Dysphagia, malnutrition and gastrointestinal problems in patients with mitochondrial disease caused by the m3243A>G mutation


*Department of Pediatrics, Nijmegen Centre for Mitochondrial Disorders, Departments of Gastroenterology and Hepatology, Rehabilitation/Speech Pathology, Internal Medicine, Radboudumc, Nijmegen, the Netherlands, *corresponding author: tel.: +31(0)24-3619190, email: Heidi.Zweers-vanEssen@radboudumc.nl, #Both authors contributed equally

ABSTRACT

Background: Previous research has shown that dysphagia and gastrointestinal problems occur frequently in carriers of the m.3243A>G mutation; however, the exact frequency and severity have not been determined. We hypothesise that adult carriers have an increased risk for malnutrition.

Methods: In this observational study we evaluated the presence of gastrointestinal problems and dysphagia in 92 carriers of the m.3243A>G mutation. The severity of the general disease involvement was classified using the Newcastle Mitochondrial Disease Adult Scale (NMDAS). Gastrointestinal involvement, dysphagia and the risk for malnutrition were scored using the Gastrointestinal Symptoms Questionnaire and the Malnutrition Universal Screening Tool. Gastrointestinal symptoms and anthropometrics were compared with healthy controls.

Results: Our results show that the height, weight and body mass index (BMI) of these carriers were lower than the national average (p < 0.05). Seventy-nine carriers (86%) suffered from at least one gastrointestinal symptom, mainly flatulence or hard stools. Both frequency and severity of symptoms were significantly increased compared with reference data of healthy Dutch adults. Of the carriers, 45% reported (mostly mild) dysphagia. Solid foods cause more problems than liquids. A negative correlation between BMI and heteroplasmy levels in urinary epithelial cells (UEC) was present (Spearman correlation coefficient = -0.319, p = 0.003).

Conclusion: Dysphagia, gastrointestinal problems, malnutrition, maternally inherited diabetes and deafness (MIDD), MELAS, m.3243A>G mutation, mitochondrial medicine
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INTRODUCTION

Mitochondria and the m.3243A>G mutation

Mitochondrial diseases are the most prevalent inherited metabolic diseases, with an incidence of approximately 1:5000 live births. Mitochondria are the cellular organelles responsible for oxidative phosphorylation, which produces energy in the form of adenosine triphosphate. This process is accomplished by the four complexes (complex I-IV) of the respiratory chain and F1-F0 ATP synthase. Mitochondrial dysfunction can result from mutations in either nuclear DNA (nDNA) or mitochondrial DNA (mtDNA).

The acronym MELAS was first used by Pavlakis and Phillips to describe a group of patients with mitochondrial myopathy, encephalopathy, lactic acidosis and stroke-like episodes. In 1990 the m.3243A>G mutation was found as the molecular basis of this disease. The mutation is located in the MT-TL1 gene and is the most common pathogenic mitochondrial mutation, commonly described as the MELAS mutation. The phenotype maternally inherited diabetes deafness (MIDD) is also caused by this same mutation and is much more frequent. Other phenotypic expressions of the m.3243A>G mutation include hypertrophic cardiomyopathy, retinal dystrophy, focal segmental glomerulosclerosis, myoclonic epilepsy with ragged-red fibres and oligo-symptomatic variants of the acronym MELAS. The percentage of affected mitochondria per cell in a specific tissue is referred
to as heteroplasmy, and the level of heteroplasmy may vary widely between tissues of a single individual. This marked intra-individual and inter-individual variation in mitochondrial heteroplasmy partially explains why there is a wide spectrum of diseases and disease severity observed between family members that carry the same mitochondrial mutation. Previous studies have shown that urinary epithelial cells (UEC) are the best non-invasively available tissue to test the level of heteroplasmy of the m.3243A>G mutation.15,16

**Gastrointestinal involvement in m.3243A>G carriers**

Dysphagia and gastrointestinal problems occur frequently in mitochondrial patients, including patients carrying the m.3243A>G mutation.17-19 However, the exact frequency and severity of these symptoms have not been determined. In a population study of carriers of the m.3243A>G mutation, 61% of the subjects had gastrointestinal problems. These were, after hearing loss, the most frequently reported symptoms of the m.3243A>G mutation using the Newcastle Mitochondrial Disease Adult Scale (NMDAS).20 The NMDAS is, however, not a specific instrument to study gastrointestinal problems. Previously, in a small cohort of MIDD patients, a high prevalence of constipation and/or diarrhoea (88%) was found.21 Severe gastrointestinal problems such as the pseudo-obstruction syndrome, surgery-requiring constipation and pancreatitis have been described in patients carrying the m.3243A>G mutation, although the incidence in these patients remains unclear.22,23 Gastrointestinal problems are frequently reported in healthy controls as well,24 and so far studies comparing the frequency and severity of gastrointestinal problems in patients with a mitochondrial disease and healthy controls are lacking.

Dysphagia in mitochondrial disease has been described in several studies which are hard to compare because of variations in definitions and study methods. In patients with the m.3243A>G mutation, incidences vary from 18% in a study using the NMDAS to score dysphagia to 38% in a study performed using a more specific approach.25,26 Also, short stature and lower bodyweight are frequently described in mitochondrial disease. Patients with a MIDD phenotype have a lower body mass index (BMI, weight(kg)/height(m)) compared with other diabetic patients.27-29

For both dysphagia and gastrointestinal problems a relation with lower body weight and risk for malnutrition in patients with a mitochondrial disease has been suggested but never proven.8,30 The present study therefore focused on dysphagia and gastrointestinal problems in a cohort of carriers of the m.3243A>G mutation and identification of symptoms that lead to decreased BMI and increased risk for malnutrition.

**M A T E R I A L S  A N D  M E T H O D S**

**Patients**

At the Nijmegen Center for Mitochondrial Disorders (www.ncmd.nl) at Radboud university medical center, 114 adult carriers of the m.3243A>G mutation participated in our cohort study.46 All participants received the questionnaire. This study was approved by the ethics committee of the Nijmegen-Arnhem region. Written informed consent according to the Helsinki agreement was obtained from all carriers.

**General symptoms**

All carriers were scored using the NMDAS.25 The NMDAS constitutes a validated method to monitor the clinical expression of mitochondrial disease and to follow up the course of disease over time. The NMDAS consists of the following four sections: 1) Current function, which gives insight into the general functioning of patients in the past four weeks, including swallowing; 2) System-specific involvement, which uses a clinical history supplemented by specific information to gain insight into the functioning of individual organ systems including gastrointestinal symptoms; 3) Current clinical assessment, i.e. a general and neurological clinical examination, which gives insight into the current functional status of the patient; 4) For quality of life, we used a Dutch translation of the SF-12v2 quality of life test.

**Gastrointestinal symptoms evaluation**

All participants received the Gastrointestinal Symptoms Questionnaire.29,30 This self-report questionnaire contains 16 items regarding gastrointestinal involvement of disease for the past four weeks. Severity of the symptoms is scored on a 7-point Likert scale, where 0 indicates no symptoms and 6 indicates extreme symptoms. The final question of the questionnaire is a 50-point scale asking about the overall burden of the gastrointestinal symptoms. We added specific questions on dysphagia and frequency of stools. The validated Malnutrition Universal Screening Tool (MUST)26 was used to collect the self-reported anthropometric data and to screen for the risk for malnutrition. We compared the data to age-matched anthropometric data from the Dutch Central Bureau of Statistics (CBS) 2011 (n = 2034) and to a Dutch reference database from the Gastrointestinal Symptoms Questionnaire (n = 1616). Data collection for this reference database was done in 2006, participants who matched the general Dutch population were selected with CBS statistics.22

**Mutation analysis**

Heteroplasmy levels of the m3243A>G mutation were determined in UEC in all participants using...
Pyrosequencing™ technology (Pyrosequencing, Uppsala, Sweden) as described earlier. The pyrosequence reaction of the m.3234A>G mutation had a precision of 1.5%, and the mutation was detected from a heteroplasmy level of 5%. The detection limit for the mutation was determined by serial dilution of a sample containing this mutation with wild type mtDNA.

Statistics
We used descriptive statistics to present the heteroplasmy levels in our patients. Means (±SD) are presented with their standard deviation. The independent samples T-test was used to calculate the significance of the different variables in relation to BMI and gastrointestinal symptoms. We corrected for multiple testing using the Bonferroni test. The Pearson correlation coefficient was used to evaluate the relationship between severity of gastrointestinal problems, and BMI. The Spearman correlation coefficient was used to evaluate the relationship between heteroplasmy in UEC, gastrointestinal problems, and BMI. We used the Pearson chi-square to compare BMI category for males and females and carriers with healthy controls.

RESULTS

General patient characteristics and anthropometrics
Data were collected from September to November 2011. From the 114 questionnaires that were sent out, 92 were returned (81% response rate). Of these 92 patients 68% (n = 63) were female. Mean age was 45 years (±14.3). In the Gastrointestinal Symptoms Questionnaire database mean age was 52.3 ± 17.2, 66% (n = 1067) were female. Mean NMDAS score was 15.7 (±10.9), range 0-56, and average heteroplasmy level in UEC was 50% (range 5-98%). Thirty-eight patients (41%) were diagnosed with diabetes, of whom 22 (24%) used insulin. Hearing loss was reported by 59 patients (64%), 27 patients (29%) had a hearing aid. Six patients (6.5%) had severe neurological symptoms, of which five (5.4%) suffered from epilepsy and two (2.2%) had had stroke-like episodes in the past year.

Female carriers were 2.7 cm shorter (average 164.8 cm vs. 167.5 in healthy, p = 0.004) than healthy controls; in male carriers there was no significant difference between carriers and healthy controls (average 178.4 m vs. 180.9 in healthy controls, p = 0.1). Male subjects weighed 8.2 kg less than controls (75.8 vs. 84 kg, p = 0.002) and females weighed 4.8 kg less (65.2 vs. 70 kg, p = 0.005).

Carriers had a significantly lower frequency of overweight (BMI > 25 kg/m²) or obesity (BMI> 30 kg/m²) (32%) compared with the average Dutch population (48%) (figure 1). They were significantly more frequently underweight (BMI < 20 kg/m²) than the average Dutch population (10% vs. 2%).

Table 1. Anthropometrics in male and female m.3243 A>G carriers and healthy 40 to 50-year-old Dutch controls

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>m.3243A&gt;G</th>
<th>Healthy</th>
<th>P value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Height men (cm)</td>
<td>178.4 (± 6.9)</td>
<td>180.9 (± 7.9)</td>
<td>0.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Height women (cm)</td>
<td>164.8 (± 8.3)</td>
<td>167.5 (± 7.1)</td>
<td>0.004*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Weight men (kg)</td>
<td>75.8 (± 12.8)</td>
<td>84 (± 12.8)</td>
<td>0.002*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Weight women (kg)</td>
<td>65.2 (± 17.7)</td>
<td>70 (± 12.8)</td>
<td>0.005*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BMI men (kg/m²)</td>
<td>23.8 (± 3.6)</td>
<td>25.6 (± 5.4)</td>
<td>0.08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BMI women (kg/m²)</td>
<td>23.9 (± 6.0)</td>
<td>24.6 (± 8.4)</td>
<td>0.5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>BMI category</th>
<th>P value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Overweight or obesity: BMI &gt;25 n (%) men</td>
<td>11 (37)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Overweight or obesity: BMI &gt;25 n (%) women</td>
<td>19 (30)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Healthy weight: BMI 20-25 n (%) men</td>
<td>16 (53)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Healthy weight: BMI 20-25 n (%) women</td>
<td>34 (54)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Low BMI &lt; 20 n (%) men</td>
<td>3 (10)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Low BMI &lt; 20 n (%) women</td>
<td>10 (16)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*p = <0.05. BMI = body mass index.

Figure 1. Body mass index in male and female m.3243A>G carriers and healthy Dutch controls
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population: 14 vs. 2%. Of the women with a NMDAS score above 20, 31% were underweight. Carriers with BMI < 20 kg/m² had a significantly higher NMDAS (p = 0.01). A negative correlation between BMI and heteroplasmy levels in UEC was present in carriers of the m.3243A>G mutation (Spearman correlation coefficient = - 0.319, p = 0.003). Of the carriers, 19% (n = 17) had a MUST score of 1 or 2: average (12%) to high (7%) risk for malnutrition. In 14 carriers this score was based on their low BMI. Of the other three carriers with a risk for malnutrition, two had a healthy weight and one was overweight; their MUST scores were based on more than 5% loss of bodyweight in the last six months.

The majority of carriers (81%) had a MUST score of zero: no risk of malnutrition. Five percent of the m.3243A>G carriers used medical feeding supplements; no patients were dependent on tube feeding.

**Gastrointestinal symptoms**

In the four weeks prior to answering the questionnaire, 79 carriers (86%) suffered from at least one gastrointestinal symptom. Nearly all gastrointestinal symptoms had a higher frequency and increased severity in carriers of the m.3243A>G mutation compared with the average Dutch population (figure 3). The most frequent problems were bloating, hard stools and flatulence. Nausea and diarrhoea and alternately solid or loose stools were also common but there was no significant difference to the controls for these symptoms.

Hard stools or constipation were reported by 48% and 38% of the carriers, respectively, whereas controls only reported these symptoms in 22% and 14%, respectively (p < 0.001).

Fourteen percent of the patients used laxatives and 44% had a stool frequency of less than once a day.

Overall, 69% of the carriers of the m.3243A>G mutation reported one or more constipation-related symptom (use of laxatives, stool frequency of < 1 time a day, hard stools and/or self-reported constipation).

Mean severity score of gastrointestinal problems was 10.7 (± 11.9), range 0-50. Carriers with a BMI <20 (n = 13) (p = 0.028) and female carriers (p = 0.009) had a significantly higher severity score of gastrointestinal symptoms. Patients with postprandial fullness (p = 0.045) or vomiting (p = 0.048) had a significantly lower BMI compared with carriers without this specific symptom. Severity of gastrointestinal problems was not clinically relevantly related to BMI (correlation coefficient -0.152, p = 0.011).

The gastrointestinal symptoms that were most frequently reported as severe (5, 6 or 7 on the 7-point Likert scale) were: hard stools (14%), constipation (11%), flatulence (11%) and bloating (10%). All of these symptoms were significantly more frequently scored as severe by carriers compared with controls. Also significantly more frequently scored as severe were regurgitation (8%), postprandial fullness (5%), belching (10%), dysphagia for solids (2%), incomplete stools (8%) and sticky stools (7%).

One patient in this cohort had a pancreatitis in the past, two patients needed surgery for severe constipation. There was no correlation between heteroplasmy levels in UEC and gastrointestinal symptoms. Frequently reported symptoms in patients carrying the m.3243A>G mutation are myopathy and diabetes. The presence and severity of these symptoms had no significant relation to the gastrointestinal problems.

---

**Figure 2. Gastrointestinal symptoms in m.3243 A>G carriers and healthy Dutch controls**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Symptom</th>
<th>Healthy</th>
<th>m.3243A&gt;G mutation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Flatulence*</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bloating**</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hard stools**</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Postprandial fullness**</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Belching**</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Constipation**</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Abdominal pain**</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alternately solid or loose stools</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Regurgitation**</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sticky stools**</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Incomplete stools**</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diarrhoea</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Loss of appetite</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nausea</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* p = < 0.05
** p = < 0.01
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Dysphagia
In this study 21% of m.3243A>G carriers had trouble swallowing, as scored by the physician who performed the NMDAS. When we specifically asked for all sub-items of the NMDAS swallowing score in the questionnaire, this frequency was much higher: 45%. Using the Gastrointestinal Symptoms Questionnaire, dysphagia seems to be a frequent problem (33%), but the severity was not very high (figure 3). Liquids are less of a problem compared with solids; the difference with controls was significant both in the carriers who have trouble with liquids (p = 0.008) as with solids (p < 0.001). No significant differences in BMI were present in carriers reporting dysphagia compared with carriers without dysphagia. In six carriers with more severe complaints of trouble with swallowing liquids there was a significant difference in BMI: whereas carriers without trouble swallowing liquids had an average BMI of 24.1 (±5.5), carriers with such problems had an average BMI of 20.7 (±3.1) (p = 0.046).

DISCUSSION
As there currently is no cure for mitochondrial disease, optimising nutrition and treating major symptoms such as constipation may be one of the few methods to improve quality of life. Because gastrointestinal symptoms are very common in these carriers as well as in the general population, and these often have a non-specific character, there may be a considerable risk for under-diagnosis of these possibly treatable problems.
The present study shows for the first time in a robust cohort that dysphagia and gastrointestinal problems, and more specifically constipation, are very common in carriers of the m.3243A>G mutation. These symptoms are common in the total patient cohort and are not related to heteroplasmy levels in UEC, disease severity or the presence of diabetes.
The severity of gastrointestinal problems as well as the overall disease severity and heteroplasmy levels in UEC are associated with a decreased BMI and an increased risk for the development of malnutrition. Strong points of this investigation include the high number of enrolled carriers with a homogeneous genetic background, despite the low incidence of this disease. Also, the high response rate renders these data highly relevant for the guidance and treatment of these carriers.
The high frequency of gastrointestinal problems has been previously described. We compared our cohort of 92 carriers of the m.3243A>G mutation with a cohort of 1627 healthy Dutch controls to identify those gastrointestinal problems that are specifically more frequent in carriers of the m.3243A>G mutation. Our study shows that motility problems of the bowel are probably the most frequent symptom: for instance, constipation was found in 69% of carriers of the m.3243A>G, i.e. much higher than in healthy controls.
Patients presented with a range of underlying gastrointestinal problems. Some were diagnosed with irritable bowel syndrome (IBS) prior to the mitochondrial diagnosis which does not seem surprising given the diversity of symptoms. Although nausea, diarrhoea and alternately solid or loose stools are common in this cohort, similar symptoms occur just as frequently in the control population, and do not seem to be specific for the m.3243A>G mutation, yet remain potentially treatable.
We show in this study that severe gastrointestinal symptoms increase the risk for malnutrition in carriers of the m.3243A>G mutation. Fourteen percent of carriers had a BMI of <20 kg/m² and in more severely affected patients (NDMAS >20) even up to 31% had a BMI of <20 kg/m². There was no relevant correlation between gastrointestinal problems and BMI, indicating that probably several other confounders such as depression or fatigue may contribute to the risk of malnutrition. Screening for malnutrition or gastrointestinal symptoms can lead to early detection, and hence early treatment of these problems. Since malnutrition has been related to secondary mitochondrial dysfunction and may worsen outcomes, as in other disease, this remains a major concern in these patients.
Dysphagia may well be more common than both doctors and patients realise. In a non-specific questionnaire for swallowing problems (as included in the NMDAS), a low prevalence of dysphagia was reported. For instance, in our previous study we reported an 18% incidence of dysphagia in a cohort of m.3243A>G carriers.4 In a partly overlapping cohort we now found that 45% of patients suffered from dysphagia using a more specific questionnaire based on the NMDAS. A patient-reported incidence of dysphagia of 33% was found using the Gastrointestinal Symptoms Questionnaire. These differences in reported incidences of dysphagia show that the method to diagnose dysphagia is instrumental when assessing its incidence and suggests that the NMDAS underestimates this problem.

Dysphagia usually develops slowly and is not severe in most patients, and patients may therefore adapt their eating pattern sufficiently. This notion might also explain why no differences in BMI between patients with mild dysphagia and without dysphagia were found. As with other neuromuscular disorders, solid foods seem to cause more problems than liquids.3,6 Muscle weakness is the most likely cause for dysphagia in this patient group and demands a different treatment strategy than dysphagia from other causes.19,36 For example, in dysphagia due to cerebral damage, it is very common to prescribe thickened drinks which will aggravate dysphagia in mitochondrial patients and will take more energy to consume.

In this study we used low BMI as a marker for malnutrition, because it is an easily available variable suitable for comparison with healthy controls and for statistical analyses. BMI, however, is not a validated variable for malnutrition in comparison with healthy controls and for statistical analyses. In conclusion, gastrointestinal problems and dysphagia are common in carriers of the m.3243A>G mutation. The severity of the gastrointestinal problems as well as the overall disease severity is associated with an increased risk for the development of malnutrition. The common disease scores used to identify severity of disease in mitochondrial patients are insufficient to recognise these gastrointestinal symptoms. Healthcare professionals treating patients with the m.3243A>G mutation should be aware of this high prevalence and should therefore actively ask about gastrointestinal symptoms to ensure a timely treatment of these problems.
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