairment, extracardiac arteriopathy, subjective poor mobility, previous cardiac surgery, chronic lung disease, diabetes on insulin, New York Heart Association (NYHA) classification, left ventricular function, pulmonary hypertension).

At the pre-TAVI outpatient clinic, cardiological assessment consisted of patient history, physical examination and ECG.

Frailty assessment
Geriatric assessment consisted of patient history, (hetero)anamnesis, medication review and the following specific instruments for frailty assessment: Mini Mental State Examination (range 0–30, with higher scores indicating better cognitive status), Basic Activities of Daily Living (range 0–20, with higher scores indicating better functional performance) and Instrumental Activities of Daily Living (range 0–8, with higher scores indicating better functional performance), mobility: gait speed (m/s, velocity measured over a distance of 4 m, with higher scores indicating better mobility) and/or Timed Up and Go (TUG) test (seconds, with lower scores indicating better mobility), Mini Nutritional Assessment (range 0–14, with scores below 12 indicating risk of malnutrition). Frailty Index (range 0–5, with higher scores indicating frailer status) was calculated as a summary score from these baseline components: 1 point was assigned for Mini Mental State Examination ≤27; Basic Activities of Daily Living ≥1 limited activity; Instrumental Activities of Daily Living ≥1 limited activity; Mini Nutritional Assessment <12; impaired mobility: when neither gait speed over 4 m ≥0.75 m/s nor TUG<12.5 s, respectively. Clinical judgement from the geriatrician about frailty and risk for delirium were noted as variables, in addition to the objective frailty assessment, as obtained by this geriatrician.

METHODS
Study setting, participants and treatment assignment
The study was conducted in a single tertiary referral centre. Between November 2012 and February 2014 data from 305 patients with severe symptomatic aortic valve stenosis referred for aortic valve replacement were reviewed by a heart team consisting of a cardiothoracic surgeon, an interventional cardiologist and a general or imaging cardiologist. Based on the available cardiological data, 177 patients were primarily considered candidates for surgical aortic valve replacement and underwent routine preoperative screening. The remaining 128 patients were considered as high-risk or potentially frail. These patients were referred to a specialised pre-TAVI outpatient clinic, where patients were assessed by a multidisciplinary team consisting of a cardiac anaesthesiologist, a cardiac surgeon, a cardiologist and a geriatrician. Based on consensus from this team and the patient, 91 patients were assigned to TAVI, 18 to surgical aortic valve replacement and 19 to medical treatment. Two patients assigned to TAVI died before the procedure was performed.

TAVI procedure
All procedures were performed under general anaesthesia; oxazepam and paracetamol were given as premedication 1 hour prior to transfer to the catheterisation laboratory. General anaesthesia was induced using sufentanil and midazolam. The Medtronic CoreValve (Medtronic, Minneapolis, Minnesota, USA) was used, with surgical vascular access through the left subclavian artery, which is the access site of choice in our centre.
After TAVI, patients were admitted to the intensive care unit for the first day, weaning from ventilation within 2–4 hours after admission, with subsequent transfer to the coronary care unit the following day.

Outcomes
Incidence of delirium
The hospital has a multidisciplinary guideline for prevention, diagnosis and treatment of delirium, including postprocedural Delirium Observation Scales and observations from attending physicians and nurses. Delirium was defined according to the Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders, Fourth Edition (DSM-IV) comprising the following features: (1) disturbance in consciousness with reduced ability to focus, sustain, or shift attention; (2) change in cognition or the development of a perceptual disturbance; (3) acute onset and fluctuating course and (4) evidence of an organic aetiological factor. A geriatric consultation team assessed the diagnosis delirium on a daily basis during hospital stay.

Incidence of mortality
All-cause mortality was assessed, based on registration of in-hospital mortality, supplemented with data from follow-up, the hospital electronic patient file, and by calling the general practitioner to check for patients lost to follow-up. Mortality data were obtained until 1 April 2014.

Data analysis
Univariate logistic regression analysis was performed to identify a relation of variables with the incidence of delirium. Univariate Cox proportional hazards regression analysis was performed to identify a relation between the variables and all-cause mortality, measured from the date of TAVI until mortality or end of follow-up (days). Multivariate logistic regression analysis was performed by using age and the variables related with incidence of delirium and mortality, as measured with univariate logistic regression analysis and univariate Cox proportional hazards regression analysis, respectively, with a significance level $p<0.05$. When variables were mutually strongly related, as expected from the nature of the variables and confirmed by Pearson’s correlation for interval/ratio variables or Spearman’s correlation for ordinal variables, a variable was selected for exclusion from the multivariate logistic regression analysis. The quality of the multivariate regression and Cox proportional hazards models is provided with Nagelkerke $R^2$. For variables significantly related with delirium or mortality, by univariate analysis, the independent samples Student’s $t$-test was used for interval variables and the $\chi^2$ test for categorical variables, to assess differences in mean score or proportion in patients with or without delirium and mortality, respectively. All statistical analyses were performed using SPSS 22.0 (IBM Corp., Armonk, New York, USA).
RESULTS

Incidence and severity of delirium

Baseline characteristics and clinical outcome during follow-up are shown in Table 1.

The incidence of postprocedural delirium was in 25/89 (28%) patients, Table 1. The median onset of delirium was on day 2 (IQR 1.5–2.5 days), with a median duration of 2 days (IQR 0.5–3.5 days). Haloperidol was given to 29/89 (33%) patients; it was prescribed for treatment of delirium in 24 patients and for prevention of delirium in 5 patients with a history of delirium, of whom 1 patient developed a postprocedural delirium. The median total amount of haloperidol given for treatment of delirium was 3.5 mg (IQR 2.5–4.5 mg) over a median period of 2.5 days (IQR 1.0–4.0 days).

Variables associated with delirium after TAVI—univariate analysis

Variables significantly associated with delirium were: pulmonary hypertension (OR per unit change 0.43; 0.19 to 0.96; p=0.04), Mini Mental State Examination (OR 0.79; 95% CI 0.63 to 0.96; p=0.02), impaired Instrumental Activities of Daily Living (OR 0.79; 95% CI 0.63 to 0.99; p=0.04), gait speed (OR 0.05; 95% CI 0.01 to 0.50; p=0.01), TUG (OR 1.14; 95%; CI 1.03 to 1.26; p=0.01) and clinical judgement of frailty (OR 3.10; 95% CI 1.14 to 8.46; p=0.03), Table 2. Thus, patients with lower systolic pulmonary artery pressure, lower Mini Mental State Examination score, lower Instrumental Activities of Daily Living performance, lower gait speed, higher TUG score or with a clinical judgement of frailty were at higher risk to develop postprocedural delirium.

Variables associated with mortality after TAVI—univariate Cox proportional hazards regression analysis

Within the first 30 days after TAVI 5/89 patients died (5.6%). After a median follow-up of 195 days (IQR 69–321 days) mortality was 11/89 (12.4%), Table 1. Variables significantly associated with mortality, measured by univariate regression analysis were: EuroSCORE I (HR 44.0; 95% CI 2.6 to 736; p=0.01) and aortic valve area (HR 0.8; 95% CI 0.7 to 0.9; p=0.01).
1.08; 95% CI 1.03 to 1.13; p=0.002), EuroSCORE II (HR 1.14; 95% CI 1.06 to 1.22; p<0.001), Frailty Index (HR 1.66; 95% CI 1.06 to 2.60; p=0.03) and postoperative complications (HR 4.81; 95% CI 1.03 to 22.38; p=0.05), table 2.

Table 2 Variables associated with delirium and mortality after transcatheter aortic valve implantation (TAVI)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Univariate regression analysis</th>
<th>Variables associated with delirium</th>
<th>Variables associated with mortality</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>OR 95% CI  p Value</td>
<td>HR 95% CI p Value</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Baseline cardiological assessment</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age, year</td>
<td>1.05 0.97 to 1.14 0.22</td>
<td>0.98 0.89 to 1.07 0.62</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Weight, kg</td>
<td>0.99 0.96 to 1.02 0.58</td>
<td>0.98 0.94 to 1.03 0.39</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Body mass index, kg/m²</td>
<td>1.02 0.93 to 1.12 0.65</td>
<td>0.95 0.83 to 1.09 0.46</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gender, male</td>
<td>0.68 0.26 to 1.76 0.43</td>
<td>0.47 0.12 to 1.76 0.26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Renal impairment &lt;50 mL/min/1.73 m²</td>
<td>0.61 0.24 to 1.55 0.30</td>
<td>2.21 0.59 to 8.34 0.24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Extracardiac arteriopathy</td>
<td>0.59 0.19 - 1.81 0.36</td>
<td>1.51 0.44 to 5.16 0.51</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chronic lung disease</td>
<td>1.75 0.52 to 5.87 0.37</td>
<td>1.99 0.58 to 6.79 0.27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diabetes on insulin</td>
<td>1.24 0.43 to 3.60 0.69</td>
<td>1.58 0.46 to 5.40 0.47</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>New York Heart Association (classification) III or IV</td>
<td>0.80 0.21 to 3.37 0.36</td>
<td>0.77 0.17 to 3.56 0.73</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Subjective poor mobility</td>
<td>1.61 0.35 to 7.30 0.54</td>
<td>0.04 0.00 to 439.11 0.50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ejection fraction ≤30%</td>
<td>1.65 0.26 to 10.60 0.60</td>
<td>1.23 0.16 to 9.67 0.84</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pulmonary hypertension (0–30/31–55/&gt;55 mm Hg)</td>
<td>0.43 0.19 to 0.96 0.04*</td>
<td>1.30 0.49 to 3.49 0.60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EuroSCORE I</td>
<td>1.00 0.95 to 1.05 0.97</td>
<td>1.08 1.03 to 1.13 0.002*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EuroSCORE II</td>
<td>1.00 0.91 to 1.10 0.95</td>
<td>1.14 1.06 to 1.22 &lt;0.001*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aortic valve area, cm²</td>
<td>1.58 0.19 to 12.90 0.67</td>
<td>0.11 0.01 to 1.95 0.13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aortic valve peak gradient, mm Hg</td>
<td>1.00 0.97 to 1.02 0.79</td>
<td>0.98 0.95 to 1.02 0.31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Baseline frailty assessment</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mini Mental State Examination, range 0–30</td>
<td>0.79 0.65 to 0.96 0.02*</td>
<td>0.98 0.77 to 1.25 0.89</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Basic Activities of Daily Living, range 0–20</td>
<td>1.00 0.78 to 1.30 0.98</td>
<td>0.86 0.66 to 1.12 0.26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Instrumental Activities of Daily Living, range 0–8</td>
<td>0.79 0.63 to 0.99 0.04*</td>
<td>0.92 0.71 to 1.20 0.53</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gait speed, m/s</td>
<td>0.05 0.01 to 0.50 0.01*</td>
<td>0.11 0.01 to 1.43 0.09</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Timed Up and Go, seconds</td>
<td>1.14 1.03 to 1.26 0.01*</td>
<td>1.04 0.94 to 1.16 0.46</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mini Nutritional Assessment, range 0–14</td>
<td>0.99 0.82 to 1.20 0.91</td>
<td>0.92 0.74 to 1.14 0.44</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Frailty Index, range 0–5</td>
<td>1.25 0.90 to 1.73 0.18</td>
<td>1.66 1.06 to 2.60 0.03*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clinical judgement frailty, 0–1</td>
<td>3.10 1.14 to 8.46 0.03*</td>
<td>3.92 0.85 to 18.16 0.08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clinical judgement high risk for delirium, 0–1</td>
<td>2.39 0.72 to 7.87 0.15</td>
<td>1.48 0.32 to 6.88 0.62</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Postoperative complications</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Complications</td>
<td>1.77 0.28 to 11.27 0.55</td>
<td>4.81 1.03 to 22.38 0.05*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Incidence of delirium</td>
<td>1.06 0.28 to 4.00 0.93</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*p<0.05. EuroSCORE, European System for Cardiac Operative Risk Evaluation.

Variables associated with mortality after TAVI—multivariate Cox proportional hazards regression analysis

EuroSCORE II is the renewed version of EuroSCORE I, partly consisting of the same variables. EuroSCORE I and EuroSCORE II are strongly correlated (r=0.86). Therefore, multivariate Cox proportional hazard regression analysis was performed after exclusion of EuroSCORE I, Quality of the model, Nagelkerke $R^2=0.271$, revealing Frailty Index and EuroSCORE II as independently associated with mortality table 3.

Mean values variables associated with delirium and mortality

Mean values for those variables significantly associated with delirium and mortality are presented for the groups with or without the occurrence of delirium and mortality, respectively, in table 4. Three of the 25 patients with delirium died (12%) versus 8 of the 64 patients without delirium (12.5%, p=ns). We found no relation between delirium and mortality.
To our best knowledge, this study is the first to reveal a relation between objective frailty assessment with delirium in a specific group of patients after TAVI.

The finding that this relation was independent from cardiological assessment (table 3) suggests that frailty assessment may have additional value, by identifying patients at increased risk of delirium after TAVI. Previous investigators found frailty related to delirium after cardiac surgery. However, in contrast to our study, Eide et al. found no relation between frailty and delirium after TAVI. The differences found in our study compared with the study from Eide et al. may be related to differences in study design: (1) our study population is specific to TAVI, while their population includes patients after TAVI or surgical aortic valve replacement, (2) the number of patients after TAVI in our study group is higher, (3) our study group comprises consecutive patients, whereas their study group is restricted to octogenarians and (4) our study uses frailty assessment, with various separate variables, instead of one combined variable for frailty, in addition to Mini Mental State Examination. Our finding that cognitive function was related to delirium is in accordance with previous findings.

The finding in our study that pulmonary hypertension was protectively associated with delirium was unexpected. Abawi et al. found no significant relation between pulmonary hypertension and delirium after TAVI, whereas Eide et al. provide no results for the variable pulmonary hypertension. The protective association between pulmonary hypertension and delirium is not easily explained and may be the result of the selection of frail patients to undergo TAVI, even in the absence of high cardiac risk. This argumentation is supported by the predictive association between frailty variables and delirium, while cardiac risk, as expressed in the EuroSCORE, is not associated with delirium. Further research on this subject is warranted.

In contrast to previous studies, we found no relation between postprocedural complications and delirium.
The association of variables from frailty assessment with delirium is found in our study, even after selection of patients, which procedure tends to exclude patients with lower and higher risk factors. In our selection process patients with lower and higher risk were assigned to surgical aortic valve replacement and medical treatment, respectively. This selection process may explain the absence of a substantial association of age with delirium in our study, in contrast to observations in other studies. In addition, we found no substantial association of weight and body mass index with delirium, in contrast to Smulter et al. Furthermore, they found no relation between impaired Mini Mental State Examination and delirium after cardiac surgery. However, they used Mini Mental State Examination both as a diagnostic tool and predictive factor for delirium, which may have been a confounding factor.

The incidence of delirium after TAVI in our study (28.1%) is comparable to the incidence reported by previous studies examining delirium after TAVI (12–53%) or cardiac surgery (26–66%). The observed incidence of delirium in our study may have been influenced by several factors: (a) transfer of the patient (from intensive care unit to cardiac care unit), (b) general anaesthesia, (c) strict patient follow-up by the geriatric consultation team and (d) preventive guidelines. Although delirium after cardiac surgery is common, previous investigators show that the incidence of delirium will be easily under-recognised clinically, without an appropriate strategy for diagnosis. Thus, a low incidence of delirium after TAVI reported by others (11.5%) may have resulted from under-recognition. Considering the high incidence of delirium and the impact on morbidity and costs, the need for prevention of delirium after TAVI is amplified.

In our study variables from frailty assessment and cardiological assessment were independently associated with mortality (table 3), which suggests that frailty assessment may have additional value to the prediction of mortality after TAVI, in accordance with previous studies.

The absence of a relation between the incidence of delirium and mortality after TAVI in our study, in contrast to previous studies, is not conclusive, due to the limited absolute number of patients with delirium and mortality. However, in our study, accurate diagnosis followed by treatment of delirium may have resulted in reduced mortality after delirium.

Limitations

The absolute number of patients studied with the events delirium or mortality after TAVI is limited. However, analysis of this limited number of patients still provides valuable conclusions with regard to variables associated with delirium and mortality.

This study on association of frailty assessment with delirium and mortality is analysed in a selected population, whereas the selection procedure for treatment assignment is based on multidisciplinary decision-making, which may have been influenced by the same frailty assessment. However, since selection may have led to exclusion of patients with the more extreme values, the associations found may be underestimated, not overestimated.

Owing to multiple testing some caution should be used when interpreting the findings of the multiple univariate tests as there may be a risk of an inflated type I error rate, considering the large number of tests in the analysis. However, subject knowledge corroborates and further research may corroborate our findings.

The incidence of delirium in our study population may have been influenced by general anaesthesia, routinely performed in TAVI procedures in our centre with primarily subclavian access, whereas local anaesthesia is performed in other centres. In addition, the access site may have influenced the incidence of delirium, as previous investigators showed difference in incidence of delirium related to the access site of the TAVI procedure (transfemoral vs transapical). Moreover, the preventive use of haloperidol in 5/89 patients may have influenced the incidence of delirium. However, previous investigators found no effect of haloperidol in prevention of postoperative delirium.

CONCLUSIONS

Delirium frequently occurs after TAVI. Variables from frailty assessment are associated with delirium, independent from cardiological assessment: Mini Mental State Examination, Instrumental Activities of Daily Living, gait speed and TUG. The summary score Frailty Index is associated with mortality, independent from cardiological assessment. Thus, frailty assessment may have additional value in the prediction of delirium and mortality after TAVI. Therefore, the cardiologist might consider involvement of a geriatrician for risk assessment in the selection of patients for TAVI. Further study is required in a broader range of patients.
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