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The dipole moments of the ground and lowest electronically excited singlet state of 5-methoxyindole
have been determined by means of optical Stark spectroscopy in a molecular beam. The resulting
spectra arise from a superposition of different field configurations, one with the static electric field
almost parallel to the polarization of the exciting laser radiation, the other nearly perpendicular.
Each field configuration leads to different intensities in the rovibronic spectrum. With an automated
evolutionary algorithm approach, the spectra can be fit and the ratio of both field configurations can be
determined. A simultaneous fit of two spectra with both field configurations improved the precision
of the dipole moment determination by a factor of two. We find a reduction of the absolute dipole
moment from 1.59(3) D to 1.14(6) D upon electronic excitation to the lowest electronically excited
singlet state. At the same time, the dipole moment orientation rotates by 54° showing the importance
of the determination of the dipole moment components. The dipole moment in the electronic ground
state can approximately be obtained from a vector addition of the indole and the methoxy group dipole
moments. However, in the electronically excited state, vector addition completely fails to describe
the observed dipole moment. Several reasons for this behavior are discussed. © 2016 AIP Publishing
LLC. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1063/1.4940689]

I. INTRODUCTION

In the present publication, we will show optical Stark spectra in a molecular beam environment employing two
different field configurations simultaneously. For the static electric field being 100% parallel or perpendicular to the
polarization of the exciting laser radiation, different selection rules exist. By rotating the polarization of the laser light, a
superposition of transitions from both selection rules occurs. Spectra with a constant ratio of both field configurations
(80%:20% and 20%:80%) are fit simultaneously to a common set of dipole moments in the electronic ground and excited
states, respectively.

While for the electronic ground state the additivity of bond
dipole moments is a well-known rule with wide applicability
in organic chemistry for molecules in solution, this concept
has rarely been tested for isolated molecules or for molecules
in electronically excited states. The group of Pratt pioneered
this application using electronic Stark spectroscopy.1

The dipole moments of electronically excited states
may differ considerably from those of the ground state,
as a consequence of the altered electron distribution upon
excitation. This change of the dipole moment upon electronic
excitation has a large influence on solvation dynamics,
which is largely governed by the interactions of solute
and solvent dipole moments. Also, the conformations of
flexible biomolecules like peptides depend on the relative
orientations of the backbone dipole moments, the α-helix
being the consequence of aligned microscopic dipole moments
of the peptide bonds.2,3 The conformations might be subjected
to geometry changes upon the changed dipolar interactions
between the different moieties after electronic excitation.

At the same time, the size of the dipole moment can be
used to label electronically excited states. For cata-condensed
aromatic hydrocarbons, the two lowest electronically excited
states are called Lα and Lβ states in the nomenclature of Platt.4
The labeling scheme has later been extended to indoles by
Weber.5 One has to keep in mind however that for molecules
without two-fold rotation axis along the long inertial axis
of the molecule, the meaningfulness of this nomenclature
is doubtful. For molecules with the required symmetry, the
transition moment of Lα goes through the atoms and for Lβ
it runs through the bonds. The close-lying Lα and Lβ states
in indoles have very different dipole moments, the Lα state
dipole moment being much larger than that of the Lβ state.6,7
Thus, both states have very different stabilizations in polar
surroundings. The different dipole moments can be used to
distinguish easily between the different electronic states. Of
course, this applies to all electronically excited states that differ
in dipole moment. The determination of the permanent dipole
orientation is based on frequency shifts in an electric field,
while the transition dipole moment is evaluated from relative
intensities in the rovibronic spectrum, which have a larger
experimental uncertainties than the frequencies. Therefore,
the angle of the permanent dipole moment can be determined
more precisely than that of the transition dipole moment, in
cases, in which the electronic nature of the excited state is still
unknown.
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Historically, excited-state dipole moments (or more precisely, their changes upon electronic excitation) have been determined from electrooptical absorption measurements or from solvatochromic shifts in solvents of different static dielectric constants. This method of using solvent shifts engender criticism by Lombardi, who compared so determined excited state dipole moment changes with those from high resolution gas phase Stark effect measurements. In some cases, the condensed phase values exceeded the more precise gas phase values by an order of magnitude. The reason for this strong discrepancy is the approximative nature of the field induced perturbations in case of the strong fields, exerted by the solvents. This strong field couples especially energetically close-lying electronically excited states, leading to an effective dipole moment, which is a combination of the excited state dipole moments of the coupled states as well as the transition moment between these two states. This effect can be viewed as a field induced dipole moment borrowing from the state with the larger dipole moment. Especially for substituted indoles, for which the energetic distance between the lowest electronically excited singlet states is small, this perturbation plays a large role. The use of thermochromic shifts of fluorescence spectra for the determination of \( S_1 \)-state dipole moments yields more reliable values than the aforementioned methods, because artificial effects by the use of different solvents are excluded.

The most reliable values for dipole moments of ground and electronically excited states are obtained from gas phase electronic Stark experiments, since the dependence of the frequency shift of individual rovibronic lines from the electric field strength yields immediately the dipole moment in ground and excited states. Moreover, not only the absolute value of the total dipole moment can be determined but also the unsigned Cartesian components of the dipole moment in both electronic states. Along with quantum chemical computations, they can be used to determine the absolute orientation of the dipole moment. This is especially important in cases in which the orientation of the dipole moment changes upon electronic excitation. Okruss and Hese and later Pratt and co-workers used the Stark effect in rotationally resolved electronic spectra of large polyatomic molecules to determine the dipole moments in the ground and the lowest electronically excited singlet states. Apart from these spectroscopic techniques, a time domain approach to excited state dipole moments in the gas phase is used in coherent heat techniques with a Stark field, pioneered in the groups of Zare, Huber, and Tanaka. The methoxy substituent in \( R = \text{5-methoxyindole} \) (5MOI) leads to a large redshift of the \( L_h \) state relative to that of indole and the \( L_a \) gap increases to about 3000 cm\(^{-1}\) as shown by Martinaud and Kadir. Additionally to the energy gap between the lowest singlet states, methoxy substituents may change the angle \( \theta_{ab} \) between the \( L_a \) and \( L_h \) transition dipole moment vectors, as shown by Eftink et al. \( \theta_{ab} \) is 92° for the unsubstituted indole and 85° for 5-methoxyindole.

Resonant two-photon ionization (R2PI) spectra of jet-cooled 5MOI have been reported by Hager et al. up to 1200 cm\(^{-1}\) above the electronic origin and excited state lifetimes have been determined for various vibronic bands. R2PI spectra of 5MOI and of 1:1 and 1:2 adducts with water, methanol, and triethylamine were presented by Huang and Sulkes. The rotational constants of 5MOI in the electronic ground state and of several vibronic bands of the excited \( L_h \) state have been determined by Brand et al., which proved that all observed bands belong to the anti-methoxy conformer. Florio et al. showed that all bands in the laser induced fluorescence (LIF) spectrum of 5MOI arise from a single ground state level, which they assigned to the anti-methoxy conformer (see Figure 2).

In what follows, we will present an analysis of the ground and excited state dipole moments of 5MOI by a fit of the dipole moment components to electronic Stark spectra with a constant ratio of two different field configurations.

II. THEORETICAL METHODS

A. \textit{Ab initio} calculations

The equilibrium geometries of the electronic ground and the lowest excited singlet states were optimized using the approximate coupled cluster singles and doubles model (CC2) employing the resolution-of-the-identity approximation (RI) \( \text{CC2} \) employing Dunning’s correlation consistent polarized valence triple zeta (cc-pVTZ) from the \textsc{Turbomole} library. Vibrational frequencies and zero-point corrections to the adiabatic excitation energies have been obtained from numerical second derivatives using the \textsc{NumFor}ce script implemented in the \textsc{Turbomole} program suite. The transition state for the interchange of the \textit{syn-} and \textit{anti-} conformers was optimized using the Trust Radius Image Minimization (TRIM) algorithm, implemented in the \textsc{Turbomole} package, at the \textit{CC2/cc-pVTZ} level of theory. A natural bond orbital (NBO) analysis has been performed at the \textit{CC2} optimized geometries using the wave functions from the \textit{CC2} calculations as implemented in the \textsc{Turbomole} package.

B. Fitting of the rotationally resolved electronic spectra

The rotationally resolved electronic spectra are fit using evolutionary strategies (ES), described in detail in Refs. 37–40. For the fits of the Stark spectra, we used the covariance matrix adaptation (CMA)-ES algorithm. In this variant, mutations are adapted via a CMA mechanism. The CMA-ES does not leave the choice of strategy parameters open to the user, only the population size can be set. Finding good strategy parameters is considered to be part of the algorithm design.

The spectra with different field configurations were fit simultaneously to a common set of dipole moment components in both electronic states. The intensities of the rotational lines are calculated from the eigenvectors of the Stark Hamiltonian and the direction cosine matrix elements. The static electric field mixes the rovibronic eigenstates, so that \( J, K_a, \) and \( K_c \) are no good quantum numbers any more. For the static electric field parallel to the polarization of the exciting laser radiation, \( M \) is the only remaining good quantum number, and \( \Delta M = 0 \) selection rules hold, while a
III. EXPERIMENTAL METHODS

5MOI (≥98%) was purchased from Carbolution and used without further purification. The experimental setup for the rotationally resolved laser induced fluorescence spectroscopy is described in detail elsewhere. In brief, the laser system consists of a single frequency ring dye laser (Sirah Matisse DS) operated with Rhodamine 6G, pumped with 7 W of the 514 nm line of an Ar+-ion laser (Coherent, Sabre 15 DBW). The dye laser output was coupled into an external folded ring cavity (Spectra Physics Wavehrain) for second harmonic generation. The resulting output power was constant at about 25 mW during the experiment. The molecular beam was formed by co-expanding 5MOI, heated to 190°C, and 400 mbar of argon through a 200 µm nozzle into the vacuum chamber. The molecular beam machine consists of three differentially pumped vacuum chambers that are linearly connected by skimmers (1 mm and 3 mm, respectively) in order to reduce the Doppler width. The resulting resolution is 18 MHz (FWHM) in this setup. In the third chamber, 360 mm downstream of the nozzle, the molecular beam crosses the laser beam at a right angle. In the detection volume, which is formed by a concave mirror (f = 25 mm) at a distance of 50 mm below the crossing of molecular beam and laser beam and a plano-convex lens (f = 50 mm) at a distance of 50 mm above the molecular beam–laser beam crossing, the Stark plates have been inserted. The fluorescence volume is much less than 1 mm³; hence, effects due to inhomogeneity of the Stark field hardly play any role. The parallel light from the collection optics is then focussed onto the photocathode of a UV enhanced photomultiplier tube (Thorn EMI 9863QB) using a lens of f = 130 mm at a distance of 70 mm. The Stark plates consist of a parallel pair of electroformed nickel wire grid (18 mesh/mm, 50 mm diameter) with a transmission of 95% in the UV. Their mutual distance is roughly 23 mm, symmetrically with respect to the laser beam. The polarization plane of the incoming laser beam can be rotated by 90° inside the vacuum chamber by means of an achromatic λ/2 plate (Bernhard Halle 240–380 nm). The λ/2 plate can be pushed in or pulled out from the beamway using a linear motion vacuum feedthrough. Figure 1 shows the imaging optics with the Stark arrangement. The effective distance of the Stark plates was calibrated using Stark spectra of benzonitrile, measured before by Borst et al., with the exactly known µ of the ground state from microwave Stark measurements. The reason for measuring the electronic Stark spectrum of benzonitrile for calibration of the effective Stark plate distance and not the primary standard carbonyl sulfide (OCS) is the fact that OCS does not fluoresce. Nevertheless, through the dipole moments from Ref. 45 this spectrum is directly calibrated with respect to the very accurately known OCS dipole moment.46

In order to determine the distance of the Stark plates, we recorded the S₁ ← S₀ origin transition of benzonitrile at 36 512,74 cm⁻¹ with a voltage at the Stark plates of 950 ± 1 V with parallel field configuration. From all observed bands, we selected 20 transitions which share their excited state level with one of the other transitions so that a list of 10 ground state transitions was created from the combination differences. A stick microwave spectrum with these 10 transitions included was finally fit using a standard assigned frequency fit. Details are given in the supplementary material and for the standard assigned frequency fit in Appendix B of Ref. 39.

IV. RESULTS

A. Computational results

Optimized structures of the lowest three singlet states of the syn- and anti-conformers of 5MOI, calculated at the CC2/cc-pVTZ level of theory, can be found in Tables S3–S5 of the supplementary material. Table I shows the CC2/cc-pVTZ calculated adiabatic excitation energies ν₀ of the lowest two electronically excited singlet states, their oscillator strengths f, the angle of the transition dipole moment with the inertial a-axis θ, the Cartesian components of the permanent dipole moments µₓ, µᵧ, µz, the total dipole moment µ, and the angle of the permanent dipole moment with the inertial a-axis θD. The angle θD will be used in the discussion of the experimental results, since from electronic Stark spectroscopy only the unsigned values of the Cartesian components of the dipole moment can be determined, leading to an indeterminacy of the sign of θD. This can be removed by comparison to the calculations.

The calculated dipole moment vectors of the syn- and anti-conformer of 5MOI for all three states are shown in Figure 2. The respective centers of nuclear masses and
nuclear charges are quasi-coincident. While the angles of the transition dipole moments with the main inertial axes and the oscillators strengths $f$ are practically the same for the syn- and anti-conformers of 5MOI, there are large variations in size and even direction of the permanent dipole moments in all three singlet states between the two conformers.

### B. Experimental results

Figures 3 and 4 show the rotationally resolved electronic spectra of the electronic origin of anti-5MOI at a field strength of 397.19 V/cm measured with almost parallel and perpendicular orientations of the laser light with respect to the static electric field. The simulation in both figures was created by using the best parameters from a CMA-ES fit given in Table II. The uncertainties of the parameters are given in parentheses and are obtained as standard deviations by performing a quantum number assigned fit. This classical fit in which quantum numbers are assigned to each individual transition is described in detail in Appendix B of Ref. 39. In this fit, only transitions are included that would be used in a typical manual assignment of the spectrum. Indeed, the ratio between both field configurations can be determined. This is possible because the analysis is not based on quantum numbers but line-shapes. The reason for the deviation of 20% from a perfect parallel or perpendicular orientation of the laser light with respect to the static electric field can be explained with birefringent effects of the quartz windows due to stress. The fact that the ratio is inversely by inserting the half-wave plate shows that it works correctly and rotates the orientation by 90°.

Figure 5 compares the two Stark spectra of anti-5MOI that were fit together to the dipole moments in both electronic states leading to the dipole moment components of ground and excited states, respectively, presented in Table II. The spectra were fit with the rotational constants, the rotational temperature(s), transition dipole moment orientation, and the band origin wavenumber kept constant at the values from the fit of the field free spectrum.  

### V. DISCUSSION

The permanent dipole moment of anti-5MOI has been determined from electronic Stark spectra with different field configurations. Several fits have been performed on individual spectra and simultaneously on different field configurations. Provision was made in the fitting procedure for the case of mixed selection rules. They result from a deviation of perfectly parallel and perpendicular field geometries. Indeed, the fit showed that the spectrum with parallel geometry is a superposition of 80% parallel and 20% perpendicular transitions, while the perpendicular field geometry results in 20% parallel and 80% perpendicular transitions. The combined fit increases the precision of the determination of the dipole moment components by a factor of two, as shown in Table III. In this table, the ground and excited state dipole moments and their relative uncertainties from all fits are compared. The relative uncertainties of the combined
The experimental results for the dipole moment components in the ground and lowest excited singlet states are compared to the CC2/cc-pVTZ calculated values in Table II. A fair agreement is found both for the absolute values and the components of the dipole moments. Both the components of the transition dipole moment and of the permanent dipole moment suffer from the indeterminacy of the sign of the components, i.e., the sign of the dipole orientation angle with the a-axis $\theta_D$ and the transition dipole orientation angle $\theta$ are not determined in our experiment. However, from a comparison with the ab initio calculations of the dipole moments, it is clear that the angles $\theta_D$ are $+15^\circ$ for the $S_0$ and $+69^\circ$ for the excited state. This means that the dipole moment vector is rotated by $54^\circ$ upon excitation to the lowest excited singlet state, cf. the graphical representation of the calculated dipole moments in Figure 2.

Under the assumption that inductive effects are small, the ground state dipole moment vectors of both conformers can
TABLE II. Experimental and CC2/cc-pVTZ calculated molecular parameters of anti-5MOI determined from the rovibronic Stark spectra of the electronic origin. The rotational constants and the orientation of the transition dipole moment with respect to the inertial a-axis θ were taken from Ref. 26 and kept constant during the fit of the Stark spectra. Changes of the rotational constants are defined as \( \Delta B_a = B'_a - B''_a \), with \( B_a \) as rotational constants with respect to the inertial axes \( a, b, c \). Double-primed constants belong to the ground state and single-primed to the excited state. \( \nu_0 \) is the center frequency of the band, \( \Delta\nu_{\text{Lorentz}} \) the Lorentz contribution to the line width, full width at half-height (FWHM), and \( t_{1/2} \) the lifetime of the lowest electronically excited state. The angle of the permanent dipole moment with the main inertial axis \( a \) is described as \( \theta_a \). Additionally, the Cartesian components and the permanent dipole moment of the ground \( \mu'_a \) and first electronically excited states \( \mu''_a \) are given. The out-of-plane dipole component \( \mu_z \) was held fixed at 0.0 D for both electronic states. For the determination of the population of each rovibronic level, the model of Wu and Levy is used, where \( T_1 \) and \( T_2 \) are the two temperatures, and \( \omega \) is a weighting factor.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>CC2/cc-pVTZ</th>
<th>Experiment</th>
<th>anti-5MOI</th>
<th>syn-5-MOI</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>( A'/\text{MHz} )</td>
<td>2.851.84(15)</td>
<td>2.843</td>
<td>3.408</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( B'/\text{MHz} )</td>
<td>757.92(3)</td>
<td>762</td>
<td>707</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( C'/\text{MHz} )</td>
<td>601.33(4)</td>
<td>603</td>
<td>588</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \mu'_a/D )</td>
<td>1.53(1)</td>
<td>1.64</td>
<td>1.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \mu''_a/D )</td>
<td>0.42(6)</td>
<td>0.07</td>
<td>2.35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \mu'_a/D )</td>
<td>0.00(0)</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \mu''_a/D )</td>
<td>1.59(3)</td>
<td>1.64</td>
<td>2.56</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \theta'_a/\text{deg} )</td>
<td>±15(1)</td>
<td>+2</td>
<td>-67</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( A'/\text{MHz} )</td>
<td>2.752.50(16)</td>
<td>2.738</td>
<td>3.311</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( B'/\text{MHz} )</td>
<td>759.19(5)</td>
<td>763</td>
<td>705</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( C'/\text{MHz} )</td>
<td>597.68(7)</td>
<td>599</td>
<td>584</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \Delta A/\text{MHz} )</td>
<td>-99.34(22)</td>
<td>-105</td>
<td>-97</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \Delta B/\text{MHz} )</td>
<td>-3.66(8)</td>
<td>-4</td>
<td>-4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \mu'_a/D )</td>
<td>0.41(11)</td>
<td>0.29</td>
<td>0.49</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \mu''_a/D )</td>
<td>1.06(2)</td>
<td>1.05</td>
<td>1.70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \mu_z/D )</td>
<td>0.00(0)</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \Delta\nu_{\text{Lorentz}}/\text{MHz} )</td>
<td>33.120.53(2)</td>
<td>33.483</td>
<td>32.721</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

be thought as being composed of the indole dipole moment vector and the dipole moment vector of the methoxy group by vector addition. Inductive effects are consequence of mutual polarization of adjacent bonds, mostly through resonance effects. Since the -M-effect of the methoxy group is small, the assumption seems to be correct in the present case.

The methoxy group dipole moment \( \mu_{5MOI} \) is obtained from the incremental values of 0.86 D for the C−O bond dipole and of 1.16 D for the H−C−O group dipole moment, with an C−O−C angle of 108°. Subtracting the so-calculated dipole moment vector of the methoxy group from the experimentally determined anti-5MOI ground state, dipole moment vector should result in the dipole moment vector of unsubstituted indole. The respective vector diagram is shown in the left hand side of Figure 6. It should be pointed out that the anti-5MOI vector has been shifted from the center of charge of the molecule to the methoxy group for the sake of clarity. The dashed-dotted difference vector \( \mu_{5MOI} - \mu_{methoxy} \) has indeed the correct direction of the indole dipole moment, which has been determined from electronic Stark spectroscopy by Pratt and co-workers to be 45.5° with respect to the inertial a-axis in indole. This inertial axis is shown along with the respective axis of anti-5MOI in Figure 6. The calculated angle from the difference vector to the indole a-axis is 45°, the length of the indole dipole vector from Figure 6 is 1.75 D, which is in fair agreement with the absolute experimental value of 1.96 D. The situation completely changes for the S1 state (right hand side of Figure 6). Here, vector subtraction of \( \mu_{5MOI} \) and \( \mu_{methoxy} \) leads to a vector, which is both in direction and size, completely different from the S1 state dipole moment vector of indole, reported by Kang et al. The resulting dipole vector makes an angle of 15° with the indole a-axis, while the experimentally determined angle is 33°, and the absolute value of the dipole moment, represented by this vector, decreases by 1.0 D, while the experimental value from Ref. 7 decreases only by 0.1 D upon electronic excitation.

What is the reason for this obvious asymmetry between the additivity rule for dipole increments in the ground and excited state? It is instructive to view two limiting cases for the dipole moment additivity rule: Systems in which the \( S_0 \) dipole increments add vectorially and systems in which this is true for the \( S_1 \) state. The first case is observed, e.g., in 3-aminophenol. 3-aminophenol is a planar molecule in both electronic states, i.e., the dipole moment lies in the plane of the molecule. The two substituents in meta positions are sufficiently electronically isolated, to prevent interaction of the electrons that are responsible for the dipole moment, leading to an additivity of the dipole moments of aniline and phenol to that of 3-aminophenol. For the \( S_1 \) state of this molecule, the additivity does not hold. Reese et al. discussed this deviation in light of a different mixing of two electronically excited states for off-axis substituted molecules. Pratt and co-workers have shown that unsymmetrical off-axis substitution in divinylbenzenes leads to a mixing of the \( L_a \) and \( L_b \) states. The other limiting case is found, e.g., for 4-aminobenzoimide. In this case, we have a substitution symmetric with respect to the a-axis. The dipole moments in the \( S_0 \) states of aniline and benzonitrile add up to the \( S_1 \) dipole moment of 4-aminobenzoimide. However, the additivity rule does not work for the \( S_0 \) state. The reason for this unexpected behavior is that aniline and aminobenzonitrile have different degrees of non-planarity in the ground state (benzonitrile is planar). Thus, the heavy atom structure of aniline and aminobenzonitrile is different with respect to the amino group. This applies only to the electronic ground state, since aniline and aminobenzonitrile are planar in the excited state.

5MOI, indole, and the methoxy group have planar symmetry in the electronic ground state. Thus, it is not surprising that we observe additivity for the dipole moment increments in this state. Actually, off-axis substitution violates the original condition of Platt (at least C2-symmetry) for...
classification as $L_a$ or $L_b$ states. In our case, the energetic difference between $L_a$ and $L_b$ states is much smaller in indole than in 5MOI. Therefore, it can be argued that for indole, the excited states mix to a higher extent. Since the $L_a$ state has a higher dipole moment than the $L_b$ state and a different orientation (cf. Table I), the resulting excited state dipole moment depends strongly on the composition of the excited state. This different amount of $L_a/L_b$ mixing in indole and 5MOI is then the reason for the non-additivity of the dipole moments in the excited state. Another reason for the non-additivity of the dipole moments in the excited state might be that 5MOI shows a considerable participation of charge transfer character in the $S_1$ state, which is not present in indole.

In many molecules, it is possible to determine the direction of the transition dipole moment from the frontier orbitals. However, when strong $\sigma$ (inductive) effects govern the electron density distribution after excitation, great care has to be taken, not to overstress the fundamental rules, which are based on electron density effects in the $\pi$-space in case of the frontier orbitals.
Figure 7 shows a plot of the CC2/cc-pVTZ calculated \( S_1 - S_0 \) electron density differences. Orange contours indicate regions with loss of electron density and green contours indicate gain of electron density. The charge redistribution upon electronic excitation shows a net charge flow from the pyrrole to the benzene ring as in comparable 5-substituted indoles.\(^{51}\) The large effect of the methoxy substituent is readily to be seen from Figure 7. While for the methoxy \( \pi \) electrons, the electron density decreases (it is shifted into the chromophore), the \( \sigma \) density at the methoxy O atom increases upon electronic excitation. There is an opposite charge density change observed for the \( \sigma \) electrons compared to the \( \pi \) electrons also for some of the carbon and nitrogen atoms in the indole chromophore. Other atoms show only density changes for the \( \pi \) electrons. Since the density changes in the \( \sigma \) space are not contained in the frontier orbitals, which all belong to the \( \pi \) space, the direction of the transition dipole moment in this molecule cannot be determined in a straightforward manner like for smaller or higher symmetric molecules.

The largest increase of electron density upon electronic excitation happens at C(2) of the pyrrole ring. In the ground state of indole, it is position C(3) which has the highest electron density and is the favorite site of electrophilic substitution, due to the possibility of an iminium stabilization. Thus, electrophilic substitution like protonation or alkylation at 2-position in indoles is difficult to achieve. A synthetic route to these products is the transition metal catalyzed 2-alkylation of indoles via CH activation. From the electron density difference plot, we propose a possible alternative route to 2-substituted products via a photoinduced activation by excitation to the \( S_1 \) state, cf. Figure 8.

From a natural population analysis using the CC2/cc-pVTZ wave functions,\(^{56}\) we obtain a net charge difference of 0.14 electrons between the pyrrole and the benzene rings upon electronic excitation for the heavy atoms of both rings (excluding the methoxy group contribution). The methoxy group itself donates 0.10 electron charges to the benzene ring upon excitation so that the total effect is only a charge difference of 0.04 electron charges between the rings. Estimating the center of the ring distance between pyrrole and benzene to 2.5 Å, we obtain a change of the dipole moment upon electronic excitation of 0.48 D, close to the \( ab \) \( initio \) calculated value of 0.55 D and to the experimental value of 0.45 D.

The last topic that we will discuss is the surprising absence of the \( syn \)-conformer in the molecular beam studies published until now. Since there is nothing special about this conformer, while for similar substituted indoles, both rotamers are observed experimentally (e.g., 5-hydroxyindole \( 5\text{HOI} \)) and 6-methoxyindole\(^{53}\)), the most plausible explanation for the absence of \( syn \)-5MOI in the molecular beam spectrum is given by Florio \( et \) \( al. \), namely, that “the preexpansion population in \( syn \)-oriented 5MOI can be converted into the \( anti \)-conformer via collisions with the buffer gas in the expansion.”\(^{27}\) This happens efficiently due to the low barrier to isomerization of about 1.4 kcal/mol.\(^{27}\) We calculated the energy difference of the \( syn \)- and \( anti \)-conformers of 5MOI at the CC2/cc-pVTZ level of theory to be 409 cm\(^{-1}\) (including zero-point energy correction) with the \( anti \)-conformer being the more stable one, and the barrier between them to 567 cm\(^{-1}\), cf. Figure 9. This is about half of the barrier in \( 5\text{HOI} \) (973 cm\(^{-1}\)) and at the same time, the relative stability of \( anti \)- vs. \( syn \)-conformer increases from 155 cm\(^{-1}\) for \( 5\text{HOI} \) to 409 cm\(^{-1}\) for 5MOI. The combined effect of the larger stabilization of the \( anti \)-minimum of 5MOI with respect to \( 5\text{HOI} \) and the much lower barrier separating the 5MOI conformers is most probably the reason for the dominance of the \( syn \)-conformer in the \( 5\text{HOI} \) spectrum and its absence in the 5MOI spectrum.
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of \[ \Delta \mu = \pm 1 \] transitions can be broken down
to \( \Delta M = +1 \) and \( \Delta M = -1 \) transitions, therefore reducing the
complexity and congestion of dense Stark spectra of larger
molecules. These spectra can then again be fitted along with
spectra from different field configurations.

Spectra taken at lower field strength show a decreased
precision for the dipole moment determination. Since for
5MOI, the chosen field strength is clearly still not sufficient
for pulling out all \( M \)-states from transitions up to \( J = 15 \),
which are populated under our molecular beam conditions.
Still higher field strengths will enable us to increase the
precision further, especially for the case of small absolute
dipole moments and/or small changes of the dipole moment
upon electronic excitation. Currently, we are implementing
a new high voltage source which will allow to reach field
strengths up to 10 kV/cm. This is achieved by increasing
the applied voltage and decreasing the plate separation at the
same time. Effects due to field inhomogeneities are minimized
by a new plate configuration with a grounded ring electrode
around the existing plate setup. Using this new setup, the
precision with which the dipole moments can be determined
will be increased, while at the same time, much smaller dipole
moments can still be measured. From simulations for a field
strength of 10 kV/cm, we deduce that an absolute dipole
moment down to 0.01 D for one state or a difference down
to 0.01 D between two states can still be measured. The
determination of small absolute dipole moments along with
small changes upon vibrational excitation using high field
strengths of over 50 kV/cm has been shown for the propargyl
radical in helium droplets by Küpper et al.\textsuperscript{54}

VI. CONCLUSIONS

Comparison of the excited state dipole moment to that of
the ground state for \( \textit{anti}-5\text{MOI} \) shows that the dipole moment,
which is oriented nearly along the inertial \( a \)-axis in the ground
state, is rotated by \(-54^\circ\) anticlockwise. This rotation is much
larger than the respective rotation of the dipole moment upon excitation in bare indole of \(-12^\circ\).\textsuperscript{7} Furthermore, the absolute
value of \( \mu \) decreases from 1.59 D in the ground state to 1.14
D in the excited state of \( \textit{anti}-5\text{MOI} \) (\( \Delta \mu = -0.45 \) D), larger
than in indole (\( \Delta \mu = -0.11 \) D). The CC2/cc-pVTZ calculated
values of \(-73^\circ\) for \( \theta_D \) and of \(-0.55 \) D for \( \Delta \mu \) of \( \textit{anti}-5\text{MOI} \) match fairly well.

For the electronic ground state, the dipole moment vector of
\( \textit{anti}-5\text{MOI} \) can be constructed from a vector addition of
the methoxy group and the indole dipole moment vectors
with good precision. This procedure completely fails for the
lowest excited singlet state. Electronic excitation leads to a changed electron density in the chromophore, which
is partially accompanied by an electron density shift from or to the substituent. Depending on the acceptor or donor
properties of the substituents and even more on the electronic
nature (mesomeric or inductive substituents), the concept of
vector addition of bond or group dipole moments completely
fails for excited states.

Finally, we could show that the precision of the determina-
tion of dipole moments of the lowest two singlet states
of 5MOI from optical Stark measurement in rovibronically
resolved spectra can be improved by a simultaneous fit of
two spectra with both field configurations leading to different
\( \Delta M \) selection rules. Comparison to the results of CC2/cc-
pVTZ calculations shows a fair agreement for the absolute
experimental value not only of the total dipole moment in
the \( S_0 \) and the \( S_1 \) states but also for the respective Cartesian
components of the dipole moments.

One might ask whether a field configuration with 45° between the static electric field and the exciting laser field would not lead to the same results in a single spectrum. We tested this technique and found that the spectral congestion due to the existence of 50% parallel and 50% perpendicular transitions in one spectrum deteriorates the fit of the dipole moments considerably. For the future, we plan to extend the experiment with a \( \lambda/4 \) wave plate. Using right and left circular polarized light, the \( \Delta M = \pm 1 \) transitions can be broken down to \( \Delta M = +1 \) and \( \Delta M = -1 \) transitions, therefore reducing the
complexity and congestion of dense Stark spectra of larger
molecules. These spectra can then again be fitted along with
spectra from different field configurations.
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