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Recurrent mutations in genes involved in nuclear factor-κB signalling in nodal marginal zone lymphoma—diagnostic and therapeutic implications

Aims: To investigate the spectrum of mutations in 20 genes involved in B-cell receptor and/or Toll-like receptor signalling resulting in activation of nuclear factor-κB (NF-κB) in 20 nodal marginal zone lymphomas (NMZLs), 20 follicular lymphomas (FLs), and 11 cases of B-cell lymphoma, unclassifiable (BCL-u).

Methods and results: Nodal marginal zone lymphomas were diagnosed according to strict criteria, including the expression of at least one putative marginal zone marker (MNDA and/or IRTA1). Cases that showed features of NMZL but did not fulfil all criteria were included as BCL-u. All FLs were required to have a BCL2 rearrangement. Mutations were found in: nine NMZLs, with recurrent mutations in TNFAIP3 and CD79B; 12 FLs, with recurrent mutations in TNFRSF14, TNFAIP3, and CARD11; and five cases of BCL-u, with recurrent mutations in TNFRSF14. TNFRSF14 mutations were present in FL and BCL-u, but not in any of the NMZLs. In the BCL-u group, TNFRSF14 mutations clustered with a FL immunophenotype.

Conclusions: These results suggest that TNFRSF14 mutations point towards a diagnosis of FL, and can be used in the sometimes difficult distinction between NMZL and FL, but to apply this in diagnostics would require confirmation in an independent cohort. In addition, the presence or absence of specific mutations in pathways converging on NF-κB could be important for decisions regarding targeted treatment.
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Introduction

Nodal marginal zone lymphoma (NMZL) is a rare type of low-grade B-cell non-Hodgkin lymphoma that is currently defined as a primary nodal B-cell neoplasm resembling lymph node involvement by splenic or extranodal marginal zone lymphoma, but without evidence of splenic or extranodal disease.1 A diagnosis of NMZL is complicated by the fact that little is known about its pathogenesis, which is reflected by a lack of routinely used positive markers for a diagnosis of NMZL. Therefore, NMZL is often a diagnosis of exclusion, resulting in a heterogeneous disease...
NF-κB mutations in nodal marginal zone lymphoma

P A T I E N T  S E L E C T I O N

Thirty-one cases of possible NMZL and 20 cases of FL diagnosed between 2003 and 2014 were collected from the archive of the Department of Pathology at the Radboud University Medical Centre (Nijmegen, the Netherlands).

This study was reviewed and approved by the local ethical committee (reference number 2011/270).

Clinically, for a diagnosis of NMZL, patients were required to present with nodal disease without extranodal involvement other than the bone marrow. Also, a clinical presentation with Waldenström macroglobulinaemia was an exclusion criterion. The morphological criteria for NMZL were used as previously reported.6

For immunohistochemistry, all lymphomas were stained with an extensive panel of germinal centre markers (CD10, bcl-6, LMO2, and HGAL) and putative marginal zone markers (MNDA and IRTA1). As recently described, we used these markers to classify the tumour, according to an algorithm, into one of three categories: (i) NMZL, for lymphomas expressing fewer than two germinal centre markers and either MNDA or IRTA1; (ii) FL, for lymphomas expressing all four germinal centre markers or expressing two or three germinal centre markers in the absence of MNDA and IRTA1; and (iii) low-grade BCL-u, for lymphomas not fitting into one of the two categories described above.6 To be included as NMZL in this study, an algorithm result of 'NMZL' was required.

Finally, the presence of a BCL2 translocation was excluded in all NMZLs by the use of fluorescence in-
situ hybridization (FISH) with a split-probe approach. FISH experiments were performed as described previously, by use of a similar protocol with split probes against BCL2 (Dako Y5407; Dako, Glostrup, Denmark) or BCL6 (Dako Y5408; Dako).6

From the 31 cases of possible NMZL that were selected from the archive, 20 could be included as NMZL in the study after application of the strict criteria indicated above. The other 11 cases fulfilled the clinical, morphological and cytogenetic criteria for NMZL, but were not classified as ‘NMZL’ by the immunohistochemical algorithm. These cases were included in the study as BCL-u. The clinical features of patients with NMZL, FL and BCL-u are shown in Table 1.

**NEXT-GENERATION SEQUENCING**

A custom Ion AmpliSeq panel (Life Technologies, Bleiswijk, the Netherlands) was used, consisting of 410 primer combinations, covering the entire coding sequence or specific regions of interest of 20 genes involved in TLR–BCR–NF-κB signalling (Table S1), achieving a theoretical coverage of 94.4% of the defined targets (Table S2).

Amplicon libraries were generated in two pools with 20 ng of DNA input per pool, according to the manufacturer’s instructions (Life Technologies). Sequencing was performed on an Ion Personal Genome Machine 200 (Life Technologies), with one Ion 318 chip per four DNA samples. Figure S1 shows the percentage of amplicons reaching different depths of coverage.

Sequences were analysed with the **SEQNEXT** software package (JSI Medical Systems, Kippenheim, Germany). Variants were called if the absolute number of variant reads was ≥25, if the ratio of forward versus reverse read directions did not exceed 30%/70%, and if the variant constituted at least 10% of the

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 1. Patient characteristics</th>
<th>NMZL (n = 20)</th>
<th>BCL-u (n = 11)</th>
<th>FL (n = 20)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Male/female (n)</td>
<td>12:8</td>
<td>6:5</td>
<td>12:8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Median age (years)</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>56</td>
<td>59</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tumour localization, n (%)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nodal</td>
<td>20 (100)</td>
<td>11 (100)</td>
<td>19 (95)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Extranodal</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
<td>1 (5) (parotid gland)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ann Arbor stage, n (%)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I</td>
<td>6 (30)</td>
<td>2 (18)</td>
<td>1 (5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>II</td>
<td>2 (10)</td>
<td>3 (27)</td>
<td>3 (15)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>III</td>
<td>3 (15)</td>
<td>2 (18)</td>
<td>3 (15)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IV</td>
<td>6 (30)</td>
<td>2 (18)</td>
<td>11 (55)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unknown</td>
<td>3 (15)</td>
<td>2 (18)</td>
<td>2 (10)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B-symptoms, n (%)</td>
<td>1/14 (7)</td>
<td>1/10 (10)</td>
<td>2/18 (11)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bone marrow involvement, n (%)</td>
<td>7/15 (47)</td>
<td>1/10 (10)</td>
<td>10/16 (63)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Concurrent diffuse large B-cell lymphoma at diagnosis, n (%)</td>
<td>1 (5)</td>
<td>1 (9)</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Transformation during follow-up, n (%)</td>
<td>2/16 (13)</td>
<td>0/10 (0)</td>
<td>7/19 (37)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grade, n (%)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1–2</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>14 (70)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3A</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>5 (25)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3B</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>1 (5)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

BCL-u, B-cell lymphoma, unclassifiable; FL, follicular lymphoma; NA, not applicable; NMZL, nodal marginal zone lymphoma.

© 2016 The Authors. Histopathology Published by John Wiley & Sons Ltd, Histopathology, 70, 174–184.
reads. Intronic mutations, mutations in untranslated regions and silent mutations were filtered out by adaptation of the software settings. Variants were called by the software, but variants that were also present in reactive lymph nodes were considered to be single-nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs) or artifacts, and were excluded. For missense mutations, in-silico pathogenicity predictions were performed with PolyPhen 2.0 (http://genetics.bwh.harvard.edu/pph2/), Align-GVGD, and SIFT, by use of the Alamut software package (Version 2.1; Interactive Biosoftware, Rouen, France). Variants that were predicted not to be pathogenic by all prediction programs were considered to be benign, and excluded. Mutations were confirmed by conventional Sanger chain-terminating sequencing in duplicate.

**Statistical analysis**

Data were analysed with IBM SPSS Statistics version 20 (IBM, Armonk, NY, USA). Pearson’s chi-square test was used for comparison of categorical variables. Survival analysis was performed by the use of Kaplan–Meier statistics with log-rank tests. Overall survival was defined as the time from date of diagnosis until the time of last follow-up or death. Event-free survival was defined as the time from date of diagnosis until the time of last follow-up or an adverse event (disease progression, relapse, second tumour, or death from any cause). Two-tailed P-values of ≤0.05 were considered to be statistically significant.

**Results**

**Recurrent Mutations in Genes Involved in BCR–TLR–NF-κB Signalling**

In NMZL, nine of 20 cases (45%) showed mutations in one or more of the genes tested, and in FL 12 of 20 cases (60%) showed mutations, but the genes involved were different.

In NMZL, mutations were most frequently observed in TNFAIP3 (six cases, 30%) and CD79B (two cases, 10%) (Figure 1A; Table 2). In single cases, mutations were observed in CARD11, MYD88, and TNIP2. In FL, mutations in TNFRSF14 were most frequent (five cases, 25%), followed by TNFAIP3 (three cases, 15%), and CARD11 (three cases, 15%) (Figure 1B; Table 3). Mutations in CD79B, MAP3K3 and MAP3K7 were observed in single cases.

In the BCL-u group, mutations in TNFRSF14 were most frequent, being present in four of 11 cases (36%) (Figure 1C; Table 4). Single mutations were observed in TNFAIP3, TRAF3 and TRAF7 in this group. In all but one case, mutations in TNFAIP3 and TNFRSF14 were mutually exclusive.

Mutations in TNFAIP3 or TNFRSF14 did not significantly correlate with disease stage, the presence of B-symptoms, overall survival, or progression-free survival (data not shown).

**TNFRSF14 Mutations Cluster with Diagnosis**

With the strict criteria for NMZL used in this study, TNFRSF14 mutations were not observed in any of the NMZLs. In contrast, TNFRSF14 was the most frequently mutated gene in both the FL group and the BCL-u group, being present in 25% and 36%, respectively.

**Clinicopathological Differences Between NMZL and BCL-U**

To investigate additional differences between the NMZL and BCL-u groups, we compared the clinicopathological features of these two groups. NMZLs showed a trend towards more frequent bone marrow involvement, with bone marrow involvement in eight of 15 (53%) patients with NMZL, but in only one of 10 (10%) patients with BCL-u (P = 0.054).

Rearrangements involving BCL6 were observed in four of 11 (36%) cases of BCL-u, but in only one of 19 (5%) NMZLs (P = 0.028; Figure 1). No clear differences were observed in disease stage, the presence of B-symptoms, or rate of transformation (Table 1). Overall and event-free survival were also similar between the two groups (Figure 2).

**Discussion**

NF-κB transcription factors have important roles in multiple cellular processes, including cell survival, maturation, response to stress, and immune responses. Not surprisingly, NF-κB signalling has a role in oncogenesis; many solid tumours and haematological malignancies show activated NF-κB, making it an interesting treatment target. Indeed, therapeutic agents that target BCR–TLR–NF-κB signalling (including bortezomib, carfilzomib, lenalidomide, ibrutinib, and idelalisib) are increasingly becoming available. To select patients who will benefit from targeted treatment, it will be important to have information on mutations in these pathways. This has been most extensively studied in diffuse large B-cell lymphoma (DLBCL), in which ibrutinib...
was shown to be more effective in patients with the activated B-cell-like subtype of DLBCL than in those with the germinal centre B-cell-like subtype. This can be explained by the frequent presence of mutations that activate BCR signalling in activated B-cell-like DLBCL, including mutations in CD79A/CD79B, CARD11, and MYD88. Interestingly, MYD88 mutations in the absence of CD79A/CD79B mutations predicted resistance to ibrutinib, which can be explained by the fact that MYD88 activates NF-κB independently of BTK. However, tumours with MYD88 mutations in combination with CD79A/CD79B mutations did respond to ibrutinib, which cannot be readily explained by known signalling pathways.

In this study, we focused on mutations in genes involved in NF-κB signalling in NMZL, the rationale being that an NF-κB signature has been shown in NMZL, and that other marginal zone lymphomas.

---

Figure 1. Mutations detected in nodal marginal zone lymphoma (NMZL) (A), follicular lymphoma (FL) (B), and B-cell lymphoma, unclassifiable (BCL-u) (C). For a diagnosis of NMZL, both the morphological features and the immunohistochemical algorithm result supported this diagnosis. If the morphological features were consistent with NMZL, but the immunohistochemical algorithm did not support this diagnosis, the case was classified as BCL-u. All cases included as FL carried a BCL2 rearrangement. Mutations are indicated in red. The result from the immunohistochemical algorithm is indicated in the row labelled ‘algorithm’, with a result consistent with FL as ‘F’ and a result of BCL-u as ‘U’. For all cases included as NMZL in this figure, the immunohistochemical algorithm showed a result consistent with NMZL. The final rows of (A) and (C) show the presence of a BCL6 rearrangement in red, which was studied with fluorescence in-situ hybridization.
are also characterized by lesions in genes involved in NF-κB signalling. Importantly, for a case to be included as NMZL, it had to fulfil strict criteria, including immunohistochemical expression of at least one putative marginal zone marker (Mnda and/or IRTA1). For comparison, we studied 20 cases of typical FL, all with a BCL2 rearrangement. Finally, we included 11 unclassifiable cases that had been diagnosed as possible NMZL in routine diagnostics, but could not fulfil the strict criteria used for NMZL in this study.

Overall, we found fewer mutations in NMZLs than in FLs, and the patterns were different. TNFAIP3 mutations were the most frequent abnormalities detected in NMZLs. TNFAIP3 mutations have been reported previously in lymphomas, including marginal zone lymphomas, FL, and DLBCL. Under normal circumstances, TNFAIP3...
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Gene</th>
<th>Sample</th>
<th>Mutation</th>
<th>Allelic frequency (%)</th>
<th>Pathogenicity description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>TNFAIP3</strong></td>
<td>2024</td>
<td>c.477T&gt;A p.(Tyr159*)</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>77</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2003</td>
<td>c.2090_2091del p.(Arg697Ilefs*54)</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2048</td>
<td>c.190_191delinsGA p.(Ile64Asp)</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>54</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>c.101A&gt;G p.(Asn34Ser)</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>56</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>TNFRSF14</strong></td>
<td>2002</td>
<td>c.36G&gt;A p.(Trp12*)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2009</td>
<td>c.423C&gt;G p.(Tyr141*)</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>43</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2049</td>
<td>c.71T&gt;A p.(Val24Glu)</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>c.103T&gt;C p.(Tyr35His)</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2012</td>
<td>c.552G&gt;C p.(Lys184Asn)</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>47</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>c.334T&gt;C p.(Ser112Pro)</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>CARD11</strong></td>
<td>2010</td>
<td>c.644A&gt;T p.(Lys215Met)</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>39</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2018</td>
<td>c.746A&gt;C p.(Gln249Pro)</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>43</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2049</td>
<td>c.752T&gt;C p.(Leu251Pro)</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>37</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>CD79B</strong></td>
<td>2013</td>
<td>c.617_618del p.(Thr206Ilefs*2)</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>28</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
functions as an inhibitor of NF-κB signalling at multiple levels. Loss-of-function mutations cause increased activation of NF-κB, resulting in reduced apoptosis and cell proliferation.\textsuperscript{28,29} In FL, TNFAIP3 mutations have been reported in association with transformation.\textsuperscript{27}

TNFRSF14 was the most frequently mutated gene in both FL and BCL-u. TNFRSF14 is a member of the TNF receptor superfamily, and triggering of TNFRSF14 has been suggested to render B cells more susceptible to FAS-induced apoptosis.\textsuperscript{30,31} In line with this, TNFRSF14 can act as a tumour suppressor in a mechanism whereby mutations cause loss of function and evasion of T-cell-mediated immune surveillance. TNFRSF14 mutations have been reported in 18–46\% of FLs in previous studies and in 22\% of DLBCLs.\textsuperscript{31–33} We found a lower percentage of mutated cases (16\%), which can be explained by the fact that, in contrast to earlier studies, we did not investigate copy number changes.

TNFRSF14 mutations were found frequently in FL, but not in any of the NMZLs when strict criteria for the latter diagnosis were used. These results suggest that TNFRSF14 mutations do not constitute a feature of NMZL, and that the presence of these mutations can be of diagnostic help in ruling out a diagnosis of NMZL. Furthermore, the fact that TNFRSF14 mutations were frequent in the difficult category of BCL-u is promising, because these lymphomas are difficult to distinguish from FL. All but one case of BCL-u with a TNFRSF14 mutation showed an FL immunophenotype, suggesting that TNFRSF14 mutations point towards a diagnosis of FL. This is of potential diagnostic use in lymphomas in which differentiation between NMZL and FL is difficult, but the numbers are small, and these results should be confirmed in an independent cohort before their use in diagnostics.

BCL6 rearrangements were found in only a single case of NMZL, but in four of 11 cases of BCL-u. Although the numbers are small, this suggests that BCL6 rearrangements are rare in strictly defined NMZL.

Interestingly, the association between the absence of BCL2 translocations in FL and deletions of the chromosomal region containing TNFRSF14 (1p36) has been reported in patients who typically present with low-stage inguinal disease with morphologically diffuse FL.\textsuperscript{14} A direct comparison is difficult, however, because, in the current study, we looked at mutations rather than deletions of TNFRSF14, which were also frequently detected in FLs with a BCL2 translocation.

In NMZL and BCL-u, single mutations were detected in CARD11, MYD88, TNIP2, TRAF3, and TRAF7. MYD88 is of particular interest, as the L265P mutation in MYD88 has been described in the large majority of lymphoplasmacytic lymphomas (LPLs). The single NMZL in our series with a MYD88 mutation showed no plasmacytic differentiation. Also, the patient did not present with a clinical syndrome of hyperviscosity, fitting Waldenström

\textit{Table 3}. (Continued)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Gene</th>
<th>Sample</th>
<th>Mutation\textsuperscript{a}</th>
<th>Exon</th>
<th>Allelic frequency (%)</th>
<th>Pathogenicity description\textsuperscript{b}</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>MAP3K3</td>
<td>2014</td>
<td>c.1616T&gt;G p.(Phe539Cys)</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>Oncogene/tumour suppressor gene not known, missense mutation, 2/3 prediction programs scores predict deleterious mutation \textrightarrow; possibly pathogenic</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MAP3K7</td>
<td>2001</td>
<td>c.681A&gt;T p.(Lys227Asn)</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>57</td>
<td>Oncogene/tumour suppressor gene not known, missense mutation, 2/3 prediction programs scores predict deleterious mutation \textrightarrow; possibly pathogenic</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\textsuperscript{a}Numbering according to: NM_001270508.1/ENST000000612899 (TNFAIP3); NM_0003820.2/ENST00000355716 (TNFRSF14); NM_0032415.5/ENST00000396964 (CARD11); NM_000626.2/ENST0000006750 (CD79B); NM_203351.1/ENST00000361357 (MAP3K3); NM_145331.1/ENST00000369329 (MAP3K7).

\textsuperscript{b}ALIGN-GVGD: Grantham Variation Grantham Deviation; risk classes are C0, C15, C25, C35, C45, C55, and C65, with higher classes indicating a higher risk of a damaging mutation. SIFT: Sorts Intolerant From Tolerant; scores range from 0 to 1, with a score of \leq 0.05 predicted to be damaging. POLYPHEN 2.0: scores ranging from 0 to 1, with higher scores predicted to be more damaging.
macroglobulinemia. We therefore believe that it is justified to classify this lymphoma as an NMZL with a MYD88 mutation. However, the distinction between LPL and NMZL with a MYD88 mutation remains difficult, as was emphasized during the 2014 meeting of the European Association for Haematopathology/Society for Hematopathology meeting, in which rare cases of NMZL with a MYD88 mutation were recognized.35

In this study, we used a targeted approach, following on from the hypothesis that reported mutations in genes involved in NF-κB signalling could also have a role in NMZL. This targeted approach allowed good coverage of the genes tested, but limits our results to this gene set only. It is likely that other mutations are also important in NMZL pathogenesis, and, accordingly, a recent study that combined whole exome sequencing, deep sequencing of tumour-related genes, a high-resolution SNP array and RNA sequencing detected frequent abnormalities in JAK–STAT, NOTCH, NF-κB and TLR signalling, including frequent mutations in MLL2, PTPRD, and NOTCH2.16

In this study, among other genes, recurrent mutations were also detected in TNFAIP3, TNFRSF14, MYD88, BCL10, REL, CARD11, TRAF3, and BIRC3. This highlights the fact that multiple pathways are deregulated in NMZL, further complicating the prediction of responses to different targeted treatments.

The number of different signalling pathways that can be deregulated in lymphomas and the heterogeneity of mutations described already pose a challenge for therapy prediction, and this is further complicated by other factors influencing responses to specific targeted therapies, including the epigenome and the tumour microenvironment. For the NF-κB pathway, for example, activation can be caused by mutations, paracrine signalling, and Epstein–Barr virus infection, and it is possible that patients could

### Table 4. Mutations affecting nuclear factor-κB pathway genes in B-cell lymphoma, unclassifiable

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Gene</th>
<th>Sample no.</th>
<th>Nucleotide changea</th>
<th>Exon</th>
<th>Allelic frequency (%)</th>
<th>Pathogenicity descriptionb</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>TNFAIP3</td>
<td>1064</td>
<td>c.2107_2108del p.(Asp703Cysfs*48)</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>Known tumour suppressor gene, truncating (nonsense) or frameshift mutations → pathogenic</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TNFRSF14</td>
<td>1028</td>
<td>c.283C&gt;T p.(Gln95*)</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>Known tumour suppressor gene, truncating (nonsense) or frameshift mutations → pathogenic</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1023</td>
<td>c.852A&gt;G p.(<em>284Trpext</em>78)</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>65</td>
<td>As above</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1058</td>
<td>c.477_487del p.(Asp159Glufs*71)</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>53</td>
<td>As above</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1020</td>
<td>c.288C&gt;G p.(Cys96Trp)</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>Missense mutation, 3/3 prediction programs predict deleterious effect → probably pathogenic</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TRAF3</td>
<td>1023</td>
<td>c.598G&gt;T p.(Val200Leu)</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>Tumour suppressor gene, 1/3 prediction programs predict possible deleterious effect → possibly pathogenic</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TRAF7</td>
<td>1058</td>
<td>c.352C&gt;A p.(Pro118Thr)</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>51</td>
<td>Oncogene/Tumour suppressor gene not known, 1/3 prediction programs predict possible deleterious effect → possibly pathogenic</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

aNumbering according to: NM_001270508.1/ENST00000612899 (TNFAIP3); NM_003820.2/ENST00000355716 (TNFRSF14); NM_003300.3/ENST00000560371 (TRAF3); NM_032271.2/ENST00000326181 (TRAF7).
bALIGN-GVGD: Grantham Variation Grantham Deviation; risk classes are C0, C15, C25, C35, C45, C55, and C65, with higher classes indicating a higher risk of a damaging mutation. SIFT: Sorts Intolerant From Tolerant; scores range from 0 to 1, with a score of ≤0.05 predicted to be damaging. POLYPHEN 2.0: scores range from 0 to 1, with higher scores predicted to be more damaging.
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benefit from therapy targeting the NF-κB pathway, regardless of the exact mechanism. Therefore, ultimately, it might be necessary to move towards functional assays rather than mutation detection.

In conclusion, we detected frequent but heterogeneous mutations in genes related to BCR–TLR–NF-κB signalling in both NMZL and FL. The strong correlation between TNFRSF14 mutations and an FL immunophenotype is of potential diagnostic use, but confirmation in an independent cohort is warranted. In addition, with the increase in the number of drugs that target pathways that converge on NF-κB, thorough knowledge of the mutational status of a lymphoma will be helpful to guide targeted treatment decisions.
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