Dynamics of nonequilibrium electrons on neutral center states of interstitial magnesium donors in silicon
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Subnanosecond dynamics of optically excited electrons bound to excited states of neutral magnesium donor centers in silicon has been investigated. Lifetimes of nonequilibrium electrons have been derived from the decay of the differential transmission at photon energies matching the intracenter and the impurity-to-conduction band transitions. In contrast to hydrogenlike shallow donors in silicon, significantly longer lifetimes have been observed. This indicates weaker two-phonon and off-resonant interactions dominate the relaxation processes in contrast to the single-intervalley-phonon-assisted impurity-phonon interactions in the case of shallow donors in silicon.
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I. INTRODUCTION

Magnesium (Mg, group-IIA) atoms in interstitial positions of a silicon (Si) host lattice form double donor centers with a binding energy \( E_b \) in the neutral \( Mg^0 \) state of \( \approx 107 \) meV [1]. The so-called “chemical shift” of a neutral \( Mg^0 \) donor, i.e., the downshifting of the impurity ground state relative to its position as derived from effective mass theory [1], is larger than the group-V donors in Si. This makes magnesium in Si a transition case between the shallow donors in Si, where single-intervalley-phonon-assisted transitions dominate intracenter relaxation [2,3], and the deeper, heliumlike group-VI donors in Si, where electron capture into the ground state can be assisted only by multiphonon interactions [4]. In contrast to direct band-gap semiconductors, where interactions with zone-centered optical phonons and their overtones are most intense, in multivalley crystals scattering between equivalent valleys often dominates over other electron-phonon interactions. At intracenter-phonon resonances such transitions happen almost as fast as those involving higher-energy optical modes [2]. But even far from such resonances these interactions cause typical intracenter lifetimes of hydrogenlike donors in Si not longer than 150–230 ps [5,6]. Note that the binding energies of even-parity excited states in Si: \( Mg^0 \) [Fig. 1(a)] have not yet been derived directly from equilibrium spectroscopy. Because of this uncertainty one cannot exclude an intermediate case between the single-phonon relaxation scheme (assuming the binding energy of the lowest excited state to be 52.5 ± 3 meV [1]) and the two-phonon relaxation scheme, if the binding energy of the lowest excited state is \( \approx 33 \) meV (common to all other donors) [7]. Two-phonon interactions in silicon give rise to dominant bands in lattice absorption spectra [8] as well as in Raman spectra of Si [9]. The cut-off energy for two-phonon interactions in Si exceeds the binding energy of the neutral magnesium donor. Therefore, one would expect the occurrence of related electron scattering.

The question of whether the multiphonon interaction process can result in either fast or relatively slow intracenter relaxation in Si: \( Mg^0 \) is the main focus of this work. The knowledge of the intracenter dynamics of magnesium related centers is of great importance for potential photonic application of such a material in the mid- and far-infrared wavelength ranges [10].

Here we report on theoretical calculations and direct measurements of the dynamics of nonequilibrium electrons in the conduction band continuum as well as in odd-parity excited states of neutral magnesium donors in Si at low lattice temperatures. We consider electron-phonon scattering with intravalley and intervalley modes as a dominant mechanism for decay of nonequilibrium electrons in \( Mg^0 \) centers. The relaxation times are derived from pump-probe experiments measuring the decay of the transmission induced by changes in the populations of the probed \( Mg^0 \) states subsequent to intracenter pumping and photoionization of \( Mg^0 \) centers by radiation from an infrared free electron laser. The predicted relaxation rates range from \( 2 \times 10^7 \) to about \( 7 \times 10^{10} \) s\(^{-1}\). The exact value depends on the binding energy between the lowest excited state and the \( Mg^0 \) ground state. It should be noted that the slowest process may cause a bottleneck for intracenter relaxation. The longest intracenter relaxation step derived from our experimental data has a characteristic time of around 2 ns, while the fastest step terminating in this long-lived state is about 270 ps. The longest observed lifetimes are significantly longer than for excited states of shallower donors in Si with the same parity. Capture of free electrons from the conduction band bottom has typical times larger than 850 ps.

II. ELECTRON RELAXATION IN Si: Mg0

Photoexcited electrons decay towards their equilibrium state by radiative and nonradiative channels. The rates of spontaneous radiative decay are significantly lower than those...
for nonradiative processes as estimated using Fermi’s golden rule. They are below $10^6 \text{s}^{-1}$ for the $2p_\sigma \rightarrow 1s(A_1)$ transition and below $10^5 \text{s}^{-1}$ for the $2p_0 \rightarrow 1s(A_1)$ and $2p_0 \rightarrow 1s(E)$ transitions. Therefore they can be neglected. Stimulated radiative decay requires population inversion between the analyzed states, which does not occur due to low optical pump rate.

Accurate theoretical calculations for nonradiative intracenter relaxation in Si:Mg$^0$ are difficult due to the unknown binding energies for all excited even-parity states of the Mg$^0$ donor in Si, which strongly contribute to intracenter decay. For the following calculations we assume the binding energy of the $1s(E,T_2)$ ground split-off state to be 52.5 meV as suggested by piezospectroscopy results [1]. The deepest excited state $1s(T_2)$ should have a binding energy close enough to that of the $1s(E)$ state and we neglect for simplicity the energy difference for these states, considering them as a single state $1s(E,T_2)$ with the same binding energy.

We use the effective mass theory approach for the description of the Mg$^0$ donor wave functions in Si. The wave function of the ground state for an atom with two electrons (hydrogenlike) can be described by the Ritz variational method [11], in which the trial wave function is chosen as a product of the wave functions of each of the two bound electrons. The only interaction of the electrons which is considered is due to partial screening of the charge of the nucleus by these electrons. The eigenenergy has been taken from absorption spectroscopy of Mg$^0$ centers in the silicon band gap; $1s$ positions of odd-parity (solid) and even-parity excited (dashed) states are shown. (b) Calculated dependence of the $2p_0 \rightarrow 1s(E,T_2)$ nonradiative relaxation rate on the binding energy of the $1s(E,T_2)$ states.
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Such an energy gap can be overcome either by a two-phonon assisted process or by nonresonant phonons. An estimate of the latter type of interaction gives a rate of less than 10⁹ s⁻¹.

III. EXPERIMENTAL SETUP AND PROCEDURES

A. Sample preparation

Mg impurities in Si may result in double-charge donor centers, which are known to occupy interstitial positions in the crystal lattice. However, in contrast to doping with shallower donor impurities—such as phosphorus or arsenic—preparation of bulk Si samples with a given concentration of Mg donors is a challenge. On the one side, this is related to the high volatility of Mg at conventional processing temperatures of Si wafers. On the other side, technologically important parameters, such as the solubility and the diffusion coefficient of Mg in Si at a given temperature, are not well known. For example, the highest concentration of Mg in Si has been reported as 2.6 × 10¹⁵ cm⁻³ [15] achieved with a sandwich diffusion technique at 1350 °C. Values up to 1.5 × 10¹⁹ cm⁻³ have been obtained for doping by liquid-phase epitaxy of Si from a SiMg melt at 1200 °C [16].

The sandwich technique enables a relatively homogeneous distribution of interstitial Mg centers in bulk samples with a maximum concentration up to 2 × 10¹⁵ cm⁻³ [1]. In order to meet the requirements of the present experiments, we have used a similar doping approach, namely diffusion of Mg in a Si wafer “sandwiched” between two other wafers with a focus on reaching a concentration of Mg⁰ centers at low temperature, which would be large enough to investigate intracenter relaxation by the pump-probe technique. It is particularly important to start with low-impurity Si crystals in order not to affect the optical properties of the samples with features which are due to other donor centers such as magnesium-oxygen Mg-O complexes as well as singly ionized Mg⁺ deep donors. P-type float-zone grown Si with a relatively low content of residual impurities and oxygen (the latter was less than 10¹⁰ cm⁻³) was used for the diffusion process. The resistivity of the initial material was in the range of 0.45 to 10 kΩ cm. Most experiments were performed on samples with an acceptor (predominantly boron) concentration less than 10¹³ cm⁻³. Mg films were deposited on all contacting surfaces of the sandwiched Si wafer by thermal evaporation of Mg in vacuum. Pure, 99.999% Mg both in crystalline form and in pellets from different suppliers (Goodfellow, Mateck, GIRedMet, AMI) were used to dope the Si samples. The sandwiches were heated in sealed quartz ampoules in argon atmosphere or vacuum.

Different heating and cooling procedures have been tested in order to reach a high and spatially homogeneous interstitial Mg concentration. To check the homogeneity of the dopant distribution across the sample four-probe resistivity measurements were made at different points of the sample. Also, profiling of the resistivity along the depth of test samples was done. As a result, the appropriate regime for preparation of samples has been found to be heating at about 1200 °C for about 1 h followed by rapid cooling of an ampoule with a flow of compressed air or nitrogen at room temperature. The welded auxiliary Si wafers covering a doped sample were then ground off together with the original interface layer. When applying the described procedure, samples with a final thickness of about 1 mm were fabricated.

The results of the doping procedure were evaluated by infrared absorption spectroscopy (at T ~ 5 K) and temperature-dependent Hall effect measurements (in the range of 78–300 K). From the latter it was possible to determine the concentration of the introduced deep donor Mg centers and their compensation by acceptors. The procedure is similar to that applied for the sulfur deep donor in Si [17] and includes fitting of the solution of the electroneutrality equation [18] to the set of Hall data points. Peculiarities of statistics of the n-type semiconductor doped with double donor deep impurity [19,20] were taken into account, when solving the electroneutrality equation. Typical data for the free electron concentration in samples n obtained from Hall effect measurements along with results of fitting calculated n(T) curves to the sets of experimental points are shown in Fig. 2. The agreement of the experimental and calculated n(T) dependencies was obtained through variation of the density of interstitial Mg centers, while the concentration of acceptors was taken as the content of the initial p-type silicon. Also, a small density of relatively shallow donor centers, see Fig. 2, was taken into account in the electroneutrality equation. Typical data of the free electron concentration n obtained from temperature-dependent Hall measurements are shown in Fig. 2 along with a numerical solution of the electroneutrality equation for n-type Si doped with Mg. One can see that the temperature dependencies of the equilibrium concentration of free electrons in the measured samples are satisfactorily described by the statistics of double-charge donor centers that are partly compensated by acceptors. We point out that the ground state binding energies
of subsequent ionization of deep donors (in our case Mg$^0$ and Mg$^+$) that are derived from fitting the theoretical dependence to the experimental data are in good agreement with data obtained by infrared absorption spectroscopy [Fig. 3(b)].

This fact permits us to conclude that the concentration of interstitial Mg is correctly determined by this method. In addition, the density of compensating acceptors in the doped samples is close to the boron concentration in the initial p-type Si. It was found, however, that the doping procedure introduces some more shallow donors that cannot be identified from the Hall data obtained at rather high temperature. In the optical experiments we used those samples with the highest Mg$^0$ concentration, which—according to estimates from the absorption spectra and Hall data—was in the range (1.1–1.3) × 10$^{15}$ cm$^{-3}$. Samples with dimensions of 7 × 7 × 1 mm$^3$ wedged with 0.2$^\circ$–0.5$^\circ$ were prepared from the doped wafers. The large facets were polished. No degradation of the infrared absorption by Mg$^0$ was observed after storing the samples for 1.5 years at room temperature. This observation is in contradiction with the results reported in [1], where a strong decay of the absorption was found when repeating the measurements after 19 months. The difference in the behavior between the samples might be related to the different methods of cooling after diffusion, where the samples of [1] were quenched with liquid nitrogen. Such strong quenching could freeze a large density of intrinsic defects in the Si lattice, which could favor subsequent solid-state reactions even at room temperature.

In all of the Mg-doped Si samples a certain concentration of lithium interstitial centers, which reached 10$^{14}$ cm$^{-3}$ in some samples, was detected by infrared absorption spectroscopy. We suppose that the lithium centers are responsible for the shallow donor levels that could not be precisely identified by the Hall measurements (Fig. 2). Replication of the complete doping procedure at the same conditions but with reference Si samples without diffusion of Mg does not show lithium related lines in the infrared absorption spectra. Therefore, we assume that the presence of lithium interstitial donors in the Mg-doped samples is due to residual lithium in the purchased magnesium. Although residual elements were specified to be below 0.0001%, the high solubility and high saturation concentration of lithium in Si permit the occurrence of lithium donors at detectable levels.

**B. Pump-probe experiment**

Recombination times of particular electronic states can be directly measured in the time domain using a pump-probe technique [Fig. 3(c)]. This requires the irradiation of the sample with a short optical pulse. The excitation photon energy corresponds to the energy of the probed electronic transition [Fig. 3(a)]. The pulse duration must be much shorter than the characteristic times of the recombination processes involved and a time window between pump and probe pulses has to cover the range (at least better than 1/$\tau$ decay) of characteristic times. Once this is satisfied, the probe pulse measures the change of the complex refractive index (usually absorption), induced by a pump pulse after an adjustable delay.

The measurements were carried out with dedicated pump-probe experimental setups at two different infrared free electron laser (FEL) facilities: FELIX, Radboud University, Nijmegen, The Netherlands, and FELBE, Helmholtz-Zentrum Dresden-Rossendorf, Germany. Details of the experimental setups there are given elsewhere [6,21]. In both cases the Si samples were cooled in a liquid helium flow cryostat down to 5 K. The temporal resolution of both systems ranges from about 10 ps (a typical FEL micropulse duration) to about 1.5 ns (optical path in the delay lines). The pump-probe setups rely on different detection approaches, namely a zeroing compensation scheme for each probe micropulse with a fast photoconducting detector (FELIX) and a lock-in detection scheme with a mechanically chopped FEL beam for the quasicontinuous FEL mode at FELBE. Both pump and probe beams had spots of about 2 mm diameter on the sample in the FELIX setup, while the probe beam was focused to about 400 μm in the FELBE setup. Spectral width of the FEL signal measured as half-width at half-maximum was better than 1% of the beam wavelength.

**C. Data analysis**

Assuming an instantaneous excitation $I(t = 0)$ by the pump pulse, which is approximately constant in the probed sample, i.e., $\alpha(t)d \ll 1$ [\(\alpha(t)\) is the absorption coefficient and $d$ is the thickness of the sample], and neglecting multiple reflections in the sample, the normalized pump-probe signal $S(t)$ can be written as the change of the probe transmission $T(t)$ [21]:

$$S(t) = \frac{T(t) - T_0(t)}{T_0(t)} \approx e^{-\Delta\alpha(t)d} - 1 = e^{-\sigma \Delta N(t)d} - 1,$$

where $T_0(t)$ is the probe transmission without pump pulse, $\Delta\alpha(t) = \sigma \Delta N(t)$ is the pump-induced change of the absorption coefficient of the probed optical transition, $\Delta N(t)$ is the pump-induced change of the state population difference, and $\sigma$ is the absorption cross section of a center at the
pump-probe photon energy. In the case of weak absorption, the measured pump-probe signal is directly proportional to the population difference $S(t) \propto \Delta N(t) \cdot d$ and the characteristic times of $S(t)$ reflect the lifetime of the probed excited state and the recovery of the ground state population. The decay times derived from the pump-probe experiment remain almost independent on pump powers up to about 20 nJ per micropulse. Since a real electronic system is inherently a multilevel one, additional levels can contribute to the pump-probe signal. These contributions can be extracted by solving the balance equations of a multilevel system.

IV. RELAXATION OF THE EXCITED STATES IN Si:Mg

A. Relaxation of the 2$p_0$ state in Si:Mg

To study the intracenter relaxation, Si:Mg samples were excited with light resonant to the dipole allowed transitions originating from the Mg$^0$ ground state 1$s$(A1). The observed temporal dependences of the probe transmission indicate the existence of a component with a rather large characteristic decay time, which is at the limit of the available time coverage of the pump-probe setup [Fig. 4(a)].

Relaxation of the lowest odd-parity 2$p_0$ state is the simplest relaxation process in Si:Mg$^0$. A reasonable approach to describe this process is based on a three-level system [staircase relaxation 2$p_0$ → 1$s$(E,T2) → 1$s$(A1)] with dominant relaxation steps between adjacent levels [i.e., 2$p_0$ → 1$s$(E,T2) and 1$s$(E,T2) → 1$s$(A1)]. Direct nonradiative relaxation in the ground state is negligible and not considered in the data analysis. We solve a system of corresponding balance equations for the populations $n_1$, $n_2$, $n_3$ of the involved levels; assuming $n_1 + n_2 + n_3 = N$, where $N$ is the total concentration of bound electrons and the indices refer to the respective cascade under discussion, here 1, 2, and 3 refer to the 1$s$(A1), 1$s$(E,T2), and 2$p_0$ states, respectively. Assuming $n_2(0) = 0$, the solutions for $t \geq 0$ are

$$n_2(t) = n_3(0) e^{-W_{32} t},$$

$$n_1(t) = N - n_3(0) \left( \frac{1}{W_{21} - W_{32}}(W_{32} e^{-W_{32} t} - W_{21} e^{-W_{21} t}) \right).$$

Equations (4) describe this process is based on a three-level system [staircase relaxation 2$p_0$ → 1$s$(E,T2) → 1$s$(A1)].

Note here that the time-dependent part of the pump-probe signal in Eq. (5) depends on the characteristic relaxation rates $W_{32}$ and $W_{21}$ and these rates have a fixed ratio. It should be noted that the three-level model includes an analysis of the population of the involved levels as well as pump intensities through $n_3(0)$, which is proportional to the pump intensity. We fit the experimental data with Eq. (5). There are three fit parameters: $W_{32}$, $W_{21}$, and the initial population $n_3(0)$. Typical results are shown in Fig. 4.

B. Relaxation of the 2$p_\pm$ state in Si:Mg

For these experiments the FEL was tuned to 12.4 $\mu$m (pumping the 2$p_\pm$ state). Relaxation of the 2$p_\pm$ state [Fig. 5(a)] is a more complex process which may have different relaxation paths with a first decay step in the 2$p_0$ state (theoretical estimate is $10^{13}$ s$^{-1}$), in the 1$s$(E,T2) state (theoretical estimate is $1.8 \times 10^9$ s$^{-1}$ assuming the latter binding energy to be 52.5 meV), and in the 2$s$ state (unknown state energy and corresponding decay rate). The relaxation via the 2$s$ state 2$p_\pm$ → 2$s$ → 1$s$ is the fastest for the particular shallow hydrogen donors in silicon [14], but cannot be accurately
estimated for Si:Mg\textsuperscript{0} because of absent knowledge of the binding energy for all involved even-parity Mg\textsuperscript{0} excited states. This channel can contribute significantly to the relaxation of the 2\textsuperscript{p\pm} state for the case of the relatively deep 1s(E, T\textsubscript{2}) state. For the channel via the 1s(E, T\textsubscript{2}) state a three-level model can give again a reasonable description as a two-step process 2\textsuperscript{p\pm} → 1s(E, T\textsubscript{2}) → 1s(A\textsubscript{1}). A three-level model fit for the 2\textsuperscript{p\pm} state [Fig. 5(a)] gives times of τ\textsubscript{32} = W\textsubscript{32} ≈ 530 ± 30 ps and τ\textsubscript{21} = W\textsubscript{21} ≈ 7000 ± 300 ps and a maximum population of the 2\textsuperscript{p\pm} state of n\textsubscript{3}(0)/N ≈ 4 × 10\textsuperscript{-4}. Note that the value W\textsubscript{32} ≈ 1.88 × 10\textsuperscript{9} s\textsuperscript{-1} resulting from this fit corresponds well to the theoretical estimation assuming the larger value of the binding energy of the state 2 [i.e., 1s(E, T\textsubscript{2})].

Assuming the first relaxation step to occur into the 2\textsuperscript{p\pm} state, an obvious description of the three-step process 2\textsuperscript{p\pm} → 1s(E, T\textsubscript{2}) → 1s(A\textsubscript{1}) is a four-level system with the known last two-steps cascade. For the latter case we solve a system of corresponding balance equations for populations n\textsubscript{1}, n\textsubscript{2}, n\textsubscript{3}, n\textsubscript{4} of the involved levels n\textsubscript{1} + n\textsubscript{2} + n\textsubscript{3} + n\textsubscript{4} = N. The corresponding solutions for the populations assuming n\textsubscript{3}(0) = 0, n\textsubscript{4}(0) = 0 are

\begin{align*}
n\textsubscript{4}(t) & = n\textsubscript{4}(0)e^{-W_{43}t}, \\
n\textsubscript{3}(t) & = n\textsubscript{4}(0)\frac{W_{43}}{W_{32} - W_{43}}(e^{-W_{43}t} - e^{-W_{32}t}), \\
n\textsubscript{2}(t) & = n\textsubscript{4}(0)\frac{W_{32}W_{43}}{W_{32} - W_{43}} \left( e^{-W_{43}t} - e^{-W_{21}t} - e^{-W_{32}t} \right), \\
n\textsubscript{1}(t) & = N - n\textsubscript{2}(t) - n\textsubscript{3}(t) - n\textsubscript{4}(t),
\end{align*}

where n\textsubscript{4}(0) is the initial (at t = 0) population of the 2\textsuperscript{p\pm} state, W\textsubscript{43} is the relaxation rate for the 4 → 3 transition. The pump-probe signal is then

\begin{equation}
S(t) = \frac{T(t) - T_{0}(t)}{T_{0}(t)} \approx e^{-\sigma_{1}[n_{1}(t) - n_{4}(t) - N]/N} - 1. \tag{7}
\end{equation}

Fixing the relaxation from the 2\textsuperscript{p\pm} state as it was determined before to τ\textsubscript{32} = W\textsubscript{32} ≈ 270 ps and τ\textsubscript{21} = W\textsubscript{21} ≈ 1700 ps, we get for the pumping case in Fig. 5(a) the best fit for the lifetime of the 2\textsuperscript{p\pm} state τ\textsubscript{43} = W\textsubscript{43} ≈ 1530 ± 130 ps and a maximum population of the 2\textsuperscript{p\pm} state of n\textsubscript{4}(0)/N ≈ 4 × 10\textsuperscript{-4} [Fig. 5(b)]. It should be noted that the fit with a four-level model with the fixed cascade 2\textsuperscript{p\pm} → 1s(E, T\textsubscript{2}) → 1s(A\textsubscript{1}) is worse than with a three-level model cascade 2\textsuperscript{p\pm} → 1s(E, T\textsubscript{2}) → 1s(A\textsubscript{1}). One can see clearly that the chosen four-level model for the decay of the 2\textsuperscript{p\pm} state results in the large deviation of the fit to the experimental data at long delays is obvious and requires significantly larger time τ\textsubscript{21} or significantly shorter time τ\textsubscript{43}. Indeed, the shorter than 1530 ps time is required to fit properly the experimental data in the front of the pump-probe signal in both models, which it turn, interferes with a proper fit at longer times if we fix the three-level cascade 2\textsuperscript{p\pm} → 1s(E, T\textsubscript{2}) → 1s(A\textsubscript{1}) in the four-level model. Thus, we ascribe a characteristic time of about 530 ps required for accurate fitting of the experimental data in the three-level model to the 2\textsuperscript{p\pm} state of Mg\textsuperscript{0} center in Si. For both models the accuracy for the determination of a characteristic time for the long-time component suffers from insufficient data for the probe delays longer than 1.5 ns, the physical limit of the stage in our experiment. Note here that this time is longer than the theoretically predicted one for the 2\textsuperscript{p\pm} → 2\textsuperscript{p\pm} relaxation time (10\textsuperscript{10} s\textsuperscript{-1})\textsuperscript{-1} = 100 ps (Fig. 1).

V. FREE ELECTRON CAPTURE IN Si:Mg\textsuperscript{0}

Capture of free electrons, which are excited into the conduction band, is also a complex process [Fig. 7(a)]. At the same time characteristic times for both relaxations are very similar and clearly longer than those for the relaxation process
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FIG. 7. Pumping the Mg\(^0\) continuum. (a) Typical pump-probe signal and a three-level model fit. The FEL wavelength is 11 µm (~113 meV) and the pump micropulse energy is 1 nJ. The Si:Mg sample was from the same material as in Fig. 4. (b) Population dynamics as derived in the frame of the three-level model.

From the 2\(p_0\) state. For these experiments the FEL was tuned to 11 µm (pumping into the conduction band).

We simplify a model for analysis assuming that the intermediate excited states can be effectively described by a metastable level. This effective three-level model gives \(\tau_{21} \approx 850 \pm 10\) ps and \(\tau_{31} \approx 2300 \pm 50\) ps with the relative population \(n_1/N\) below 1% [Fig. 7(b)].

VI. SUMMARY

In summary, we have studied the dynamics of electrons bound to neutral Mg centers in Si at low lattice temperatures using the pump-probe technique. The measurements could be carried out at very low pump rates, which provide the relative populations of the excited 2\(p_0\) and 2\(p_\pm\) states below \(10^{-3}\) and below 1% for the case of photoionization in the continuum. The data were analyzed in the frame of a three-level and four-level relaxation model. The main result from these experiments is that the shorter time (~270 ps for the 2\(p_0\) state and ~530 ps for the 2\(p_\pm\) state) characterizes the first step of the relaxation of excited electrons while the longer times (about 1.7 ns) indicate the existence of a metastable state, very likely the lowest excited state of the Mg\(^0\) center. The capture of photoionized electrons takes at least 2 ns for recovery of optical transmission in Si:Mg\(^0\). The common bottleneck is apparently determined by the last relaxation step between the lowest excited valley-orbit-split 1\(s(E)\) or/and 1\(s(T_2)\) state and the Mg\(^0\) ground state. The reason for such a long lifetime constant is the large energy gap of this step and absent resonances with principal phonons in Si, enhancing such a relaxation process. Other dynamical characteristics for Mg donors corresponding to transition from odd-parity state(s) into the 1\(s(E)\) and/or the 1\(s(T_2)\) states are somewhat (by about 50%) slower but of the same order of magnitude as those found for more shallow hydrogenlike donor centers in Si, where impurity–single-phonon resonances dominate intracenter relaxation. Accurate theoretical calculations are constrained due to missing data on the binding energies of even-parity excited states, which may play an active role in intracenter relaxation. The remaining discrepancy to the theoretical estimates, in particular for the long relaxation time, is due to two main factors: complex decay routes, which are not properly described by the simplified models, and the limited set of data at large probe delay, making the fit procedure less accurate. Relaxation times derived from the experimental data together with the theoretical estimates for the relaxation rates do not constrain the binding energy of the valley-orbit-split 1\(s(E,T_2)\) states. These missing data require additional nonequilibrium and/or Raman spectroscopy of Si:Mg.
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