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We study the infrared (IR) resonant heating of neutral niobium carbide clusters probed through ultraviolet (UV) photoionization spectroscopy. The IR excitation not only changes the photoionization spectra for the photon energies above the ionization threshold, but also modulates ion yield for energies significantly below it. An attempt to describe the experimental spectra using either Fowler’s theory or thermally populated vibrational states was not successful. However, the data can be fully modeled by vibrationally and rotationally broadened discrete electronic levels obtained from Density Functional Theory (DFT) calculations. The application of this method to spectra with different IR pulse energies not only yields information about the excited electronic states in the vicinity of the HOMO level, populated by manipulation of the vibrational coordinates of a cluster, but also can serve as an extra indicator for the cluster isomeric structure and corresponding DFT-calculated electronic levels.

PACS numbers: 63.20.kd,61.46.Bc,63.22.Kn.

I. INTRODUCTION

The conventional picture of a solid is that based on the Born-Oppenheimer approximation, which takes into account that typical ionic vibrational frequencies are smaller than about 0.01 $E_F/h$, with $E_F$ the Fermi energy. In other words, electron velocities are orders of magnitude larger than those of ions. As a result, the electrons adiabatically adjust to the moving ions at each moment of time. In this picture, the interactions of lattice excitations (phonons) with electrons are described as a small perturbation of the electronic wavefunction by the static, distorted lattice. Although the effects of the electron scattering by these perturbations are small, they result in dramatic phenomena such as superconductivity, polarons, etc.

In many cases, however, non-adiabatic processes may play a significant role. Such are, for example, conical intersections of energy surfaces in molecules, superconducting cuprates, or graphene. In these cases, the energies of electronic and vibrational excitations become comparable, leading to strongly amplified interactions and a considerable renormalization of energies. Additionally, the direct energy transfer between the systems becomes possible.

Atomic clusters bridge the gap between atomic/molecular and bulk states of matter, with as unique characteristic that the physico-chemical properties strongly vary on an atom-by-atom level. Clusters contain all the components that solids are made of, but their properties are controllable and a complete theoretical description can be developed. Unlike in solids, the electronic and vibrational states in clusters are discrete, as no bands can be formed. Moreover, while in solids the energy transitions of electrons can appear separately from the excitation of the other subsystems, in clusters and molecules, in accordance to the Franck-Condon principle, the change of the electronic quantum state is not possible without incorporation of the overlap between vibrational wave functions.

"Bulk-like" effects such as non-adiabatic energy transfer between the electronic and vibrational systems in clusters have been studied for decades. Usually a direct excitation of the cluster electronic system is performed with subsequent observation of the relaxation time through the energy exchange with the nuclear system. The reverse experiment, where the cluster nuclear coordinates are excited in the electronic ground state and the energy is transferred to electronically excited states, which can eventually lead to ionization, was primarily used to study the vibrational properties of clusters. Recently, we presented a study demonstrating the possibility to probe the population redistribution over low-lying electronic levels using UV photoionization.

In this work we study the non-adiabatic energy transfer from excited vibrational states into the electronic system in gas-phase niobium carbide clusters. Bulk NbC is a superconductor, which is an indication of a strong electron-phonon interaction. Moreover, it was previously demonstrated that NbC clusters, upon resonant pumping of vibrational coordinates, exhibit thermionic emission, which is a direct proof of the presence of the coupling between vibrational and electronic coordinates.

Experimentally, vibrational energy is transferred to the electronic system after a controlled excitation of the vibrational coordinates. The energy transfer results in a change of the population of the electronic density of states in niobium carbide clusters, which is reflected by
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a modification of the photoionization spectra. To semi-
quantitatively explain the observed results, we construct
a simple model where the electronic population after exci-
tation is thermally re-distributed over the electronic lev-
els close to the HOMO level. The electronic levels are ob-
tained from DFT calculations. To ensure the electronic
levels are calculated for the proper cluster geometry, we
require knowledge about their structures. To this end, we
first record IR spectra for the NbC clusters using IR-UV
two-color spectroscopy\(^\text{18}\). We determine the geometric
structure by evaluating the correspondence between the
experimental IR spectra and spectra for trial geometries
calculated using DFT.

The paper is organized as follows: after presenting the
used experimental and computational techniques, we first
discuss IR spectra of niobium carbide clusters at a fixed
UV photoionization wavelength and determine their ge-
ometric structures. We then turn to the influence of IR
excitation on the photoionization spectra. The observed
photoionization spectra are subsequently interpreted us-
ing several models, and we demonstrate that the model
employing electronic states extracted from the DFT cal-
gulations gives the most satisfactory result. We conclude
by a discussion on the observed temperatures and the op-
portunities these results will give for experimental studies
on the dynamics of electron-phonon coupling in clusters.

II. EXPERIMENTAL AND COMPUTATIONAL DETAILS

The experiments are carried out in an instrument cou-
ped to the Free Electron Laser for Infra-red eXperiments
(FELIX)\(^\text{19}\). Clusters are formed by ablation of a rotating
niobium rod (Sigma Aldrich) using a pulsed 532 nm
Nd\(^{2+}\) :YAG laser with an energy of \(\sim 10 \text{ mJ} \) per pulse in
the presence of the gas mixture (6 bar) of He (99\%) and
methane (1\%) injected by a pulsed valve (General Valve,
Series 9). The formation takes place at room tempera-
ture within a growth channel (4 mm diameter) and after
passing an extension tube, the mixture expands into vac-
uum. The molecular beam is skimmed upon entering a
differentially pumped chamber where charged species
are deflected out by a pair of electrically biased metal
plates. The beam of neutral clusters is further shaped by
a 1 mm diameter aperture and enters an interaction
chamber with the extraction source of a reflectron time-
of-flight mass spectrometer (R.M. Jordan TOF products,
ic.).

Approximately 25 mm before the center of the extrac-
tion region, clusters interact with the loosely focused IR
light from FELIX that counter propagates the cluster
beam. At this point the laser waist is comparable to the
size of the molecular beam, ensuring that the majority of
the clusters interact with the IR beam.

FELIX produces IR radiation in the range of 66–3600
\(\text{cm}^{-1}\). The laser light is emitted in a \(\sim 9 \mu\text{s} \) pulse
train (called macropulse) of picosecond duration pulses.
The spectral bandwidth is transform-limited and is ad-
justable between 0.2–1\% RMS of the central frequency.
The typical macropulse energies produced by FELIX are
up to 100 mJ. The pulse energy can be varied over four
orders of magnitude with fixed-value attenuators. Af-
iter approximately 35 \(\mu\text{s}\), the clusters are in the center of
the extraction region where they are irradiated by a
frequency-doubled dye laser (Lioptec, 269–236 nm). All
ions formed are accelerated into the flight region of the
mass-spectrometer and detected by a microchannel de-
tector.

Two types of experiments are presented. First, IR
spectra are recorded by varying the FELIX frequency
while keeping the UV photoionization wavelength con-
stant. Second, the influence of the IR heating is moni-
tored by keeping the IR frequency fixed and varying the
photoionization frequency. To monitor the influence of
the IR laser, the experiment operates at twice the FE-
LIX frequency, thus allowing for the alternating recording
of two mass spectra for each UV wavelength: one with
and one without IR excitation. To ensure that the UV
photoionization spectrum is not contaminated by contrib-
utions due to multiphoton ionization, the UV laser pulse
energy was attenuated to 15 \(\mu\text{J}\). The UV laser pulse en-
ergy for IR spectra was fixed at 150 \(\mu\text{J}\), giving a useful
amount of multiphoton ions (see below).

To interpret the experimental results, DFT calcula-
tions are carried out using the Vienna \textit{ab-initio} simul-
ation package (VASP)\(^\text{20}\) using the projector augmented
wave (PAW) method\(^\text{21,22}\) and the Perdew, Burke and
Ernzerhof (PBE) functional\(^\text{23}\). The search for the low-
est energy structures was done by a genetic algorithm\(^\text{24}\)
(GA) in combination with DFT. This method has al-
ready demonstrated excellent results in case of Fe\(_x\)O\(_y\)+
clusters\(^\text{25}\).

Standard recommended PAWs with an energy cutoff
of 400.0 eV are used. All forces were minimized below
10\(^{-3}\) eV/\(\text{Å}\). The clusters are placed in a periodic box of
a size of 12 and 14 \(\text{Å}\) for Nb\(_3\)C\(_2\) and Nb\(_5\)C\(_5\), respective-
ly, which we checked to be sufficiently large to eliminate in-
tercluster interactions for each cluster size. For the cal-
lculations a single \(\textbf{k}\) point (\(\Gamma\)) is used. In addition, for
Nb\(_5\)C\(_5\) all possible combinations of carbon positions be-
tween the Nb atoms were geometrically optimized to test
the genetic algorithm results. For the three isomers low-
est in energy the structure was reoptimized using higher
accuracy. Subsequently, the electronic density of states
and vibrational frequencies were calculated. All frequen-
cies presented are unscaled. Zero-point vibrational en-
ergies (ZPVE) were calculated for the three lowest in
energy isomers found for each cluster size.

III. RESULTS

A. IR spectra

We present IR spectra for Nb\(_3\)C\(_2\) and Nb\(_5\)C\(_5\). Both
spectra are recorded with the UV photoionization laser
The experimental vibrational spectrum for Nb$_2$C$_2$ is depicted in Fig. 1(a). The spectrum is not very well resolved, but we can clearly discriminate at least four clear resonances, which are indicated in Fig. 1(a) at 505, 565, 670 and 780 cm$^{-1}$. The strongest band is the one at 680 cm$^{-1}$, which is in agreement with the pattern observed for other NbC clusters studied using resonant IR ionization spectroscopy.$^{27}$ Upon further comparison with spectra obtained using the latter technique, we note that the current spectrum is much better resolved, which is due to the more sensitive nature of IR-UV spectroscopy, requiring substantially lower IR laser fluences.

In panels (b-d) of Fig. 1 the three lowest energy isomers found using the GA are shown. Two of these (structures 3A and 3B), were proposed previously.$^{28}$ Structure 3A is the lowest in energy and has a slightly distorted trigonal bipyramidal shape and is formed by a triangular Nb basis capped by two C atoms. The Nb–Nb distances are 2.46, 2.49 and 2.59 Å, and the Nb–C bond lengths are 2.03, 2.04 and 2.05 Å, respectively. Structure 3B is 0.15 eV higher in energy than 3A. Having a C$_{2v}$ point group symmetry, this isomer has a square pyramidal shape with a Nb$_2$C$_2$ rhombic base. Nb–Nb distances in this isomer are 2.41 and 2.45 Å, for the base and between base and top, respectively, and the Nb–C distances are 2.33 and 1.96 Å.

Isomer 3C is 0.21 eV higher in energy than 3A and has a C$_{1}$ point symmetry group. It consists of a trigonal pyramid, with a Nb$_3$ triangular base and a C top. The second C is capping one of the planes of the pyramid. The Nb–Nb bond lengths are 2.45 and 2.43 Å, the C–C bond is 1.37 Å, and the Nb–C bonds vary from 2.0 to 2.19 Å.

From Fig. 1 it can be clearly seen that every calculated spectrum has common vibrational frequencies with the experimental one. All spectra exhibit one or multiple bands close to 670 cm$^{-1}$, but none of them matches perfectly with the experimental data. We nevertheless assign our spectrum to structure 3A, for the following reasons: first, its calculated spectrum predicts vibrational modes close to each experimentally observed band, whereas structure 3B has no bands in the 400–650 cm$^{-1}$ range, and structure 3C lacks a band between 700–900 cm$^{-1}$. It is clear that the intensities predicted do not match with the experiment very well. Part of the mismatch could lie in the much larger experimental frequency difference of 60 cm$^{-1}$ between bands 1 and 2, which are near-degenerate for the calculated spectrum (2 cm$^{-1}$). One would be tempted to argue that structures 3B and 3C also contribute to the experimental vibrational spec-

\[
G_{\text{EXP}}^{IR}(\omega) = \frac{I_{\text{IR+UV}}(\omega) - I_{\text{UV}}(\omega)}{I_{\text{UV}}(\omega)},
\]

where $I_{\text{IR+UV}}(\omega)$ and $I_{\text{UV}}(\omega)$ are the number of ions produced with and without IR radiation at frequency $\omega$, respectively. Here, $I_{\text{UV}}(\omega)$ is depicted as a function of $\omega$ to indicate that this yield is recorded concurrent with $I_{\text{IR+UV}}(\omega)$ and can account for long-term source fluctuations. Note, that for the UV pulse energy of 150 $\mu$J that we use to obtain IR spectra $I_{\text{UV}}(\omega) > 0$, due to the amount of signal from the multiphoton ionization, which allows to avoid divergence of the $G_{\text{EXP}}^{IR}(\omega)$. The experimental IR spectra are further normalized on the IR laser pulse energy.

**Nb$_3$C$_2$.** The experimental vibrational spectrum for Nb$_3$C$_2$ is depicted in Fig. 1(a). The spectrum is not very well resolved, but we can clearly discriminate at least four clear resonances, which are indicated in Fig. 1(a) at 505, 565, 670 and 780 cm$^{-1}$. The strongest band is the one at 680 cm$^{-1}$, which is in agreement with the pattern observed for other NbC clusters studied using resonant IR ionization spectroscopy.$^{27}$ Upon further comparison with spectra obtained using the latter technique, we note that the current spectrum is much better resolved, which is due to the more sensitive nature of IR-UV spectroscopy, requiring substantially lower IR laser fluences.

In panels (b-d) of Fig. 1 the three lowest energy isomers found using the GA are shown. Two of these (structures 3A and 3B), were proposed previously.$^{28}$ Structure 3A is the lowest in energy and has a slightly distorted trigonal bipyramidal shape and is formed by a triangular Nb basis capped by two C atoms. The Nb–Nb distances are 2.46, 2.49 and 2.59 Å, and the Nb–C bond lengths are 2.03, 2.04 and 2.05 Å, respectively. Structure 3B is 0.15 eV higher in energy than 3A. Having a C$_{2v}$ point group symmetry, this isomer has a square pyramidal shape with a Nb$_2$C$_2$ rhombic base. Nb–Nb distances in this isomer are 2.41 and 2.45 Å, for the base and between base and top, respectively, and the Nb–C distances are 2.33 and 1.96 Å.

Isomer 3C is 0.21 eV higher in energy than 3A and has a C$_{1}$ point symmetry group. It consists of a trigonal pyramid, with a Nb$_3$ triangular base and a C top. The second C is capping one of the planes of the pyramid. The Nb–Nb bond lengths are 2.45 and 2.43 Å, the C–C bond is 1.37 Å, and the Nb–C bonds vary from 2.0 to 2.19 Å.

From Fig. 1 it can be clearly seen that every calculated spectrum has common vibrational frequencies with the experimental one. All spectra exhibit one or multiple bands close to 670 cm$^{-1}$, but none of them matches perfectly with the experimental data. We nevertheless assign our spectrum to structure 3A, for the following reasons: first, its calculated spectrum predicts vibrational modes close to each experimentally observed band, whereas structure 3B has no bands in the 400–650 cm$^{-1}$ range, and structure 3C lacks a band between 700–900 cm$^{-1}$. It is clear that the intensities predicted do not match with the experiment very well. Part of the mismatch could lie in the much larger experimental frequency difference of 60 cm$^{-1}$ between bands 1 and 2, which are near-degenerate for the calculated spectrum (2 cm$^{-1}$). One would be tempted to argue that structures 3B and 3C also contribute to the experimental vibrational spec-

\[
G_{\text{EXP}}^{IR}(\omega) = \frac{I_{\text{IR+UV}}(\omega) - I_{\text{UV}}(\omega)}{I_{\text{UV}}(\omega)},
\]

where $I_{\text{IR+UV}}(\omega)$ and $I_{\text{UV}}(\omega)$ are the number of ions produced with and without IR radiation at frequency $\omega$, respectively. Here, $I_{\text{UV}}(\omega)$ is depicted as a function of $\omega$ to indicate that this yield is recorded concurrent with $I_{\text{IR+UV}}(\omega)$ and can account for long-term source fluctuations. Note, that for the UV pulse energy of 150 $\mu$J that we use to obtain IR spectra $I_{\text{UV}}(\omega) > 0$, due to the amount of signal from the multiphoton ionization, which allows to avoid divergence of the $G_{\text{EXP}}^{IR}(\omega)$. The experimental IR spectra are further normalized on the IR laser pulse energy.
with previous investigations solved. Their maxima are at 395, 467, and 523 cm$^{-1}$.

We distinguish at least three peaks that are partly resolved. Their IR absorption intensities include ZPVE.

The UV photoionization dependence can be used to assign the spectrum. However, we will demonstrate below that the vibrational bands, the IR spectra for Nb$\text{C}_5$ can be seen in the spectrum in the ranges 350–570 and 570–750 cm$^{-1}$, respectively. In the 350–570 cm$^{-1}$ range, we distinguish at least three peaks that are partly resolved. Their maxima are at 395, 467, and 523 cm$^{-1}$. The second band contains peaks that are less well resolved, but we identify their maxima at 632, 686, and 747 cm$^{-1}$, with the middle band the main carrier of intensity.

The calculated vibrational spectra for several isomers are shown in Fig. 2(b-d). All structures consist of two Nb$\text{C}_5$ clusters. The red line is three-point adjacent average of the experimental data. Calculated discrete vibrational frequencies (red vertical lines) are convoluted with a 15 cm$^{-1}$ FWHM Gaussian line shape function (blue). Color coding corresponding geometries: C-gray, and Nb-green. The energy differences include ZPVE.

FIG. 2. Experimental (panel (a), circles) and calculated (b-d) IR spectra of Nb$\text{C}_5$ clusters. The red line is three-point adjacent average of the experimental data. Calculated discrete vibrational frequencies (red vertical lines) are convoluted with a 15 cm$^{-1}$ FWHM Gaussian line shape function (blue). Color coding corresponding geometries: C-gray, and Nb-green. The energy differences include ZPVE.

After determination of the vibrational bands, the IR laser was set to $\omega = 675$ cm$^{-1}$ (a common resonance for the majority of the investigated species including Nb$\text{C}_2$ and Nb$\text{C}_5$) and photoionization spectra were recorded. Note that we use $\nu$ for UV frequency to discern it from $\omega$ used for IR frequency. To avoid multiphoton effects, we use a relatively low UV pulse energy (15 $\mu$J). Under this condition $I_{\text{UV}}(\nu)$ can approach zero in the spectral region well below the ionization threshold and the function $G_{\text{exp}}^{\text{IR}}$ would diverge. For this reason, we present UV gain curves

$$G_{\text{exp}}^{\text{UV}}(\nu) = \frac{I_{\text{ir+uv}}(\nu) - I_{\text{uv}}(\nu)}{I_{\text{uv}}(\nu) + I_0}.$$  

Here we add a term $I_0$ to the denominator, taken as the mean value of the five shortest wavelength data points where the detected signal has reached a near-constant value for the considered spectrum. This protects $G_{\text{exp}}^{\text{UV}}(\nu)$ from divergence when $I_{\text{uv}}(\nu) \approx 0$.

Fig. 3 shows the spectra for Nb$\text{C}_2$ and Nb$\text{C}_5$ close to their IEs, over a rather broad energy range. The photoionization spectra without IR excitation are shown in black, those with IR excitation in red. IR laser pulse energies are shown in increasing order, as indicated in

which has one carbon missing in the vertex. Interestingly, the two lowest in energy candidates, structure 6A and 6B, have the same geometric configuration but differ in spin configuration, which leads to small differences in bond lengths.

Structure 6A (2 $\mu_B$) is the lowest in energy and has Nb–Nb bond lengths ranging from 2.45 to 2.90 Å and for Nb–C from 1.98 to 2.17 Å. Structure 6B (0 $\mu_B$), only 0.03 eV higher in energy, has Nb–Nb bond lengths varying from 2.51 to 2.91 Å and Nb–C bond lengths varying from 1.94 to 2.24 Å. Structure 6C at 0.25 eV is quite similar to 6A and 6B but lacks one of the carbon bridges, and each outward facing tetrahedron face is now capped. Nb–Nb bond lengths for this isomer vary from 2.68 to 2.92 Å, and for Nb–C from 1.94 to 2.18 Å.

Fig. 2 allows us to compare the calculated spectra for different isomers with the experimental result. It appears that some of the strongest resonances on the experimental spectrum are saturated. Nevertheless, we are able to distinguish all the peaks predicted by theory in the experimental spectrum: there is an excellent agreement for structure 6B, both in frequencies and intensities of the predicted vibrations. Structure 6A is ruled out by the predicted vibrations. Structure 6A is ruled out by the predicted vibrations. Structure 6B, both in frequencies and intensities of the predicted vibrations. Structure 6A is ruled out by the predicted vibrations. Structure 6B, both in frequencies and intensities of the predicted vibrations. Structure 6A is ruled out by the predicted vibrations. Structure 6B, both in frequencies and intensities of the predicted vibrations.
the graph. Note that the energy axis is inverted, for reasons that will become clear later. The photoionization curves without prior IR excitation show a rather shallow threshold behavior, where the ion yield grows from zero to a maximum over an energy range of approx. 0.2 eV for Nb$_3$C$_2$ and even 0.4 eV for Nb$_6$C$_5$. These observations are consistent with previously published photoionization spectra for niobium carbide clusters, and for clusters in general, see *e.g.* Ref. 29. The shallowness of the spectra has been long debated and is commonly attributed to substantial changes in the geometry upon ionization, but also to the thermal population of rovibrational states.

The photoionization spectra with prior IR excitation exhibit not only a change in the steepness of the slope but also the appearance of the signal at photon energies substantially lower than the IE. For both cluster sizes it can be clearly observed that with increasing IR pulse power the photoionization curve gets more and more spread to the low-energy side, and some sort of plateau gets formed. The curve for Nb$_3$C$_2$ shows a substantial decrease of the overall ion yield at higher IR energies, which we attribute to loss due to dissociation or direct ionization.

An example for the gain spectrum for Nb$_3$C$_2$ is shown in the top panel of Fig. 4, recorded with an IR pulse energy of 31 mJ. The figure also shows three different models with which we attempt to semi-quantitatively explain our results. Each model UV gain curve has been generated in two steps: first we construct $I_{UV}$ by fitting the UV curve without IR excitation to the chosen model. In the second step, we construct a model gain function with $I_{UV}$ fixed using the parameters found in step 1. We then minimize the difference between the experimental and the fit gains varying only the parameters for $I_{UV+IR}$, including a scale factor for $I_{UV+IR}$ to accommodate for the dissociation or direct ionization at the highest UV energies. Note, that constructing the gains we are always consistent: for each model gain we use exactly the same $I_0$ as for the corresponding experimental gain. It is then clear that even if the gain function $G_{exp}^{UV+IR}$ is sensitive to $I_0$, leading to a slight change in the visible representation of the curve, it will be taken into account in the further analysis and will not influence the result of the fit.

We will now discuss each of the models used.

**A. Single-electron picture for solids**. Fowler’s theory was developed for an infinite metallic 2D surface and is based on the uniform and continuous distribution of the electronic density of states. It has recently been successfully used to describe the photoionization thresholds for some relatively large clusters. The possibility to apply this model to small clusters, where the density of electronic states is rather discrete, seems unlikely. We nevertheless fit a model gain function based on Fowler’s approach in order to demonstrate the importance of the discrete levels for the shape of the photoionization curves for small clusters.

Upon absorption of a photon with energy $h\nu$, the flux of electrons $I(E,T)$ leaving the surface of a metal at temperature $T$ is described by:
where \( E = IE - h\nu \) is the detuning from the ionization energy \( IE \), \( k_BT \) Boltzmann’s constant, and \( f(x) \) the integral over the Fermi-Dirac distribution function given by a series expansion:\(^{30}\)
\[
f(x) = \begin{cases} e^{x^2 - \frac{3x^2}{4}} - ... & x \geq 0 \\ e^{\frac{x^2}{2}} - (e^{-x} - \frac{e^{-2x}}{4} + \frac{e^{-3x}}{9} - ...) & x \leq 0. \end{cases}
\]

The result of the fit, where we use \( T \) as a fit parameter and the \( IE \) is fixed at the value extracted from the fit of the photoionization curve without IR excitation, is depicted by the red line in Fig. 4(a). The correspondence between this theory and experiment is acceptable, reflecting the discrete nature of the vDOS at low energies.

C. DFT-based electronic repopulation. In our final model we use the information about the Kohn-Sham orbitals for a cluster obtained from the DFT calculations in combination with the Fermi-Dirac distribution to determine the population of the electronic density of states, as successfully applied for metallic clusters.\(^{16}\) Inspired by a model of two displaced harmonic oscillators\(^{35,36}\), we further assume that transitions from each populated electronic level \( E_i \) can be simulated by a Gaussian function dubbed transition band. The maximum of the transition band, i.e. the highest probability of the transition between the initial and final states, is blue shifted by a factor \( \delta \) with respect to the electronic level, as illustrated in Fig. 4(b).

We match the position of the HOMO level to the Adiabatic IE, determined from the experimental data as the point where the extrapolated first linear rise in the photoionization efficiency spectrum intersects with the baseline.\(^{6}\) The values obtained this way show a reasonable agreement with those obtained from DFT calculations (as the energy difference between the ground neutral and cationic states) for \( Nb_3C_2 \) (5.04 experiment and 5.09 DFT, respectively); for \( Nb_4C_5 \), the experimental value of 5.06 eV deviates from the calculated value 4.63 eV. However, such deviations are not uncommon.

The ion yield is modeled by numerical integration of the transition bands for each populated electronic level:
\[
I(E, T) \propto \sum_{i=1}^{M} F(E_i, T) \cdot \text{erf}(\sigma(E - E_i - \delta)),
\]
with
\[
\text{erf}(x) = \frac{1}{\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{x} e^{-t^2} dt,
\]
where \( \sigma \) is the Gaussian width of each transition band, \( F(E, T) \) the Fermi-Dirac distribution function and \( T \) the electronic temperature. Through the DFT-calculated vibrational frequencies \( \omega_i \), \( \sigma \) can be expressed as:\(^{35}\)
\[
\sigma^2 = \sum_{i=1}^{M} \left( \frac{h\omega_i}{2} \right)^2 \cdot a^2 \cdot \coth \left( \frac{h\omega_i}{2k_BT} \right),
\]
where \( M \) is the number of the vibrational degrees of freedom of a cluster, and \( a \) is a coupling parameter characterizing the displacement between the harmonic oscillators for the ionic and ground states,\(^{35}\), taken to be the same for each vibrational mode of the cluster. This appears to be a reasonable approximation, as the width \( \sigma \) of the transition band with fixed \( a \) now only depends on \( T \). It allows us to incorporate for the effects of population of the vibrational states as well. Our assumption of a fixed displacement \( \delta \) for each transition band is strictly speaking also not true due to the difference in Franck-Condon.
overlaps between vibrational wavefunctions of different electronic states with the ionized state. Nevertheless, these approximations allow us to reduce the number of fit variables from three to one. \( \alpha \) and \( \delta \) are extracted from the fit of the photoionization curve without IR excitation and are fixed for fitting of the gain curve. Note that the position of the Fermi level in the Fermi-Dirac distribution changes as a function of temperature in order to conserve the number of electrons. The resulting gain curve is depicted in Fig. 4(a) by a blue solid line. It successfully describes all features of the gain spectrum.

Model (C) can also be used as a second experimental test for the calculated cluster structure. Fig. 5(a) shows the fit curves using the DFT calculated electronic Density of States (eDOS) for structures 3A, 3B and 3C (blue, red and green respectively). Due to the difference in energies of the electronic levels for the different isomers and in particular the values of the HOMO-LUMO gaps shown in Fig. 5(b-d), the positions of the transition bands will be different for different geometries. In case of Nb\(_3\)C\(_2\) this leads to an overestimation of the gain in the low energy region (below 4.85 eV) by the fit curves for both structures 3B and 3C. Even though this method cannot be considered as decisive, we consider the result as an extra indication apart from the IR spectra that only isomer 3A is observed under the current experimental conditions.

Experiment gain spectra recorded for different IR pulse energies for Nb\(_3\)C\(_2\) and Nb\(_6\)C\(_5\) are shown in black in Fig. 6. The fit to the experimental gain using model (C) is shown in red and the corresponding transition band structures for the excited clusters are depicted in blue. For completeness, the band structures for the unexcited cluster are shown in Fig. 6(a) and 6(e) in green, together with the positions of the calculated electronic levels for both clusters (sticks). The calculated values for the HOMO-LUMO energy gaps for Nb\(_3\)C\(_2\) and Nb\(_6\)C\(_5\) clusters are 0.36 and 0.45 eV, respectively. Note that we are using model (C) for all the gain spectra presented in Fig.6 under the assumption that formation of Nb\(_3\)C\(_2\) from fragmentation of higher mass clusters does not play a significant role in the formation of the gain spectra.

From Fig.6 it can be clearly seen that the shape of the experimental gain spectra for Nb\(_3\)C\(_2\) in panels (a) and (b) where fragmentation rate is likely very low due to the low IR pulse energy, is the same as those in panels (e) and (f) for Nb\(_6\)C\(_5\). The calculated energy levels are depicted by sticks and the transition bands are shown in blue (excited) and green (unexcited).
and (d), where fragmentation rate is likely much higher. The same similarity between gain spectra is observed for Nb_6C_5 cluster (panels (e-h)).

For Nb_3C_2 transition bands originating from two electronic states can be distinguished. It can be clearly seen that with increasing IR laser pulse energy both bands are broadening, while the intensity ratio of the two changes in favor of the low-energy transition band. We interpret this as a gradual increase in population of the previously unpopulated electronic level, a result of the increasing internal energy of the cluster. It is interesting to note that the width and the intensity of the transition band do not change monotonously from 19 to 61 mJ IR pulse energy. This points to a limitation of the energy that can be pumped in the clusters during the IR heating (see subsection C below).

In comparison to Nb_3C_2, the transition bands for Nb_6C_5 are broader. From Eq. (7) and Ref. 35 it directly follows that, even with the same coupling parameter, a system that has a higher number of vibrational modes will have broader transition bands.

The relatively broad bands result in a slight overlap between the corresponding transition bands, already for the unexcited cluster. This eventually results in formation of one continuous band at a 61 mJ IR pulse energy, where the contribution from each separate transition band can be recognized only by existence of the inflection area in the range from ~4.8 to ~4.9 eV. When the pulse energy of the IR radiation increases, increasing the population of the previously unoccupied high-lying electronic levels, the transition bands expand even more whereas the low-energy ones are getting more and more intense.

C. Temperature evolution

One of the fit variables of model (C) investigated here is the electronic temperature, which we can now depict as a function of the IR laser pulse energy. The results for Nb_3C_2 and Nb_6C_5 are shown in Fig. 7. For both clusters nonlinear behavior is observed. The curve for Nb_3C_2 appears to saturate when the pulse energy exceeds 19 mJ, with temperatures on the order of 1000 K. Taking into account that clusters are ionized around 35 μs after IR excitation, we can assume that all redistribution processes are finished at the moment of probing and the electronic and vibrational temperatures are in equilibrium. Assuming this equilibrium, we calculated the total internal energies for both cluster sizes as the sum of the electronic and vibrational energies. For the vibrational system we follow the Dulong-Petit law and estimate the electronic and vibrational energies. For the vibrational system the approach we obtained a temperature of approximately 2900 K for both cluster sizes for the direct ionization process. This value is on average higher than those reported in Table I. With this estimate, it appears reasonable that the clusters can withstand the temperatures found from model C on the timescale of the experiment without direct ionization. Since the temperatures for Nb_3C_2 are substantially lower, it seems that the loss observed for Nb_3C_2 is dominated by fragmentation. However, since the dissociation energies for Nb_3C_2 are as yet not reported, this remains speculative.

A better estimate for the internal energy of a cluster

![Fig. 7. Estimated electronic temperature of Nb_6C_5 (a) and Nb_3C_2 (b) clusters as a function of the IR pulse energy. Dots - values extracted from model (C), red line - guide to the eye.](image-url)
as a function of the IR pulse energy could be obtained by solving a set of coupled excitation rate equations\textsuperscript{39,40} which in our case can not be done due to the lack of information about absorption properties and the anharmonicity parameters for NbC clusters. Such a model is thus outside the scope of the current work.

### IV. CONCLUSIONS

We apply IR-UV spectroscopy for determination of the geometric structure of neutral NbC clusters and demonstrated the possibility to probe the excited electronic states close to the ionization threshold for Nb\textsubscript{5}C\textsubscript{2} and Nb\textsubscript{6}C\textsubscript{5} clusters. An explanation of the features on the UV-photoionization curves of a vibrationally pumped cluster has to incorporate both electronic and vibrational subsystems of the cluster. In accordance with this statement, it has been shown that the DFT-calculated eigen energies of Nb\textsubscript{5}C\textsubscript{2} and Nb\textsubscript{6}C\textsubscript{5} in combination with the Fermi-Dirac distribution function can be used as a basis for construction of a transition band structure explaining every photoionization curve. The model has been shown to work on the example of two clusters: Nb\textsubscript{5}C\textsubscript{2} and Nb\textsubscript{6}C\textsubscript{5}.

The population of the electronic states by manipulation of the vibrational coordinates observed for the clusters of NbC can be treated as a vibronic coupling and in principle can be investigated in a time resolved experiment. For this, one single sub-picosecond micropulse out of the FEL microsecond train has to be selected to achieve a proper time resolution. For Nb\textsubscript{5}C\textsubscript{2} repopulation of the electronic state has been shown to take place even with an excitation energy of 0.6 mJ per pulse (Fig. 6(e)), which approximately corresponds to the energy of a single micropulse of the Free Electron Laser for Intra-Cavity Experiments (FELICE\textsuperscript{39}).
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\begin{table}[h]
\centering
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|}
\hline
IR pulse energy(mJ) & T\textsubscript{e}(K) & E\textsubscript{tot}(eV) \\
\hline
\hline
Nb\textsubscript{5}C\textsubscript{2} & & \\
No IR & 438 & 0.34 \\
9.7 & 727 & 0.59 \\
19 & 921 & 0.77 \\
31 & 1087 & 0.93 \\
61 & 963 & 0.81 \\
\hline
Nb\textsubscript{6}C\textsubscript{5} & & \\
No IR & 796 & 1.89 \\
0.6 & 1099 & 2.65 \\
1.9 & 1374 & 3.36 \\
9.7 & 1961 & 4.92 \\
19 & 1922 & 4.81 \\
31 & 1844 & 4.60 \\
61 & 2680 & 6.88 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\caption{Values of the electronic temperature (T\textsubscript{e}) extracted from model C and the corresponding total energy (E\textsubscript{tot}) for Nb\textsubscript{5}C\textsubscript{2} and Nb\textsubscript{6}C\textsubscript{5} for different IR pulse energies.}
\end{table}