KeyGenes, a Tool to Probe Tissue Differentiation Using a Human Fetal Transcriptional Atlas
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SUMMARY

Differentiated derivatives of human pluripotent stem cells in culture are generally phenotypically immature compared to their adult counterparts. Their identity is often difficult to determine with certainty because little is known about their human fetal equivalents in vivo. Cellular identity and signaling pathways directing differentiation are usually determined by extrapolating information from either human adult tissue or model organisms, assuming conservation with humans. To resolve this, we generated a collection of human fetal transcriptional profiles at different developmental stages. Moreover, we developed an algorithm, KeyGenes, which uses this dataset to quantify the extent to which next-generation sequencing or microarray data resemble specific cell or tissue types in the human fetus. Using KeyGenes combined with the human fetal atlas, we identified multiple cell and tissue samples unambiguously on a limited set of features. We thus provide a flexible and expandable platform to monitor and evaluate the efficiency of differentiation in vitro.

INTRODUCTION

Detailed information on temporal and spatial patterns of gene expression during human development is essential to understand how cells establish and maintain their transcriptional identity and how they differentiate from common progenitors to form the different organs in the human body. Moreover, knowledge of the gene expression landscape in a physiological context is of paramount importance to identify aberrant patterns of transcription leading to pathological states (Ju et al., 2013; Lal et al., 1999). To date, there is little information on the transcriptional profiles of organs and tissues during human development, even though optimal use of differentiated derivatives of human pluripotent stem cells (hPSCs) in regenerative medicine and disease modeling would benefit from detailed understanding of what drives and maintains the differentiated state. This knowledge is not only helpful in developing efficient differentiation protocols for hPSCs and unequivocally identifying the resultant phenotypes (Gifford et al., 2013; Xie et al., 2013), but also in understanding why individual (genomic) variations among hPSCs may result in different outcomes (Bock et al., 2011) and whether the wide assumption that some disease states are associated with upregulation of fetal genes is actually based on fact (Fung et al., 2012; Hoshijima and Chien, 2002; Lin et al., 2014).

Recently, there has been great interest in computational approaches that help to quantify differences in physiological and pathological states in human adult organs and tissues (Hwang et al., 2011) and similarities between adult human organs/tissues and differentiated cells derived from either hPSCs or by direct lineage conversion, also known as transdifferentiation (Cahan et al., 2014; Morris et al., 2014). The drawback of these computational approaches so far is that they have been based on adult human organs/tissues, which limits their relevance in assigning developmental states to differentiated derivatives of hPSCs or transdifferentiated somatic cells, since they are often immature and resemble fetal cells more than those of mature adult tissues (Hrvatin et al., 2014; Patterson et al., 2012). Moreover, most current computational tools use and compare to microarray datasets only. Therefore, there is a growing need for a computational platform that can integrate next-generation sequencing (NGS) and microarray datasets and facilitate their interrogation.

Here we present an algorithm, KeyGenes, that we have used on NGS data extracted from tissues of 21 different human fetal organs, both embryonic and extraembryonic (plus the maternal endometrium), from the first and
RESULTS

KeyGenes Defines a Transcriptional Barcode to Predict Human Fetal Organ Identity

We wanted to identify a (sex-independent) transcriptional barcode that would characterize each organ primordium during human fetal development, as these are still relatively homogeneous and mainly formed by a limited number of poorly characterized lineage progenitor cells.

To do this, we first generated NGS data from 111 human organ/tissue samples from 17 individuals (n = 9 males and n = 8 females) representing 21 different fetal organs, 17 embryonic and 4 extraembryonic (plus the maternal endometrium), at 8.2–9.6, 16–18, and 21–22 weeks of gestation (W9, W16–18, and W22) (Table S1). Next, we developed an algorithm (KeyGenes) that uses a 10-fold cross-validation on the basis of a least absolute shrinkage and selection operator (LASSO) regression available in the R package “glmnet” (Friedman et al., 2010; Figure 1A). KeyGenes uses two datasets (a training set and a test set) and one table (``glmnet'' (Friedman et al., 2010; Figure 1 A). KeyGenes is available at http://www.keygenes.nl.

The Transcriptional Barcode for Human Fetal Organs during Development

A common characteristic of the 90 fetal classifier genes identified (Table S2) is that these are expressed at high levels throughout development in the organ(s)/tissue(s) they characterize (in general >100 counts per million [CPM], but some >1,000 CPM), and they are either not expressed in most other tissues or highly expressed in several other tissues simultaneously, helping to define the barcode (Figure 2A). Interestingly, large differences in expression levels of some classifier genes were even sufficient to distinguish between first and second trimester organs. For example, CYP17A1 in the adrenal is expressed >100 CPM during the first trimester, but >1,000 CPM in the second trimester (Figure 2A). Five classifier genes (TNMD, RSP02, LINCO00514, NRS5A1, and CRABP1) identified two different fetal organs/tissues (Table S2). The selected classifiers for each organ/tissue varied from one gene (NPPA-AS1 for heart atrium and MYL3 for heart ventricle) to nine genes for the umbilical cord (Figure 2B).

In terms of gene ontology (GO), it is noteworthy that the fetal classifier genes identified were sufficiently related to be significantly enriched (false discovery rate [FDR] < 0.05) for categories associated with biological processes (“patterning,” “morphogenesis,” and “development”), cellular compartment (“extracellular”), and molecular function (“transcription” and “DNA binding”) (Figure 2C; Table S2). Furthermore, a substantial proportion of the fetal classifier genes are transcription factors (n = 24) (Figure 2D), many being tissue specific and considered master regulator genes in mice (Table S2). However, it was striking that about half of the total fetal classifier genes were in fact not directly related to transcription, but were instead associated with extracellular matrix (ECM), cell adhesion, and surface tension or were components of the cytoskeleton and cellular transport machinery (Figure 2D; Table S2). This underscored the importance of cellular shape, structure, and the niche in determining tissue identity and function. Interestingly, a few classifier genes are long non-coding RNAs (lncRNAs) or anti-sense RNAs (asRNAs) (n = 4), including the unique classifier gene for heart atrium (NPPA-AS1). Our data are in agreement with the growing evidence placing lncRNAs as an emerging class
of important cellular regulators in development (Washietl et al., 2014).

Hierarchical clustering (Pearson correlation) of the expression values per organ and trimester (1T + 2T), as well as per organ independent of the trimester (All), resulted in a higher mean correlation coefficient using the 90 classifier genes than the mean correlation coefficient that was derived using the 500 most variably expressed genes of the entire fetal dataset (Top 500 fetal) (Figure 2E).

Accordingly, the clustering of the fetal samples using the 90 classifier genes was sufficient to group them in a germ layer-, tissue-, and age-specific manner (Figures S1A and S1B). Taken together, our results suggested that the classifier genes, identified as the minimal set of genes or barcode necessary to predict the identity of 21 different fetal human organs and the maternal endometrium, represent physiologically relevant genes, many of which are associated with human pathological conditions affecting the organ/tissue they characterize (Table S2).

Using the Fetal Data, KeyGenes Predicts Human Adult Tissue Identity from NGS Data

We next investigated whether the fetal transcriptional barcode could be used to predict the identity of adult human tissues. To this end, we applied KeyGenes to two different NGS datasets of human adult organs as test sets, using our complete human fetal dataset (111 samples representing 21 fetal tissues and the maternal endometrium) as the training set (Figure 3A) and the top 500 most variable fetal genes determined previously (Table S3).

KeyGenes was first applied to an NGS dataset consisting of 61 samples representing adult counterparts of 17 organs present in our fetal training set (Fagerberg et al., 2014). Surprisingly, 56 samples (92% of all adult samples) were predicted correctly with a high mean identity score of 0.90 (Figure 3B; Table S3). This suggested that the degree of inter-individual variation between biopsies of the same organ is remarkably low. More importantly, adult tissue biopsies of 17 different organs retained significant similarities to
We applied KeyGenes to 53 samples, mostly human adult organs, tissues, and cell subpopulations, available online from the Gene Expression Barcode 3.0 (Affymetrix; McCall et al., 2014), which were represented in our fetal training set. KeyGenes was able to predict 45 of 53 samples (85%) correctly with a high mean identity score of 0.86 (Figure 3D; Table S3), suggesting a successful adaptation of the algorithm.

Two of the mismatched organs were the adult ovary and testis, but both tissues were predicted with high confidence as “gonad” before using both NGS datasets (Figures 3B and 3C), suggesting that the probes of important classifier genes for “gonad” may not be well represented on the microarray. Consequently, the sample “sperm” also was not well predicted. It would be interesting to see whether NGS data from this very specialized population of cells would still be identified as “gonad.” Another misclassified organ was the pancreas, which was predicted as “intestine,” but was predicted correctly using NGS data (Figure 3B), suggesting that the probes for pancreatic classifier genes were probably not well represented on the microarray. The sample “heart ventricle” had a very similar mixed “atrium and ventricle” prediction to one of the NGS heart biopsies (Figure 3B). Finally, the two tongue muscles included in the microarray datasets were identified as “skin” instead of “tongue” or “muscle”; and the only spinal cord sample was identified as “brain” instead of “spinal cord.” As we were unable to find available NGS from both adult tongue and spinal cord, we could not conclude whether the mismatch was due to a lack of representation of classifier genes on the microarray or a genuine mismatch between the expression profile between the fetal and adult organ.

It is noteworthy that microarray data from specific subpopulations of cells or tissues of the adult organs also were assigned correctly to the tissue of origin. The 15 samples from different anatomical regions related to “brain” were all classified correctly, and sub-regions of the intestine (four sub-regions), kidney (four sub-regions), and stomach (four sub-regions) also were all assigned to the correct main organ (Figure 3D). Moreover, the sample adult lung and fetal lung were predicted as “lung” with an identity score of 0.91 and 0.98, respectively, whereas the bronchus and the bronchial epithelial cells had a “lung” prediction but a lower identity score (0.77 and 0.65, respectively), suggesting a better match with the full tissue than with sub-populations (Figure 3D; Table S3).

Furthermore, we analyzed predictions in a second microarray dataset from a different platform (Illumina; Nazor et al., 2012). KeyGenes was capable of predicting 18 of 21 relevant samples (86%) correctly, with a relatively high mean identity score of 0.6 (Figure S3; Table S3). The mean identity score of the correctly predicted fetal tissues

Using the Fetal Data, KeyGenes Predicts Human Adult Tissue Identity from Microarray Data

Although the use of NGS is of increasing importance, DNA microarray technology is still widely used as it provides fast and relatively inexpensive gene expression data. Because of the fundamentally different nature of data from NGS and the relative (fluorescence) intensity from microarray, we adapted our algorithm to process microarray data (Figure 3A). To do this, KeyGenes used the fetal dataset as training set and the 500 most variably expressed fetal genes determined before (Top 500 fetal) (Table S3), and it contained a scaling step using a broad panel of housekeeping genes (Eisenberg and Levanon, 2013).

We have shown that KeyGenes together with the provided fetal dataset is a platform capable of predicting the identity of adult counterparts of fetal tissues with high confidence. Moreover, our results suggest that the minimal transcriptional organ barcode identified during human fetal development is in fact maintained into adulthood, regardless of the increasing cellular complexity of each organ. In addition, we have presented the differentially expressed genes among the first trimester, second trimester, and adult for each organ analyzed, showing the transcriptional trajectory of maturation for the tissue/organs analyzed (Figure S2; Table S4).
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Figure 2. KeyGenes Provides a Transcriptional Barcode for First and Second Trimesters of Human Fetal Development

(A) Heatmap of the expression levels of the fetal transcriptional barcode (90 classifier genes) and the organs they represent during the first (1T) and second (2T) trimesters. The expression levels (in CPM) of the classifier genes in the corresponding organ/tissue are depicted in green (50–100 CPM, light green; 100–1,000 CPM, green; >1,000 CPM, dark green), whereas the expression levels in other than the classifying tissues are displayed in blue (50–100 CPM, light blue; 100–1,000 CPM, blue; >1,000 CPM, dark blue). All organs/tissues per trimester show a unique expression pattern of the classifier genes.

(B) Numbers of fetal classifier genes per organ/tissue used by KeyGenes to predict identity of the samples in the fetal test set are shown.

(C) Enrichment of GO terms for biological processes (BP), cellular compartment (CC), and molecular function (MF) with an FDR < 0.05 of the 90 fetal classifier genes. All GO terms are provided in Table S2.

(D) Categorization of the 90 fetal classifier genes by CC and MF is given.
First, we generated atrial-like and ventricular-like cardiomyocytes (Figure S4A) from an NKX2.5:GFP human embryonic stem cell (hESC) reporter line (Elliott et al., 2011) and assessed their signature with KeyGenes. Cardiac differentiation of hESCs resulted predominantly in GFP-positive cells with ventricular-like identity, while exogenous treatment with retinoic acid (RA) during differentiation resulted in GFP-positive cells with an atrial-like identity, as shown by the upregulation of atrial markers (COUP-TFI) and downregulation of ventricular markers (MYL3) much like the atria and ventricles of the fetal heart (Figure 4B; Devalla et al., 2015). Furthermore, atrial identity of RA-treated cardiomyocytes also was demonstrated at the protein level by co-expression of the atrial marker COUP-TFII and GFP (Figure 4C). Using KeyGenes, the control NKX2.5:GFP-positive hESC cardiomyocytes showed a high identity score with heart ventricle (Figure 4D), while, in the RA-treated group, NKX2.5:GFP-positive hESC cardiomyocytes increased their identity score for “heart atrium” (from 0.06 to 0.15), although these cells were still predicted to be “heart ventricle” but with a lower identity score (reduced from 0.75 to 0.40) (Table S3). Interestingly, in the fetal barcode matrix, RA-treated NKX2.5:GFP-positive hESC cardiomyocytes showed similar MYL3 expression levels as atrial cardiomyocytes, but lacked expression of NPPA-AS1 (Figures 4B and S5).

Next, we used a dataset from LGR5:GFP reporter hESCs that were first induced to form teratomas in mice (Forster et al., 2014; Figure S4B); from the teratomas, “adult intestinal stem cell”-like cells were sorted via fluorescence-activated cell sorting (FACS) on the basis of GFP fluorescence intensity, and then induced to form organoids resembling intestinal tissue before being differentiated further. We analyzed the NGS data from different time points during the differentiation. Using our fetal dataset as training set, nine of ten organoids (organoids and differentiated organoids) were classified as “intestine” with a mean identity score 0.85 (Figure 4E; Table S3). We also included the NGS data of undifferentiated hESCs in the analysis and, interestingly, the highest identity score was for “brain,” suggesting that this ectodermal tissue during fetal development remains relatively immature. Furthermore, KeyGenes detected a large difference between different organoids, but not a large difference in maturation levels between the organoids and their differentiated counterparts, underscoring the ability of KeyGenes to monitor differentiation and indicate developmental stage equivalents.

Assigning Developmental Stages to Differentiated hPSC Derivatives with KeyGenes

To examine the broader applicability of KeyGenes in assigning developmental stages to differentiated hPSC derivatives, we analyzed NGS data from dopaminergic neurons derived by the differentiation of human induced pluripotent stem cells (hiPSCs) from old (82 years) and young (11 years) donors (Miller et al., 2013). These dopaminergic neurons were then either transfected with GFP-progerin, a protein involved in premature aging, or nuclear GFP as control (Figure S4C). These aged neurons are regarded as being possibly useful as a platform to investigate late-onset diseases such as Parkinson’s disease. All seven samples analyzed were classified as “brain” with a mean score of 0.47 (Figure 5A; Table S3). KeyGenes confirmed that the aging of the neurons by progerin had a clear impact on differentiation, always assigning a higher identity score for “brain” to progerin-transfected neurons (mean score of 0.47 versus 0.37 for control).
0.57) than to controls (mean score of 0.35) (Figure 5A; Table S3). When the fetal training set was divided into first and second trimesters (Figure 5B) with the corresponding top 500 most variably expressed genes, we observed that the samples had higher identity scores with first trimester “brain” tissue than with second trimester “brain” tissue. Moreover, using adult samples in the training set resulted in lower identity scores (Figure 5C) than when either the fetal NGS test set in Figure 1C; adult NGS test set in (B) [Fagerberg et al., 2014]; adult NGS test set from the Illumina Body Map 2.0 in (C); and adult microarray test set from the Gene Expression Barcode 3.0 in (D) [McCall et al., 2014]). The 71 common fetal classifier genes are depicted in Table S2. Abbreviations are as given in Figure 1.
Figure 4. KeyGenes to Monitor hPSC Differentiation

(A) KeyGenes was applied to NGS datasets from differentiated derivatives of hPSCs (test set) using flexible training sets. The selection of the classifier genes is based on the 500 most variable genes across a given dataset and can be modified.

(B) Heatmap visualizes the binary logarithm of the expression levels (CPM) of selected atrial and ventricular marker genes in the human fetal heart atrium (A) and ventricle (V) samples and in the control (CTR) and RA-differentiated NKX2.5:GFP-positive and -negative cells.

(C) Immunofluorescence shows COUP-TFII in the CTR and RA-differentiated NKX2.5:GFP-positive subpopulation. Scale bar, 40 μm.

(D and E) Identity scores of the CTR and RA-treated NKX2.5:GFP-positive and -negative cells (D) and of hESC samples, teratomas, intestinal organoids derived from hESCs, and organoids that have been differentiated further toward intestine (diff.) (Forster et al., 2014) (E). The fetal dataset was used as training set excluding the extraembryonic tissues and the maternal endometrium samples. The selection of the classifier genes is based on the 500 most variable genes of the embryonic training set without extraembryonic and maternal endometrium samples (top 500 fetal w/o). The rows represent the 17 organs/tissues from the fetal training set and the columns depict the samples in the test set. The identity scores range from zero (black) to one (green). The values of all identity scores are given in Table S3. Abbreviations are as given in Figure 1.
Figure 5. KeyGenes Can Be Modified to Assign Developmental Stages

(A–C) Identity scores of dopaminergic neurons derived from two different hiPSC lines, WT-82 and WT-11 (Miller et al., 2013). The dopaminergic neurons were further differentiated by transfection with progerin-GFP (prog.) or nuclear GFP as a control. As training set, KeyGenes used either the whole fetal dataset excluding the extraembryonic tissues and the maternal endometrium samples (A), only the first trimester or second trimester samples excluding the extraembryonic tissues and the maternal endometrium samples (B), or the adult NGS datasets (Fagerberg et al., 2014; Illumina Bodymap) (C) with the corresponding 500 most variable genes (top 500 fetal w/o, top 500 fetal w/o 1T, top 500 fetal w/o 2T, and top 500 adult).

(D) Identity scores of NGN3:GFP-positive and NGN3:GFP-negative cells derived from hESCs (Liu et al., 2014). The fetal training set excluding the extraembryonic tissues and the maternal endometrium samples was expanded by an NGS dataset consisting of five human adult islet of Langerhans samples (Cnop et al., 2014). The 500 most variable genes of the embryonic training set without extraembryonic and maternal endometrium samples (top 500 fetal w/o) were used. The rows represent the organs/tissues from the different training sets and the columns depict the samples in the test set. The identity scores range from zero (black) to one (green). The values of all identity scores are given in Table S3. Abbreviations are as given in Figure 1.
fetal training set or the first and second trimester samples were used separately. This suggested that, even though the progerin-samples were predicted as being “brain,” the identity score compared with adult brain was even lower. Using each training set, we noted that there were evident differences in identity scores between cells that had undergone different numbers of passage in culture (Figures S4A–S5C). This showed how KeyGenes can be used to monitor differentiation conditions as well as to compare replicates to improve protocol outcomes.

Finally, we applied KeyGenes to NGS data from pancreatic endocrine progenitor cells differentiated from NGN3:eGFP hESCs (Liu et al., 2014; Figure S4D). NGN3 is an essential transcription factor during pancreas development, specifying the fate of its endocrine cells (Rukstalis and Habener, 2009). A multi-step differentiation protocol was used to differentiate NGN3:GFP hESCs toward pancreatic β cell lineage; cells were then sorted via FACS for GFP and analyzed at the endocrine progenitor stage (Figure S4D). The NGN3:GFP-negative differentiated population was classified as “pancreas” using the fetal training set, confirming the presence of pancreatic exocrine (progenitor) cells (Figure 5D; Table S3). Interestingly, the NGN3:GFP-positive differentiated population, presumably containing the endocrine progenitors, was misclassified as “heart atrium” (Figure 5D; Table S3). However, large proportions of the pancreas are in fact exocrine cells and the endocrine cells contribute only 1%–2% to the pancreatic cell mass (Chu et al., 2001; Rahier et al., 1981). This also explains why the classifier genes for the (fetal) pancreas are mainly genes involved in exocrine function of the pancreas (Figure 2A; Table S2).

Therefore, to have meaningful predictions with respect to the endocrine lineage (NGN3:GFP-positive differentiated population), the fetal training data used by KeyGenes were enriched by an available NGS dataset consisting of five human adult pancreatic islets (Cnop et al., 2014). Using the 500 most variably expressed genes across our fetal training set, excluding the extraembryonic fetal tissues and the maternal endometrium (Table S3), the misclassification of the NGN3:GFP-positive cell population disappeared and was predicted as “islet” with a score of 0.41 (Figure 5D; Table S3). Additionally, by separating first- and second-trimester pancreas (1T and 2T) in the training set, the identity score for the NGN3:GFP-positive cell population increased for “islet” to 0.49 (Figure 5D; Table S3). This highlights the importance of being able to implement the appropriate training set, depending on the purpose of each differentiation experiment, to be able to assign meaningful identity scores and determine the equivalent developmental stage (either by using a different training set for each stage or by separating the developmental stages of the tissue/cells of interest in the same training set) to improve the outcomes of the differentiation protocols.

DISCUSSION

We have shown here through detailed genomic analysis that human organs and tissues retain a transcriptional signature from W9 until adulthood even though each organ is composed of multiple progenitor cell types that mature over time. It was remarkable that the transcriptional expression profile of a set of less than 100 genes was sufficient to identify 21 different human fetal organs/tissues (plus maternal endometrium) and 18 adult human organs. These classifier genes that we identified not only included genes involved in transcription regulation but also genes that define cellular shape and metabolism. Moreover, some of the classifier genes were IncRNAs and asRNAs, highlighting the regulatory importance of this class of genes (Washietl et al., 2014). This was notably illustrated by NPPA-AS1, which is thought to regulate NPPA expression, a gene that encodes atrial natriuretic factor and is involved in heart development and chamber specification (Annilo et al., 2009; Houweling et al., 2005). This identification also underscores one of the advantages of KeyGenes, developed to compare data to an NGS (fetal) training set, in contrast to existing algorithms (Cahan et al., 2014; Hwang et al., 2011; Morris et al., 2014) that compare data to networks deduced from microarray datasets, which contain a fixed set of probes with low representation of non-coding RNAs such as IncRNAs and asRNAs. In our case, three of the four IncRNAs identified as fetal classifier genes (RP13-49H15.5, NPPA-AS1, and LINC00514) were not present in the microarray adult dataset, but were present in the NGS adult dataset and identified there as well as classifier genes for predictions.

The transcriptional human fetal atlas dataset presented here, even though limited in number of samples and organs analyzed, is an unique resource that will provide a deeper understanding of the signaling cascades and molecular dynamics during human development that lead into the maturation of progenitor cells within each human organ. The human fetal NGS dataset was paramount to the development and validation of our prediction algorithm KeyGenes, and has proven sufficient as training set to identify both human adult organs (using NGS and microarray data) as well as several differentiated derivatives of hPSCs. All hPSC derivatives expressed genes identified as fetal classifier genes (and many helper classifier genes) of the specific tissue to which they were claimed to have differentiated (Figure S5). Comparing differentiated derivatives of hPSCs to human adult gene expression data is important, but can be misleading, as it compares immature cells with far later
stages of development when entirely different physiological parameters have affected cell behavior. Our fetal dataset, used alone or in combination with, for example, adult data as a training set, provided an efficient way to assess progression of hPSC differentiation.

One important feature of the algorithm is that the ever-growing number of NGS datasets available online, for example, of different organs, (FACS) cell types, or simply more biological replicates, can be incorporated easily in the training set as we have demonstrated. The predictive possibilities therefore can be extended not only to organs but, as exemplified here, also to tissues (intestinal organoids) and specific cell types (cardiomyocytes, dopaminergic neurons, and pancreatic endocrine cells). These will become even more refined as more datasets become available and incorporated.

We have described and validated a valuable resource to the community that will help to determine genes important for identifying cell types and their stages of development, so that protocols for enhancing lineage differentiation efficiency or cell maturation will have accurate benchmarks to monitor the process. In addition, by incorporating similar data from human fetuses with congenital defects or derivatives of diseased patient-derived hiPSCs, it may be easier to identify the underlying molecular mechanism for the pathology.

**EXPERIMENTAL PROCEDURES**

**Fetal Tissue Procurement**

This study was approved by the Medical Ethical Committee of the Leiden Medical University Center (P08.087). Informed consent was obtained and the study was conducted in accordance with the Declaration of Helsinki by the World Medical Association. Human fetal organ and tissue samples (n = 111), from 17 individuals representing 21 organs, and maternal endometrium, between gestational W8.2 and W22 (Table S1), were obtained from elective abortion material (vacuum aspiration) without medical indication. After washing with 0.9% NaCl (Fresenius Kabi), the organs and tissues were snap-frozen in buffer RLT (QIAGEN) and stored at −80°C until further use. The organs and tissues were sex genotyped using primers for AMELOGENIN (Nakahori et al., 1991), as described previously (Heeren et al., 2015).

**External Data**

Gene expression data were obtained either from the Gene Expression Omnibus (GEO) database (GEO: GSE54879 [Liu et al., 2014], GSE52431 [Miller et al., 2013], GSE6930 [Forster et al., 2014], and GSE53949 [Cnop et al., 2014]) or from the EMBL-EBI database (EMBL-EBI: E-MTAB-513 [Illumina Body Map] and E-MTAB-1733 [Fagerberg et al., 2014]). The microarray data were downloaded from the Gene Expression Barcode 3.0 (http://barcode.luhs.org; McCall et al., 2014) or from the GEO database (GEO: GSE30652; Nazor et al., 2012).

**Bioinformatics**

**KeyGenes Algorithm**

The algorithm uses a 10-fold crossvalidation on the basis of a LASSO regression available in the R package “glmnet” (Friedman et al., 2010). To use KeyGenes, to access the R scripts used here, and to access extra information on the human fetal data or the training sets used here, please go to http://www.keygenes.nl. We used three R scripts as follows: (1) script 1 was used to determine the 500 most variably expressed genes across an NGS dataset (top 500), which was saved as a .txt file and then used in either script 2 or 3; (2) script 2 uses an NGS training set to predict an NGS test set, and it uses the file with the 500 most variably expressed genes generated with script 1; and (3) script 3 uses an NGS training set to predict a microarray test set, and it uses the file with the 500 most variably expressed genes generated with script 1. Script 3 is identical to script 2 but it includes a scaling step to a broad panel of 3,787 housekeeper genes (Eisenberg and Levanon, 2013). For this, the algorithm looks for the housekeeper genes that are present in the training set and the test set and chooses those that are expressed in at least one tissue.

**Gene Expression Levels**

As detection limit, a cutoff value of four reads was used. To evaluate the CPM expression levels, our fetal data and the tested differentiation experiments were analyzed with the R package edgeR 3.2.4, using the weighted trimmed mean of M values (TMM) method to normalize (Robinson et al., 2010; Robinson and Smyth, 2008).

**Hierarchical Clustering**

Hierarchical clustering using complete linkage was based on the Pearson correlation of the gene expression levels (CPM) calculated using the base R package stats.

**GO Analyses**

The enrichment of GO terms for the 90 classifier genes was tested with DAVID (Huang da et al., 2009). An FDR cutoff of 0.05 was used.

**Venn Diagrams for Gene Expression**

The datasets containing the first trimester fetal samples (1T), the second trimester fetal samples (2T), and the adult samples (Fagerberg et al., 2014; Illumina Bodymap) were compared per organ. The genes with gene expression levels higher than 10^3 mean expression of the corresponding dataset (1T, 2T, adult) were assigned either unique or common appearance across the datasets per organ and visualized in a Venn diagram (Bardou et al., 2014).

**Visualization**

The data were primarily visualized using the R package’s gplots and ggplot2 (Warnes et al., 2014; Wickham, 2009).

**ACCESSION NUMBERS**

The accession numbers for the data from the fetal organs and the cardiac differentiation reported in this paper are GEO: GSE66302 and GSE67866, respectively.
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Supplemental Information includes Supplemental Experimental Procedures, five figures, and four tables and can be found...
with this article online at http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.stemcr.2015.05.002.
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