Johannine research in Africa, part 1: An analytical survey

This article is the first of two parts that contribute to the history of African biblical research. Africa’s theological ‘awakening’, following the colonial period, includes the discipline of New Testament studies. In this article the contributions of theologians working in Africa on the field of Johannine studies are surveyed and evaluated. In certain areas theologians from Africa performed very well, whilst other areas should receive more attention.

Johannese navorsing in Afrika, deel 1: ’n Analitiese oorsig. Hierdie artikel is die eerste van twee, wat saam ’n bydrae tot die geskiedenis van Bybelnavorsing in Afrika wil lever. Afrika se teologiese bewuswording wat op die koloniale periode volg, sluit die dissipline van Nuwe-Testamentiese navorsing in. Hierdie artikel word die bydrae van teoloë wat in Afrika werk, ondersoek en ge-evalueer. In sekere areas het die teoloë uit Afrika besonder goed gevaar, maar ander areas behoort meer aandag te geneem.

Introduction

Reflecting on Johannine research (from here, John) in Africa is a challenging but rewarding endeavour for several reasons. It is, for instance a question what should be understood under the theme ‘Johannine research in Africa’? The question what should be regarded as ‘Africa’ is also relevant, since Africa is divided into different areas with artificial borders resulting from its colonial history. Due to the colonial history there are even questions like who a true African is, especially when it comes to people from European descent.

There are several valid ways of approaching the question of John in Africa which implies that definite choices should be made of what and who are going to be discussed or not. Here the emphasis will be on accessible research by people (irrespective of who they are) who reside or resided in sub-Saharan Africa.

Finding ‘African Johannine material’ is also no mean task since we lack good and reliable databases on Johannine research in Africa, with the exception of the bibliography of LeMarquand (2000) on New Testament publications in Africa. No real database on Johannine research in Africa exists – this lacuna is addressed in Part 2, namely Johannine research in Africa, part 2:
An annotated bibliography8 I am convinced that Africa is in need of a comprehensive, reliable and easily accessible databank for the research done on the continent. This is if we would like to stay informed about what happens all over the continent and build on that, not restricting ourselves to our own (geographically) closed circles of influence. This is the reason for the extensive bibliography at the end of this article. Hopefully, this would fill some voids.

I mainly restricted myself to publications that are clearly about John which is consciously reflected in the title of the research (see the bibliography).9 Obviously there are many references in articles that do not specifically deal with John, but do have references to Johannine material. They are not discussed here, since this article specifically focuses on John.

Firstly, some aspects that John shares with New Testament research in general, that is dealing with hermeneutics and approaches or certain key areas of research will receive attention. Then attention will shift to specifically Johannine research done in the Southern part of Africa, gradually moving to Africa as a whole, analysing the research in different areas and on different topics.

Is Africa a continent for (Johannine) research?

In surveying Johannine research in Africa there are special issues to consider. These issues have roots in New Testament research in general and as such also have direct bearing on John. It must be stated that the discussion below therefore touches on material not directly related to John, but does have a direct link to Johannine research. The aim is to position John within these discussions and not to contribute to these discussions as such, or to give a comprehensive discussion of these issues.10

Africa is a vast and diverse continent. No wonder that the academic tradition in the South for several reasons11 has a different history and development than that of the area north of Southern Africa. Consequently, the academic activities to the north of Southern Africa are usually considered separately from developments in Southern Africa and rightly so; their histories, focus of research, interests and developments differ. This is also one of the notable characteristics of Johannine research in Africa.12 In this article the developments of these two areas will be treated separately. Obviously there are many similarities and overlaps between research in the North and South, which will become evident from the descriptions that follow.13

In Africa an emerging theological ethos is developing with an own identity.14 This indigenous African15 academic tradition16 is relatively young,17 since western academic traditions dominated the academic and intellectual stage in Africa during the colonial period, which only ended about 20 years ago.18 These new traditions aim at developing a theological ethos that has its primary roots in Africa and not in western academic ethos. Not until recently, after the gradual decline of the colonial period in Africa,19 did the formation of an independent academic tradition started to dawn in Africa.20 Ukpong (2000:12) dates the start of the tradition to the 1930s of the previous century,21 whilst the first books by independent African scholars started to be published only in the late 1940s. This 70–80 year old African academic tradition now wants to emphasise and celebrate their African identity and freedom in their approach to the Bible.

12.Mugambi (1999:4) traces this to the Berlin Conference back in 1884–1885. See also the numerous books by missionaries or academics from western traditions describing the intellectual and cultural situation in Africa. Words like ‘primitive’, ‘naturalistic’, and other are common in these descriptions. 13.Significant work in reading the Bible authentically in Africa was done at the University of KwaZulu-Natal (West, Draper and others) and the University of Botswana (Dube).

14. The views of Maluleke (2000:94–95) in this regard are relevant. He cautions against using the term ‘African biblical scholarship’ as if it forms an equivalent to the western type of scholarship. African biblical scholars do lay emphasis on the Bible but appropriate it within a much larger framework, including different disciplines like Philosophy, Missiology or Systematic Theology. Within this framework there is also less specific and systematic focus on single aspects of biblical research.


16. This is meant in the traditional sense of universities, colleges or similar institutions. Obviously the intellectual tradition goes back for hundreds of years, although this was mainly transmitted in an oral way.

17. Excluding the ancient Alexandria, as well as the older ‘white’ universities in South Africa, the University of Fort Hare is regarded by many as the oldest institution of tertiary education in Africa. It is little more than 100 years old (including its embryonic stage), going back to its earliest beginnings as missionary institution. Paris (1995:166) argues that serious studies of African culture by African scholars only started in the 1960s. Avriti (2000:315) mentions an interesting point. He refers to the low level of critical reflection in African biblical interpretation. Following Abogunrin, he explains that the missionaries do not bring critical reflection in the true academic sense of the word to Africa, which is today still evident in some of the seminaries. This means that the development of the academic tradition in Africa is largely dependent on people who studied abroad.

18. Mugambi (1999:4) traces this to the Berlin Conference back in 1884–1885. See also the numerous books by missionaries or academics from western traditions describing the intellectual and cultural situation in Africa. Words like ‘primitive’, ‘naturalistic’, and other are common in these descriptions.

19. The end of colonialisation in Africa started to dawn in 1957 with Ghana becoming independent and it reached its ‘conclusion’ with the election of a democratic government in South Africa in 1994. Ukpong (2000:12) distinguishes three dominant phases of development, which according to him, started in the 1930s.

20. In South Africa these traditions go back 30–40 years with the writings of Tutu, Boesak, Mosala, Maluleke, and others. Paris (1995:1–3) refers to similar changes in the USA over the past few decades. He refers to these scholars as the ‘new scholars’.

21. He is obviously excluding the work done in the southern part of Africa, focusing more on the development of an African tradition that distinguishes itself from the predominantly western approaches that were practiced in the South.
The task on hand is to strip the reading of the Bible from its colonial flavour without losing the presence and influence of the Christian document that came with the colonial powers (cf. the multiple publications of Dube in this regard). A clear vision and concrete efforts are indeed made to move beyond the western academic heritage to an independent and indigenous form of interpretation of the Bible.\(^{22}\)

In dealing with these developing African traditions that also impact on \textit{John}, the diversity of efforts of reading the Bible in Africa (efforts which often have an experimental quality to it), as well as a hermeneutical consciousness and a methodological sensitivity should be noted.

In Africa the Bible is highly regarded (Maluleke 2000:94–95), as West (2000c:9) puts it: ‘In the present the Bible is central to the lived faith of ordinary African Christians’. Reading the Bible should have existential value, touching the lives of people in a concrete\(^{23}\) way. This becomes evident in the academic activities of many African scholars, who focus on the existential applications of the biblical text in their particular African situations. This elevates African readings of biblical texts onto the level of particular hermeneutical issues, also in \textit{John}.\(^{24}\)

Although there are diverse suggestions for reading the Bible in Africa,\(^{25}\) most of them share an approach that can be called the inculturation of the text (inculturation reading). This approach was propagated by, for instance Ukpong (2000) and are accepted widely\(^ {26}\) (examples specifically highlighting this approach in Johannine publications will follow this general explanation). It is a hermeneutical program of reading the text, since \textit{explicatio} and \textit{applicatio} tend to overlap. It is acknowledged that the reader is emerged in a particular culture (in this case the African culture), has certain views of reality which may vary according to geographical, political, social situations, etc., experiences reality in certain ways (i.e. poverty, urbanisation, loss of power, etc.) and brings all this subjective complexity by way of synthesis to the reading process. A process of ‘reading with’ is preferred to a ‘reading from above’ in which all readers engage on similar level with the text. In this process of ‘reading with’ the readers with their issues and contributions influence one another in their interpretations and thus their understanding of the text, inspiring their individual interpretations. As Ukpong (2000) points out:

\begin{quote}
The goal of interpretation is the actualization of the theological meaning of the text in today’s context so as to forge integration between faith and life, and engender commitment to personal and societal transformation.’ (p. 24)
\end{quote}

Within this process, the historical or social data related to the original situation within which the text was written are also welcomed in different ways, but usually not in the sense of ‘reading down or from above’ (West 1999; 2000a; 2000b). In this process of reading the text the reader approaches the text as somebody who has needs and therefore asks specific questions. These questions or needs will serve as filter for reading the text and will determine the understanding and meaning of the text. It is therefore not a matter of understanding the text primarily within its original context, but understanding the text within the present context of the readers within their reading processes – the text must address the reader.\(^ {27}\) In this way \textit{explicatio} and \textit{applicatio} synchronise with one another. ‘Ordinary ‘readers’ of the Bible do not simply consume the product, they partially constitute both the process and the product’ (West 2000c:5). In this way the reader becomes constitutive for the interpretation of the text. As Ukpong (2000:23) puts it: ‘the resources of the people’s culture and historical life experience are used as complementary to conventional critical tools of biblical exegesis’.

Suggestions exit on how to incorporate historical and social material into the reading process. West (1999; 2000a; 2000b; 2004), as one of the major authors on African hermeneutics, suggest that the material should be provided to the reading community to help them to get a feeling for the original framework of the text, but that should not hinder the process of ‘reading with’. Within this context both scholars and non-scholars are involved, implying that an academic reading also impacts on the grass-root level (Okure 1993:77; West 2000a). In the words of West (2000c:4): ‘The inclusion of ordinary African “readers” of the Bible in African biblical scholarship is acknowledged, whether implicitly or explicitly, by most African biblical scholars’.\(^ {28}\) This also applies to Johannine readings of the text.

Van der Watt (1998a; 2000b; 2007b), in cooperation with Mahlangu and Ndwandwe, suggests a hermeneutic of relevance, specifically in relation to Johannine literature. This hermeneutical process is in line with what the inculturation reading suggests, namely that the reading process should start with what is relevant to the reader. From these communalities

\begin{thebibliography}
22. That is why there are so many different publications and such a variety of diverging opinions on ‘reading the Bible in Africa’ today – cf. the most recent book edited by Adamo (2006) in which he claims to offer the current stage of development of African biblical studies.

23. Concreteness, that is to experience something concretely, even and especially of the spiritual world, is inherently part of the African worldview. West (2000c) refers to Ukpong when he describes the essence of African worldviews, namely: ‘... general significant features that characterise the African world-view: a unitary view of reality, a divine origin of the universe and an integral connectedness between God, humanity and the cosmos, a sense of community in which people are because they are in relation to other people, and an emphasis on the concrete and pragmatic. Though not exhaustive, these features are common to most, if not all, African world-views (Ukpong 1995:8–9)” (p. 7).

24. Cf. numerous publications, like Dube (1992), Mautsa and Janse van Rensburg (2010), Milingo (2009), Ngele (2011), and others.

25. For instance the hermeneutic of relevance (Van der Watt & Voges 2000), African cultural hermeneutics (Adamo 1999), inculturation hermeneutics (Ukpong 2000), ‘neo-traditional’ hermeneutics or neo-indigenous biblical hermeneutics (West 2000c:10–13), et cetera.


27. Although not dealing with a Johannine text, Okure (1992a:221–230) illustrates how the suffering of the bleeding woman ‘borne the weight of illness, the alienation of society’ (1992a:221) becomes the mirror in which the African woman may see herself, of course leading up to the healing process that restores her to wholeness. African women should follow this example, thus appropriating the biblical story to the benefit of the concrete African situation.

28. Dube (1996c) describes an interesting process in which the rhythmic cyclical harmonic movement of interpreting the text by moving from one point to another that was suggested in the previous point, constitutes a continuous process of communal interpretation.
\end{thebibliography}
between the text and the reader should be identified to serve as basic point of departure in connecting reader and text, thus drawing the reader into the text. This makes it possible for the text to be explained within its original framework, which will challenge the readers to compare their own views with that of the text, identifying similarities and differences. In this way the reading process becomes a hermeneutical process of dialogue based on relevance.

What is also notable in Johannine research is the number of publications that applies to accepted exegetical procedures for reading a text, but nevertheless expresses the clear intention to make the information available to the readership in Africa. In 1992 Manus addressed the problem of the feeding of the crowd in John 6 (and its parallels) from an African perspective, thus trying to create an African hermeneutical framework for addressing a more ‘orthodox’ problem. At the turn of the century, Manus (2002) wrote an article entitled, ‘Reconstructing Christology for Africa of the 21st century’, illustrating the willingness of African theologians to be part of the academic discussions, but also to rethink the significance of Jesus specifically for Africa. In the same spirit Bidzoga (2006:33–45) discusses Jesus as the way to the Father (Jn 14:1–14) and then links it to the situation in Africa. This is evident even from the title of his contribution, namely ‘Jesus, the way to the Father in John 14:1–14: A link with the African situation today’. 29

Within this framework mention must also be made of several commentaries that were written on Johannine material. As part of the African Bible Commentary,30 Ngewa (2006a; 2006b) were responsible for the Gospel and letters of John, obviously with western academic insights as part of the venture. Van der Watt (1997b; 2009c) also wrote several shorter commentaries on John’s Gospel whilst Du Rand (1989b) was responsible for the commentary on John in the Verklarende Bybel and Okure (1998) in The international Bible commentary.

More specific contextual readings, relating the material to specifically located areas in Africa, with their own problems, myths, religious narratives and expressions are also common. Kang (2003), for instance reads the story of Lazarus applying it specifically to the Malawian context. Dube (1992) again relates the biblical message to the Batswana women, making the important link between these women and the message of the Bible.31 Ngele (2011) relates the washing of the disciple’s feet (Jn 13:1–17) to Jesus’ servant leadership as model for the church and Nigerian society. The Akan myth, of the Akan people predominantly living in Ghana, is related to the resurrection of Lazarus as guiding narrative by Ahoua (2008), placing African narratives in relation to biblical narratives, investigating the parallels, and thus seeking an interpretative bridge. In his concluding sentence he remarks: ‘... we have shown a path for further development of African Christian theology (italics from – JvW), particularly for inculturation’ (2008:185). In a different way and with different questions Lombard (1998) draws some inspiration from the Gospel of John in addressing orthodoxy and the other-worldliness of the church within a South African (predominantly white) society.

Another characteristic line of interpretation should be noted. In a situation of transition (i.e. political ‘colonialism’ from an African perspective only came to an end in 1994 with the end of apartheid in South Africa) theologies of reaction (i.e. black theology, liberation theology [cf. Mosala 1989], feminism, etc.) are to be expected, and Africa is no exception. This means that the focus falls on biblical texts that evidently support the issue in question and motivate people to continue with the endeavours and struggles related to the issue in question.33

Obviously, certain books of the Bible could be better used in certain ways, addressing certain topics than others. The Gospel of John is no exception. Several issues drew African scholars to the Johannine text, for instance the emphasis on women, the issue of HIV and AIDS and the plight of such people, or the focus on life in abundance, based on the African lust for life.


Perhaps the best known work by an African woman on John 4 is that of Teresa Okure. John 4 has a paradigmatic function for the concept of mission according to John, being ‘a miniature of the whole Gospel’ (Okure 1988:56).34 Okure (1993:77) is also of the opinion that the reading of the text for African women includes both scholars and non-scholars, which says something about her hermeneutical approach. Texts should be read by exploiting their affective nature, serving as instruments that could encourage women who find themselves in degrading or less than acceptable situations. Dube, a theologian from Botswana, also explored the narrative of the Samaritan woman extensively. Dube (1992:5–9) wrote an article entitled, ‘Jesus and the Samaritan

29 Van der Watt (1998a) reads the Gospel from a specific African perspective, expressing the importance of relating the academic process to the African situation. Folarin (2001) also emphasises the importance of the link between exegesis and application in the African situation. This approach he also illustrates in Folarin (2002). Cf. further also Asante (2001); Du Rand (2002a; 2002b); Dube (2001); Kinoti (1999); Manus (1992); Nwigure (2003); Umoh (2005); Waweru (2008).

30 South Africans were largely excluded from this project.

31 Cf. also Chamango (1999).

32 The text of John was not used with any frequency when it came to political issues.

33 The biblical defence of apartheid can in this sense also be seen as a reaction theology.

woman: A Motswana feminist theological reflection on woman and social transformation’, signalling her interest in reading John’s Gospel with the women of Africa with the aim of improving their situation through involvement with the biblical text. This was indeed a sign of things to come. In 2001 Dube developed this theme further by consciously bringing the Samaritan woman and African women under the same umbrella, whilst in her article in 2002 she reads John 4 for decolonisation, a process to be reflected on in the postcolonial situation in Africa (cf. also her books in 1998 and 2000a on postcolonialism, also reflecting on John). The role of the Samaritan woman was even explored within the framework of leadership, an important issue for African woman in the light of a patriarchal social framework. As far back as the 1980s Manus (1987:52–63) already explored the narrative of the Samaritan woman on the basis of which he discusses female leadership and nation building in Africa. The focus of Mautsa and Janse van Rensburg (2010:1–18) are likewise on the Samaritan woman as leader.35 Nwaigbo (2006:38–57) took a different approach, focussing on interreligious dialogue in the third millennium, but also finding guidance and inspiration for Africa from the narrative of the Samaritan woman.

Interestingly enough John 10:10 (Jesus ‘came that they may have life and have it abundantly’) also encourages African readers of the Bible. John 10:10 became a popular text to enrich the zest for life that is part of Africa. In a continent where poverty and hardship is not unknown to the majority of people, the promise of abundant and of course good life is always welcome. Prosperity preachers therefore like to make use of this verse, as Mbamalu (2010) points out. Owan (1996:18–42) applied this verse to justice within a liberation context. The right of life belongs to everybody and Jesus assures that (cf. also Gitari 1996:128–130; Kabongo-Mbaya s.a.67–77). Sindima (1990:50–62) also made the fullness of life his concern, arguing the issue from the perspective of the Logos in the Prologue.

A more specific but dire problem in Africa is HIV and AIDS. There are people to whom the Johannine message is one of hope (Milgo 2009). People from certain countries in Africa suffer from the largest Aids infection in the world.36 Since African people have a holistic view of reality in which spiritual power plays an important role in the healing process, the Bible, as their source of power, is obviously looked at for help. Omotye (2006) uses the narrative of the Samaritan woman to call for the embracement of HIV and AIDS patients in Nigeria, underlining the need for social change of attitude towards people who suffer from this disease. Kgalema (2004:141–168) and Milgo (2009) also deal with the stigmatisation of HIV and AIDS sufferers from an Johannine perspective and then formulate a message of hope from John’s Gospel for those who find themselves in this situation. The article of Togarasei (2011), focusing specifically on Pentecostal churches in Botswana, gives a good survey of the use of the Bible related to the problem of HIV and AIDS and shows that amongst many biblical sections John 13:34–35 is used to encourage love towards sufferers of HIV and AIDS.

It should also be noted that scholars from the northern parts of Africa did not only publish with Africa in mind, but also interacted with the discussions taking place on international level according to the rules of the international (western) guild. These types of research will be discussed in more detail later on with research predominantly done in the South. Some attention will also be given later on to research on the letters of John.

A last remark: since the reading process as is suggested by African hermeneutics integrates text and reader from the initial stages of the reading process, it often creates some tension with western guilds who often tend to distinguish between different stages in the process of interpretation, first trying to read the text as far as possible within its context of origin and then move towards understanding the implications of the texts through a intricate hermeneutical process. It is often felt that neither the initial interpretation of the text within its context of origin, nor the more refined hermeneutical applications are sufficiently honoured in the African approaches. Within the guild this tension needs to be addressed, because the western interpretations are also not without strong subjective inputs and agendas.

Research predominantly done in the Southern part of Africa

The development of Johannine research in most of the Southern parts of Africa was mostly in tandem with western developments, although it has its own particular emphases. It must be remembered that the university tradition in South Africa is nearly 200 years old, considerably older than in the rest of Africa. These universities were initiated within the colonial framework, which characterised the academic ethos that was mainly orientated towards the West.37

The first major Johannine research by an African in the past 40 years that should be mentioned is the much quoted work of W. Nicol (1972) on the semeia source. As doctoral dissertation completed at Kampen Theological University in Kampen, The Netherlands, it investigated the semeia as source, typical of the historical critical research interests in Europe at that time, especially under the influence of major Johannine researchers like Bultmann and Dodd. Since Nicol stayed in ministry and only did part-time teaching, the impact of this European published study remained limited in Africa compared to its influence in the rest of the guild.
In the past 40 years two major sources of influence on Johannine research in South (em) Africa should be noted, namely, the New Testament Society of South Africa and the presence of Prof. Jan Nie Louw, one of the authors of the Greek-English Lexicon of the New Testament: Based on semantic domains (Louw & Nida 1999), who introduced the New Testament guild in South Africa to linguistic and literary studies. This stimulated the interest of South African New Testament scholars in issues related to methods of interpretation, which were obviously based in hermeneutical interests. Linguistic and literary developments would therefore typify much of what was done in the past 40 years.

Initially emphasis fell on the analysis of words or concepts, not the least since Louw’s work on the Greek-English lexicon started in the 1970s and he involved his students and even colleagues actively in the development of the semantic theories, especially related to determining the meaning of words (the basis of lexicography). The focus on semantic domains instead of on translation equivalence offered new avenues for semantic analysis. A basic insight was that words do not have meaning, but are used in contexts where they acquire meaning. It was therefore obvious that the structure that offered insight into the context became a point of considerable interest. South African structural (discourse) analysis subsequently developed, leading to other literary issues like rhetoric, style, metaphorical use, and other issues (see below). The fruits of these activities and developments were subsequently reflected in Johannine research (as was the case with the rest of the New Testament also).

The initial interests were in word studies. For example Du Rand (1982a) determines the meaning of entole in the Gospel and letters of John, whilst van der Watt (1986) uses the semantic insights propagated by Louw to determine the meaning of the concept, eternal life, in the Gospel of John. Van der Merwe (1996a) focuses on the analysis of discipleship in the Gospel. In these studies both semantics, including the syntagmatic and paradigmatic use of words, as well as structural issues were combined in determining the semantics of these words in the Gospel.

During the 70s and 80s of the previous century (in parallel with what happened at the SBL – with Crossan and Funk analysing parables – and in the Scandinavian countries regarding structural analysis) Louw also initiated what came to be known as South African structural (discourse) analysis, which was enthusiastically embraced, applied and further developed by the South African New Testament guild. It was also actively pursued in the New Testament Society of South Africa (NTSSA) that also had a Johannine seminar where these insights found a willing home. A good example is the complete structural analysis of the letters of John by Jan du Rand (1982b; 1982c; 1982d), which is done on the basis of commata, cola and pericope, the classical way in which South African structural analysis was done. Van der Watt (1986; 1987b) and Tolmie (1993a; 1993b) also applied structural analysis to larger sections of the Gospel itself.

In all fairness it must be acknowledged that during this initial euphoria about the potential of structural analysis, there was some discomfort with the fact that different ‘structures’ were possible for the same texts. It did not offer an ‘objective entrance’ into the meaning of the text. Apart from this, the cyclical argumentative movement in John also problematised the desire to find proper systematic structures, not to mention the limited value of South African structural analysis when applied to narratives. In the latter case narrative analyses have proven the better structural method. This discomfort later grew to the extent that South African structural analysis lost its impact on New Testament studies and, of course, also on Johannine studies in South Africa.

The influence of Louw introduced a period in which reflection on methods, and to a lesser extent hermeneutical issues, became a dominant interest in South African Johannine studies and also dominated the activities of the NTSSA. The mid-1970 to mid-1990 issues of Neotestamentica, the journal of the NTSSA, recorded this development well.

Following the focus on the use of words within contexts (described in terms of structures), other related methods were also developed or applied to Johannine material (taken from literary studies in general). The interest in methodological questions grew rapidly in South Africa. Two distinct lines developed, also in Johannine research, namely more intense interest in literary issues and the application of social-scientific insights on John (due to contacts by South African scholars with the Context group as well as with Abe Malherbe, a key figure in the development of social readings of the New Testament).

On the literary level, the love relationship with structural (discourse) analysis cooled down a bit and the interests expanded to other literary methods, like narrative analysis, metaphor theories, speech act theories, rhetoric, and other interests (see below for discussions). These different literary methods were experimentally applied to the Johannine text.

Whilst the most significant contribution of the North, to my mind, lies with the development of inculcation readings, the most significant contribution from the South lies with the application and development of methodology in reading the text of John. Three major areas can be distinguished.

38. Not only South Africa should be thought of, but also countries like Namibia and Botswana.
39. Obviously, Louw did not work alone, but succeeded in creating considerable interest among his students and colleagues in the then developing focus on literary studies and related methods.
40. The myth that the academic work in this time concentrated so much on literary studies because of apartheid and the fear to engage with the real political scene (cf., for instance the work of West) has little truth in it. Although not planned in that way, it was the focus on the meaning of the structure or metaphors of the biblical documents that highlighted the challenges of the biblical texts. Refuge was not sought in 1st century historical issues.
41. The name varied during the period of the development of the method, based on pericopes, cola and commata, which were linked in a binary way in order to describe the structure. Since the method was mainly applied to discourse material (not so much to narratives) it was thought that discourse analysis would be a better way of describing this methodological procedure.
Narratology: Reference was already made to the South African structural analysis, which was also applied to Johannine literature (Du Rand 1980a; 1982b; 1982d; Tolmie 1993a; 1993b; Van der Watt 1986; 2000a). Whilst considering the implications of structures in texts, it was realised that discourse analysis was not the best method to investigate narratives. The interest therefore shifted to narratology as a means to determine the way the text was structured. Du Rand took the lead in this regard by focussing on different aspects of narratology. He illustrates how characterisation (of Jesus) works (1985), points out the reader in the Gospel (1984), discusses the plot and point of view (1986), and considers the role of the reader in a narrative with John 4 as example (1988b). He also discusses narratological perspectives in John 9–10 and 13:1–38 (1990; 1991b; 1992; 2008; see also 2005). Du Rand’s student and successor at the University of the Free State, Francois Tolmie continued and developed the narratological reading of John even further (cf.Tolmie 1992; 1995a). Focalisation, an important aspect of narratological analysis, was applied to John 13–17 by him (Tolmie 1991). Notable is also Tolmie’s refined characterisation of God in the Gospel (1998; cf. also 2006 on the characterisation of Peter). The implicit reader was the topic of Lemmer’s (1991) research. These developments were largely restricted to the South.

Theory of metaphor and imagery: The spiritual and symbolic nature of the Johannine material is well-known and this aspect was developed in much detail by African scholars. Van der Watt (1992; 1995; 1998b; 1999b; 2000a; 2000b; 2006a; 2007a; 2007b) analyses the surface metaphors in the Gospel of John, illustrating that imageries related to family matters are dominant. As people born of God, receiving eternal life, living in a relationship with the Father and the Son, the Johannine believers form a community of love (cf. also Moruthane & Nortjé-Meyer 2008; Nortjé-Meyer 2007; Van den Heever 1992 and Van Zyl). Joubert (2007) also shows how the concept of Paraclete or Spirit is developed within the dynamics of metaphor. Other metaphors are also present but are not so dominant. Mburu (2010) links the metaphorical use of language in John to the Qumran literature.

Application of diverse methods: As was mentioned, the NTSSA fell in love with methodology in the last quarter of the 20th century. This is also clearly reflected in the Johannine research. Botha (1989; 1991a) did some provocative research related to the style of John and challenged available approaches to Johannine style, suggesting a movement away from the traditional perceptions about the style of John in favour of the performance of the texts themselves (cf. Du Rand 1998; Van der Watt 2008b). He opted for a speech act reading to the text of John 4. Other stylistic features like irony (Botha 1991b; Van der Watt 2010), double entendre (Van der Watt 2004), repetitions and variations (Du Rand 1996; Van der Watt 2009b) and John as drama (Domeris 1983) were also investigated. Approaches focusing on the effect of the text on the reader (like rhetoric or reader response) were applied to the text of John (Du Rand 1988a; 2006; Van der Watt 2010; 2012a; Wendland 2007). A project focusing on detailed analyses of the grammar of John is also running (Van der Watt & Caragounis 2009).

All these contributions in their own way formed part of the spear point of international Johannine research at their particular times. In this sense the Johannine research in Africa reflected a particular literary focus and thus contributed in its own way to international Johannine scholarship. This was not necessarily on the level of inculturation or indigenisation, but through the participation in developing international debates.

The second focus, though not so prominent as the literary approach, was on social readings of the text. Domeris (1991) was one of the first to use anthropological insights in interpreting the Gospel. In 1992 (cf. also 2008) Du Rand read the first farewell discourse from narratological and sociological [sic!] perspectives. Social-scientific insights proved to be of value to unlock the ethical dynamics of 1 John (Van der Watt 1999a). Social material was also used in interpreting the metaphors in the Gospel (Van der Watt 2000a). It is argued that metaphors are social phenomena that should be framed within its proper social framework. This line of research was subsequently taken further by Van der Merwe and his student, Snodderly (Snodderly & Van der Merwe 2007) who explored the familial aspects of the ethics of 1 John as basis for understanding the ethical dynamics of John. Van den Heever (1999) used social-rhetorical techniques to find data in unexpected places. Worth mentioning is the PhD work of Muderwa (2008) completed at Unisa, where he gave a social-historical perspective of discipleship in John.

Linked to the focus on the text itself, that formed part and parcel of the structuralist and literary approaches, was the growing interest in the theology or message of John. Although the focus might have fallen on the structure or semantics of John, the theological implications of these academic investigations were consistently considered as part of the overall process of interpreting the text of John. In the rest of Africa there was natural interest in certain theological issues, partly due to the nature of African traditional religion and the issues dealt with in this religion. This resulted in certain topics being focused on in Africa as a whole like the following seven topics.

Christology: The Gospel of John is about Jesus Christ. Without going into any detail here, it may be mentioned that the role of Christ was and still is a point of discussion in the African traditional religion, not the least because of the integration of Christ into the socio-religious systems of the African traditional religion where ancestors still play a structurally important role (cf. Van der Watt 2007b; 2008a). That might be the reason why several articles have a general approach to the Christology of John with some suggestion of adapting it to African situations. Manus (2002), for instance writes about reconstructing
Christology for Africa of the 21st century, based on the
focuses on the functionality of the Christology, which is
one of the basic points of contention, namely how Christ
does function in Africa. In the South, Du Rand (1980c)
also explores new perspectives on the Christology of John
and Van der Watt (1993b) addresses the issue of Jesus as
God and man. There is a definite interest in Christology
in general and indeed efforts to address this problem.
Research that pays more specific attention to aspects of
Christology is, for instance Gitari (1996) who surveys
Jesus as Good Shepherd, Liey (2007) who looks at Jesus
as Torah, Obielosi (2008) who wrote a monograph on
the Servant of God, Mbachu (1996) who also wrote a
monograph on Mario-Christology, or several scholars
who gave attention to the Logos in the Prologue.42
Bidzoga (2006) touches the central nerve when dealing
with John 14:1–14, where Jesus is described as the Way
to the Father.

There is also above average interest in ‘applied Christology’,
namely in Jesus as Saviour (cf. Dube (1996a). Both the cross-
events (Mbaziwa 1964; Van der Watt 1999c; 2003a) and the
resurrection of Jesus (Adamo 1990; Kok 2009; 2011) are topics
in African Johannine Scholarship. How this resurrected Jesus
is present in his community is addressed by Van der Watt
(2002). This, of course leads to the important soteriological
topic of eternal life. In the eighties Van der Watt (1985;1986;
1987a) published a series of articles suggesting that life is not
a stagnant gift in John, but a way of living that life. Getting
life means being taken up in fellowship with God and
obviously living accordingly Ndayango (2001) addresses the
relation between faith, life and miracles in John. This being
the harvest of African theology on the central theme of John’s
Gospel, should encourage Africa to address these central
issues anew.

**Pneumatology:** The African worldview is essentially
holistic in nature, implying that reality, both visible and
invisible, forms an integrated whole in which different
elements of this reality interrelate and mutually influence
one another. The material reality is therefore in direct
contact and is directly influenced by the spiritual reality,
which consists of good and evil powers, ancestors, and
the rest. These spiritual powers should be manipulated
in favour of the physical person. Special emphasis on the
power of God is therefore to be expected. It is indeed a
central theme in the charismatic movements in Africa
and these are the areas where Christianity experiences
the biggest growth in Africa. Since the Spirit is in any
case an important theme in John, several publications
on the Spirit were written by South Africans who do not
necessarily share the above worldview. These are diverse
in nature (cf. Botha & Tuppurainen 2008 on the roles of
the Spirit; Draper 1992 on the soteriological role of the
Spirit or Paraclete; Joubert 2007 on metaphors related to
the Spirit; Van der Watt 2000b on the Spirit who gives
life in African context). In 1991 Rwehumbiza wrote an
article explaining how Jesus is actively present within
his community. Osunwokeh (2000; 2014) did the most
extensive work on this topic of Johannine spirituality in
his Nigerian dissertation. Having said this, I must confess
that it remains strange to me, given the above importance
of the Spirit(s) in Africa, that the theme of the Spirit in
John is not explored in more detail specifically within an
African context. There seems to be a big need for thorough
study and discussion in this direction.

**Mission:** The footprints of missionary activities are still
clearly present in Africa. One of the basic theological
structures in John is the mission of the Son and the
subsequent mission of his disciples. This did not go
unnoticed in Africa. No wonder that since the work of
Okure (1988; 1991) on mission in John there was a thin
but constant stream of publications on this topic. Ituma
(2006) completed a Nigerian PhD on mission in John’s
Gospel, continuing the interest in this topic in this part
of the continent. Osunwoke (2000) also frames his
interpretation of the Spirit within the mission of Jesus.
Fansaka Biniama (2004) looks at the mission of individual
characters in John and focuses specifically on Mary
Magdalene. In South Africa the interest is again building
up in this regard. Van der Merwe (1998) points out that
the mission of Jesus should be continued in his disciples.
Kok (2011) and Smith (2011) also emphasise that a basic
characteristic of Johannine Christianity is the missional
dimension, which serves as basis for the transformation
of communities.

**Ethics:** One of the two main foci in the letters of John is the
ethical dynamics (cf. Du Rand 1989a; Van der Watt 1988;
1999a), whilst in the Gospel the presence of ethics was
questioned or at least played down considerably (cf. Van
der Watt 2011c). In the South the ethics of John became
an important theme, resulting in three international
publications (Van der Watt 1993a; 1997a; 1999a; 2006a;
2006b; 2006c; Van der Watt & Zimmermann 2012;
Zimmermann & Van der Watt 2010). By broadening the
analytical categories used in identifying ethical material,
it was illustrated that not only in the letters, but also the
Gospel ethics is a basic theme (Van der Watt 2006c; 2011b;
2011c). On theoretical level the relationship between
ethics and language (Van der Watt 2010) and imagery
(Van der Watt 2006a; 2006d), as well as the cognitive
structure within which ethics should be considered (Van
der Watt 2011c) broke new ground. Some of the important
themes that are considered are, love (Botha & Rousseau
2005; Du Rand 1980b; Van der Watt 1988; 1993a; 1997a;
Verster 2009), the importance of the commandments in
John (Du Rand 1982a), and the interpretation of the Law (Van
der Watt 2012a), the function of truth in ethics (Van der Watt
2009a), poverty (Makambu 2009), the ethics of work and
rest (Draper 1999), and interestingly enough the way this
Gospel of love deals with violence (Schaeffler 2006; Van
der Watt & Kok 2008a; 2008b; 2012).

42 Cf. Atal La Augang Endziengn (1968), Sindima (1990) and Van Aarde and Ingram
(2005) – they all focus on the Logos in the Prologue.
The concept of a canon

43.Cf. also the work done on John 6, by Kuzenzama (1979); Manus (1992); Zuzan (1993).
46.Cf. Atal La Augang Endziengn (1968), Sindima (1990) and Van Aarde and Ingram (2005) who focus on the Logos in the Prologue; Dube (1999) who draws attention to the soteriology in John 1:11–13; and also Dube (2000b); Kroetz (1999); Tshetha (2003); and Van der Watt (1996).
49.Cf. the also the work done on John 6, by Kuzenzama (1979); Manus (1992); Zuzan (1985).
expertise of the ‘South’ used in projects like the *African Bible Commentary*.\(^3\) I am convinced that if Africa wants to develop an own character that could stand up against scrutiny from traditional or western academic traditions, this separation should be minimised, or even better, be overcome.

Africa is a religious continent, with at least three big religions competing, namely African Traditional Religion, Christianity, and Islam. The theme of interreligious dialogue is therefore bound to come into focus. In this sense John with its strong emphasis on Jesus as the only Saviour, the Truth, Way and Life (John 14:6) is of course controversial. That might perhaps be the reason why I could only find two articles dealing with this issue from a Johannine perspective, namely Nwaigbo (2006) who uses the example of the Samaritan woman (Jn 4) to address the issue of interreligious dialogue. The second article is by Verster (2009) who sees love (Jn 3:16) as the entrance into interreligious dialogue, especially with Moslems. On this level the Johannine literature offers a challenge; the absolute Christological claims of John, the true meaning of the God of love, and the missiological drive in the Gospel should be thought through in its relation to the interreligious debate.

**Conclusion**

In the light of the above, the following six brief concluding remarks may be noted:

- Much of the Johannine studies reflect the desire of African scholars to read the text for and with Africa, that is inculturation reading. This is a hermeneutical program in which the division between academic study and grassroots readers are minimised. This is a dominant feature of studies north of Southern Africa, but it is not exclusively restricted to those areas.

- In the South (although also not exclusively) research followed the developments of the western guilds, with strong focus on literary methods, with the main emphasis on structural and metaphorical approaches.

- A major challenge of African Johannine scholarship (as well as New Testament scholarship in general) is to find hermeneutically valid and sound ways to combine western academic traditions and insights with a strong affective approach. Is a predominantly historical approach to the texts to be married with a decisive and immediate applicative reading of the text?

- Although Africa is starting to develop its own research community through PhD students that are trained locally, there are strong contacts with the academic training centres in the West. This will ensure the strong influence of the western academic ethos in Africa for the time being. This is not regarded as negative, since links with international guilds are still held in high regard. Attraction to and participation in western academic activities will continue due to the need of libraries (the libraries in Africa are ‘young’ and books are expensive, limiting the capabilities of African libraries) and the difficulty and high costs of travelling within Africa. It should, however, not discourage indigenous reflection, but rather encourage and refine it.

- Taken the number of publications on John on international level, the publication rate in Africa is very low. Areas where impact was made were mainly linked to particular individual persons (like mission: Okure; narrative: Du Rand and Tolmie; metaphors: Van der Watt; etc.). Except for hermeneutical and methodological similarities, a coherent African approach to Johannine material lacks. The need for more coordinated cooperation in the field of Johannine studies in Africa is indeed high.

- The important theological themes that receive attention in Africa mostly correspond with African needs. The lack of coordinated research on specific topics that are specifically related to Africa, however, needs to be actively addressed.
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