A global reference for human genetic variation

The 1000 Genomes Project Consortium*

The 1000 Genomes Project set out to provide a comprehensive description of common human genetic variation by applying whole-genome sequencing to a diverse set of individuals from multiple populations. Here we report completion of the project, having reconstructed the genomes of 2,504 individuals from 26 populations using a combination of low-coverage whole-genome sequencing, deep exome sequencing, and dense microarray genotyping. We characterized a broad spectrum of genetic variation, in total over 88 million variants (84.7 million single nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs), 3.6 million short insertions/deletions (indels), and 60,000 structural variants), all phased onto high-quality haplotypes. This resource includes >99% of SNP variants with a frequency of >1% for a variety of ancestries. We describe the distribution of genetic variation across the global sample, and discuss the implications for common disease studies.

The 1000 Genomes Project has already elucidated the properties and distribution of common and rare variation, provided insights into the processes that shape genetic diversity, and advanced understanding of disease biology1,2. This resource provides a benchmark for surveys of human genetic variation and constitutes a key component for human genetic studies, by enabling array design3,4, genotype imputation5, cataloguing of variants in regions of interest, and filtering of likely neutral variants6,7.

In this final phase, individuals were sampled from 26 populations in Africa (AFR), East Asia (EAS), Europe (EUR), South Asia (SAS), and the Americas (AMR) (Fig. 1a; see Supplementary Table 1 for population descriptions and abbreviations). All individuals were sequenced using both whole-genome sequencing (mean depth = 7.4×) and targeted exome sequencing (mean depth = 65.7×). In addition, individuals and available first-degree relatives (generally, adult offspring) were genotyped using high-density SNP microarrays. This provided a cost-effective means to discover genetic variants and estimate individual genotypes and haplotypes1,2.

Data set overview

In contrast to earlier phases of the project, we expanded analysis beyond bi-allelic events to include multi-allelic SNPs, indels, and a diverse set of structural variants (SVs). An overview of the sample collection, data generation, data processing, and analysis is given in Extended Data Fig. 1. Variant discovery used an ensemble of 24 sequence analysis tools (Supplementary Table 2), and machine-learning classifiers to separate high-quality variants from potential false positives, balancing sensitivity and specificity. Construction of haplotypes started with estimation of long-range phased haplotypes using array genotypes for project participants and, where available, their first-degree relatives; continued with the addition of high confidence bi-allelic variants that were analysed jointly to improve these haplotypes; and concluded with the placement of multi-allelic and structural variants onto the haplotype scaffold one at a time (Box 1).

Overall, we discovered, genotyped, and phased 88 million variant sites contributed by this analysis. These novel variants especially enhance our catalogue of genetic variation within South Asian (which account for 24% of novel variants) and African populations (28% of novel variants).

To control the false discovery rate (FDR) of SNPs and indels at <5%, a variant quality score threshold was defined using high depth (>30×) PCR-free sequence data generated for one individual per population. For structural variants, additional orthogonal methods were used for confirmation, including microarrays and long-read sequencing, resulting in FDR <5% for deletions, duplications, multi-allelic copy-number variants, Alu and L1 insertions, and <20% for inversions, SVA (SINE/VNTR/Alu) composite retrotransposon insertions and NUMTs8 (nuclear mitochondrial DNA variants). To evaluate variant discovery power and genotyping accuracy, we also generated deep Complete Genomics data (mean depth = 47×) for 427 individuals (129 mother–father–child trios, 12 parent–child duos, and 16 unrelateds). We estimate the power to detect SNPs and indels to be >95% and >80%, respectively, for variants with sample frequency of at least 0.5%, rising to >99% and >85% for frequencies >1% (Extended Data Fig. 2). At lower frequencies, comparison with >60,000 European haplotypes from the Haplotype Reference Consortium9 suggests 75% power to detect SNPs with frequency of 0.1%. Furthermore, we estimate heterozygous genotype accuracy at 99.4% for SNPs and 99.0% for indels (Supplementary Table 4), a threefold reduction in error rates compared to our previous release2, resulting from the larger sample size, improvements in sequence data accuracy, and genotype calling and phasing algorithms.

A typical genome

We find that a typical genome differs from the reference human genome at 4.1 million to 5.0 million sites (Fig. 1b and Table 1). Although >99.9% of variants consist of SNPs and short indels, structural variants affect more bases: the typical genome contains an estimated 2,100 to 2,500 structural variants (~1,000 large deletions, ~160 copy-number variants, ~915 Alu insertions, ~128 L1 insertions, ~51 SVA insertions, ~4 NUMTs, and ~10 inversions), affecting ~20 million bases of sequence.

*Lists of participants and their affiliations appear in the online version of the paper.
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The majority of variants in the data set are rare: ~64 million autosomal variants have a frequency <0.5%, ~12 million have a frequency between 0.5% and 5%, and only ~8 million have a frequency >5% (Extended Data Fig. 3a). Nevertheless, the majority of variants observed in a single genome are common: just 40,000 to 200,000 of the variants in a typical genome (1–4%) have a frequency <0.5% (Fig. 1c and Extended Data Fig. 3b). As such, we estimate that improved rare variant discovery by deep sequencing our entire sample would at least double the total number of variants in our sample but increase the number of variants in a typical genome by only ~20,000 to 60,000.

Putatively functional variation

When we restricted analyses to the variants most likely to affect gene function, we found a typical genome contained 149–182 sites with protein truncating variants, 10,000 to 12,000 sites with peptide-sequence-altering variants, and 459,000 to 565,000 variant sites overlapping known regulatory regions (untranslated regions (UTRs), promoters, insulators, enhancers, and transcription factor binding sites). African genomes were consistently at the high end of these ranges. The number of alleles associated with a disease or phenotype in each genome did not follow this pattern of increased diversity in Africa (Extended Data Fig. 4): we observed ~2,000 variants per genome associated with complex traits through genome-wide association studies (GWAS) and 24–30 variants per genome implicated in rare disease through ClinVar; with European ancestry genomes at the high-end of these counts. The magnitude of this difference is unlikely to be explained by demography, but instead reflects the ethnic bias of current genetic studies. We expect that improved characterization of the clinical and phenotypic consequences of non-European alleles will enable better interpretation of genomes from all individuals and populations.

Sharing of genetic variants among populations

Systematic analysis of the patterns in which genetic variants are shared among individuals and populations provides detailed accounts of population history. Although most common variants are shared across the world, rarer variants are typically restricted to closely related populations (Fig. 1a); 86% of variants were restricted to a single continental group. Using a maximum likelihood approach, we estimated the proportion of each genome derived from several putative ‘ancestral populations’ (Fig. 2a and Extended Data Fig. 5).
BOX I
Building a haplotype scaffold

To construct high quality haplotypes that integrate multiple variant types, we adopted a staged approach37. (1) **A high-quality ‘haplotype scaffold’ was constructed using statistical methods applied to SNP microarray genotypes (black circles) and, where available, genotypes for first degree relatives (available for ~52% of samples; Supplementary Table 11)38. (2a) Variant sites were identified using a combination of bioinformatic tools and pipelines to define a set of high-confidence bi-allelic variants, including both SNPs and indels (white triangles), which were jointly imputed onto the haplotype scaffold. (2b) Multi-allelic SNPs, indels, and complex variants (represented by yellow shapes, or variation in copy number) were placed onto the haplotype scaffold one at a time, exploiting the local linkage disequilibrium information but leaving haplotypes for other variants undisturbed29. (3) The biallelic and multi-allelic haplotypes were merged into a single haplotype representation. This multi-stage approach allows haplotypes constructed from fosmids suggests the average distance between phasing errors is ~1,062 kb, with typical phasing errors stretching ~37 kb (Supplementary Table 12).

This analysis separates continental groups, highlights their internal substructure, and reveals genetic similarities between related populations. For example, east–west clines are visible in Africa and East Asia, a north–south cline is visible in Europe, and European, African, and Native-American admixture is visible in genomes sampled in the Americas.

To characterize more recent patterns of shared ancestry, we first focused on variants observed on just two chromosomes (sample frequency of 0.04%), the rarest shared variants within our sample, and known as $f_2$ variants. As expected, these variants are typically geographically restricted and much more likely to be shared between individuals in the same population or continental group, or between populations with known recent admixture (Extended Data Fig. 6a, b).

Analysis of shared haplotype lengths around $f_2$ variants suggests a median common ancestor ~296 generations ago (7,410 to 8,892 years ago; Extended Data Fig. 6c, d), although those confined within a population tend to be younger, with a shared common ancestor ~143 generations ago (3,570 to 4,284 years ago)15.

Insights about demography

Modelling the distribution of variation within and between genomes can provide insights about the history and demography of our ancestry populations14. We used the pairwise sequentially Markovian coalescent (PSMC)14 method to characterize the effective population size ($N_e$) of the ancestral populations (Fig. 2b and Extended Data Fig. 7). Our results show a shared demographic history for all humans beyond ~150,000 to 200,000 years ago. Further, they show that European, Asian and American populations shared strong and sustained bottlenecks, all with $N_e < 1,500$, between 15,000 to 20,000 years ago. In contrast, the bottleneck experienced by African populations during the same time period appears less severe, with $N_e > 4,250$. These bottlenecks were followed by extremely rapid inferred population growth in non-African populations, with notable exceptions including the PEL, MXL and FIN.

Due to the shared ancestry of all humans, only a modest number of variants show large frequency differences among populations. We observed 762,000 variants that are rare (defined as having frequency <0.5%) within the global sample but much more common (>5% frequency) in at least one population (Fig. 3a). Several populations have relatively large numbers of these variants, and these are typically genetically or geographically distinct within their continental group (LWK in Africa, PEL in the Americas, JPT in East Asia, FIN in Europe, and GIH in South Asia; see Supplementary Table 5). Drifted variants within such populations may reveal phenotypic associations that would be hard to identify in much larger global samples15.

Analysis of the small set of variants with large frequency differences between closely related populations can identify targets of recent, localized adaptation. We used the FST-based population branch statistic (PBS)16 to identify genes with strong differentiation between pairs of populations in the same continental group (Fig. 3b). This approach reveals a number of previously identified selection signals (such as SLC24A5 associated with skin pigmentation17, HERC2 associated with eye colour18, LCT associated with lactose tolerance, and the FADS cluster that may be associated with dietary fat sources19). Several potentially novel selection signals are also highlighted (such as TRBV9, which appears particularly differentiated in South Asia, PRICKLE4, differentiated in African and South Asian populations, and a number of genes in the immunoglobulin cluster, differentiated in East Asian populations; Extended Data Fig. 8), although at least some of these signals may result from somatic rearrangements (for example, via V(DJ) recombination) and differences in cell type composition among the sequenced samples. Nonetheless, the relatively small number of genes showing strong differentiation between closely related populations highlights the rarity of strong selective sweeps in recent human evolution20.

Sharing of haplotypes and imputation

The sharing of haplotypes among individuals is widely used for imputation in GWAS, a primary use of 1000 Genomes data. To assess imputation based on the phase 3 data set, we used Complete Genomics data for 9 or 10 individuals from each of 6 populations (CEU, CHS, LWK, PEL, PJL, and YRI). After excluding these individuals from the reference panel, we imputed genotypes across the genome using sites on a typical one million SNP microarray. The squared correlation between imputed and experimental genotypes was >95% for common variants in each population, decreasing gradually with minor allele frequency (Fig. 4a). Compared to phase 1, rare variation imputation improved considerably, particularly for newly sampled populations (for example, PEL and PJL, Extended Data Fig. 9a). Improvements in imputations restricted to overlapping samples suggest approximately equal contributions from greater genotype and sequence quality and from increased sample size (Fig. 4a, inset). Imputation accuracy is now similar for bi-allelic SNPs, bi-allelic indels, multi-allelic SNPs, and sites where indels and SNPs overlap, but slightly reduced for multi-allelic indels, which typically map to regions of low-complexity sequence and are much harder to genotype and phase (Extended Data Fig. 9b). Although imputation of rare variation remains challenging, it appears to be
most accurate in African ancestry populations, where greater genetic diversity results in a larger number of haplotypes and improves the chances that a rare variant is tagged by a characteristic haplotype.

**Resolution of genetic association studies**

To evaluate the impact of our new reference panel on GWAS, we re-analysed a previous study of age-related macular degeneration (AMD) totalling 2,157 cases and 1,150 controls. We imputed 14.1 million variants using phase 1, and only 2.4 million SNPs using HapMap2. Compared to phase 1, the number of imputed common and intermediate frequency variants increased by 7%, whereas the number of rare variants increased by $>50\%$, and the number of indels increased by 70% (Supplementary Table 6). We permuted case-control labels to estimate a genome-wide significance threshold of $P < 2 \times 10^{-5}$, which corresponds to $\sim 3$ million independent variants and is more stringent than the traditional threshold of $5 \times 10^{-8}$ (Supplementary Table 7). In practice, significance thresholds must balance false positives and false negatives. We recommend that thresholds aiming for strict control of false positives should be determined using permutations. We expect thresholds to become more stringent when larger sample sizes are sequenced, when diverse samples are studied, or when genotyping and imputation is replaced with direct sequencing. After imputation, five independent signals in four previously reported AMD loci reached genome-wide significance (Supplementary Table 8). When we examined each of these to define a set of potentially causal variants using a Bayesian Credible set approach, lists of potentially functional variants were $\sim 4\times$ larger than in HapMap2-based analysis and 7% larger than in analyses based on phase 1 (Supplementary Table 9). In the ARMS2/HTRA1 locus, the most strongly associated variant was now a structural variant (estimated imputation $R^2 = 0.89$) that previously could not be imputed, consistent with some functional studies. Deep catalogues of potentially functional variants will help ensure that downstream functional analyses include the true candidate variants, and will aid analyses that integrate complex disease associations with functional genomic elements.

The performance of imputation and GWAS studies depends on the local distribution of linkage disequilibrium (LD) between nearby variants. Controlling for sample size, the decay of LD as a function of physical distance is fastest in African populations and slowest in East Asian populations (Extended Data Fig. 10). To evaluate how these differences influence the resolution of genetic association studies and,
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 1</th>
<th>Median autosomal variant sites per genome</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>AFR</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Samples</td>
<td>661</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean coverage</td>
<td>8.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SNPs</td>
<td>4.31M</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Indels</td>
<td>625k</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Large deletions</td>
<td>11.1k</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CNVs</td>
<td>170</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MEI (Alu)</td>
<td>1.03k</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MEI (L1)</td>
<td>138</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MEI (SVA)</td>
<td>52</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MEI (MT)</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inversions</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nonsynon</td>
<td>12.2k</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Synon</td>
<td>18.8k</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Intron</td>
<td>2.06M</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UTR</td>
<td>37.2k</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Promoter</td>
<td>102k</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Insulator</td>
<td>70.9k</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Enhancer</td>
<td>354k</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TFBS</td>
<td>927</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Filtered LoF</td>
<td>182</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HGMD-DM</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GWAS</td>
<td>2.00k</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ClinVar</td>
<td>28</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

See Supplementary Table 1 for continental population groupings, CNVs, copy-number variants; HGMD-DM, Human Gene Mutation Database disease mutations; $k$, thousand; LoF, loss-of-function; M, million; MEI, mobile element insertions.
in particular, their ability to identify a narrow set of candidate functional variants, we evaluated the number of tagging variants \( r^2 > 0.8 \) for a typical variant in each population. We find that each common variant typically has over 15–20 tagging variants in non-African populations, but only about 8 in African populations (Fig. 4b). At lower frequencies, we find 3–6 tagging variants with 100 kb of variants with frequency <0.5%, and differences in the number of tagging variants between continental groups are less marked.

Among variants in the GWAS catalogue (which have an average frequency of 26.6% in project haplotypes), the number of proxies averages 14.4 in African populations and 30.3–44.4 in other continental groupings (Supplementary Table 10). The potential value of
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**Figure 3** | Population differentiation. a. Variants found to be rare (<0.5%) within the global sample, but common (>5%) within a population. b. Genes showing strong differentiation between pairs of closely related populations.
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**Figure 4** | Imputation and eQTL discovery. a. Imputation accuracy as a function of allele frequency for six populations. The insert compares imputation accuracy between phase 3 and phase 1, using all samples (solid lines) and intersecting samples (dashed lines). b. The average number of tagging variants \( r^2 > 0.8 \) as a function of physical distance for common (top), low frequency (middle), and rare (bottom) variants. c. The proportion of top eQTL variants that are SNPs and indels, as discovered in 69 samples from each population. d. The percentage of eQTLs in TFBS, having performed discovery in the first population, and fine mapped by including an additional 69 samples from a second population (*P < 0.01, **P < 0.001, ***P < 0.0001, McNemar’s test). The diagonal represents the percentage of eQTLs in TFBS using the original discovery sample.
multi-population fine-mapping is illustrated by the observation that the number of proxies shared across all populations is only 8.2 and, furthermore, that 34.9% of GWAS catalogue variants have no proxy shared across all continental groupings.

To further assess prospects for fine-mapping genetic association signals, we performed expression quantitative trait loci (eQTL) discovery at 17,667 genes in 69 samples from each of 6 populations (CEU, CHB, GH, JPT, LWK, and YRI)\(^2\). We identified eQTLs for 3.285 genes at 5% FDR (average 1.265 genes per population). Overall, a typical eQTL signal comprised 67 associated variants, including an indel as one of the top associated variants 26–40% of the time (Fig. 4c). Within each discovery population, 17.5–19.5% of top eQTL variants overlapped annotated transcription factor binding sites (TFBSs), consistent with the idea that a substantial fraction of eQTL polymorphisms are TFBS polymorphisms. Using a meta-analysis approach to combine pairs of populations, the proportion of top eQTL variants overlapping TFBSs increased to 19.2–21.6% (Fig. 4d), consistent with improved localization. Including an African population provided the greatest reduction in the count of associated variants and the greatest increase in overlap between top variants and TFBSs.

**Discussion**

Over the course of the 1000 Genomes Project there have been substantial advances in sequence data generation, archiving and analysis. Primary sequence data production improved with increased read length and depth, reduced per-base errors, and the introduction of paired-end sequencing. Sequence analysis methods improved with the development of strategies for identifying and filtering poor-quality data, for more accurate mapping of sequence reads (particularly in repetitive regions), for exchanging data between analysis tools and enabling ensemble analyses, and for capturing more diverse types of variants. Importantly, each release has examined larger numbers of individuals, aiding population-based analyses that identify and leverage shared haplotypes during genotyping. Whereas our first analyses produced high-confidence short-variant calls for 80–85% of the reference genome\(^1\), our newest analyses reach ~96% of the genome using the same metrics, although our ability to accurately capture structural variation remains more limited\(^3\). In addition, the evolution of sequencing, analysis and filtering strategies means that our results are not a simple superset of previous analysis. Although the number of characterized variants has more than doubled relative to phase 1, ~2.3 million previously described variants are not included in the current analysis; most missing variants were rare or marked as low quality: 1.6 million had frequency <0.5% and may be missing from our current read set, while the remainder were removed by our filtering processes.

These same technical advances are enabling the application of whole genome sequencing to a variety of medically important samples. Some of these studies already exceed the 1000 Genomes Project in size\(^4\)–\(^6\), but the results described here remain a prime resource for studies of genetic variation for several reasons. First, the 1000 Genomes Project samples provide a broad representation of human genetic variation—in contrast to the bulk of complex disease studies in humans, which primarily study European ancestry samples and which, as we show, fail to capture functionally important variation in other populations. Second, the project analyses incorporate multiple analysis strategies, callsets and variant types. Although such ensemble analyses are cumbersome, they provide a benchmark for what can be achieved and a yardstick against which more practical analysis strategies can be evaluated. Third, project samples and data resulting from them can be shared broadly, enabling sequencing strategies and analysis methods to be compared easily on a benchmark set of samples. Because of the wide availability of the data and samples, these samples have been and will continue to be used for studying many molecular phenotypes. Thus, we predict that the samples will accumulate many types of data that will allow connections to be drawn between variants and both molecular and disease phenotypes.

**Online Content**

Methods, along with any additional Extended Data display items and Source Data, are available in the online version of the paper; references unique to these sections appear only in the online paper.
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Extended Data Figure 1 | Summary of the callset generation pipeline. Boxes indicate steps in the process and numbers indicate the corresponding section(s) within the Supplementary Information.
Extended Data Figure 2 | Power of discovery and heterozygote genotype discordance. a, The power of discovery within the main data set for SNPs and indels identified within an overlapping sample of 284 genomes sequenced to high coverage by Complete Genomics (CG), and against a panel of >60,000 haplotypes constructed by the Haplotype Reference Consortium (HRC)\(^9\). To provide a measure of uncertainty, one curve is plotted for each chromosome. b, Improved power of discovery in phase 3 compared to phase 1, as assessed in a sample of 170 Complete Genomics genomes that are included in both phase 1 and phase 3. c, Heterozygote discordance in phase 3 for SNPs, indels, and SVs compared to 284 Complete Genomics genomes. d, Heterozygote discordance for phase 3 compared to phase 1 within the intersecting sample. e, Sensitivity to detect Complete Genomics SNPs as a function of sequencing depth. f, Heterozygote genotype discordance as a function of sequencing depth, as compared to Complete Genomics data.
Extended Data Figure 3 | Variant counts. a, The number of variants within the phase 3 sample as a function of alternative allele frequency. b, The average number of detected variants per genome with whole-sample allele frequencies <0.5% (grey bars), with the average number of singletons indicated by colours.
Extended Data Figure 4 | The standardized number of variant sites per genome, partitioned by population and variant category. For each category, z-scores were calculated by subtracting the mean number of sites per genome (calculated across the whole sample), and dividing by the standard deviation. From left: sites with a derived allele, synonymous sites with a derived allele, nonsynonymous sites with a derived allele, sites with a loss-of-function allele, sites with a HGMD disease mutation allele, sites with a ClinVar pathogenic variant, and sites carrying a GWAS risk allele.
Extended Data Figure 5 | Population structure as inferred using the admixture program for $K = 5$ to 12.
Extended Data Figure 6 | Allelic sharing. a, Genotype covariance (above diagonal) and sharing of $f_2$ variants (below diagonal) between pairs of individuals. b, Quantification of average $f_2$ sharing between populations. Each row represents the distribution of $f_2$ variants shared between individuals from the population indicated on the left to individuals from each of the sampled populations. c, The average number of $f_2$ variants per haploid genome. d, The inferred age of $f_2$ variants, as estimated from shared haplotype lengths, with black dots indicating the median value.
Extended Data Figure 7 | Unsmoothed PSMC curves. a, The median PSMC curve for each population. b, PSMC curves estimated separately for all individuals within the 1000 Genomes sample. c, Unsmoothed PSMC curves comparing estimates from the low coverage data (dashed lines) to those obtained from high coverage PCR-free data (solid lines). Notable differences are confined to very recent time intervals, where the additional rare variants identified by deep sequencing suggest larger population sizes.
Extended Data Figure 8 | Genes showing very strong patterns of differentiation between pairs of closely related populations within each continental group. Within each continental group, the maximum PBS statistic was selected from all pairwise population comparisons within the continental group against all possible out-of-continent populations. Note the x axis shows the number of polymorphic sites within the maximal comparison.
Extended Data Figure 9 | Performance of imputation. a, Performance of imputation in 6 populations using a subset of phase 3 as a reference panel (n = 2,445), phase 1 (n = 1,065), and the corresponding data within intersecting samples from both phases (n = 1,006). b, Performance of imputation from phase 3 by variant class.
Extended Data Figure 10 | Decay of linkage disequilibrium as a function of physical distance. Linkage disequilibrium was calculated around 10,000 randomly selected polymorphic sites in each population, having first thinned each population down to the same sample size (61 individuals). The plotted line represents a 5 kb moving average.