Enzalutamide: targeting the androgen signalling pathway in metastatic castration-resistant prostate cancer
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Significant progress has been made in the understanding of the underlying cancer biology of castration-resistant prostate cancer (CRPC) with the androgen receptor (AR) signalling pathway remaining implicated throughout the prostate cancer disease continuum. Reactivation of the AR signalling pathway is considered to be a key driver of CRPC progression and, as such, the AR is a logical target for therapy in CRPC. The objective of this review was to understand the importance of AR signalling in the treatment of patients with metastatic CRPC (mCRPC) and to discuss the clinical benefits associated with inhibition of the AR signalling pathway. A search was conducted to identify articles relating to the role of AR signalling in CRPC and therapies that inhibit the AR signalling pathway. Current understanding of prostate cancer has identified the AR signalling pathway as a logical target for the treatment of CRPC. Available therapies that inhibit the AR signalling pathway include AR blockers, androgen biosynthesis inhibitors, and AR signalling inhibitors.

Enzalutamide, the first approved AR signalling inhibitor, has a novel mode of action targeting AR signalling at three key stages. The direct mode of action of enzalutamide has been shown to translate into clinical responses in patients with mCRPC. In conclusion, the targeting of the AR signalling pathway in patients with mCRPC results in numerous clinical benefits. As the number of treatment options increase, more trials evaluating the sequencing and combination of treatments are required. This review highlights the continued importance of targeting a key driver in the progression of CRPC, AR signalling, and the clinical benefits associated with inhibition of the AR signalling pathway in the treatment of patients with CRPC.
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Introduction
The development and function of the prostate gland is dependent on androgen regulation via the androgen receptor (AR) signalling pathway. The AR is a 110 kDa member of the steroid-receptor family and contains four modular domains: the ligand-binding domain (LBD), the hinge region, the DNA-binding domain (DBD) and the N-terminal domain (NTD) (Fig. 1 [1–3]) [1]. The C-terminal LBD mediates activation of transcription by the binding of ligand [1]. The DBD facilitates binding to DNA and the hinge region is important for nuclear localisation [1]. The NTD is responsible for regulation of transcription [1].

Within a normal prostate, the AR [regulated by testosterone and dihydrotestosterone (DHT)], has a homeostatic function to balance the rate of cell proliferation with the rate of apoptosis. In prostate epithelial and stromal cells, testosterone is converted into the more active form, DHT, by 5α-reductase [2,4]. Testosterone or DHT binds to the LBD of the AR, causing a conformational change and activation of the receptor. This leads to homodimer formation and translocation of the AR from the cytoplasm to the nucleus. Within the nucleus, the AR binds to androgen response elements of DNA, recruiting co-factors (co-activators or co-repressors) that regulate transcription of target genes, e.g. PSA. In malignant prostate cells, the AR signalling pathway drives uncontrolled growth and the balance between the rate of cell proliferation and the rate of apoptosis is lost (Fig. 2) [4]. The AR signalling pathway plays a key role in all phases of prostate cancer, from disease initiation to disease progression, including metastatic transformation and spread [4,5].

Different stages within the prostate cancer disease continuum can be clinically defined by the presence or absence of detectable metastases and whether testosterone concentrations are in the castrate range [6]. Treatment of prostate cancer, at any stage in the disease continuum, is determined by tumour characteristics, PSA level, extent of tumour spread, estimated life-expectancy of the patient, whether or not symptoms are present, and progression on previous treatments [7].
For most patients with metastatic prostate cancer, androgen-deprivation therapy (ADT) with LHRH agonists or antagonists, or orchidectomy, constitutes first-line therapy [7,8]. Medical ADT with LHRH agonists or antagonists can involve monotherapy or can be combined with antiandrogens (e.g. bicalutamide) that block the effect of any residual testosterone. This is known as combined androgen blockade. ADT strategies can produce a dramatic improvement of symptoms, but invariably prostate cancer stops responding to androgen suppression and progresses. The average time to development of castration-resistant prostate cancer (CRPC) after commencement of ADT is 2–3 years [9,10]. While this state has previously been referred to as ‘hormone refractory’ or ‘androgen independent’, advancements in the understanding of disease progression and the continued involvement of the AR have led to this state being termed ‘castration-resistant prostate cancer’ [10]. CRPC is defined by serial rises in PSA values despite castrate levels of testosterone, and/or evidence of disease progression on imaging studies [11]. Compared with hormone-sensitive prostate cancer, the prognosis for patients with CRPC is poor and survival is reduced [12]. In clinical trials, the median survival of patients with CRPC varies between 9 and 30 months [12].

This review highlights the continued importance of AR signalling in the treatment of patients with CRPC and discusses the clinical benefits associated with inhibition of the AR signalling pathway maintained even in later stages of the disease.

**Evidence Acquisition**

A literature search was conducted, using PubMed and congress abstracts, to identify articles relating to the role of AR signalling in CRPC and therapies that inhibit the AR signalling pathway. Key words included AR signalling, mCRPC, abiraterone and enzalutamide.

**Evidence Synthesis**

**Continued AR Signalling in CRPC**

Significant progress has been made in the understanding of the underlying cancer biology of CRPC. The AR signalling...
pathway is reactivated in CRPC and is a key driver of tumour progression in this condition. This is demonstrated by levels of PSA, which is a protein known to be regulated by AR signalling, which is present in high levels in most patients with CRPC [13]. In addition, many genes known to be regulated by the AR signalling pathway are also expressed in CRPC [13].

Various molecular mechanisms have been proposed to explain how prostate tumour cells continue to use the AR signalling pathway for growth despite castrate levels of testosterone (Fig. 3) [2,4,13–16]. The most commonly reported mechanisms of continued AR signalling are extragonadal steroidogenesis and AR gene amplification.

Androgen synthesis by the adrenal glands is known to continue despite medical or surgical castration [2]. Intratumoral testosterone/DHT production is also implicated in the pathogenesis of CRPC. In addition, the synthesis of androgen from circulating precursors occurs within prostate tumour cells, reactivating AR signalling in CRPC despite serum levels of testosterone in the castrate range [5].

The incidence of AR gene amplification in untreated primary prostate cancer is low [14]. In comparison, studies have shown that 50–85% of tumours in CRPC have an increased AR gene copy number causing upregulation of AR gene expression. This leads to overexpression of AR in the cytoplasm of CRPC cells and consequent sensitisation of the tumour to low levels of androgens, which confers a survival and growth advantage upon tumours [14,15,17]. This suggests that AR gene amplification and AR overexpression contribute to the progression of prostate cancer to a castration-resistant state [14,18]. AR overexpression also appears to promote the conversion of first-generation antiandrogens, e.g. bicalutamide, to full agonists [14].

Raised levels of AR splice variants have also been found in some CRPC tissue specimens [16]. Transcriptional active splice variants have also been detected in normal prostate tissue [16]. AR splice variants are a result of alternative splicing of the AR primary gene transcript. Up to seven different AR splice variants that lack the LBD have been identified in a range of human prostate tissues [16]. In particular, expression levels of AR-V7 are found to be elevated 20-fold in CRPC when compared with hormone-naïve prostate cancer [16,19]. Although AR splice variants are associated with the progression of prostate cancer, the exact role they play in CRPC is complex and not yet fully understood. Some AR splice variants that lack the LBD have been shown to be constitutively active, suggesting that AR signalling could occur in the complete absence of ligand binding [16,19]. This mechanism of continued AR signalling may drive resistance to therapies that rely on binding to the LBD for their activity. AR splice variants are more common when residual androgen levels are low, suggesting that AR splice variants may arise as a result of ADT [20,21]. Constitutively active AR splice variants are also associated with increased expression of N-cadherin, a protein that plays an important role in cell adhesion, which in turn induces epithelial–mesenchymal transition (EMT) [22]. EMT is a physiological process whereby epithelial cells are converted into mesenchymal cells during embryonic development as part of organ development [22]. After embryogenesis, it was assumed that EMT is ‘switched off’; however, it is now

**Fig. 3** Potential mechanisms of continued AR signalling in CRPC [2,4,16,33]. T, testosterone.
thought that EMT is reactivated by malignant cells [22]. This transformation may be responsible for the formation of metastatic tumours via dissemination of cells from the primary tumour site to tissue sites such as the liver, lungs or bone marrow [22].

AR gene mutations constitute another genetic alteration involved in the progression to CRPC. The incidence of AR gene mutations has been found to increase with cancer stage, with ≈10% of CRPC tumours found to have such mutations [2,4]. Most of the AR mutations characterised to date occur in the LBD and may alter ligand binding. This may allow activation of the AR signalling pathway by alternative ligands, such as some first-generation antiandrogens and steroids [23]. The AR LBD mutations may provide a mechanistic explanation for the development of resistance to antiandrogen therapy [4].

Another proposed biological mechanism for the reactivation of AR signalling in CRPC is cross-talk between signal transduction pathways [2,4]. Two or more signal transduction pathways often cross-talk via the activation or inhibition of downstream signalling molecules or target genes common to all pathways [2,4]. Cross-talk between growth factor signalling pathways may influence the phosphorylation of AR and AR co-regulators to stimulate AR activity [2,4]. Growth factor kinase signalling pathways, including phosphoinositide-3-kinase (PI3K), protein kinase B (AKT), extracellular signal-regulated kinase (ERK) and mammalian target of rapamycin (mTOR), have been shown to stimulate AR target gene expression in the absence of an AR ligand [2,4]. Studies have shown that the PI3K/AKT signalling pathway is upregulated in 30–50% of prostate cancer cases, with the associated loss of phosphatase and tensin homolog (PTEN) function shown to enhance CRPC disease development [24]. The loss of PTEN function increases the expression and signalling of the pro-inflammatory chemokine ligand 8 (CCL8) in prostate cancer cells. CXCL8 signalling is associated with the activation of additional signalling pathways, leading to upregulation of anti-apoptotic proteins and, ultimately, the survival of cancer cells [25].

The AR Signalling Pathway and Current Treatment Options in mCRPC

As our understanding of the biological mechanisms underlying disease progression expands, the treatment landscape in CRPC has been evolving. Cytotoxic chemotherapy agents, such as docetaxel and cabazitaxel, have shown survival benefits in patients with mCRPC [26–28]. Taxanes (docetaxel and cabazitaxel) cause apoptosis of prostate cancer cells by stabilising their microtubule network [28,29]. The stabilisation of microtubules inhibits their disassembly, preventing cell division and promoting cell-cycle arrest [28,29]. An indirect consequence of the stabilisation of microtubules by taxanes is inhibition of AR nuclear translocation [30]. Docetaxel was the first agent to show improvement in overall survival (OS), in addition to palliative benefits in patients with mCRPC who had progressed on ADT [28]. Cabazitaxel has shown an OS benefit in patients with mCRPC whose disease has progressed during or after treatment with docetaxel [26].

Current understanding of prostate cancer has identified the AR signalling pathway as a logical target for the treatment of CRPC. Available therapies that inhibit the AR signalling pathway include AR blockers (bicalutamide, nilutamide and flutamide), androgen biosynthesis inhibitors (ketoconazole and abiraterone) and AR signalling inhibitors (enzalutamide). Other available treatments with different mechanisms of actions include sipuleucel-T, an autologous cellular immunotherapy and radium-223, a radiopharmaceutical that targets bone metastases. Agents that do not affect the AR signalling pathway are not covered in the present review.

AR blockers

Traditionally, antiandrogens (bicalutamide, nilutamide or flutamide) have been added to ADT at PSA progression, with the objective of achieving a more complete androgen blockade. Mainly used in advanced prostate cancer, these agents provide modest survival benefits of ≈3% improvement in survival at 5 years [31].

After long-term use of antiandrogens combined with ADT, some patients will respond to the selective discontinuation of the antiandrogen. This is known as ‘antiandrogen withdrawal syndrome’, which is characterised by decreasing PSA levels and regression of the tumour on discontinuation of the antiandrogen [32], indicating that antiandrogens can serve as AR agonists under specific circumstances.

AR overexpression occurs in most cases of CRPC and is perhaps the most common mechanism whereby antiandrogens gain agonist activity [14,17]. In vitro studies of AR overexpressing cells indicate that first-generation antiandrogens induce changes in the AR that continue to allow nuclear translocation, DNA binding and co-activator recruitment at variable efficiencies [14,33]. Up-regulation of co-activators may also allow activation of wild-type AR by some antiandrogens, thus demonstrating agonist activity [4,34]. In the presence of AR gene mutations, some antiandrogens may confer agonist activity [4].

Androgen biosynthesis inhibitors

The androgen biosynthesis inhibitor ketoconazole has been suggested to have limited clinical efficacy in CRPC [35]. However, its widespread use in this condition is now restricted due to significant side-effects and the need to co-administer with steroids.
Abiraterone irreversibly and selectively blocks cytochrome P450 17A1 (CYP17A1), indirectly inhibiting production of androgens from the testes, adrenal glands and from the prostate tumour itself [36]. Elevated mineralocorticoid levels due to CYP17 blockade by abiraterone require co-administration with prednisone to suppress adrenocorticotrophic hormone and reduce the adverse events (AEs) associated with mineralocorticoid excess. Abiraterone has shown efficacy over placebo in both chemotherapy-naïve and post-docetaxel patients with mCRPC [37,38]. In the chemotherapy-naïve setting, the AEs of fatigue, arthralgia, peripheral oedema, grade 3 or 4 mineralocorticoid-related AEs, and abnormalities on liver-function testing were reported more frequently in the abiraterone-prednisone group than in the prednisone-alone group [38]. A similar tolerability profile was reported for abiraterone-prednisone in the post-docetaxel study [37].

AR signalling inhibitors in mCRPC

The AR signalling pathway remains implicated throughout the prostate cancer disease continuum and reactivation of the AR signalling pathway is thought to be a key driver of CRPC progression [5]. The underlying molecular mechanisms of CRPC progression are considered to affect tumour growth and some have been shown to potentiate agonist activity of first-generation antiandrogens, such as the AR blocker bicalutamide [14,33]. The AR signalling pathway is a logical target for novel therapies in CRPC. AR blockers, e.g. bicalutamide, have provided the starting point for development of AR signalling inhibitors. These therapies would need to be potent AR inhibitors capable of avoiding significant agonist activity.

Enzalutamide is the first approved AR signalling inhibitor (also described as an AR inhibitor), with a novel mechanism of action, that distinguishes it from both androgen biosynthesis inhibitors (e.g. abiraterone) and first-generation antiandrogens (e.g. bicalutamide) [39,40].

Enzalutamide was rationally designed by conducting a chemical synthesis programme to identify novel chemical structures that would be potent AR inhibitors in CRPC without significant agonist activity [41]. Based on structure-activity relationships and optimisation of half-life and oral bioavailability, enzalutamide was selected for further preclinical and clinical studies [41,42]. This approach to drug discovery has provided a new model for the rational design and development of AR signalling inhibitors.

Other AR signalling inhibitors currently being investigated include ODM-201 and ARN-509. ODM-201 has been shown to inhibit AR nuclear translocation in preclinical studies and 86% of patients had a ≥50% PSA level decrease with a 1 400-mg dose of ODM-201 in a Phase I/II study [43,44]. ARN-509 targets the AR signalling pathway by binding to AR and a Phase I study has demonstrated a ≥50% PSA level decrease in 46.7% of patients [45,46]. The Phase III SPARTAN trial will evaluate the efficacy and safety of ARN-509 in patients with non-metastatic CRPC at high risk of progression [47].

Direct AR Inhibition with Enzalutamide

Extensive in vitro studies have shown that enzalutamide targets the AR signalling pathway at three key stages, exerting its effect by blocking binding of androgens to AR, by inhibiting nuclear translocation of activated AR, and by impairing binding of activated AR with DNA (Fig. 4) [16,33]. In preclinical CRPC models, enzalutamide has been shown to competitively inhibit androgen binding to the receptor by binding with five- to eight-times higher affinity than bicalutamide, but without partial agonist activity [33]. Molecular modelling suggests that enzalutamide sits in the ligand binding domain in a manner that is distinct from bicalutamide and offers mechanism for the partial agonist activity of bicalutamide [48]. AR localisation studies have shown that enzalutamide inhibits nuclear translocation of the AR and impairs DNA binding and activation in preclinical CRPC models [33].

Enzalutamide Clinical Studies

The theoretical benefit of enzalutamide, designed to interrupt the androgen signalling mechanism in prostate cancer cells, has been shown to translate into clinical responses in patients with mCRPC [49,50].

A Phase I/II, open-label, uncontrolled, dose-escalation study in patients with progressive CRPC with or without detectable metastases, demonstrated the antitumour activity of enzalutamide, both in patients who had previously received chemotherapy and those who had not [51]. At the end of the study, maximal PSA level decrease did not differ significantly by prior chemotherapy status [51].

The clinical efficacy and safety of enzalutamide in patients with mCRPC has been shown in two Phase III randomised clinical trials, thus further supporting the AR signalling pathway as a therapeutic target in mCRPC [49,50].

In the Phase III AFFIRM trial, 1 199 men with mCRPC who had received prior docetaxel-based chemotherapy were randomly assigned to receive either enzalutamide (160 mg as a single oral dose, once-daily) or placebo in a 2:1 ratio [50]. Concomitant therapy with steroids was permitted but not required [50]. At the time of the interim analysis (after 520 deaths), a significant benefit in the primary endpoint of OS was seen, with a 37% reduction in risk of death favouring enzalutamide compared with placebo (hazard ratio [HR] = 0.63, 95% CI 0.53–0.75; P < 0.001, median OS 18.4 vs 13.6 months, respectively). This effect was consistent across
all patient subgroups analysed. Enzalutamide was associated with significant improvements in all secondary efficacy endpoints compared with placebo, including radiographic progression-free survival (rPFS), time to PSA progression, PSA response rate, and soft-tissue response rate [50]. Enzalutamide was also associated with significantly better patient-related outcomes compared with placebo (i.e. health-related quality of life, time to first skeletal-related event and pain) [50,52]. Additional secondary analyses have shown consistent benefits in OS, rPFS and time to PSA progression with enzalutamide in elderly (≥75 years) and younger (<75 years) patients [53], in patients with different levels of disease severity assessed by baseline PSA levels, and in both North American- and European-treated patients [54,55].

In the Phase III PREVAIL trial, 1 717 chemotherapy-naïve patients with progressive mCRPC who had failed on ADT were randomly assigned to receive either enzalutamide (160 mg as a single oral dose, once-daily) or placebo in a 1:1 ratio [49]. The patient population included ≈12% of patients with visceral metastases, typically not included in CRPC trials. At the time of the planned interim analysis (after 540 deaths) enzalutamide treatment resulted in significant benefits in both co-primary endpoints vs placebo, significantly reducing the risk of radiographic progression by 81% (HR 0.19, 95% CI 0.15–0.23; P < 0.001) or death by 29% (HR 0.71, 95% CI 0.60–0.84; P < 0.001). The rPFS and OS benefits were seen across all subgroups including age, baseline pain intensity, geographical region, and type of disease progression at entry [49]. In addition, enzalutamide was associated with significant benefits over placebo across all secondary outcome measures (i.e. time to chemotherapy initiation, time to PSA progression, reduction in PSA level, objective soft-tissue response, and quality of life maintenance) [49].

In both the AFFIRM and PREVAIL studies, enzalutamide was generally well tolerated [49,50]. In AFFIRM, the most common AEs reported more frequently in the enzalutamide group compared with placebo included fatigue (34% vs 29%), diarrhoea (21% vs 18%), and hot flushes (20% vs 10%) [50]. The rate of discontinuations due to AEs was low in both the enzalutamide and placebo groups (8% vs 10%) [50]. Of 800 patients treated with enzalutamide, five (0.6%) had a seizure, whereas no seizures occurred in patients receiving placebo [50]. One additional patient was identified with an event termed syncope with features suggestive of a seizure, and another patient was diagnosed with a seizure after the interim analysis cut-off date [52]. Enzalutamide was not associated with liver or cardiac toxicity [50].

In the PREVAIL trial in patients with chemotherapy-naïve mCRPC, enzalutamide showed a generally favourable tolerability profile, despite the reporting period for
enzalutamide being more than twice that for placebo [49]. AEs that occurred in ≥20% of patients receiving enzalutamide at a rate that was at least 2% higher than that in the placebo group were fatigue (36% vs 26%), back pain (27% vs 22%), constipation (22% vs 17%), and arthralgia (20% vs 16%). Cardiac AEs were seen in 10% of patients receiving enzalutamide compared with 8% of placebo patients. Hypertension was more commonly seen in the enzalutamide group than in the placebo group (13% vs 4%). Two seizures were reported during the study, one in each treatment arm. The seizure in the enzalutamide treatment arm occurred after the data cut-off date.

**Sequencing of Hormonal Therapies**

While the number of treatments available across the prostate cancer disease continuum that target or have an effect on the AR continues to grow, treatment decisions in the management of patients with mCRPC are becoming more complex.

There have been several retrospective reports in the literature, with some studies involving patients initially included in early access or compassionate use programmes prior to commercial availability of enzalutamide in various countries. Typically they were based on a few heavily pre-treated patients, and none of the studies were designed to specifically investigate sequential treatment in patients with mCRPC in the post-chemotherapy setting (Table 1) [56–71]. In this population of heavily pre-treated patients with advanced mCRPC, the effectiveness of enzalutamide appeared to be attenuated by prior treatment with abiraterone, with a generally smaller proportion of patients experiencing ≥50% decline in PSA level with enzalutamide after prior treatment with abiraterone (Table 1) compared with patients treated with enzalutamide post-docetaxel in the AFFIRM Phase III study (54%) [50]. None of the reports identified any reliable predictors of response to enzalutamide. Similarly, subsequent treatment with abiraterone in patients who progressed on enzalutamide treatment also only experienced modest responses (Table 1). However, significant cabazitaxel activity was reported in patients with mCRPC progressing after abiraterone or enzalutamide [71].

**Mechanisms of Resistance to Enzalutamide**

In general, studies that have investigated the sequencing of hormonal therapies have shown that with any treatment a reduced response is expected with each subsequent line of therapy. Recent research, primarily in patients who progressed on chemotherapy and abiraterone, suggests that one marker for resistance to AR-targeted therapies is AR-V7, a constitutively active AR splice variant [72]. Based on the currently available data, detection of AR-V7 in circulating tumour cells from patients with CRPC may be associated with resistance to enzalutamide and abiraterone [72]. In a small Phase II prospective study evaluating the effect of enzalutamide in blood and bone marrow, the presence of an AR-V7 variant was associated with primary resistance to enzalutamide [73]. In addition, *in vivo* studies have shown that cabazitaxel remained highly effective in enzalutamide-resistant tumours in castrated mice and demonstrated superior antitumour activity compared with docetaxel. These findings suggest that there could be cross-resistance in the AR pathway between enzalutamide and docetaxel, but not with cabazitaxel, in CRPC [74].

The results of the studies summarised in this section should be interpreted with caution, as they present analyses of small, retrospective case series, typically involving populations of patients previously progressing on more than two previous therapies and in different stages of mCRPC. None of these reports were based on studies specifically designed to assess the efficacy of sequential treatments. Larger, prospective sequencing and combination studies are required to assess the impact of treatments and to define optimal sequencing strategies for patients with mCRPC as the treatment paradigm continues to evolve.

**Conclusions**

Progress is being made in the treatment of mCRPC with increased understanding of the underlying molecular mechanisms involved in CRPC disease progression. This improved understanding is being applied to rationally designed pharmacological treatment options with novel mechanisms of action, e.g. enzalutamide, for patients with mCRPC. Enzalutamide inhibits three steps in the AR signalling pathway and positive results of Phase III clinical trials validate the AR signalling pathway as a therapeutic target in CRPC [49,50]. Enzalutamide is administered orally, can be taken with or without food, and does not require concomitant administration of steroids. Enzalutamide has not shown signs of liver toxicity and does not require specific monitoring [50].

Prostate cancer is a markedly heterogeneous disease, with potential for multiple mechanisms of resistance to castration. Despite the clinical benefits obtained with currently available drugs for mCRPC, patients will ultimately become resistant and disease progression will eventually occur. Better understanding of drug resistance mechanisms and evaluation of treatment combinations are areas of ongoing research that have, and will continue to, become more important as the treatment options for CRPC evolve and expand.
Table 1 Retrospective studies of hormonal sequencing with enzalutamide in patients with mCRPC.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reference</th>
<th>Sequence of hormonal therapies</th>
<th>Type of study</th>
<th>Number of patients</th>
<th>≥50% decline in PSA with second treatment, %</th>
<th>Key study notes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Docetaxel, abiraterone → enzalutamide</td>
<td>Docetaxel and abiraterone prior to enzalutamide</td>
<td>Retrospective</td>
<td>61</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>Enzalutamide had modest clinical activity in patients with mCRPC who previously received docetaxel and abiraterone; PSA response to docetaxel and abiraterone did not predict PSA response to enzalutamide.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Badrising et al. [56]</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Enzalutamide benefited a subset of patients resistant to prior abiraterone treatment.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bournakis et al. [57]</td>
<td>Abiraterone and/or orteronol (25 of 35 patients) prior to enzalutamide</td>
<td>Patient access programme</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>40 (six out of 15 evaluable patients)</td>
<td>Enzalutamide had activity in a heavily pre-treated population of men resistant to abiraterone and docetaxel.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Scholz et al. [58]</td>
<td>Docetaxel, abiraterone prior to enzalutamide</td>
<td>Retrospective</td>
<td>66</td>
<td>NR; 29% had &gt;30% decline in PSA</td>
<td>No difference in clinical outcomes of abiraterone, cabazitaxel, or enzalutamide as third-line treatment was seen, regardless of the previous treatment.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Caffo et al. [59]</td>
<td>Docetaxel prior to second- and third-line agent (abiraterone, cabazitaxel, or enzalutamide)</td>
<td>Retrospective</td>
<td>260</td>
<td>20 (70 out of 260 patients received enzalutamide as third-line agent)</td>
<td>Patients progressing after abiraterone achieved only a modest response rate with enzalutamide; a small but significant number of patients showed significant benefit from sequential treatment.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Schrader et al. [60]</td>
<td>Docetaxel and abiraterone prior to enzalutamide</td>
<td>Retrospective</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>Limited activity of enzalutamide was reported in the post-docetaxel and post-abiraterone patient population.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bianchini et al. [61]</td>
<td>Docetaxel and abiraterone prior to enzalutamide</td>
<td>Retrospective</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>Previous abiraterone therapy was associated with a less marked reduction in PSA level following enzalutamide treatment, compared with reported results in randomised studies.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thomsen et al. [62]</td>
<td>Docetaxel and abiraterone prior to enzalutamide</td>
<td>Retrospective</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>Previous abiraterone therapy was associated with a less marked reduction in PSA level following enzalutamide treatment, compared with reported results in randomised studies.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Brasso et al. [63]</td>
<td>Docetaxel and abiraterone prior to enzalutamide</td>
<td>Compassionate use programme</td>
<td>137</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>Modest PSA level responses and improved survival was seen with enzalutamide in patients progressing after chemotherapy and abiraterone.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Schmid et al. [64]</td>
<td>Docetaxel and abiraterone prior to enzalutamide</td>
<td>Compassionate use programme</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>Consecutive use of enzalutamide and abiraterone after taxane-based chemotherapy shows a modest clinical activity.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thomson et al. [65]</td>
<td>Docetaxel and abiraterone prior to enzalutamide</td>
<td>Expanded access programme</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>Enzalutamide appears to show only modest activity after failure of docetaxel and abiraterone.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sandhu et al. [66]</td>
<td>Abiraterone prior to enzalutamide</td>
<td>Retrospective study</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>17 (four out of 23 patients)</td>
<td>Sequential enzalutamide in patients with CRPC post-abiraterone showed only modest activity.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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