Ninke Stukker, Wilbert Spooren and Gerard Steen

Genre in Language, Discourse and Cognition: Introduction to the volume

1 Why a new book on genre?

The notion of ‘genre’ designates a conventional way of performing communicative activities using language. Examples of genres include novels, poems, songs, films, news broadcasts, news features, speeches, debates, meetings, classes, conversations, chat, email, web pages, and so on. All of these are text-based activities that people engage in for a wide variety of reasons and in a broad variety of manners. However, this variation is both enabled *and* restricted by concerted action a constant structure involving language, discourse, and cognition. This is captured by the notion of ‘genre’, which is a well-established concept in communication science, discourse studies, stylistics and applied linguistics. Genre has also proven to be a useful concept in the area of language pedagogy and other educational contexts. The concept has a long tradition in the study of arts and rhetoric, dating back to Antiquity.

In view of the omnipresence of ‘genre’ across research domains, it is surprising that we hardly know how genre *operates* from linguistic, discoursal and cognitive points of view. This may be due to the fact that genre is a complex and multifaceted concept, comprising linguistic, pragmatic, and content-related knowledge with psychological, social and communicative aspects, and thus crosses traditional theoretical borders in linguistics and beyond. Another reason may be that research on genre faces a number of empirical problems, one crucial issue being that assumed models are not always unambiguously reflected in the linguistic form of ‘real life’ genre texts and events.

This volume intends to explore how recent insights regarding the relation between language, discourse and cognition may contribute to solving a number of long-standing empirical and theoretical problems surrounding the concept of ‘genre’. Examples of such questions are the degree to which genre is anchored in language use, the role of genre in discourse processing, the relationship between the concept of genre and schematic knowledge, etcetera. A second, and closely related goal is to advance our ideas about how to conceptualize and theorize about the role of genre in the study of language, discourse and cognition.

Ninke Stukker, Center for Language and Cognition Groningen, University of Groningen

Wilbert Spooren, Centre for Language Studies, Radboud University Nijmegen

Gerard Steen, Amsterdam Center For Language and Communication, University of Amsterdam

The importance of language as a base-material for constructing genres is beyond doubt. Linguistic regularities play an important role in genres. Yet, a satisfactory account of the role of language in genre construction has been lacking to date. This is a surprising finding, as it is very likely that insights from linguistics will be helpful for understanding how genres are ‘operated’ by their users. Two chapters in this book in particular (De Haan-Vis and Spooren; Martinez Insua) demonstrate how linguistic theoretical concepts can be used both for describing and explaining specific communicative functions associated with genres. When considering the relation between genre and language from the opposite direction, we find that our understanding of the role of genre in language is incomplete, to say the least. How can genre-related linguistic regularities be integrated in linguistic theory? The present volume contains a number of chapters investigating how genre phenomena may be integrated with existing theoretical models in construction grammar and frame-semantics (Nikiforidou), and usage-based theory of language (Stukker). Other chapters introduce sophisticated research methods such as eye-tracking (Canestrelli, Mak and Sanders) and corpus analysis using logistic regression as a statistical method (De Haan-Vis and Spooren; Li, Sanders and Evers-Vermeul) enabling us to get a more detailed and precise understanding of how exactly language and genre-related factors interact in creating genre phenomena.

Another issue concerns the role of genre in situated discourse, including processes of production and reception. The papers in this volume propose to look upon genre as an integral part of human cognition, as has been common for the language system for some decades. In the past we have experienced that many researchers have rejected genre as an important theoretical notion because it introduced so much variation in the study of language and discourse that it seemed impossible to get a grip on that variety. This has resulted in proposals that have moved the focus from genre to register (Biber 2006; Biber and Conrad 2009), which strongly emphasize the role of linguistic patterns in differentiations between genres. A number of papers in this volume, by contrast, demonstrate that we can consider genre as a cognitive construct and hence that it is the flexibility of the human mind that allows us to deal with the almost infinite variety associated with genre forms manifested in discourse. The book contains chapters that demonstrate how changes in the social or rhetorical context of a given genre result in form differences without losing genre integrity (Kuna; Beigman Klebanov, Kaufer, Yeoh, Ishizaki and Holtzman). Two other chapters explore the limits of genre variation: when and why should we view a given member of a genre family as a distinct category? These chapters demonstrate how language users manipulate form aspects in response to changing

social or physical circumstances – in order to negotiate which one of two competing genres is being performed in social interaction (McKellin) or indeed to create a completely new genre in digital media (Porto Requejo and Alonso Belmonte).

Whereas all of the studies reported in this book provide evidence in favor of the general idea that discourse genres are cognitive constructs, recognized, maintained and employed by members of a given discourse community, an important remaining question is: *how* exactly is genre knowledge organized, stored and handled in cognition? Do language users differentiate among genres on a high level of abstraction using only a small number of binary distinctions such as spoken vs. written and narrative vs. non-narrative modes, as has been suggested by for example Georgakopoulou and Goutsos (1997)? Or are matters more complicated than that and do people have a complex genre repertoire, with distinct schemas for distinct genres including various types of knowledge, as suggested by Steen (2011)? The volume also contains a number of papers addressing the architecture of genre in cognition, investigating potential parallels between the organization of genre knowledge and the more general cognitive structure of frames (Sinding; Nikiforidou; Piata), testing Steen's (2011) model built around the notions of context, text and code (L'Hôte and Debras) or studying processes of genre acquisition (Lassen).

2 Background

Our interest does not come out of the blue and is part of a growing body of work on these fundamental issues. It shares with a number of previous book publications (for example Wanner and Dorgeloh (2010) and Halmari and Virtanen (2005)) the ambition to bridge the gap between genre and linguistic theory as well as the ambition to do so by building on converging empirical evidence. But the present volume differs by the fact that it explicitly views grammar as only *one* of the conceptual systems contributing to genre meaning and functions. Additionally, whereas a number of important recent contributions to our understanding of genre phenomena have focused on very specific discourse domains – for example Halmari and Virtanen focus on genres within the class of persuasive discourse, Giltrow and Stein (2010) on the structures and functions of internet genres and Bateman (2008) on the multimodal structures and functions of documents that comprise one page – our volume includes a larger variety of discourse contexts such as face to face interaction, literary fiction, folk tales, news discourse and medical discourse.

This broad orientation we share with Biber and Conrad (2009), who focus on corpus analysis of register and style in different genres and text types. However, the present volume differs in that Biber and Conrad solely use a corpus linguistic methodology and restrict themselves to the analysis of (English) language. The chapters in our book volume additionally include psychological processes of discourse production and reception, and the social processes of interaction and represent a larger variety of European and non-European languages.

As may be gleaned from these comments, we believe that the broad perspective proposed in the present book volume is a prerequisite for understanding genre in relation to language, discourse and cognition on a more fundamental level. In addition, we believe that the urgency to study genre from different perspectives has dramatically increased because of the rapid development and evolution of genres that we see around us as a consequence of the advent of new media technologies. The notion of genre has been central for many students of language and discourse, stretching back to Aristotle's classic distinction between lyric, epic and dramatic literary texts, but these are particularly exciting times to study genre. We seem to live in a permanent laboratory of emerging and changing genres: twenty years ago we did not envisage a multimodal, multi-channel genre like the Facebook timeline or the health app. 'Genre competence' is important to fully participate in a discourse community. Consequently, a period of 'genre revolution' as we experience right now poses a challenge to that genre competence. Competent participants of discourse communities constantly need to adapt their idea of what a genre is. For example, while the older generation is finally accepting email as a standard genre, for younger generations it is rapidly becoming obsolete. As a result, we need to expand Swales' (1990: 58) well-known definition of genre as 'a class of communicative events, the members of which share some set of communicative purposes recognized by the expert members of the discourse community, shaping the schematic structure of the discourse and constraining choices of content and style' to consider genre as the multi-faceted, multidimensional and dynamic concept it appears to be, including linguistic, social, and content-related knowledge and is somehow stored in cognition. The chapters in this book set out to explore those different aspects and their interaction in more detail.

We bring together researchers from different backgrounds and different paradigms. The contributions to this volume start from a shared assumption that 'genre' is a distinct concept stored in, and handled by human cognition in a way comparable to other conceptual models (frames, scripts, scenarios). But authors differ with respect to their focus of attention on either the linguistic, discursal or cognitive dimension of the concept. The volume contains contributions from people working in cognitive linguistics, who discuss issues like:

should we look upon genre as a Goldbergian (1995) construction but at a macro level (Nikiforidou) or as a *frame* in the sense of Fillmore (1982, 1985) (Sinding; Piata)? How can genre-related linguistic regularities be integrated in a usage-based cognitive theory of language in the tradition of Bybee and Hopper (2001) and Barlow and Kemmer (2000) (Stukker)? Cognitive linguistic concepts are enriched with concepts from neighboring linguistic disciplines such as systemic functional grammar (Martinez Insua) and sociolinguistics (McKellin). But it also contains contributions from the field of literary studies, investigating how genre accounts for interpretational phenomena (Piata; Sinding) and psycholinguistics investigating actual processing of genre (Canestrelli et al.) It contains contributions from anthropology (McKellin), corpus linguistics (Li et al.; De Haan-Vis and Spooren, and educational psychology (Beigman Klebanov et al). The contributions in this volume range from topics like the conceptualization of genre through the dynamic nature of genre (Kuna; Martinez Insua; Porto Requejo and Alonso Belmonte; De Haan-Vis and Spooren) to the acquisition and identification of genre (Lassen; Beigman Klebanov et al.; L'Hôte and Debras), and the relation between genre and linguistic variation (Li et al; Canestrelli et al.; Stukker). We believe that bringing together these different perspectives and approaches building on a shared foundation of more general insights from the cognitive sciences is an important first step in getting to understand the multifaceted nature of genre.

These different backgrounds and paradigms also exhibit a rich variety in research methodologies. The volume presents research that uses sophisticated qualitative and quantitative analyses and experimentation, from ethnographic genre analysis to the study of eye movements, from hypothetical-inductive linguistic analysis to large-scale quantitative corpus analysis. By combining these research strategies the volume allows for a more refined empirical understanding of the genre concept in relation to language, discourse and cognition, its interactions and its representation in the linguistic system and in human cognition, as well as its operation in real life discourse processes.

3 How is the book organized?

The volume's central questions are: What does genre knowledge consist of; how is it organized and stored in cognition, how is it applied in discourse production and interpretation, and how is it reflected in language use? It starts from the assumption that linguistic variation, and interaction between interpretive sources of various nature (content, grammar, social context) should not be viewed as 'problems', but instead as a fundamental characteristic of human cognition

and communication. The chapters in this volume each take either language, discourse or cognition as their starting point, and establish connections with one or both of the other facets. The volume is subdivided into three parts accordingly.

3.1 Section 1: Genre in language

The ‘genre in language’ part of the book focuses on the nature of the linguistic regularities characterizing genres. Questions addressed include: How can we use linguistic theoretical concepts to more adequately understand genre phenomena? How can linguistic regularities associated with genre be integrated in linguistic theory? What does commonly assumed interaction of genre with language exactly look like?

In linguistic theory, genre has traditionally been regarded as an epiphenomenon. Grammar – the ‘rules’ you need to know in order to master a language – and genre – a conventional way of performing an established communicative activity using language – have traditionally been viewed as distinct phenomena. Grammatical rules (e.g. ‘use present tense to refer to an event that overlaps with the moment of speaking’) are assumed to reside deeply entrenched in human cognition, and to apply to small linguistic patterns, typically sentences. Genre, on the other hand, refers to the level of discourse, to linguistic units typically larger than one sentence. It refers to the phenomenon that the same event in reality may be put into words differently, depending on the communicative function the text has to fulfill; for example a news report (*Tuesday night a resident of Oak Street caught a burglar in the act*), or a crime story (*Cautiously she advanced through the dark corridor to the kitchen door and gasped for breath: someone was rummaging through the kitchen drawers!*). Despite obvious commonalities between the objects of study of linguistic theory and genre theory – for one thing: sentence grammar and genre rules may be perceived as systems of conventions in highly similar ways – attempts at integrating theoretical concepts from both research traditions are rare.

The first three chapters in this book part enrich linguistic theory by extending linguistic theoretical concepts to genre phenomena. **Li, Sanders and Evers-Vermeul** show that genre conventions sometimes do, and sometimes don’t affect usage patterns of causal connectives in Chinese. The authors conclude that the degree of genre-sensitivity depends on the robustness of the semantic profiles of the connectives. A mechanism of pragmatic strengthening explains why genre affects interpretation of connectives having a non-specific profile, whereas the conceptual import of connectives having a specific profile remains constant across genres. The methodology that the authors use is loglinear analysis

of corpus data, enabling them to compare the relative weight of conceptual factors belonging to the inherent semantics of the connective and conceptual factors belonging to genre.

Canestrelli, Mak and Sanders also investigate the interaction between genre and linguistic conventions for causal connectives, in Dutch news genres. They use an eye movement paradigm to investigate experimentally to what extent the reader's awareness of the genre he or she is reading affects the interpretation and processing of causal connectives. They show that the interpretation of so-called subjective connectives crucially involves the representation of a perspective or mental space, a process which is reflected in longer eye fixations. They also show that the presence of cues signaling persuasive genres leads to longer reading times. The authors use Mental Spaces Theory to account for their results.

The chapter by **Stukker** reports a corpus-based study of the Dutch Simple Present tense across genres. Her findings suggest that the concept of genre does not only affect patterns of *use* of the Simple Present, but that it may additionally affect the *meaning potential* of this linguistic form. She proposes to treat genre within usage-based linguistic theory, introducing the functional dimension of language use to the set of situational concepts defining linguistic conventions, on a par with the social and culture-historical dimensions usually focused on in the usage-based theoretical framework.

Two other chapters demonstrate how linguistic theoretical concepts can be used both for describing and explaining specific communicative functions associated with genres. Analyzing a corpus of early modern English medical texts (1500–1700 roughly) **Martinez Insua** shows how the concept of 'textual meta-function', originating from the Sydney School of systemic functional linguistics (Halliday and Matthiessen 2004) is helpful to account for a selection among the possible discourse organizing functions of the initial position of clauses – called 'theme' – and the intended audience of a text. Typical of SFG approaches to the study of language is the strong focus on the social function of language, an aspect of language use that has been claimed to be lacking in cognitive approaches to language, although the current trend towards socially informed cognitive linguistics (Geeraerts and Kristiansen 2014) seems to bridge this gap (see also McKellin, this volume).

The chapter by **De Haan-Vis and Spooren** bridges the gap between the book themes 'Genre in language' and 'genre in discourse'. The authors use the linguistic concept of subjectivity to investigate how a variety of Dutch news genres adapted to a broader societal tendency towards 'informalization' (Fairclough 1994). A large-scale corpus analysis is conducted to investigate the diachronic development of a number of Dutch news genres over a period of 50 years

(1950–2000). The authors use logistic regression as a statistical analysis, a sophisticated quantitative method of analysis which enables them to chart detailed interactions between usage patterns of linguistic elements, genre conventions and changing conventions regarding informalization and subjectivity in news discourse.

3.2 Genre in discourse

The ‘genre in discourse’ part of the book focuses on aspects of the communicative functions characterizing genres. The issues discussed include: how does genre as a cognitive phenomenon contribute to our understanding of communicative activities using language? And how does the nature of communicative activities inform our understanding of genre? The chapters in this theme fruitfully use knowledge and methods from neighboring disciplines such as anthropology, sociology, cultural history, literary studies and educational psychology. This part of the book contains a number of chapters that deal with the way genres change and develop over time and with the emergence of new genres.

Beigman Klebanov, Kaufer, Yeoh, Ishizaki and Holtzman investigate on the basis of a corpus of more than 500 student essays whether the linguistic form of an argumentative genre varies in accordance with characteristics of the broader social context. The authors use measures from information theory and information retrieval to quantify genre differences between sets of texts. They find that argumentative writing in contexts differing in time pressure and urgency is highly public, academic, reasoned, and non-descriptive. These characteristics of argumentative writing survive a significant change in the writing context, thereby supporting the viability of genre, or common purpose of writing, as a stable characteristic of texts with a systematic linguistic manifestation. However, it is also found that arguments written for assessment exhibit the characteristic properties of argumentative writing to an even greater extent than argumentative writing for a course assignment. Taken together, the results provide empirical evidence that writing in a particular genre has a decisive impact on the rhetorical choices, yet, in a given context, genre operates in interaction with other characteristics of the context.

Kuna sees genres as flexible, open categories. They emerge, change and possibly disappear as dictated by the social-communicative demands of their users. This paper demonstrates the cognitive approach to genres through the analysis of a corpus of early (16th and 17th century) Hungarian medical recipes. The analysis focuses primarily on recipes as linguistic and textual products in the context of their social and communicative conditions, and demonstrates the viability of viewing genres as complex cognitive schemas or scripts.

Piata extends the conception of genre as a commonly shared cognitive schema to processes of production and interpretation of poetic discourse. Building on the tradition of the newly developing of schema poetics (Stockwell 2002) the author views genre (in particular, literature) as a schematic mental representation that is shared among language users and guides their interaction with literary texts. On the basis of linguistic data in Modern Greek poetry, the author aims to demonstrate that schema poetics lends itself to a conceptual integration analysis in the form of a grounding box of poetry that is involved in the conceptual integration of frame structure in metaphorical expressions of time.

Two other chapters investigate how language users manipulate form aspects in response to changing social or physical circumstances. **McKellin** focuses on communicative processes of genre, treating it as a form of social action. The chapter explores genre, discourse, and social interaction by investigating the oral traditions of the Managalase of Papua New Guinea and the dynamics of an indirect form of rhetoric that politically sophisticated men and women employ in social and political negotiations – a genre named *ha'a*. Stories told in this genre are ambiguous, often referred to as “trick” stories that are used to avoid direct confrontation when negotiating social and political relations. In a detailed qualitative analysis of instantiations of *ha'a* giving attention to both genre and interaction, McKellin juxtaposes two aspects of language: the conventional expectations conveyed by genre and the emerging dynamics of communicative interaction among individuals- speakers, writers, and their respective audiences, as mediated by their utterances and texts.

The chapter by **Porto Requejo and Alonso Belmonte** investigates the emergence of ‘newsbites’ as a genre in digital news discourse. A corpus of newsbites as instantiated in on-line mainstream Spanish newspapers was considered and explored to ground the maturity of this digital news genre as the product of the social interaction with the virtual community of users. Their analysis evidences that newsbites have evolved into a conventional structure on its own and that this development is the result of the needs and expectations of the readers as well as the constraints and requirements of the digital medium.

3.3 Genre in cognition

In themselves, any of the studies reported in this book provides evidence in favor of the general idea that discourse genres are cognitive constructs, recognized, maintained and employed by members of a given discourse community. The chapters addressing the ‘genre in cognition’ theme, however, specifically

1 focus on the question exactly *how* genre, taking into account its many facets, is
 2 represented in human cognition. This part of the book presents a number of
 3 papers that each discusses how we should conceptualize the role of genre vis-
 4 à-vis language, discourse and cognition. Each specifies in its own way what it
 5 means that genres are conventional ways of performing communicative activities
 6 using language, drawing on a variety of theoretical frameworks and genres.

7 Cognitive perspectives on genre can draw on research on categorization to
 8 understand how people categorize texts for various purposes, and try to account
 9 for those categorizations by describing the parameters on which they are based,
 10 and how those parameters are organized. In this spirit, **Sinding** attempts a
 11 theoretical model of genre that organizes genre parameters in terms of the con-
 12 cept of “frame”. This model is oriented to the phenomenon of genre mixture,
 13 which occurs in many ways in many kinds of genres. The model can help
 14 characterize particular genres, or the genres of particular texts or parts of texts.

15 **Nikiforidou** integrates linguistic and cognitive aspects of genre in the
 16 linguistic theoretical framework of construction grammar. She proposes that a
 17 constructional approach to genre can model the relationship between form and
 18 (communicative) function in terms of Fillmorean (1982, 1985) frames, which
 19 contain conceptual and interactional structure along with frame-evoking (possibly
 20 frame-specific) language. The concept of framing, enriched with constructional
 21 methodology, is thus used to capture some of the factors involved in speakers’
 22 knowledge of genre. In a constructional approach linguistic, cognitive, and dis-
 23 coursual parameters are of equal importance, which in turn has implications for
 24 the analysis of genre.

25 The chapter by **L’Hôte and Debras** applies Steen’s (2011) genre model com-
 26 prising context, text and code to a corpus of British party conference speeches.
 27 They show that awareness of the genre conventions of the British party conference
 28 speech comprising context, text and code is a prerequisite for understanding
 29 seemingly divergent elements as a coherent whole. The authors take a multi-
 30 modal perspective on genre, showing that genre functions associated with the
 31 genre of political speeches are actualized not only by using language, but also
 32 by gesturing by and facial expression of the speaker and audience reactions
 33 (applause, laughter, etc.) Based on a large corpus of recent texts (1994–2013)
 34 and a video recording of Tony Blair’s 2006 speech to the Labour party conference,
 35 the study combines quantitative and qualitative analyses, and includes vocal
 36 and visual aspects of speech delivery.

37 **Lassen** studies how students acquire genre knowledge, exploring how
 38 novices use recognizable patterns to make claims about generic structure, and
 39 what may guide them in assigning a text to a genre category in situations when
 40

they do not have access to a relevant model for analyzing genre. How do novice students without prior knowledge of a specific genre manage to assign it to a genre category? To what extent do top performing students adapt acquired knowledge of genre theory and genre analysis methods to new learning situations compared to low performing students? And what may explain possible differences in adaptation performances by the two groups of students? To answer these questions the author draws on a discourse analysis of a sample of 55 undergraduate exam papers testing students' competences in genre analysis.

References

- Barlow, Michael & Suzanne Kemmer. 2000. *Usage-based models of language*. Stanford: CSLI Publications.
- Bateman, John A. 2008. *Multimodality in genre: A foundation for the systematic analysis of multimodal documents*. Houndmills: Palgrave Macmillan.
- Biber, Douglas. 2006. *University language: A corpus-based study of spoken and written registers*. Amsterdam & Philadelphia: John Benjamins.
- Biber, Douglas & Susan Conrad. 2009. *Register, genre, and style*. Cambridge, etc.: Cambridge University Press.
- Bybee, Joan & Paul Hopper (eds). 2001. *Frequency and the emergence of linguistic structure*. Amsterdam & Philadelphia: John Benjamins.
- Fairclough, Norman. 1994. Conversationalization of public discourse and the authority of the consumer. In Russel Keat, Nigel Whiteley & Nicholas Abercrombie (eds), *The authority of the consumer*. 253–268. London: Routledge.
- Fillmore, Charles J. 1982. Frame semantics. In The Linguistic Society of Korea (ed.), *Linguistics in the morning calm*, 111–137. Seoul: Hanshin.
- Fillmore, Charles J. 1985. *Frames and the semantics of understanding*. Quaderni di Semantica 6. 222–254.
- Georgakopoulou, Alexandra & Dionysis Goutsos. 1997. *Discourse analysis. An introduction*. Edinburgh: Edinburgh University Press.
- Geeraerts, Dirk & Kristiansen, Gitte. 2014. Cognitive linguistics and linguistic variation. In: John Taylor & Jeannette Littlemore (eds), *The Bloomsbury Companion to Cognitive Linguistics* 202–217. London: Bloomsbury Academic.
- Giltrow, Janet & Dieter Stein. 2009. *Genres in the internet: issues in the theory of genre*. Amsterdam & Philadelphia: John Benjamins.
- Goldberg, Adele. 1995. *Constructions: A Construction Grammar approach to argument structure*. Chicago: The University of Chicago Press.
- Halliday, M. A. K. and Christian M. I. M. Matthiessen. 2004. *An introduction to Functional Grammar*. London: Arnold. 3rd edn.
- Halmari, Helena & Tuija Virtanen (eds). 2005. *Persuasion across genres. A linguistic approach*. Amsterdam & Philadelphia: John Benjamins.

1 Steen, Gerard. 2011. Genre between the humanities and the sciences. In M. Callies, W. Keller &
2 A. Lohffer (eds), *Bi-directionality in the Cognitive Sciences*, 21–42. Amsterdam & Philadel-
3 phia: John Benjamins.

4 Stockwell, Peter. 2002. *Cognitive poetics: An introduction*. London: Routledge.

5 Swales, John. 1990. *Genre Analysis: English in Academic and Research Settings*. Cambridge:
6 Cambridge University Press.

7 Wanner, Anja & Heidrun Dorgeloh (eds). 2010. *Syntactic variation and genre. (Topics in English
8 linguistics 70)*. Berlin & New York: De Gruyter Mouton.

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

30

31

32

33

34

35

36

37

38

39

40