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Arthroplasty for tenosynovial giant cell tumors
17 patients followed for 0.2 to 15 years

Floortje G M VERSPOOR, Gerjon HANNINK, Anouk SCHOLTE, Ingrid C M VAN DER GEEST, and H W Bart SCHREUDER

Department of Orthopedics, Radboud University Medical Center, Nijmegen, the Netherlands
Correspondence: floorverspoor@gmail.com
Submitted 2016-02-06. Accepted 2016-05-03.

Background and purpose — Tenosynovial giant cell tumors (t-GCTs) can behave aggressively locally and affect joint function and quality of life. The role of arthroplasty in the treatment of t-GCT is uncertain. We report the results of arthroplasty in t-GCT patients.

Patients and methods — t-GCT patients (12 knee, 5 hip) received an arthroplasty between 1985 and 2015. Indication for arthroplasty, recurrences, complications, quality of life, and functional scores were evaluated after a mean follow-up time of 5.5 (0.2–15) years.

Results — 2 patients had recurrent disease. 2 other patients had implant loosening. Functional scores showed poor results in almost half of the knee patients. 4 of the hip patients scored excellent and 1 scored fair. Quality of life was reduced in 1 or more subscales for 2 hip patients and for 5 knee patients.

Interpretation — In t-GCT patients with extensive disease or osteoarthritis, joint arthroplasty is an additional treatment option. However, recurrences, implant loosening, and other complications do occur, even after several years.

Tenosynovial giant cell tumors (t-GCTs) are benign proliferative growths of the synovial membrane (de saint Aubain Somerhausen and van de Rijn 2013). The approximate annual incidence in the USA is 1.8 patients per million inhabitants (Verspoor et al. 2013). T-GCT mainly affects adults between 20 and 50 years of age, with the same prevalence in both sexes. There is a predilection for weight-bearing extremities, the knee and the hip being the most commonly involved (Verspoor et al. 2014).

The clinical presentation is non-specific, with mild discomfort, stiffness, effusion, or progressive pain. Based on the clinical and radiological presentation, 2 subtypes of t-GCT have been identified, localized t-GCT (Lt-GCT) and diffuse t-GCT (Dt-GCT) (Murphey et al. 2008, Verspoor et al. 2014).

Treatment strategies are based on removal of all pathological tissue. In primary, limited disease, a surgical synovectomy is often sufficient (Verspoor et al. 2013). However, for extensive or recurrent disease, complete surgical synovectomy can be a technical challenge. Recurrence rates have been reported to be between 0% and 15% for Lt-GCT and between 9% and 46% for Dt-GCT, depending on the duration of follow-up and on the joint involved (Chiari et al. 2006, Dines et al. 2007, Verspoor et al. 2014).

A variety of treatment modalities in addition to surgical resection of t-GCT have been used to achieve cure, such as external beam radiation therapy, radiation synovectomy, cryosurgery, immune or targeted therapy, and joint arthroplasty. However, little is known about the actual effect of these additional treatments (Verspoor et al. 2013).

The surgical removal of t-GCT combined with arthroplasty has been described previously in patients with extensive disease, and in patients with destructive joint changes caused by the disease itself or by the multiple treatments patients received (Hamlin et al. 1998). The goal of this treatment is to achieve a disease-free, well-functioning joint (Flandry et al. 1989). In addition, patients with osteoarthritis-like symptoms in whom t-GCT was an incidental finding during arthroplasty have been described (Della Valle et al. 2001).

This retrospective study was conducted to analyze the results of arthroplasty in patients with t-GCT. More specifically, we examined the indications, number of recurrences, complications, quality of life, and joint function in relation to t-GCT subtype and the joint affected.
Patients and methods

For this retrospective study, we identified 141 t-GCT patients in our patient databases (1985–2015). 48 patients were classified as Lt-GCT and 93 as Dt-GCT. 17 of these patients (5 Lt-GCT and 12 Dt-GCT) received an arthroplasty (Tables 1 and 2).

Clinical, pathological, radiological, treatment, and follow-up information were assessed by 2 independent reviewers (FGMV and AS). Any disagreements were resolved by consensus with a third reviewer (HWBS). Recurrences, treatment complications, functional scores, and quality of life (QoL) were documented according to t-GCT subtype and location.

During the regular hospital visits, QoL questionnaires were used and function scores were taken.

QoL was evaluated by using the Dutch translation of a generic QoL instrument, the 36-item Short Form health survey (SF-36) (VanderZee et al. 1996) and the 20-item Checklist Individual Strength (CIS20r) questionnaire (Vercoulen et al. 1999). 14 patients participated, and the other 3 patients were lost to follow-up. 1 patient did not complete all the questions in the CIS20r questionnaire, so it could not be used.

Scoring systems used to evaluate function were the standardized Western Ontario and McMaster Universities osteoarthritis index (WOMAC) (Bellamy et al. 1988), the Knee Society score (KSS) (Insall 1988), and the Harris hip score (HHS) (Garellick et al. 1998). The data were extracted from database records. We managed to collect function scores from all but 1 patient.

Ethics

The study protocol (2012/555) was assessed by our institutional review board (the research ethics committee of the Radboud University Nijmegen Medical Centre) and was carried out in the Netherlands in accordance with the applicable rules concerning the review of research ethics committees.

Results

Hip

5 patients received a hip arthroplasty. 4 had Dt-GCT and 1 had Lt-GCT. 2 were women aged 20 and 25 years and 3 were men aged 36, 44, and 49 years. The indication for total hip arthroplasty (THA) was extensive disease (n = 3) and secondary osteoarthritis (n = 1). In the fifth patient, Lt-GCT was an incidental finding. The mean overall follow-up after arthroplasty was 8.6 (7–15) years (Table 1).

3 primary patients received their arthroplasty within 1.5 years of diagnosis (Table 1). 1 patient with recurrent disease had a surgical synovectomy followed by an unsuccessful yttrium radiosynovectomy elsewhere. 4 years after diagnosis, this patient was treated at our tertiary center using a 3-stage...
procedure. During almost 15 years of follow-up, no recurrences or complications occurred (Tables 1 and 2).

Another patient who was initially treated using a 3-stage procedure for extensive disease (Table 2) first had an anterior luxation immediately after THA with acetabular bone impactation grafting, followed by a cup revision after a trauma 5 years later (without any evidence of recurrent disease), and finally had histologically proven recurrent disease 4 years after that. This recurrent disease was treated with a synovectomy, additional cryosurgery, and removal of osteosynthesis material that had been placed during the initial THA (Table 2).

### Quality of life

An SF-36 score was obtained for 4 of the 5 patients, on average 10 (6–15) years after arthroplasty. 2 patients scored low (>1 SD below the means for the general population (VanderZee et al. 1996)) on Vitality and General health perception and 1 of these 2 patients also scored low on Physical functioning, Social functioning, Role limitations due to physical problems, General mental health, and Bodily pain (Table 3, see Supplementary data).

CIS20r was obtained for 4 patients, on average 10 (6–15) years after arthroplasty. Compared to healthy individuals
(Vercoulen et al. 1999), 1 patient scored high (> 1 SD above healthy individual means) on all 4 domains: Fatigue, Concentration, Motivation, and Physical activity (Table 4, see Supplementary data).

**Function scores**

A Harris hip score was obtained for all 5 THA patients, on average 6.8 (4–13) years after arthroplasty. 4 patients scored excellent (90–99) and 1 patient scored fair (77) (Table 5, see Supplementary data).

**Knee**

12 patients, 4 with Lt-GCT and 8 with Dt-GCT, received a knee arthroplasty, 6 women (mean age 58 (48–63) years) and 6 men (mean age 54 (33–73) years). Indications for arthroplasty were extensive disease (n = 6), secondary osteoarthritis (n = 3), and an incidental finding (n = 3) (Tables 1 and 2). The mean overall follow-up period after arthroplasty was 5.5 (0.2–13) years (Table 1).

Patient 7 (Lt-GCT) received a total knee arthroplasty (TKA) for osteoarthritis almost 7 years after diagnosis of t-GCT. Rehabilitation was complicated by stiffness, which was treated by manipulation under anesthesia. 2 other patients with osteoarthritis received a TKA, 8 and 38 years after diagnosis of Dt-GCT.

The 6 patients who were treated for extensive disease received an arthroplasty on average 9 (1.6–17) years after diagnosis (Table 1). These patients had 0–4 recurrences before arthroplasty and 1 recurrence diagnosed by ultrasound after TKA with so far no intervention. 2 patients suffered postoperative complications after TKA. 1 patient had neuropathic pain, which was treated with surgical neurolysis (Figure 1). Another patient experienced stiffness, which was treated by manipulation under anesthesia. This last patient also developed medial tibial component loosening 6 years after arthroplasty, followed by revision surgery. No recurrent disease, polyethylene wear, or infection was found.

**Quality of life**

SF-36 was obtained for 10 patients, on average 4.6 (0.2–11) years after arthroplasty. 5 patients scored low (> 1 SD below the means for the general population) on General health perception; 4 on Physical functioning, Role limitations due to physical problems, Vitality, and Health change; 3 on Social functioning, General mental health, and Bodily pain; and 2 on Role limitations due to emotional problems (Table 3, see Supplementary data).

CIS20r was obtained for 9 of the patients, on average 4.6 (0.2–11) years after arthroplasty. Compared to healthy individuals (Vercoulen et al. 1999), 2 patients scored high (> 1 SD above the means for healthy individuals) in all 4 domains, i.e. Fatigue, Concentration, Motivation, and Physical activity, and 1 patient scored high on the Motivation and Physical domains (Table 4, see Supplementary data).
In general, patients with t-GCT in the hip received their arthroplasty over 20 years earlier than patients with t-GCT of the knee. An explanation for this age difference might be that lesions involving the hip joint are associated with a higher incidence of bony erosion and cyst formation compared to those involving the knee. Some investigators have hypothesized that the smaller intra-articular space in the hip does not allow the tumor to expand without causing increased pressure on the femoral and acetabular cartilage (Verspoor et al. 2013).

THA has been performed in young patients, with good results. However, the authors of a long-term follow-up evaluation of THA advised caution with this procedure in younger patients because of high failure rates (de Kam et al. 2011).

Recurrences

2 recurrences were found in our patient group; both of these Dt-GCT patients were treated for extensive disease. The recurrence after THA was histologically proven. The recurrence after knee arthroplasty was diagnosed and followed on ultrasound. There have been case reports of recurrent t-GCT being diagnosed with arthroscopy or during revision arthroplasty (Ma et al. 2011). However, with the improved visualization of periprosthetic soft tissues on MRI, diagnosis of recurrent t-GCT after total joint arthroplasty should no longer be a difficulty (Friedman et al. 2013).

Gitelis et al. (1989) reported on 28 patients in whom synovectomy was combined with hip arthroplasty (15 cup arthroplasties, 10 THAs, and 3 hemiarthroplasties—1 of which was subsequently converted to a THA). The average follow-up time was 3.6 years, with 1 recurrence.

In our study, the 2 recurrences occurred 6 and 9 years after arthroplasty, indicating that the number of recurrences may increase further with longer follow-up times. These 2 patients with recurrent disease had a staged procedure before arthroplasty. In hip arthroplasty, clearance of diseased tissue was done before implantation, to minimize the chance of recurrent disease. However, 1 of the 2 hip patients who underwent a staged procedure showed recurrent disease. A meta-analysis of t-GCT in hips showed a recurrence rate of 4% with surgical synovectomy and total joint arthroplasty as compared to a rate of 28–50% after treatment with surgical synovectomy alone. The indication for additional arthroplasty depended on the extent of disease and on cartilage damage (Della Valle et al. 2001).

With extensive Dt-GCT, extra-articular lesions are often encountered, e.g. in the head of one of the gastrocnemius muscles (Figure 2). In these cases, all pathological tissue should be removed before joint arthroplasty, to reduce the risk of residual and/or recurrent disease. To ensure removal of all pathological tissue, before arthroplasty a staged procedure should be considered in these difficult-to-cure patients.

Complications

We had 2 cases of aseptic loosening 5 and 6 years after arthroplasty, but not recurrent disease. Hamlin et al. (1998) described 18 patients who underwent TKA, 14 with Dt-GCT and 4 with Lt-GCT. There were 3 cases of aseptic loosening, 1 of them with recurrent disease. Another patient with recurrent disease needed an above-the-knee amputation.

Other complications, such as stiffness requiring manipulation and neuropathic pain requiring neurolysis, have been reported in patients undergoing arthroplasty (Healy et al. 2013). However, this patient group is possibly more prone to develop these kinds of complications from limited joint function preoperatively, due to the disease itself or to previously received treatments (Verspoor et al. 2014).

Quality of life

In 5 patients, we found reduced QoL scores compared to the general population (VanderZee et al. 1996). Recently, 2 other publications had QoL scores for t-GCT patients (van der Heijden et al. 2014, Verspoor et al. 2014) but these scores were not reported for t-GCT patients treated with arthroplasty.

Joint function

We found reduced joint function after arthroplasty in 5 of the 12 t-GCT knee patients, mainly due to stiffness (Table 6, see Supplementary data). It has been reported that preoperative joint function is a predictor of postoperative TKA function (Jones et al. 2003). In general, reduced preoperative joint function in t-GCT patients is related to disease severity or the often multiple treatments in the past (Chiari et al. 2006). Similar results have been reported for postoperative hip function (Fortina et al. 2005). However, 4 out of 5 of our hip arthroplasty patients had excellent Harris hip scores. Gitelis et al.
and interpreted the results. The manuscript preparation and editing was done by FGMV and GH. FGMV and GH performed the data analysis.

Different implants used should not go unnoticed. It is difficult to eradicate. However, early joint arthroplasty might result in higher revision rates.

It may also reduce the chances of recurrent t-GCT, as the disease is less extensive and therefore easier to eradicate. However, early joint arthroplasty might result in higher revision rates.

**Limitations**

The retrospective character of this study, the small number of patients, the different indications for arthroplasty, and the different implants used should not go unnoticed. It is difficult to perform a prospective study with adequate patient numbers, because of the low incidence of t-GCT and the years of delay before local recurrence may occur. Complete information regarding subtypes, location, arthroplasty, and other treatments was available, including long-term follow-up. Because of this, the information could possibly be used in future analyses to obtain evidence regarding arthroplasty in the treatment of t-GCT. Furthermore, patients with localized t-GCT as an incidental finding should be differentiated from those with diffuse recurrent and extensive t-GCT, as localized disease behaves less aggressively.

It should also be noticed that QoL and function scores were taken at variable points after arthroplasty. To our knowledge, this is the first study to report QoL measures in t-GCT patients who underwent arthroplasty. QoL is important in a disease as disabling as t-GCT. Further studies should investigate whether or not the reduced QoL in this specific patient group is a consequence of the disease itself, of the arthroplasty, of the multiple treatment(s) received, or of other factors, such as comorbidities or issues not related to disease.

In summary, in t-GCT patients with extensive disease or osteoarthritis, arthroplasty is an additional treatment option after surgical synovectomy. However, recurrences, implant loosening, and other complications do occur, even after years of follow-up.

**Supplementary data**

Tables 3–6 are available on the website of Acta Orthopaedica at www.actaorthop.org, identification number 10006.

The study concept and design were developed by FGMV and HWBS. AS and FGMV carried out the data acquisition. The quality control of data and algorithms was by FGMV and GH. FGMV and GH performed the data analysis and interpreted the results. The manuscript preparation and editing was done by FGMV. All the authors reviewed and approved the final manuscript.
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