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queened with a solution of citric acid. The mixture was diluted with DCM (100 mL) and washed with sodium bicarbonate (sat.), water, and brine (25 mL each). The organic layer was dried (Na$_2$SO$_4$), evaporated, and the residue dissolved in DCM (MeOH 98.2%) and filtered through a plug of silica gel. Evaporation gave the crude precursor of 2 (773 mg). To this crude product (746 mg) in dry THF (40 mL) was added methanesulfonic acid (300 µL). After stirring the mixture at RT for 72 h under nitrogen, pyridine (400 µL), DPEA (1.5 mL), and acetic anhydride were added at 0 °C. After 2 h the solution was diluted with DCM (100 mL), washed with sodium bisulfite (1%), water, sodium bicarbonate (sat.), water, and brine (25 mL each). The organic layer was dried (Na$_2$SO$_4$), filtered, evaporated, and chromatographed (silica gel, DCM/Methanol 98:2%) to afford 2 (360 mg, 40% based on 1).

3: To 2 (60 mg, 0.051 mmol) in DCM (1 mL), TFA (0.5 mL) was added dropwise and stirred for 1 h at 0 °C. The reaction mixture was diluted with DCM (50 mL) and washed three times with sodium bicarbonate (sat.), then water and brine (10 mL each). The organic layer was dried (Na$_2$SO$_4$), evaporated, and redissolved in DCM (0.5 mL). Boc-(25,2R,4R,6R)-3-hydroxy-4-methyl-2-(methylamino)-6-octenoic acid (BoC-MeBn)[21] (18.7 mg, 0.062 mmol), bromotrypargyldiphenylphosphonium hexafluorophosphate (PyBroP)[22] (27 mg, 0.060 mmol) and DPEA (27 µL) were added at 0 °C under nitrogen. After 2 h at 0 °C the reaction was allowed to warm to RT, diluted with DCM (50 mL), washed with sodium bisulfite (1%), water, sodium bicarbonate (sat.), water, and brine (20 mL each). The organic layer was dried (Na$_2$SO$_4$), filtered, evaporated, and chromatographed (Flash silica gel, EtOAc/acetone 9/1) to give 3 (4.4 mg, 60%).

4: To 3 (27 mg, 0.022 mmol) in DCM (1 mL), TFA (0.5 mL) was added dropwise and stirred for 1 h at 0 °C, diluted with DCM (50 mL) and washed three times with sodium bicarbonate (sat.), water, and brine (10 mL each). The organic layer was dried (Na$_2$SO$_4$), filtered and evaporated. The residue in DCM (30 mL) Pinoc-N-MeLe (12 mg, 0.032 mmol), PyBroP (14 mg, 0.032 mmol) and DPEA (15 µL) were added and the mixture was stirred for 6 h at 0 °C and 30 min at RT. Pinoc-N-MeLe (5 mg, 0.013 mmol), PyBroP (3 mg, 0.011 mmol) were added again at 0 °C and allowed to warm to RT overnight. The reaction mixture was diluted with DCM (50 mL), washed with sodium bisulfite (1%), water, sodium bicarbonate (sat.), water, and brine (20 mL each). The organic layer was dried (Na$_2$SO$_4$), filtered, evaporated, and chromatographed (Flash silica gel, EtOAc/acetone 9:1) to afford 4 (19 mg, 68%).

5: To 4 (4.6 mg, 0.0022 mmol) in THF/water (10:1, 200 µL), DBU (3 µL) and lithium bromide (2 mg) were added. After stirring overnight at RT, DBU (4 µL) and lithium bromide (3 mg) were added again. After 5 h, the reaction was quenched with acetic acid (20 µL) and purified by reverse-phase HPLC (Beckman ODS universe 5 µ 10 mm x 25 mm, 0.1% TFA/methanol 70/30 -> 10/90 in 30 min, 70°C, 3 runs) to yield the peptide precursor of 5 (2.1 mg, 60%). A solution of this peptide precursor of 5 in 10 mm x 25 cm, 0.1% TFA/MeCN 70/30 -> 10/90 in 30 min, 70°C, 3 runs) to give 3 (56 mg, 81%).

Strong Binding of Paraquat and Polymeric Paraquat Derivatives by Basket-Shaped Hosts

Albertus P. H. J. Schenning, Bas de Bruin, Alan E. Rowan,* Huub Kooijman, Anthony L. Spek, and Roeland J. M. Nolte

Clip-shaped host molecules of type 1 can bind uncharged aromatic guest molecules, for example resorcinol, by π-stacking and hydrogen bonding interactions. We report here on the binding affinities of these host molecules towards charged aromatic compounds, such as paraquat 3 and the polymeric paraquat derivatives 4 and 5. There is currently a great deal of interest in paraquat-binding, which has resulted in the design and construction of new molecular structures, as exemplified by the elegant work by Stoddart et al. on catenands and rotaxanes. We describe here that compounds 4 and 5 strongly bind paraquat and polymeric paraquat derivatives.
compound 2 is an exceptionally good host for paraquat. This opens the possibility of clipping host molecules of type 2 to polymeric chains containing paraquat units. The X-ray structure and properties of the complex between 2 and paraquat are also presented.

Compounds 1 and 2 were synthesized as described previously. For the synthesis of 2b, 4,4'-dimethylbenzil was used as the starting material. Compounds 3 and 4 were prepared by using literature procedures. Compound 5 was prepared by the condensation of N,N'-bis(2-hydroxyethyl)-4,4'-bipyrindini-um hexafluorophosphate in acetonitrile with one equivalent of adipoyl chloride with triethylamine as the base, and purified by precipitation from ethyl acetate.

Addition of 3-Cl₂ to a solution of host 2b in for example methanol/chloroform led to an immediate color change from colorless to yellow-orange, indicative of the formation of a charge-transfer complex. Fast atom bombardment mass spectrometry (FAB MS) showed a peak at m/z 1094 (matrix: nitrobenzyl alcohol), which corresponds to the mass of the host-guest complex. Crystals for X-ray analyses were grown from a mixture of 2b and tetra-butylammonium hexafluorophosphate dissolved in chloroform, which was layered with 3-Cl₂ in methanol. The X-ray structure of compound is shown in Figure 1 (top). It reveals a perfect complementarity between host and guest. The paraquat guest sits symmetrically within the walls of the basket; the methyl substituents are partially encapsulated by the crown ether rings. The crystal structure is different from that of the complex of paraquat with “bis(paraphenylene)-[34]crown-10" (the same macrocycle as compound 2a, but without the diphenylglycoluril unit), as published by Stoddart et al. In the case of the latter the bipyrindium guest is flat, whereas in the crystal structure described herein the two bipyrindinum units are twisted by an angle of 22.5°. This is a consequence of being less sterically constrained by the aromatic side walls of the basket. In Stoddart’s compound the bipyrindium is tilted at an angle of about 28° with respect to the O—O axis of the para-phenylene unit; in our case the bipyrindium guest in the basket is not tilted. The binding constant of the bis(paraphenylene)-[34]crown-10 macrocycle with 3-(PF₆)₂ in acetone is 730 M⁻¹. The binding properties of 1 and 2 were evaluated by recording the intensity of the charge-transfer absorption band at approximately 425 nm at different host-guest ratios. The results for different solvents are presented in Table 1. The basket-shaped host molecules 2 bind paraquat approximately 25—75 times stronger than the bis(paraphenylene)-[34]crown-10 macrocycle. This result can be explained from the fact that compounds 2 are more preorganized for binding than Stoddart’s compound. Only a very small Kᵣ of 80 M⁻¹ was found for the binding of 3 in the cavity of clip molecule 1. This clearly demonstrates the importance of the crown ether moieties in 2 for the complexation of paraquat.

The electrochemical behavior of the complex between 3-(PF₆)₂ and compound 2a was studied in acetonitrile. In this solvent the guest showed two reversible one-electron transfers: E₁/₂(2a) = —0.423 V, E₁/₂(1 +/0) = —0.840 V (vs. SCE). For the addition of one equivalent of 2a the first redox transfer shifted 100 mV to more negative redox potential, whereas the second electron transfer potential remained unaffected. These data indicate that 2a binds and stabilizes the doubly charged paraquat species, which results in a more negative redox potential for the first redox transfer. It is well known that in this type of host—guest system the guest reduced by one electron dissociates from the host, and this explains the unaltered second redox potential.

Polymers with the paraquat units have been investigated as redox-active films and more recently as optical data storage materials and show a wide variety of electrochromic and thermochromic behavior. The polymeric paraquat derivative with tosylate counterions has been shown to possess liquid crystalline properties. We felt that host—guest complexation might be an interesting way to modify and control the physical properties of this interesting class of polymers. The results of binding studies carried out in acetonitrile, showed that 2a can be clipped to polymeric paraquat derivatives 4a, 4b, and 5 with association constants of 1800 M⁻¹, 4500 M⁻¹, and 19000 M⁻¹, respectively (per polymer repeat unit, see Table 1, Fig. 1). The binding constants of 4a and 4b are lower than those observed for paraquat itself. Molecular modeling studies have revealed that in the case of 4a and 4b, complexation of a basket to a paraquat unit in the polymer is sterically hindered by baskets complexed to adjacent paraquat units. The overall lower binding constants reflect this steric hindrance, which is less for

![Fig. 1. Top: Crystal structure of the complex between 2b and 3-(PF₆)₂. Left top view, right side view [14]. Bottom: Computer-generated model of the complex between 2b and 5.](image-url)
the polymer with a longer spacer (4b) than for the one with the shorter spacer (4a). Viscosity measurements showed that the specific viscosity of the polymers solubilized in acetonitrile increases upon the addition of 0.03 equivalents of the host molecule 2a per repeat unit: for example, 4a: $\eta_0 = 0.006$, 4a + 2a $\eta_0 = 0.008$; 4b: $\eta_0 = 0.068$, 4b + 2a $\eta_0 = 0.070$. These results indicate that the average molecular mass of the polymers increases on complexation with 2a. The redox potentials of the polymers were also influenced by the hosts. Polymer 4a displayed the following redox transitions in acetonitrile: $E_{1/2}(+1/-1) = +1.37 V$, $E_{1/2}(+1/+0) = -0.840 V$ (vs. SCE, for both transitions $\Delta E = 60$ mV). Upon the addition of one equivalent of 2a per repeat unit the first redox transfer shifted 20 mV to more negative potential, whereas the second redox transfer remained unchanged. Addition of three equivalents of 2a resulted in a 35 mV shift in the negative direction. These shift values are smaller than the 100 mV shift measured for paraquat 2a. Resultant 3 and that it is possible to clip these switches, etc.

Paraquat derivatives can easily be tuned by the addition of bases into the polymers as optical data storage systems or as molecular switches, etc. This may be of interest for future application of these polymers as optical data storage systems or as molecular switches, etc.

In conclusion, we have shown that host molecules of the type 2 strongly bind paraquat 3 and that it is possible to clip these switches, etc. Paraquat derivatives can easily be tuned by the addition of bas­
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**Fig. 1.** Schematic representation of a) a helicate (here: A,A-form) and the corresponding meso-helicate (A,A-form) and b) a binuclear $\mathrm{C_3}$-bridged helicate and meso-helicate (only one of the ligand strands is shown).
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**FORMATION OF A "MESO-HELICATE" BY SELF-ASSEMBLY OF THREE BIS(CATECHOLATE) LIGANDS AND TWO TITANIUM(IV) IONS**

Markus Albrecht* and Sirpa Kotila

Double- or triple-helical oligomeric coordination compounds that are formed spontaneously by self-assembly of two or three oligofunctional ligands and several metal ions are called helicates. Due to their helicity, such molecules are chiral.[11] On the other hand, meso-helicates are analogous metal complexes with two differently configured helical units and are thus achiral. In a topological sense, the meso-helicates are helices that interweave their helical twist.[12]

An octahedral complex formed by one metal center and two bidentate ligands is the most simple helical unit. It can have two configurations (d or A). Triple bridging between the ligands of two such octahedrons results in the formation of three different coordination compounds: the enantiomeric A,A- and A,A-helicates, and the diastereomeric A,A-form—the most simple triple-stranded meso-helicate (Fig. 1).

The coordination chemistry of linear oligofunctional nitrogen donor ligands with soft metal ions has recently become the focus of a great deal of attention. To our knowledge, investigations towards the metal-directed self-assembly of helicates have resulted only in the characterization of double- and triple-helicates.[13] and double-stranded non-helical metal complexes, which do not possess units with helical chirality.[16] In this context, the triple-stranded meso-helicate is a missing structural motif.

This stimulated our interest in self-assembly processes based on oxygen donor ligands in combination with hard metal ions.[2-8] The use of early transition metals should provide access to new supramolecular aggregates, whose properties should differ from those of the "traditional" compounds.

Catechol ligands seem to be ideal bidentate chelating units for this purpose.[10] Initially we chose the (CH$_2$)$_3$ group as a spacer
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