**Ab initio** electronic structure calculations of correlated systems: An EMTO-DMFT approach
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We propose a self-consistent method for electronic structure calculations of correlated systems, which combines the local spin-density approximation (LSDA) and the dynamical mean field theory (DMFT). The LSDA part is based on the exact muffin-tin orbital approach, meanwhile the DMFT uses a perturbation scheme that includes the $T$ matrix with fluctuation exchange approximation. The current LSDA+DMFT implementation fulfills both self-energy and charge self-consistency requirements. We present results on the electronic structure calculations for bulk 3$d$ transition metals (Cr, Fe, and Ni) and for Fe/Cr magnetic multilayers. The latter demonstrates the importance of the correlation effects for the properties of magnetic heterostructures.

DOI: 10.1103/PhysRevB.67.235106 PACS number(s): 71.15.−m

**I. INTRODUCTION**

In the *ab initio* description of the electronic properties of materials the most widely used methods are based on the density-functional theory (DFT) (Ref. 1) implemented within the local spin-density approximation (LSDA) (Refs. 2 and 3) to the exchange and correlation energies. Ground-state properties of most metals, semiconductors, ionic compounds, etc., are quantitatively well described by the DFT-LSDA approach. Attempts to apply these first-principles methods to strongly correlated systems, however, encountered many fundamental difficulties.4–6 Even for elemental transition metals, such as Mn, Fe, or Ni, the impact of the correlation effects on the electronic structure turns out to be essential.7 Therefore, one of the most challenging problems in the physics of transition metals, their alloys, and compounds is to develop simple and efficient electronic structure methods that go beyond the LSDA by including important many-body effects.

It has proved to be an efficient approach to combine the simple Hubbard model with the LSDA technique, providing a DFT scheme “beyond LSDA.4–7” Unfortunately, the simplest realization of such an approach, the LSDA+$U$ scheme,4 cannot describe the many-body effects beyond the Hartree-Fock approximation. These effects are connected with the frequency dependence of the electron self-energy. In order to include dynamical effects, the LSDA+$U$ scheme was combined with the *dynamical mean-field theory* (DMFT).5,6 The DMFT maps lattice models onto quantum impurity models subject to a self-consistent condition in such a way that the many-body problem for the crystal splits into a one-body impurity problem for the crystal and a many-body problem for an effective atom. In fact, the DMFT, due to numerical and analytical techniques developed to solve the effective impurity problem,8 is a very efficient and extensively used approximation for energy-dependent self-energy $\Sigma(\omega)$. The emerged LSDA+DMFT method can be used for calculating a large number of systems with different strengths of the electronic correlations.7,9,10 To stress on the importance of the complete LSDA+DMFT self-consistency, we mention that the first successful attempt to combine the DMFT with the LSDA charge self-consistency provided an important insight into a long-standing problem of phase diagram and localization in $f$-electron systems.11

To incorporate the dynamical mean-field approach into the band-structure calculation, we adopt the *exact muffin-tin orbital* (EMTO) density-functional method. The EMTO theory can be considered as a screened Korringa-Kohn-Rostoker (KKR) muffin-tin method, where large overlapping potential spheres are used for the accurate representation of the LSDA one-electron potential. A comprehensive description of the EMTO theory and its implementation within the LSDA may be found in Refs. 12–14, respectively.

The paper is organized as follows. Section II presents a general formulation of the combined multiple-scattering and dynamical mean-field approach. The calculation scheme in Sec. III illustrates the multiple-scattering solution of the LSDA problem via the EMTO method and the many-body solution of the DMFT problem via the $T$-matrix fluctuation exchange (FLEX) approach. First-principles results obtained from the EMTO-DMFT calculations are discussed in Sec. IV. The paper is summarized in Sec. V.

**II. FORMULATION OF THE PROBLEM**

The density-functional theory reformulates the $N$-electron problem into a one-electron problem by considering a non-interacting system, where each electron “feels” an effective potential $v^{\text{eff}}_{\text{eff}}(r)$ created by the rest of the electrons and the external fields. Thus, within the DFT the solution of the original inhomogeneous system is constructed from the one-electron Kohn-Sham equations

$$[ -\nabla^2 + v^{\text{eff}}_{\text{eff}}(r)]\Psi^\text{e}(\mathbf{r}) = \epsilon \Psi^\text{e}(\mathbf{r}),$$  

(1)
where $\sigma$ stands for spin. The many-body part of the effective potential $\mu^\sigma_{\text{eff}}(r)$ is an unknown functional of the spin densities $n^\sigma(r) = \sum_i |\Psi^\sigma(\epsilon, r)|^2$. The most commonly adopted approach for $\mu^\sigma_{\text{eff}}(r)$ is the LSDA, where the effect of interactions between electrons is taken into account by substituting locally the real system by the uniform electron gas with the density equal to the actual density at point $r$. In this paper, we will not distinguish between the different specific forms of the LSDA.

In order to include the many-body correlation effects beyond the LSDA we substitute the Kohn-Sham equation (1) by the quasiparticle equation

$$[-\nabla^2 + v_c^\sigma(\mathbf{r})] \Phi^\sigma(\epsilon, \mathbf{r}) + \sum_{Rlm'} |Rlm\sigma\rangle \Sigma^\sigma_{Rlm,Rlm'}(\epsilon) \times \langle Rlm'\sigma| \Phi^\sigma(\epsilon, \mathbf{r})] = \epsilon \Phi^\sigma(\epsilon, \mathbf{r}),$$

where $R$, $l$, and $m$ denote the lattice sites, the orbital and quantum numbers, the magnetic quantum number, respectively. $|Rlm\sigma\rangle$ are localized orthonormal basis functions, e.g., the partial waves for the correlated $l$ channels. In Eq. (2), the correlation effects are treated at the DMFT level, where the essential many-body self-energy $\Sigma^\sigma_{Rlm,Rlm'}(\epsilon)$ is a local, energy-dependent, and multiiorbital potential.

Note that Eqs. (1) and (2) are formulated in terms of wave functions. Consequently, the DMFT method has already been implemented in several techniques based on wave-function formalism, such as the linear muffin-tin orbital method. The main aim of the present work is to include the many-body correlation effects, approximated by means of the DMFT, in the above-mentioned multiple-scattering approach. We note that for a general nonlocal energy-dependent potential, the multiple-scattering theory offers a solution known as the optical potential. However, the optical potential is far too complex to be used in realistic computation. Nevertheless, it is proved that the nonlocal potential could be transformed into a one-particle energy-dependent operator such that it satisfies a similar one-particle equation with a local and energy-independent potential.

### III. THE CALCULATION SCHEME

#### A. The one-electron Green’s function

Within the multiple-scattering formalism, the one-electron Green’s function is defined for an arbitrary complex energy $\epsilon$ as

$$\left[\epsilon - \nabla^2 + v_c^\sigma(\mathbf{r})\right]G^\sigma(\epsilon, \mathbf{r}, \mathbf{r'}, \epsilon) = \delta(\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{r'}).$$

For most of the applications, e.g., the standard KKR or linear MTO (LMTO) methods, the LSDA effective potential from Eq. (3) is approximated by spherical muffin-tin (MT) wells centered at lattice site $R$. Within a particular basis set, the one-electron Green’s function is expressed in terms of the so-called scattering path operator $g^\sigma_{RL,RL'}(z)$, as well as the regular $Z^\sigma_{RL}(z, \mathbf{r}_L)$, and THE irregular $J^\sigma_{RL}(z, \mathbf{r}_L)$ solutions to the single-site scattering problem for the cell potential at lattice site $R$, viz.

$$G^\sigma_{\text{LSDA}}(\mathbf{r}_L + \mathbf{R}, \mathbf{r}_L' + \mathbf{R}', z)$$

$$= \sum_{LL'} Z^\sigma_{RL}(z, \mathbf{r}_L) g^\sigma_{RL,RL'}(z) Z^\sigma_{RL'}(z, \mathbf{r}_L') - \delta_{RR'} \sum_{L} J^\sigma_{RL}(z, \mathbf{r}_L) Z^\sigma_{RL}(z, \mathbf{r}_L),$$

where $L=(l,m)$ with $l<l_{\text{max}}$ (usually, $l_{\text{max}}=3$) and $\mathbf{r}_L = \mathbf{r} - \mathbf{R}$ denotes a point around site $R$. The real-space representation for the scattering path operator for the muffin-tin potential is given by

$$g^\sigma_{RL,RL'}(z) = \left[ \delta_{RR'} \delta_{LL'} t^\sigma_{RL}(z) - B_{RL,RL'}(z) \right]^{-1},$$

where $t^\sigma_{RL}(z)$ stands for the single scattering $t$ matrix and $B_{RL,RL'}(z)$ are the elements of the so-called structure constant matrix.

Unfortunately, the MT based KKR or LMTO methods have limited accuracy. The former method uses nonoverlapping spherical muffin-tin potentials and constant potential in the interstitial, while the latter method approximates the system with overlapping atomic sphere and neglects completely the interstitial and the overlap between individual spheres. Recent progress in the field of muffin-tin orbital theory shows that the best possible representation of the full potential in terms of spherical wells may be obtained by using large overlapping muffin-tin wells with exactly treated overlaps. Within this so-called exact muffin-tin orbital method, the scattering path operator is calculated as the inverse of the kink matrix defined by

$$K^\sigma_{RL,RL'}(z) \equiv \delta_{RR'} \delta_{LL'} D^\sigma_{RL}(z) - S_{RL,RL'}(z),$$

where $D^\sigma_{RL}(z)$ denotes the EMTO logarithmic derivative function, and $S_{RL,RL'}(z)$ is the slope matrix. Since the energy derivative of the kink matrix, $K^\sigma_{RL,RL'}(z)$, gives the overlap matrix for the EMTO basis set, the matrix elements of the properly normalized LSDA Green’s function become

$$G^\sigma_{RL,RL'}(z) = \sum_{RL'} g^\sigma_{RL,RL'}(z) K^\sigma_{RL,RL'}(z)$$

$$- \delta_{RR'} \delta_{LL'} t^\sigma_{RL}(z),$$

where $t^\sigma_{RL}(z)$ accounts for the unphysical poles of $K^\sigma_{RL,RL'}(z)$. In the case of translation invariance, Eqs. (6) and (7) can be transformed into the reciprocal space, so that the lattice index $R$ runs over the atoms in the primitive cell only, and the slope matrix, the kink matrix, and the path operator depend on the Bloch wave vector $\mathbf{k}$. In this case, the total number of states at the Fermi level $E_F$ is obtained as
\[
N(E_F) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \sum_{RL, R'L'} \oint_{BZ} G^\sigma_{RL,R'L'}(k,z) dk dz,
\]
where the energy integral includes the Fermi distribution. The \(k\) integral is performed over the first Brillouin zone, while the \(z\) integral is carried out on a complex contour that cuts the real axis below the bottom of the valence band and at \(E_F\).

B. DMFT Green’s function and effective medium

Green’s function

To incorporate the many-body effects into the Green’s function technique we start with the LSDA Green’s function matrix \(\Gamma^\sigma_{RL,R'L'}(z)\) given in Eq. (7) expressed in the EMTO basis set. The LSDA +DMFT Green’s function \(\tilde{G}^\sigma_{RL,R'L'}(k,z)\), defined for Bloch vector \(k\) and energy \(z\), is connected to the one-electron LSDA Green’s function through the Dyson equation

\[
[G^\sigma_{RL,R'L'}(k,z)]^{-1} = [\tilde{G}^\sigma_{RL,R'L'}(k,z)]^{-1} - \delta_{RL,R'L'} \Sigma^\sigma_{RL,RL}(z).
\]

The local self-energy \(\Sigma^\sigma_{RL,RL}(z)\) in Eq. (9) depends on the so-called effective medium or bath Green’s function \(\Gamma^\sigma_{RL,R'L'}(z)\). This, in turn, is calculated from the \(k\)-integrated LSDA +DMFT Green’s function \(\tilde{G}^\sigma_{RL,R'L'}(z)\) as

\[
[G^\sigma_{RL,R'L'}(k,z)]^{-1} = [\tilde{G}^\sigma_{RL,R'L'}(k,z)]^{-1} + \delta_{RL,R'L'} \Sigma^\sigma_{RL,RL}(z).
\]

To find the local self-energy we use a spin-polarized \(T\) matrix plus fluctuation exchange (SPTF) approximation.20 The many-body problem is solved on the Matsubara contour, defined by the fermionic frequencies \(\omega_n = (2n + 1)\pi T\), where \(n = 0, \pm 1, \ldots\), and \(T\) is the temperature. A Padé analytical continuation is used to map between the complex energies \(z\) used in the EMTO iterations, and the complex energies \(i\omega_n\), corresponding to the Matsubara frequencies and expressed relative to the Fermi level \(E_F\). Next, we describe the solution of the effective impurity problem.

C. The solution of effective impurity problem

The many-body problem is solved using the SPTF method proposed in Ref. 20, which is a development of the earlier approach.22 The SPTF approximation is a multiband spin-polarized generalization of the FLEX approximation (FLEX) of Bickers and Scalapino, but with a different treatment of particle-hole (PH) and particle-particle (PP) channels. The PP channel is described by a \(T\)-matrix approach,23 giving a renormalization of the effective interaction. This effective interaction is used explicitly in the particle-hole channel. Justifications, further developments, and details of this scheme can be found in Ref. 20. Here, we present the final expressions for the electron self-energy. The sum over the ladder graphs leads to the replacement of the bare electron-electron interaction by the \(T\) matrix which obeys the integral equation

\[
\langle 13|T^{\sigma\sigma'}(i\Omega)|24\rangle = \langle 13|\nu|24\rangle - 7 \sum_{\omega} \sum_{\omega_0} G^\sigma_{50}(i\omega) G^\sigma_{78}(i\Omega - i\omega)
\times \langle 68|T^{\sigma\sigma'}(i\Omega)|24\rangle,
\]

where the matrix elements of the screened Coulomb interaction, \(\langle 13|\nu|24\rangle\), are expressed using the average Coulomb and exchange energies \(U\) and \(J\). In this section, for the sake of simplicity, we use the short notation \(1 = RLm\). In the following, we write the perturbation expansion for interaction (11). The two contributions to the self-energy are obtained by replacing the bare interaction by a \(T\) matrix in the Hartree and Fock terms

\[
\Sigma^{\sigma,TH}_{12}(i\omega) = T \sum_{\Omega} \sum_{34\sigma'} \langle 13|T^{\sigma\sigma'}(i\Omega)|24\rangle G^\sigma_{54}(i\Omega - i\omega),
\]

\[
\Sigma^{\sigma,TF}_{12}(i\omega) = -7 \sum_{\Omega} \sum_{34\sigma'} \langle 14|T^{\sigma\sigma'}(i\Omega)|32\rangle G^\sigma_{34}(i\Omega - i\omega).
\]

The four matrix elements of the bare longitudinal susceptibility represent the density-density (dd), density-magnetic (\(dm^0\)), magnetic-density (\(m^0d\)), and magnetic-magnetic channels (\(m^0m^0\)). The matrix elements couple longitudinal magnetic fluctuation with density-magnetic fluctuation. In this case, the particle-hole contribution to the self-energy is written in the Fourier-transform form

\[
\Sigma^{\sigma,PH}_{12}(\tau) = \sum_{34\sigma'} W^{\sigma\sigma'}_{34}(\tau) G^\sigma_{34}(\tau),
\]

\(\tau\) being the imaginary time. The particle-hole fluctuation potential matrix \(W^{\sigma\sigma'}(i\omega)\) is defined in the FLEX approximation by

\[
W^{\sigma\sigma'}(i\omega) = \begin{pmatrix}
W^{\uparrow\downarrow} & W^{\uparrow\downarrow}
\end{pmatrix},
\]

We emphasize that all of the above expressions for the self-energy, in the spirit of the DMFT approach, involve the Weiss (bath) Green’s function (10). The total self-energy is obtained from Eqs. (12) and (13) as

\[
\Sigma^\sigma(i\omega) = \Sigma^{\sigma,TH}(i\omega) + \Sigma^{\sigma,TF}(i\omega) + \Sigma^{\sigma,PH}(i\omega).
\]

Since the LSDA Green’s function already contains the average electron-electron interaction, in Eqs. (9) and (10) the static part of the self-energy \(\Sigma^\sigma(0)\) is not included, i.e., we have

\[
\Sigma^\sigma(i\omega) = \Sigma^\sigma(i\omega) - \Sigma^\sigma(0).
\]

D. The charge self-consistency loop within the EMTO-DMFT scheme

After the self-energy \(\Sigma^\sigma_{RL,RL}(z)\) is determined as the self-consistent solution of the effective impurity problem, the
many-body LSDA+DMFT Green’s function $G_{RL,R' L'}(k;z)$ is calculated using Eq. (9). The EMTO-DMFT number of states at the Fermi level is given by the multicenter expression (8), written for the LSDA+DMFT Green’s function.

The charge and spin densities in the EMTO formalism are represented in the one-center form around each lattice site $R$, i.e.,

$$n^{\sigma}(r) = \sum_{RL} n^{\sigma}_{RL}(E_F) Y_{RL}(r),$$

where $Y_{RL}(r)$ are the real harmonics. Inside the Wigner-Seitz cell the partial components $n^{\sigma}_{RL}(r)$ are expressed in terms of the density matrix $D_{RL,R' L'}^{\sigma}(z)$ as

$$n^{\sigma}_{RL}(r) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \oint_{c_{RL,R' L'}} C_{RL,R' L'}^{\sigma}(z,r) \times D_{RL,R' L'}^{\sigma}(z) Z_{RL}^{\sigma}(z,r) dz,$$

where $C_{RL,R' L'}^{\sigma}$ are the real harmonic Gaunt coefficients. The density matrix is obtained from the path operator as described in, e.g., Ref. 14 Within the present EMTO-DMFT scheme, the LSDA+DMFT path operator $G_{RL,R' L'}^{\sigma}(k;z)$ is determined according to Eq. (7) using the LSDA+DMFT Green’s function and the LSDA overlap matrix. Here, we implicitly make the assumption that the LSDA+DMFT Green’s function can be expanded on the same basis set as the one-electron Green’s function. In other words, instead of the solutions of Eq. (2) for a single scatterer, we use the LSDA single-site solutions of Eq. (1) for a single scatterer to express the LSDA+DMFT Green’s function in the same form, as we express the one-electron Green’s function in Eq. (4).

Finally, for charge self-consistent calculation, we construct the new LSDA effective potential from the spin and charge densities $n(r) = n^\uparrow(r) + n^\downarrow(r)$. The Poisson’s equation is solved using the spherical cell approximation, and the exchange and correlation terms are calculated within the LSDA.

IV. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The role of the correlation effects in the electronic structure of 3$d$ transition-metal series is far from being completely understood. In general, spin-polarized band-structure calculations give an adequate description of the ferromagnetic ground state for most of the metals. At the same time, there are obvious evidences of essentially many-body features in photoemission spectra of Fe, Co, and Ni. Few examples are the 6-eV satellite in Ni density of states, broadening of the angle-resolved photoelectron spectroscopy (ARPES) features due to quasiparticle damping, narrowing of the 6 d band, essential change of spin polarization near the Fermi level, etc. Although, there is no direct experimental information yet, one can assume that the many-body effects can also be important in the case of magnetic multilayers and other heterostructures containing transition metals. The importance of correlation effects on transition-metal surfaces has already been demonstrated by the scanning tunnel microscope observation of an orbital Kondo resonance in Cr. Here, we will present results obtained using the EMTO-DMFT method in the case of bulk Ni, Fe, and Cr 3$d$ transition metals and for the Fe/Cr multilayer structure.

A. Numerical details

The self-consistent EMTO-DMFT calculations were carried out for the experimental ground-state crystal structures, i.e., fcc for Ni and bcc for Fe and Cr. The lattice parameters were fixed at the experimental values. The studied Fe/Cr multilayer system has tetragonal (001) structure with one type of Fe atoms and two different types of Cr atoms. This structural setup describes the situation of one Fe layer embedded in few Cr layers. The atoms in the tetragonal unit cell were fixed in the ideal positions, and for the lattice parameters we used the bulk Cr lattice constant. The LSDA Green’s function was calculated for 16 complex energy points distributed exponentially on a semicircular contour. The $k$-point sampling was performed on a uniform grid in the Brillouin zone. For the LSDA energy functional, we used the Perdew-Wang parametrization of the results by Ceperley and Alder. The DMFT parameters, average Coulomb interaction $U$, exchange energy $J$, and temperature $T$ used in the present calculation are listed in the last three columns of Table I.

From the self-consistent density of states (DOS) we have determined the magnetic moment $\mu$ and the electronic specific-heat coefficient $\gamma$. The latter is given by the relation

$$\gamma = \pi^2 k_B^2 N(E_F)(1 + \lambda)/3,$$

where $N(E_F)$ is the electronic DOS at the Fermi level and $1 + \lambda$ is the mass enhancement factor caused by the electron-phonon interaction. This factor in the case of Ni was estimated to be 1.24. The present theoretical results for the self-consistent magnetic moments and electronic specific heats, along with the available experimental data are listed in Table I.

B. Ni

It has been shown that the main peculiarities of the experimental Ni photoemission spectra can be understood within the framework of the LSDA+DMFT approach. An exact quantum Monte Carlo (QMC) solution of the effective impurity problem gives impressive quantitative agreement between the experimental and computational data, both for photoemission spectra and for temperature-dependent magnetic properties. Here, we will show that the perturbative SPTF approach, employed in the present EMTO-DMFT method, also reproduces the main correlations effects beyond LSDA in Ni, e.g., the narrowing of the band, reduction of the exchange splitting, and the appearance of the 6-eV satellite.

The EMTO-DMFT density of states for fcc Ni is shown on Fig. 1. For the present choice of the average Coulomb interaction $U = 3$ eV, the position of the 6-eV satellite is shifted to the lower energy. This shift and the large broadening...
The resonance is due to the perturbative approach of the solver of the effective impurity problem. According to the present result, at $T = 500$ K, i.e., at $T/T_c = 0.8$, the reduction of the exchange splitting relative to the LSDA value is 43%. This is in good agreement with 40% estimated from experimental data corresponding to the same temperature. Our results are also in agreement with ARPES measurements. The EMTO-DMFT magnetic moment of 0.42 $\mu_B$, see Table I, represents a reduction of 30% from the LSDA value. This reduction is comparable with 25% evidenced from experimental magnetic moments.

Apart from the many-body self-consistency, the present implementation fulfills also the charge self-consistency. This allows us to monitor the effect of DMFT on the LSDA charge and magnetic moment densities in the real space. Figure 2 shows the LSDA and LSDA+DMFT magnetic moment densities in Ni along the $\langle 110 \rangle$ direction, which corresponds to the nearest-neighbor distance in the fcc unit cell. Comparing the two densities one can interpret the reduction of the magnetic moment in the LSDA+DMFT approach as a slight narrowing of the real-space extension of the d wave functions.

The energy dependence of the self-energy for Ni, plotted in Fig. 3, near the Fermi level shows the typical Fermi-liquid behavior. For the imaginary part we have $-\text{Im}\Sigma(E) \propto E^2$, whereas the real part of the self-energy has a negative slope $\partial \text{Re}\Sigma(E)/\partial E < 0$, where $E$ is the electron energy relative to the Fermi level.

Within the LSDA for the electronic specific-heat coefficient we obtain 5.43 mJ/K$^2$ mol, which underestimates the experimental value from Ref. 33 by more than 20%. This LSDA value is in good agreement with previous calculations. On the other hand, within the LSDA

### Table I

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Material</th>
<th>$\mu_{\text{LSDA}}$ ($\mu_B$)</th>
<th>$\mu_{\text{DMFT}}$ ($\mu_B$)</th>
<th>$\gamma_{\text{LSDA}}$ (mJ/K$^2$ mol)</th>
<th>$\gamma_{\text{DMFT}}$ (mJ/K$^2$ mol)</th>
<th>$\gamma_{\text{expt.}}$ (mJ/K$^2$ mol)</th>
<th>$T$ (K)</th>
<th>$U$ (eV)</th>
<th>$J$ (eV)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Ni</td>
<td>0.63</td>
<td>0.42</td>
<td>5.43</td>
<td>6.78</td>
<td>7.02$^a$</td>
<td>500</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fe</td>
<td>2.25</td>
<td>2.23</td>
<td>2.43</td>
<td>2.61</td>
<td>3.11,3.69$^b$</td>
<td>325</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cr</td>
<td>2.07</td>
<td>2.88</td>
<td>3.5$^c$</td>
<td>3.84</td>
<td></td>
<td>250</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fe(Cr)</td>
<td>1.72</td>
<td>1.75</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cr(Cr)</td>
<td>-0.05</td>
<td>0.15</td>
<td>6.90$^d$</td>
<td>7.84</td>
<td>8.7$\pm$0.7$^e,d$</td>
<td></td>
<td>300</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ni</td>
<td>0.09</td>
<td>-0.11</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

$^a$Reference 33.
$^b$Reference 37.
$^c$Nonmagnetic Cr, Ref. 39.
$^d$Reference 41.
$^e$Values corresponding to the magnetic multilayer.
DMFT approach the electronic specific-heat coefficient is 6.78 mJ/K² mol, which reduces the discrepancy between theory and experiment by 19%.

C. Fe

In Fig. 4, we compare the LSDA and the LSDA + DMFT density of states for bcc Fe. The present LSDA magnetic moment 2.25μ_B is reduced to 2.23μ_B within the LSDA + DMFT approach. We note that our LSDA magnetic moment is in excellent agreement with the one obtained in the former ab initio calculation.36 The electronic specific-heat coefficient increase from the LSDA value of 2.43 mJ/K² mol to 2.53 mJ/K² mol in the LSDA + DMFT calculation. These values can be compared with the experimental data in the range of 3.11–3.69 mJ/K² mol.37 We can see that in bcc Fe, the correlation effects are much less pronounced than in fcc Ni. This is due to the Fe large spin splitting and the bcc-structural dip in the density of states.26 The energy dependence of the self-energy of Fe, see Fig. 5, corresponds again to the Fermi-liquid behavior, similar to the case of Ni.

The d band exchange splitting in Fe in the LSDA + DMFT is slightly decreased in comparison with the LSDA result. The temperature dependence of the exchange splitting in Fe was determined by spin-resolved photoemission spectroscopy.38 The experimental results show a very weak temperature dependence of the exchange splitting in the temperature range 0.3T_c–0.85T_c, where T_c = 1043 K. Our calculations for three different temperatures T/T_c = 0.3, 0.6, and 0.8 show almost constant d band exchange splitting, in perfect agreement with experiment and previous DMFT(QMC) calculations.7

D. Cr

The effect of correlations in the case of bcc Cr is manifested through a small enhancement of the density of states at the Fermi level, as shown in Fig. 6. The value of the electronic specific-heat coefficient is 2.88 mJ/K² mol, which represents an improvement of about 40% relative to the LSDA value of 2.07 mJ/K² mol. Our LSDA + DMFT result still underestimates the experimental nonmagnetic data of 3.5 mJ/K² mol (Ref. 39) by 15%. Although for the bulk Cr the correlation effects are not very important, one can expect strong correlation effects at Cr surfaces in light of the observation of essentially many-body phenomenon, the orbital Kondo resonance at Cr(001) surface.27

To demonstrate the correlation effect on the real-space charge distribution in Fig. 7, we have plotted the difference of the LSDA + DMFT and the LSDA charge densities in the bcc (110) plane. As one can see the main effect of the DMFT
charge self-consistency is a redistribution of charge density, suggesting a supplementary accumulation of $d$ electrons due to correlation effects inside the muffin-tin spheres and a depletion of density in the interstitial region.

E. Fe/Cr multilayer

In order to test the opportunities of the current LSDA +DMFT approximation further, we have applied this to magnetic heterostructures of alternating magnetic and non-magnetic layers. The most remarkable property of these systems is the giant magnetoresistance (GMR) measured for a parallel or an antiparallel configuration of the magnetic moments belonging to a different layer by application of a magnetic field. Experimentally it was found that the magnetic multilayers grown epitaxially shows an enhancement of the electronic contribution to the low-temperature specific heat. Standard electronic band-structure calculations could not reproduce this enhancement, which can be an evidence of the correlation effects. Our aim is to check whether the correlation effects considered in the EMTO-DMFT approach can lead to an essential renormalization of the density of states at the Fermi level $N(E_F)$.

In the LSDA +DMFT calculations for Fe/Cr multilayer we have chosen different values for the average Coulomb interaction and exchange energy for Fe and the two types of Cr atoms. These values are listed in Table I. Although this choice is motivated by the fact that correlation effects for these atoms could be similar in structure, the present results are more qualitative than quantitative.

The layer-resolved LSDA and LSDA +DMFT densities of states for Fe/Cr multilayer are plotted in Fig. 8. Comparing the LSDA and LSDA +DMFT DOS one can see that the correlation effects produce a strong peak at the Fermi level. This can give a qualitative explanation of the heat-capacity data discussed above.

The calculated LSDA and LSDA +DMFT magnetic moments are shown in Fig. 9. Our LSDA result is in agreement with previous electronic structure calculations of Fe impurities in a Cr surrounding. We have found that within the LSDA the magnetic multilayer structure consists of ferromagnetically coupled Fe layers with the magnetic moments of $1.72\mu_B$ per atom. The Cr spacers have very small magnetic moments per atom, $-0.05\mu_B$ and $0.09\mu_B$, respectively, and they are oriented antiferromagnetically. This result is in accordance with a previous $ab\ initio$ study on Fe/Cr superlattices. The LSDA Fe magnetic moment is drastically reduced compared with its value in bulk, which is attributed to the $d-d$ band hybridization between the Fe and Cr states.

The results of the LSDA +DMFT calculations are essentially different from that in the LSDA. In particular, the correlation effects result in a slight increase of the Fe layer.

FIG. 6. The LSDA (dashed line) and LSDA +DMFT (solid line) densities of states for bcc Cr calculated using the EMTO-DMFT method.

FIG. 7. The effect of the DMFT on the LSDA charge density of bcc Cr.

FIG. 8. The layer-resolved LSDA (dashed line) and the LSDA +DMFT (solid line) densities of states for Fe/Cr$_1$/Cr$_2$/Cr$_1$/Fe multilayers.
Finally, it is worthwhile to emphasize that the enhanced DOS at the Fermi level, having a many-body correlation origin, can play an important role in the GMR, since this DOS enhancement is strongly spin dependent. It is more effective for the majority electrons of Fe and Cr (Cr$_1$ are the ones closer to the Fe layer), giving the result of a quasiparticle peak centered at the Fermi level. Our finding is in good agreement with the tendency of the enhancement of electronic contribution to the specific heat in Fe/Cr magnetic multilayers.$^{41}$

V. CONCLUSIONS

In this paper, we present a LSDA+DMFT scheme on the exact muffin-tin orbitals basis set. The present EMTO formalism allows us to combine the many-body problem with the standard screened KKR(LSDA) method in a self-consistent manner. The many-body self-consistency is reached through the self-consistency of the self-energy, meanwhile the self-consistent charge density is obtained in the conventional LSDA framework. The results of our EMTO-DMFT calculation are summarized in Table I, and they are in good agreement with the former LSDA+DMFT implementations using the LMTO basis set.

Correlation effects in multilayer systems are important for transport properties, giving rise to an enhancement of density of states at the Fermi level. We have studied a simple magnetic multilayer system, and have shown that the effect of correlation is to induce magnetism in nonmagnetic spacers. We attribute this structure and temperature-dependent polarization to simultaneous (i) Fe-Cr $d$-$d$ hybridization and (ii) narrowing of electronic $d$ bands due to many-body correlation effects. We note that the latter mechanism, i.e., the narrowing of the Cr $d$ band, is significantly stronger than the former one.
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