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Activation of a T-box-Otx2-Gsc gene network independent of TBP and TBP-related factors

Emese Gazdag1,*, Ulrike G. Jacobi1,*, Ila van Kruisbergen1, Daniel L. Weeks2 and Gert Jan C. Veenstra1,†

ABSTRACT

Embryonic development relies on activating and repressing regulatory influences that are faithfully integrated at the core promoter of individual genes. In vertebrates, the basal machinery recognizing the core promoter includes TATA-binding protein (TBP) and two TBP-related factors. In Xenopus embryos, the three TBP family factors are all essential for development and are required for expression of distinct subsets of genes. Here, we report on a non-canonical TBP family-insensitive (TFI) mechanism of transcription initiation that involves mesoderm and organizer gene expression. Using TBP family single- and triple-knockdown experiments, amanitin treatment, transcriptome profiling and chromatin immunoprecipitation, we found that TFI gene expression cannot be explained by functional redundancy, is supported by active transcription and shows normal recruitment of the initiating form of RNA polymerase II to the promoter. Strikingly, recruitment of Gcn5 (also known as Kat2a), a co-activator that has been implicated in transcription initiation, to TFI gene promoters is increased upon depletion of TBP family factors. TFI genes are part of a densely connected TBP family-insensitive T-box-Otx2-Gsc interaction network. The results indicate that this network of genes bound by Vegt, Oomes, Otx2 and Gsc utilizes a novel, flexible and non-canonical mechanism of transcription that does not require TBP or TBP-related factors.
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INTRODUCTION

The gene expression patterns underlying embryonic development are orchestrated by highly complex gene regulatory networks. Compared with single-cell eukaryotes, metazoans have expanded repertoires of protein-coding genes involved in transcriptional regulation to support these networks (Tupler et al., 2001), but how this diversified transcription machinery is adapted to developmental gene expression is not yet fully understood.

The step-wise mechanism of transcription initiation is known to start with binding of TFIID, a complex of TATA-binding protein (TBP) and TBP-associated factors (TAFs). In this canonical mechanism of transcription initiation TBP binding represents a rate-limiting step before the recruitment of RNA polymerase II (RNAPII) to the core promoter of protein-coding genes (Kim and Iyer, 2004; Klein and Struhl, 1994; Wu and Chiang, 2001). However, the role of TBP is not universal and additional TBP-related factors have been discovered in metazoan genomes (reviewed in Akhtar and Veenstra, 2011; Müller et al., 2010). TBP-related factor (TRF1) was discovered in Drosophila and has only been found in insects (Crowley et al., 1993; Hansen et al., 1997). In vertebrates, the TBP family comprises TBP (present in archaea and all eukaryotes), TFI-like factor (TLF; also known as TBPL1/TRF2; present in all metazoans) and TATA-binding protein 2 (TBP2; also known as TBPL2/TRF3; unique to vertebrates) (Akhtar and Veenstra, 2011). TLF is essential for embryogenesis in Caenorhabditis elegans, Drosophila, zebrafish and Xenopus and for spermatogenesis in mouse (Dantonel et al., 2000; Hart et al., 2007; Kaltenbach et al., 2000; Kopytova et al., 2006; Martinez et al., 2002a; Müller et al., 2001; Veenstra et al., 2000; Zhang et al., 2001). TBP2, which is most closely related to TBP, is required for embryonic development in zebrafish and Xenopus, and for oogenesis in mouse (Bártfai et al., 2004; Gazdag et al., 2009; Hart et al., 2007; Jallow et al., 2004). Core initiation factor switching can be mediated by changes in initiation factor expression (Akhtar and Veenstra, 2009; Jallow et al., 2004). TBP2 replaces TBP as the major initiation factor in oocytes because of an abundance of TBP2 and a lack of TBP. TBP2 is degraded during meiotic maturation (Akhtar and Veenstra, 2009). TBP is virtually absent in oocytes but is translated from maternal stores of mRNA just before the mid-blastula transition when embryonic transcription starts (Gazdag et al., 2007; Veenstra et al., 1999). TBP, TLF and TBP2 regulate different subsets of transcripts during gastrulation and these transcripts functionally link TLF and TBP2 to the metazoan and vertebrate developmental programs (Jacobi et al., 2007).

It is not known however, whether the diversity brought about by TBP family members encompasses all existing initiation mechanisms, or if additional mechanisms exist that are independent of TBP and TBP-related factors. In mouse zygotes, abundant transcription occurs in the absence of TBP without any indication of rescue by the other two TBP-related factors (Martianov et al., 2002b), suggesting that additional mechanisms do exist. Moreover, a human TAF-containing TBP-free complex (TFTC) has been reported to support transcription initiation in vitro (Wieczorek et al., 1998). TFTC is similar to the yeast Spt-Ada-Gcn5-acetyltransferase complex (SAGA) and has a conserved subunit composition across species (Spedale et al., 2012; Wang and Dent, 2014; Wieczorek et al., 1998). This Gcn5-containing complex interacts with TBP but is not stably associated with it (Larschan and Winston, 2001). The histone fold-containing TAF and TAF-like subunits of the complex form a TFIID-like structure (Han et al., 2014). Moreover, like TFIID, SAGA is a reader of the promoter-associated H3K4me3 histone mark (Vermeulen et al., 2010) and is a cofactor of RNAPII-dependent transcription (Bonnet et al., 2014; Nagy et al., 2010).
Here, we investigate to what extent TBP family-independent initiation mechanisms are involved in embryonic gene regulation. We address this question in *Xenopus* embryos by ablation of mRNA encoding TBP, TLF and TBP2 from embryos. Strikingly, our analyses uncover a network of genes that are robustly induced during gastrulation and which recruit RNAPII to the promoter under TBP family triple-knockdown conditions. These data provide new insight into the diversity of transcription initiation and identify a robustly activated embryonic gene network that is supported by a non-canonical mechanism independent of TBP, TLF or TBP2.

**RESULTS**

**TBP family-insensitive gene transcription in early *Xenopus* embryos**

TBP, TLF and TBP2 are all essential for gastrulation and for transcription of partially overlapping subsets of genes in *Xenopus laevis* embryos (Jacobi et al., 2007; Jallow et al., 2004; Veenstra et al., 2000). We asked whether all actively transcribed genes require TBP or one of the TBP family members. In the transcriptome of TBP, TLF and TBP2 knockdown embryos (Jacobi et al., 2007), specific subsets of transcripts can be identified requiring one of these factors in early development (Fig. 1A). Early embryos are loaded with maternal transcripts, many of which are gradually replenished after zygotic genome activation at the mid-blastula stage (stage 8.5). Yet, many of these maternal transcripts are sustained until the end of gastrulation without new transcription. For an analysis of initiation factor requirements, it is therefore important to consider only transcripts that are actively transcribed. Developmentally induced transcripts were identified using statistical change calls (Wilcoxon P<0.05, consistent between replicates) of early blastula (stage 7) and early gastrula (stage 10.5) embryos, with additional filtering for more than 2.8-fold (log2 1.5) change in expression between these stages. Strikingly, among these developmentally activated transcripts, a total of 180 were not affected by ablation of TBP, TLF or TBP2 (Fig. 1A, Table S1), raising the possibility that some transcripts do not require these factors for the rate-limiting step of transcription initiation.

Fig. 1. Analyses of *tbp*, *tlf* and *tbp2* mRNA ablation, embryonic gene activation and α-amanitin treatment reveal TBP family-insensitive gene transcripts. (A) Box plots of the fold change of groups of transcripts upon TBP, TLF or TBP2 knockdown as measured by microarray. Expression ratio of stages 10.5 (early gastrula) and 7 (blastula) is also plotted (10/7). TBP-, TLF- and TBP2-dependent transcripts (first three panels) were identified using a consistent statistical change cut off range is shaded gray in each panel. The unaffected group of transcripts (fourth panel) was identified by selecting for developmentally upregulated transcripts (between stage 7 and 10.5) with no significant change upon injection of TBP family antisense oligos. Boxplots are standard box plots generated in R, showing the interquartile range (IQR) around the median; the whiskers extend from the minimum value to the maximum value unless the distance to the first and third quartiles is more than 1.5 times the IQR. The fold change cut off range is shaded gray in each panel. (B) Phenotype of control and α-amanitin-treated embryos at stage 10.5. (C) Effect of α-amanitin treatment on transcript levels of ‘unaffected’ TFI genes, demonstrating that these transcripts are newly made in the embryo, with the exception of gapdh (maternally provided control) and vegt which is also partly maternally provided. (D) K-means clustering of transcript expression ratios [antisense oligo-treated relative to control; stage 10.5 relative to stage 7; α-amanitin (α-ama) relative to control] of developmentally active transcripts (defined as transcripts that are either developmentally upregulated or affected by α-amanitin at stage 10.5). Each column represents one of two replicate experiments. A cluster of genes insensitive for TBP, TLF, or TBP2 depletion is marked on the left.

### Table S1

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Gene</th>
<th>Log2 Fold Change</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><em>tbp</em></td>
<td>2.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>tlf</em></td>
<td>1.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>tbp2</em></td>
<td>1.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>gapdh</em></td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

α-amanitin was injected into fertilized eggs to inhibit RNAPII. Embryonic transcription is required for the onset of gastrulation (Newport and Kirschner, 1982; Sible et al., 1997) and its inhibition by α-amanitin interferes with the appearance of the blastopore (Fig. 1B). mRNA expression of the set of genes that were unaffected upon knockdown of TBP, TLF or TBP2 (Fig. 1A) was analyzed by RT-qPCR in the presence of α-amanitin. Most of these transcripts were reduced to less than 5% of control levels (Fig. 1C), indicating that these mRNAs are indeed actively transcribed in the embryo. The T-box transcription factor gene *vegt*, which is expressed both maternally and zygotically (Fukuda et al., 2010), was reduced but to a lesser extent than the other genes. The maternally expressed *gapdh* gene was used as a control and its levels were unaffected by α-amanitin.
amanitin. To extend the analysis to all transcripts that depend on new transcription rather than maternal stores, microarray analysis of α-amanitin-treated and control embryos was performed in duplicate. K-means clustering was performed on the relative expression ratios of transcripts (Fig. 1D). Almost all transcripts that were lost in α-amanitin-injected embryos were also strongly induced between early blastula and gastrula stages; the vast majority of these genes were transcriptionally impaired under TBP, TLF or TBP2 knockdown conditions. The exception was a conspicuous cluster of transcripts that, although generated by active transcription and strongly induced during development, were virtually unaffected by knockdown of TBP, TLF or TBP2. These results indicate the presence of a relatively small subset of genes for which normal levels of TBP, TLF or TBP2 are not rate-limiting for transcription. For further analysis, a set of 205 transcripts was selected that (1) were not affected by knockdown of TBP, TLF or TBP2, and (2) were either induced during development (Fig. 1A) or were sensitive to α-amanitin (Fig. 1D). This set includes the 180 developmentally induced transcripts described above, but also includes transcripts that were not strongly induced but required transcription to be maintained in the early gastrula embryo. We will refer to these transcripts as TBP family-insensitive (TFI, Table S1).

Together, these results indicate that a subset of actively transcribed developmental genes do not require normal levels of TBP, TLF or TBP2 for transcription. However, these experiments were performed with individual knockdowns of each of the TBP family members, raising the question whether TBP family members could act redundantly.

**RNA Pol II is recruited to TFI gene promoters in TBP family triple-knockdown embryos**

To test possible redundant functions of TBP, TBP2 and TLF in the transcription of TFI genes, we depleted all three family members by microinjecting a combination of *tbp*, *tbp2* and *tlf* antisense oligonucleotides. We used DMED-modified oligonucleotides that lead to RNaseH-mediated degradation of the targeted mRNA (Dagle and Weeks, 2001). The specificity of the TBP, TBP2 and TLF knockdown reagents has been established with control oligonucleotides and rescue experiments, and the single-knockdown phenotypes have been described previously (Jacobi et al., 2007; Jallow et al., 2004; Veenstra et al., 2000). Morphologically, triple-knockdown (TKD) embryos were undistinguishable from controls until stage 9, but from stage 10 they arrested and did not enter gastrulation. Some pigmentation appeared at the site of the blastopore; however, there were no signs of cell involution (Fig. 2A). We verified TBP depletion at the protein level by western blotting (Fig. 2B, left panel) and analyzed depletion of *tbp2* and *tlf* mRNA by RT-qPCR (Fig. 2B, right panel). Next, we continued to examine active gene expression in TKD embryos. TFI genes that we tested for RNAPII requirement in α-amanitin-injected embryos appeared to be actively transcribed under TKD conditions, although some were expressed at slightly lower levels in these arrested embryos compared with controls (Fig. 2C). By contrast, transcript levels of genes that were originally identified to be dependent on TBP, TLF or TBP2 were severely reduced. To examine the genome-wide potential for redundancy, we performed RNA sequencing in duplicate samples of TKD and control embryos, mapped the results to the recently released *X. laevis* genome and identified which genes were affected by loss of TBP family members (see Materials and Methods and Table S2). The full set of TFI genes was hardly affected by TBP family TKD (Fig. 2D), with transcript levels even slightly increased (Wilcoxon signed rank P-value 0.0022). At a false discovery rate of 10%, only four TFI genes were decreased (*srsf2*, *hnf1b.L*, *hnf1b.S* and *slc7a5*). The finding that TFI genes are robustly transcribed under TKD

![Fig. 2. Analysis of TBP family triple knockdown (ablation of *tbp*, *tlf* and *tbp2* mRNA).](image-url)
The GO fold enrichments are reflected in the sizes of the circles (see scale in gray). Significance but significantly over-represented in these clusters. (C) Gene ontology (GO) term analysis of TFI genes relative to GO terms in all genes (blue) or in organizer by Noggin (Nog) and Dickkopf-1 (Dkk1). Clusters 3 and 3-extended represent organizer genes (Hufton et al., 2006). TFI gene transcripts are moderately expression in the animal, vegetal, dorsal and ventral parts of the embryo. TFI transcripts are not over-represented among ventrally expressed transcripts.

Role of TFI genes in mesoderm, the organizer and other developmental processes

We noticed that many TFI gene transcripts have developmental functions in both mesendoderm specification and the Spemann-Mangold organizer, including well-known genes such as goosecoid (gsc), otx2, foxd3, notochord homeobox (not), zic1, noggin (nog), spry2, admp, eomesodermin (eomes) and vegt. Therefore, we investigated the functional characteristics of the TFI genes. We assessed the spatial localization of TFI gene transcripts using available transcriptome datasets of dissected embryonic tissue of early gastrula (stage 10) embryos, including dorsal blastopore lip (dorsal), ventral marginal zone (ventral), animal cap (animal) and the central part of the yolk plug (vegetal) (Tanegashima et al., 2009; Zhao et al., 2008). Among 15,491 transcripts, 466 were expressed more than 2.8-fold (log2 1.5) higher at the animal pole compared with the vegetal pole. Of these transcripts 12 were TFI (1.9 times more than expected by random chance, hypergeometric P-value 9.3×10−3, Fig. 3A). Twenty-five TFI gene transcripts were more abundant at the vegetal pole than at the animal pole (fourfold enriched, P-value 8.2×10−6), and at the dorsal blastopore lip eight transcripts were enriched relative to the ventral marginal zone (10.2-fold, P-value 7.4×10−8). By contrast, no TFI gene transcripts overlapped with the 43 transcripts that were more highly expressed at the ventral marginal zone compared with the dorsal blastopore lip (Fig. 3A).

This over-representation of TFI gene transcripts among transcripts with dorsal-vegetal gene expression is consistent with roles in mesoderm and the organizer. To determine whether TFI genes were enriched for organizer genes, we investigated the overlap with Noggin-Dickkopf (nog-dkk1)-induced transcripts, which represent transcripts induced by an ectopic organizer (Hufton et al., 2006). Four clusters of transcripts have been identified, of which clusters 3 and 4 correspond to organizer and ventral-posteriorizing transcripts, respectively. TFI gene transcripts were enriched in the organizer cluster 3 (2.3-fold compared with all developmentally upregulated transcripts; P-value, 2×10−5). Also, an extended organizer cluster (Hufton et al., 2006) was enriched with a total of 18 TFI gene transcripts (Fig. 3B). To characterize the biological processes to which TFI gene transcripts contribute, gene ontology (GO) analysis was performed using all genes and developmentally upregulated genes as background gene lists (Fig. 3C, Table S3). TFI gene transcripts were enriched in functional categories linked with dorso-ventral neural tube patterning, negative regulation of muscle development, induction of organ formation, regulation of transcription, chromatin assembly and DNA-protein complex assembly. This illustrates that, apart from mesoderm and organizer gene expression, TFI genes also contribute to other developmental processes.
Role of Gcn5 in gastrulation and embryonic transcription

The Gcn5-containing SAGA co-activator complex could potentially play a role in transcription in vitro and is recruited to promoter histone modifications (Han et al., 2014; Vermeulen et al., 2010; Wieczorek et al., 1998). Gcn5 was not identified as TBP family insensitive because its mRNA is partly maternally derived; however, gcn5 mRNA levels are not affected by knockdown of TBP family members (Fig. S2A). To examine the role of Gcn5 in TFI gene expression we investigated Gcn5 binding by ChIP using two different Gcn5-specific antibodies (Fig. 4A). We found Gcn5 binding close to the annotated transcription start site of bmp4, gsc and fgf8, whereas distal regions were negative (Fig. 4A). To explore a potential role of gcn5 in the transcription of TFI genes, we studied the effect of depletion of gcn5 mRNA by antisense knockdown using DMED-modified oligonucleotides (Fig. 4B). Concordant with specific antibody recognition of Gcn5 on western blot (Fig. S2B), Gcn5 protein was depleted from promoters in antisense-injected embryos compared with controls using ChIP analysis (Fig. 4C).

In gcn5 knockdown embryos, an initial blastopore groove was observed on the dorsal side at beginning of gastrulation (stage 10-10.5) but involution was affected and the embryos entered developmental arrest (Fig. 4D) with signs of apoptosis, mostly at the ventral side (Fig. S2C). To assess the specificity of Gcn5 knockdown (KD), we carried out rescue experiments using in vitro-transcribed mRNA encoding the human protein. The results showed that the phenotype of antisense-injected embryos can be attributed to knockdown of gcn5 (Fig. 4E and Fig. S2D-E). Moreover, the phenotype could also be rescued using Gcn5 with a mutated HAT
To determine how embryonic transcription is changed by the knockdown of Gcn5, we generated transcriptome profiles of early gastrula (stage 10.5) control and Gcn5-KD embryos in duplicate by RNA sequencing (Table S4). Among decreased transcripts [125 at false discovery rate (FDR) 0.1] are those associated with gene ontologies of chromatin modifications (e.g. kdm5b, dnmt1 and ehhmt2), regulation of actin filament polymerization, ubiquitin-dependent degradation and glycogen metabolism (Fig. S2F, Table S5). Among increased transcripts (40 at FDR 0.1) only regulation of transcription was a significant gene ontology (Table S6), notably contributed to by the TFI transcription factor genes gsc and not. In addition, three other TFI genes were upregulated in Gcn5-KD embryos, representing a small but highly significant overlap (hypergeometric P-value 2.8×10⁻⁹). Moreover, transcripts affected by Gcn5-KD and TKD showed similar responses under these conditions (Fig. 4F); TFI gene transcripts were mildly increased in Gcn5-KD embryos (Wilcoxon signed rank P-value 4.4×10⁻⁵) and transcripts upregulated by Gcn5-KD also increased in TKD embryos (Wilcoxon signed rank P-value 6.8×10⁻⁹). These data demonstrate that Gcn5 is important for early embryonic gene transcription, but do not support a model of obligatory and non-redundant TFI transcription initiation by Gcn5.

**Increased binding of Gcn5 to TFI genes under TKD conditions**

Gcn5 has been implicated in targeting activator proteins to the promoters of inducible genes (Cosma et al., 1999), hence we asked whether Gcn5, upon loss of TBP, TLF and TBP2, might contribute to transcription as a co-activator or by supporting basal transcription. Basal transcription is driven by core promoter (Fig. S3B, right panel) and transcription driven by a strong activator.

To relate transcription levels to promoter binding, we carried out ChIP-qPCR experiments to detect TBP and Gcn5 at the promoter under VP16-activated conditions. Additionally, we quantified acetylated histone H3 and histone H4 in control and TKD embryos. The promoter was bound by TBP and relatively little Gcn5 in control embryos. TBP binding was abolished in TKD embryos (Fig. 5A), as expected while the promoter is still driving robust transcription (Fig. S3B). Strikingly, Gcn5 showed the opposite pattern with more than 7-fold stronger binding to the promoter in TKD embryos compared with control embryos. Moreover, robust histone acetylation was detected in both samples. These results show that both basal and activator-dependent transcription can occur under TKD conditions and that compensatory recruitment of Gcn5 might occur in the absence of TBP and TBP-related factors.

We asked whether a similar compensatory binding is observed at endogenous TFI promoters under TKD conditions. We analyzed Gcn5 binding in TKD embryos and compared it with controls. We confirmed the expected loss of TBP binding in TKD embryos (Fig. 5B). The results show that Gcn5 recruitment to the TFI gene promoters of gsc, fgf8 and eomes is significantly higher in TKD embryos than in water-injected controls. Other TFI genes recruit Gcn5, but binding was either unaffected by TKD or decreased. The TBP-dependent mark3 gene recruited some Gcn5 but this was unchanged upon TBP family depletion, similar to spry2. TBP binding was strongly reduced in TKD embryos.

**Fig. 5. Increased promoter binding of Gcn5 in TBP family triple-knockdown embryos.** (A) ChIP analysis of Gcn5, TBP and histone H3 and H4 acetylation under VP16 activating conditions in TKD and control embryos. These experiments were performed in a VP16 transcription activation assay combined with TBP family loss-of-function experiments (cf. Fig. S3). Loss of TBP on the promoter in TKD embryos is compensated by an increase in Gcn5 (first two panels). Histones H3 and H4 are acetylated in both TKD and control embryos (second two panels). (B) Gcn5 is recruited to TFI gene promoters in TBP family triple-knockdown embryos. ChIP reveals enhanced Gcn5 binding when TBP and TBP-related factors are depleted in TKD embryos (blue) compared with water-injected controls (light blue). The intronic region of nadh gene shows background levels. The mark3 gene requires normal TBP levels for expression.
**TFI genes are bound by TFI transcription factors**

To further assess the contribution of transcriptional regulators to TFI gene expression, we examined the involvement of TFI genes in the gene regulatory network of mesendoderm specification (Koide et al., 2005). Strikingly, the mesendoderm specification network is enriched for TFI genes (8 out of 23 mesendoderm specification network genes, hypergeometric P-value 9.3×10^{-13}, Fig. S4A). It has been reported that the T-box binding factors T (Xbra), Vegt and Eomes, which are expressed in the marginal zone, are co-recruited at the regulatory regions of target genes (Gentsch et al., 2013). Also, the recruitment of the organizer-expressed homeobox factors Gsc, Otx2 and Lhx1 (Lim1) has been studied in *X. tropicalis* embryos by ChIP sequencing (Yasuoka et al., 2014). Four of these factors (Vegt, Eomes, Otx2, Gsc) do not require the TBP family for efficient transcription initiation; therefore, these factors could play a role in TFI transcription. Thus, we mapped their binding sites to genes to construct a genomic interaction network (see Materials and Methods). Strikingly, 103 of 126 (82%) of named TFI genes had one or more of the six transcription factors (T, Eomes, Vegt, Gsc, Otx2, Lhx1) bound to their locus, and the same 82% were bound by one or more of the four TFI transcription factors (Eomes, Vegt, Gsc, Otx2; Fig. S4B). Furthermore, Vegt, Otx2 and Gsc form a highly interconnected network that displays all possible mutual interactions between these factors and the genes encoding them, with intertwined putative auto-regulatory, feed-forward and multi-component circuitry (Fig. 6A). TFI genes are more frequently bound by these transcription factors than the set of all genes (Fig. 6B, hypergeometric P-values between 3×10^{-4} and 1×10^{-16}). Downstream TFI genes show a higher degree of coordinated binding; many are bound by four, five or six transcription factors (Fig. 6A,C). We compared the effects of Gsc knockdown and Lhx1/Otx2/Otx5 triple knockdown (Yasuoka et al., 2014) on TFI gene expression (Fig. S4B). TFI genes tend to be upregulated in gsc morphant embryos (65 genes; Wilcoxon P-value, 1×10^{-4}). The effects of combined Lhx1, Otx2 and Otx5 knockdown (only otx2 is a TFI gene) are less clear. Whereas organizer-expressed TFI genes gsc, foxa3 and admp are expressed at lower levels in the triple morphant (lhx1/otx2/otx5) embryos, foxd3, not, rhob and otx2 itself are upregulated (Fig. S4B). Additional interactions, possibly including those mediated by other TFI transcription factors, might explain these non-parsimonious gene regulatory relationships. Together, the data uncover a TFI gene network centered on putative auto-regulatory and multicomponent circuitry of T-box, Otx2 and Gsc binding; the majority of the TFI genes bind these TFI transcriptional regulators.

**DISCUSSION**

TFP is a key transcription initiation factor in eukaryotes; however, genomes of multicellular organisms generally encode one or more TBP-related factors (TLF and TBP2 in vertebrates), as well as additional TFIIA-like and TAF-like factors (Akhtar and Veenstra, 2011; Duttte et al., 2014; Goodrich and Tjian, 2010; Müller and Tora, 2004, 2014). Indeed, although TBP is essential for viability, not all transcription requires TBP in fish, frog and mouse embryos (Martinov et al., 2002b; Müller et al., 2001; Veenstra et al., 2000). In yeast, and on human promoters in *vitro*, TBP binding is a rate-limiting step for transcription (Kim and Iyer, 2004; Klein and Struhl, 1994; Wu and Chiang, 2001). There are known differences in core promoter recognition within the TBP family; TLF/TRF2 recognizes TCT, Inr and DPE core promoter elements (Kedmi et al., 2014; Wang et al., 2014), whereas TBP2 recognizes TATA boxes in a similar manner to TBP (Bártfai et al., 2004; Jallow et al., 2004). Apart from these differences, TBP-related factors are thought to support transcription initiation in a comparable fashion. Concordantly, when tbp, tif and tbp2 mRNA transcripts are ablated in *Xenopus* embryos, more than 90% of actively transcribed genes are affected (Fig. 1D). However, we have identified and characterized a small cluster of transcripts that are...
network, and its high degree of connectivity has attractor properties that are expected for circuitry that confers cellular identity. The positively acting Eomes-VegT and Eomes-VegT-Otx2 modules probably lock in mesodermal and organizer identity in the marginal zone and organizer regions, respectively. This highly connected mesoderm and organizer subnetwork not only has interesting network properties, its mechanisms of transcription initiation are also highly flexible and do not strictly require TBP, TLF or TBP2. The TBP family-independent T-box-OTX2-Gsc core network of transcription factors identified here might therefore provide autonomous regulatory control to TFI genes, facilitated by the plasticity in transcription initiation. The binding properties of Gcn5, as well as the finding that the core promoter might be sufficient to drive TFI gene transcription, suggests that this is not merely a byproduct of strong activation but an inherent property of the transcription machinery. The plasticity of transcription initiation could provide robustness to the developmental network and accommodate the highly diverse gene regulatory requirements of the developmental program.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Animals

Outbred *Xenopus laevis* (age 1-5 years) were used for *in vitro* fertilization. All procedures were performed after obtaining approval from the Radboud University Ethics Committee for Experimental Animal Research (Ru-dec 2014-122).

Antisense knockdown and Gcn5 rescue

Knockdown of TBP, TLF and TBP2 was performed as reported previously (Jacobi et al., 2007; Jallow et al., 2004; Veenstra et al., 2000). Stable antisense (AS) DNA oligonucleotides were synthesized with dimethyl-ethylenedi-amino (DMED) modifications (Dagle and Weeks, 2001). For TKD of TBP family members, 0.8 ng TBP-AS, 1.2 ng TBP2-AS and 1.0 ng TLF-AS was co-injected into 1-cell stage embryos. For knockdown of *gcn5* (kat2a) mRNA, a DMED-modified oligonucleotide Gcn5-AS (BJ220), antisense to position 220-237 of the BJ072879 EST, was designed: 5′-T+C+A+A+A+CACAAGC+C+G+G+G+G+T+T-3′ (+ denotes DMED-modified linkages). 0.8 ng of Gcn5-AS (BJ220) was used for knockdown. In rescue experiments, mRNA transcribed from pcDNA3.1-Myc-His-hGcn5 was used (Yanagisawa et al., 2002). To express catalytically dead ΔHAT Gcn5, pcDNA3.1-Flag-hGcn5-ΔHAT construct was used with E575A and D615A point mutations (Oprinell et al., 2010). To inhibit transcription, 30 ng α-amanitin per embryo was injected. *In situ* TUNEL (Tdt-mediated dUTP nick end labeling) analysis for detection of apoptosis was performed in whole mount as described (Veenstra et al., 1998).

Gene expression analysis

RNA was isolated using Trizol (Life Technologies) and RNeasy columns (Qiagen). Reverse transcription was performed with Superscript II (Life Technologies) and cDNAs were measured using iQ SYBR Green Supermix (Qiagene). Reverse transcription was performed with Superscript II (Life Technologies) and cDNAs were measured using iQ SYBR Green Supermix and a C1000 thermal cycler CX96 reader system (Bio-Rad). For microarray analysis, 10 μg total RNA was processed with Affymetrix one-cycle kit. Labeling and hybridization was done according to Affymetrix GeneChip instructions. Microarray data were scaled with GeneChip Operating Software (GCOS) by comparing samples with stage 10.5 control embryos and transferred to Spotfire Decision Site 7.3 for analysis. K-means clustering (k=8, Pearson correlation) was performed using TM4 MeV (http://www.tm4.org/) (Saeed et al., 2003).

For RNA sequencing, total RNA was isolated and depleted of ribosomal RNA, as previously described (Paranjpe et al., 2013). Libraries were prepared with the Kapa Hyper Prep kit (Kapa Biosystems). Reads were mapped to the reference *X. laevis* genome assembly JGI9.1, downloaded from Xenbase (James-Zorn et al., 2015), using STAR (Dobin et al., 2013) and allowing one mismatch. Differential transcripts were identified using DESeq (Anders and Huber, 2010), controlling the false discovery rate at 10% using the adjusted *P*-value.
For analysis of localized expression of TFI gene transcripts, GEO GSE8990 data (Tanegashima et al., 2009) was downloaded and normalized in R/Bioconductor using RNA limma (Irizarry et al., 2003). Animal-vegetal and dorsal-ventral log₂ ratios were calculated and differentially expressed transcripts were filtered based on log₂ ratio differences greater than 1.5. A comparison of TFI gene transcripts with nog-dkl1-induced transcripts was performed based on Affymetrix IDs provided in Hufton et al. (2006). For gene ontology (GO) term analysis Affymetrix IDs were mapped to Xenbase gene names and enrichment analysis was carried out using DAVID (Huang et al., 2007). GO terms were filtered using number of term items ≥10, FDR ≤0.05 and fold enrichment ≥2.

Gene network analysis
Regulatory interactions of the mesendoderm specification network (Koide et al., 2005) were visualized using BioTapestry (Longabaugh, 2012). For analysis of T, VegT, Eomes, Gsc, Lhx1 and Otx2 binding, ChIP-seq data (Gentsch et al., 2013; Yasuoka et al., 2014) was mapped to X. tropicalis assembly. Peaks were called using MACS2 (Zhang et al., 2008) with q<0.001. To obtain high-confidence peak sets, RPMK read coverage values were calculated and peaks were filtered for RPMK greater than 99% of the RPMK in the input tracks for the same peak regions. Filtered peaks were assigned to GREAT regions (McLean et al., 2010) of xtev 3.4 genes (Paranjpe et al., 2013). The P-value of the hypergeometric distribution was used to determine statistical significance of over-representation.

Chromatin immunoprecipitation
Chromatin immunoprecipitation (ChIP) was performed as described previously (Akkers et al., 2012; Jallow et al., 2004). Antibodies were bound to 1/10 volume of magnetic beads in suspension and manufacturer’s instructions were followed (Dynabeads Magnetic Separation Kit). The following antibodies were used: goat polyclonal anti-GCN5 (N-18) (sc-6303, Santa-Cruz Biotechnology); 1:100; 2 μg/ChIP) and rabbit monoclonal C26A10 anti-GCN5 (30355, Cell Signaling; 10× concentrated in 1×PBS without preservatives, 1:100 diluted for western blot; and 1:40, 1-2 μg/ChIP), anti-RNAII-CTD-phospho-Ser5 3E8 (ActiveMotif; 1:40, 5 μg/ChIP), anti-RNAII-CTD SVG16 (MMS-126R, Covance/BioLegend; 1:100, 1 μg/ChIP), anti-acetyl histone H3 (06-599, Millipore; 1:100; 1 μg/ChIP) and mouse monoclonal SL30 anti-TBP (D9L11, Covance/BioLegend; 1:100, 1 μg/ChIP) and mouse monoclonal SL30 anti-TBP (D9L11, Covance/BioLegend; 1:100; 1 μg/ChIP). Real-time quantitative PCR was carried using iQ SYBR Green Supermix and C1000 thermal cycler CFX96 reader system (Bio-Rad).

VP16 transcription activation assay in embryos
Embryos at 1-cell stage were injected with 0.3 ng in vitro-transcribed mRNAs (MessageMachine Kit, Ambion) to express a fusion protein of the Gal4 DNA-binding domain and the VP16 activation domain (Gal4-VP16) or Gal4 DNA-binding domain (Gal4DBD) to obtain activating and non-activating conditions on 0.15 ng of a co-injected DNA template containing an HSV-tk promoter with five Gal4 binding sites. CAT reporter transcripts were measured using RT-qPCR.
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