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ABSTRACT

The inv(16) translocation is associated with 5% of AML cases and gives rise to expression of the oncofusion protein CBFβ-MYH11. Although different molecular mechanisms for the oncogenic activity of this fusion protein have been proposed these were mostly based on in vitro experiments or single loci analysis. Recently, we investigated the genome-wide action of this fusion protein in the context of other hematopoietic transcription factors (Mandoli et al., 2014). Here, we describe in detail the ChIP-seq and RNA-seq methods used to generate the data associated with this study. Our analysis of CBFβ-MYH11 as well as multiple other hematopoietic transcription factors using ChIP-seq data revealed RUNX1 dependent binding of CBFβ-MYH11 as well as interaction of the RUNX1/CBFβ-MYH11 complex with other hematopoietic regulators. Further RNA-seq based analysis suggested that CBFβ-MYH11 can act both as activator and repressor.

© 2014 The Authors. Published by Elsevier Inc. This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC-ND license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/3.0/).
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Experimental design, materials and methods

Cell culture and patient samples

In this study we used ChIP-seq to generate genome-wide binding profiles of proteins in 3 cell types: ME-1 cells harboring the inv(16) translocation and expressing the CBFβ-MYH11 oncofusion protein [5]; an inducible U937 cell line (U937CM) that on induction expresses CBFβ-MYH11 [1]; and a mononuclear CD34+ inv(16) AML blast population isolated from peripheral blood of a de novo AML patient which was studied after informed consent was obtained in accordance with the Declaration of Helsinki. In addition, an inducible knockdown cell line was generated by cloning of CBFβ-MYH11 specific shRNA in a FH1tUTG lentiviral construct as described previously [2]. For this, lentiviral particles were produced in Cos-7 cells after which filtered and concentrated viral supernatants were used to infect ME-1 cells. GFP positive cells were sorted by FACS and shRNA expression was induced by addition of doxycycline.

The ME-1 and U937-Tet-off CBFβ-MYH11 cells were cultured in RPMI 1640 supplemented with 10% FCS and 1% penicillin/streptomycin at 37 °C in 5% CO2. For conditional expression of CBFβ-MYH11, U937 cells were washed 5 times in 50 ml phosphate-buffered saline (PBS) and seeded at a density of 2 × 10^5 cells/ml in the absence of tetracycline. The increase of CBFβ-MYH11 expression was detected by RT-PCR and Western blot. The different cell types were used for ChIP-seq experiments using different antibodies as outlined in Supplementary Table 1. In addition, for the cell lines RNA-seq data was generated to determine the correlation between transcription factor binding and gene expression.

Chromatin immunoprecipitation (ChIP)

For ChIP 25 million cells were crosslinked with 1% formaldehyde for 20 min at room temperature with trembling. The reaction was stopped by adding 0.125 M glycine and cells were centrifuged and washed once with PBS. Cell pellets were suspended in 50 ml of buffer A (0.25% Triton
X 100, 10 mM EDTA, 0.5 mM EGTA, 20 mM HEPES pH 7.6) and incubated at 4 °C for 10 min with rotation. The suspension was centrifuged and the pellet was resuspended in 50 ml of buffer B (150 mM NaCl, 10 mM EDTA, 0.5 mM EGTA, 20 mM HEPES pH 7.6). After 10 min rotation at 4 °C the suspension was centrifuged and resuspended in incubation buffer (0.15% SDS, 1% Triton X 100, 150 mM NaCl, 10 mM EDTA, 0.5 mM EGTA, 20 mM HEPES pH 7.6 and protease inhibitor cocktail). Chromatin was sonicated using the biorotor (Diagenode) for 20 min at high power, 30 s ON, 30 s OFF. Sonication is an important step of the ChIP procedure and it varies for different cell types and sonicators. To find the proper number of cycles for sonication, i.e. to obtain a fragment size range between 150 and 500 base pairs, we first performed sonication on a fraction of the chromatin suspension. In our case, 20 cycles were optimal for ME-1 cells, and 16 cycles were optimal for U937 cells.

Sonicated chromatin was centrifuged at 13,000 rpm for 10 min at 4 °C and supernatant was collected for ChIP. For every ChIP 100 μl of chromatin (corresponding to 1.25 × 10⁶ cells) was incubated overnight at 4 °C with rotation in incubation buffer supplemented with 0.1% BSA, protease inhibitor cocktail, 30 μl of protein A/G-Sepharose beads (Santa Cruz), and 4 μg of antibody (see Supplementary table). Beads were washed sequentially with four different wash buffers at 4 °C: two times with buffer 1 (0.1% SDS, 0.1% DOC, 1% Triton, 150 mM NaCl, 10 mM Tris pH 8, 0.1 mM EDTA, and 0.5 mM EGTA), one time with buffer 2 (0.1% SDS, 0.1% DOC, 1% Triton, 500 mM NaCl, 10 mM Tris pH 8, 0.1 mM EDTA, and 0.5 mM EGTA), one time with buffer 3 (0.25 M LiCl, 0.5% NP-40, 10 mM Tris pH 8, 0.1 mM EDTA, and 0.5 mM EGTA). The immunoprecipitated chromatin was eluted from the beads by adding 200 μl of elution buffer (1% SDS, 0.1 M NaHCO₃, 10 μg/ml RNase and 250 μg/ml protease K) and rotated for 20 min at RT. Chromatin was extracted by centrifugation at maximum speed for 2 min and protein-DNA crosslinks were reversed at 65 °C for 20 min at RT. Chromatin was extracted by centrifugation at 4 °C for 10 min with rotation. The suspension was centrifuged and the supernatant was collected in the size fraction of CBFβ–MYH11 binding regions was calculated as fold over background, for each ChIP-seq, 5 μg random hexamers were selected and occupancies at these sites were determined using qPCR sample prep protocol (see below) other than the addition of 1 μl USER enzyme (NEB # M5505L) to RNA-seq samples before PCR and incubation at 37 °C for 15 min, followed by 5 min at 95 °C.

Illumina high throughput sequencing

For library preparation, 2–10 ng of ChIP DNA was used. End repair was performed using 40 μl of ChIP DNA, 5 μl T4 DNA ligase buffer with 10 mM ATP, 2 μl dNTP mix (10 mM each), 1 μl of T4 DNA polymerase (NEB # M0203L), 1 μl diluted Klenow DNA polymerase (1:5, NEB # M0210L), and 2 μl PNK (NEB # M0201L) in a total volume of 50 μl and incubation at 20 °C for 30 min, followed by purification using the QIAquick PCR purification kit and elution in 34 μl of EB.

To prepare the DNA fragments for adaptor ligation an adenosine base was added to the 3’ ends of the repaired DNA by addition of 5 μl Klenow buffer, 10 μl dATP and 1 μl Klenow exo– (NEB # M0212L). The reaction was incubated at 37 °C for 30 min followed by purification using the QIAquick PCR purification kit and elution in 34 μl of EB.

Adaptors were ligated to 10 μl of eluted DNA by addition of 15 μl of 2× T4 DNA ligase buffer, 1 μl of Nextflex adaptor (see the Bio Scientific ChIP-Seq barcodes protocol for adaptor dilution; # 514120), and 4 μl T4 ligase (Promega # M1808). The reaction was incubated at room temperature for 15 min. DNA was purified using the Qiagen mini elute reaction clean up kit, eluted in 10 μl of EB, and followed by PCR. The PCR reaction was assembled in a total volume of 50 μl by adding 10 μl DNA, 2 μl Nextflex primer, 25 μl Kapa 2× master mix (Kapa # KK2612), and 13 μl of H₂O. PCR was performed for 4 cycles using amplification conditions as mentioned in the Kapa protocol followed by purification using the Qiagen mini elute reaction clean up kit. DNA was eluted in 10 μl of EB.

For RNA-seq total RNA was extracted from ME-1 cells using the RNeasy kit and on-column DNase treatment (Qiagen). The concentration of RNA was measured with the Qubit fluorometer (Invitrogen). 250 ng of total RNA was used in the Ribo-Zero rRNA Removal Kit (Epicentre) to remove ribosomal RNAs according to manufacturer instructions. 16 μl of purified RNA was fragmented by addition of 4 μl of 5× fragmentation buffer (200 mM Tris acetate pH 8.2, 500 mM potassium acetate, and 150 mM magnesium acetate), incubated at 94 °C for exactly 90 s and placed on ice immediately afterwards. Fragmented RNA was precipitated by adding 2 μl NaAc (3 M), 2 μl glycogen (10 mg/ml) and 60 μl of absolute ethanol in 1.5 ml tubes. After mixing by inverting, tubes were incubated at −80 °C for at least 1 h. Next, tubes were spun at 4 °C for 30 min and supernatant was discarded. RNA pellet was washed once with 70% ethanol, air dried for 5 min and dissolved in 10 μl of nuclease free H₂O.

5 μg random hexamers were added to the RNA followed by incubation at 70 °C for 10 min and chilling on ice. Next, first-strand cDNA was synthesized using a RNA primer mix and adding 4 μl dNTPs × first-strand buffer, 1 μl of RNase inhibitor (Promega # N2618), 2 μl of 100 mM DTT, 1 μl of 10 mM dNTPs, 132 ng of actinomycin D, and 200 U SuperScript III (Invitrogen), followed by 2 hours incubation at 48 °C. First strand cDNA was purified using Qiaquick mini elute columns to remove dNTPs and eluted two times with 17 μl of elution buffer. Second-strand cDNA was synthesized by adding 91.8 μl of a mix containing 5 μg random hexamers, 4 μl of 5× first-strand buffer, 2 μl of 100 mM DTT, 4 μl of 10 mM dNTPs with dTTP replaced by dUTP, 30 μl of 5× second-strand buffer, 40 U of Escherichia coli DNA polymerase (NEB # M020955), 10 U of E. coli DNA ligase (NEB # M0205L) and 2 U of E. coli RNase H (Ambion # AM2293), and incubated at 16 °C for 2 h.

This was followed by addition of 10 U T4 polymerase (NEB # M0203) and incubation at 16 °C for 10 min. Double stranded cDNA was purified using Qiaquick mini elute columns and an adenosine base was added to the ends as described below for DNA obtained from ChIP experiments to facilitate ligation. All the subsequent steps are the same for the RNA-seq and ChIP-seq sample prep protocol (see below) other than the addition of 1 μl USER enzyme (NEB # M5505L) to RNA-seq samples before PCR and incubation at 37 °C for 15 min, followed by 5 min at 95 °C.

Fig. 1. ChIP-qPCR using CBFβ and MYH11 antibodies in ME-1 cells. Five putative binding sites of CBFβ and CBFβ–MYH11 were selected and occupancies at these sites were determined by qPCR. Data was normalized using H2B as a negative control.
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Identification of protein binding sites based on ChIP-seq results

Peaks (Supplementary table) were called by model-based analysis
(MACS1.3.3) of ChIP-seq [6] at a p-value cut off of 10^-6 and called
regions are available in Supplementary tables.

Expression analysis

For expression analysis RPKM (reads per kilobase of gene length per
million reads) [4] values for RefSeq genes were computed using tag
counting scripts (Supplementary table) and used to analyze the expres-
sion level of genes in ME-1 and U937 cells.

Discussion

In this study we described the methods used to establish the genome
wide binding profile of CBFβ–MYH11 and other hematopoietic
transcription factors in an inv(16) cell line, in CBFβ–MYH11 inducible
and knockdown cell lines, and in primary patient cells. The methodolo-
gy was used to investigate the mechanisms by which CBFβ–MYH11 ex-
erts its function in leukemogenesis. Our analysis, published in [3]
revealed that CBFβ–MYH11 localizes to RUNX1 occupied promoters
where it operates in the context of TBP associated factors (TAFs), the
epigeneic enzymes EP300 and HDAC1, and several hematopoietic tran-
scription factors. RNA-seq analysis in ME-1 knockdown cells revealed
that CBFβ–MYH11 is involved in both transcriptional activation and re-
pression, which was confirmed by ChIP-seq and RNA-seq analysis in an
inducible U937 CBFβ–MYH11 cell system. Together these results reveal
a role for CBFβ–MYH11 in regulating expression of genes important in
inv(16) leukemogenesis.

Appendix A. Supplementary data

Supplementary data to this article can be found online at http://dx.
doi.org/10.1016/j.gdata.2014.06.014.
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