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A B S T R A C T

The Pierre Auger Observatory, located on a vast, high plain in western Argentina, is the world’s largest cosmic ray observatory. The objectives of the Observatory are to probe the origin and characteristics of cosmic rays above $10^{17}$ eV and to study the interactions of these, the most energetic particles observed in nature. The Auger design features an array of 1660 water Cherenkov particle detector stations spread over 3000 km² overlooked by 24 air fluorescence telescopes. In addition, three high elevation fluorescence telescopes overlook a 23.5 km², 61-detector filled array with 750 m spacing. The Observatory has been in successful operation since completion in 2008 and has recorded data from an exposure exceeding 40,000 km² sr yr. This paper describes the design and performance of the detectors, related subsystems and infrastructure that make up the Observatory.
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1. Introduction

The origin of high energy cosmic rays has been a mystery since the discovery of extensive air showers in the late 1930s [1–5]. In 1962, John Linsley recorded a cosmic ray event with an energy of $10^{20}$ eV [6]. Subsequent work found more events near and above $10^{20}$ eV [7–10]. The Pierre Auger Observatory was proposed to discover and understand the source or sources of cosmic rays with the highest energies.

A unique partnership of 18 countries, the Pierre Auger Collaboration came together to pursue this science. Construction of the Pierre Auger Observatory was started in 2002 and completed in 2008. The purpose of this paper is to review the design and performance of the detector systems and associated infrastructure that constitute the Observatory.

To achieve the scientific goals, the Collaboration designed the Pierre Auger Observatory for a high statistics study of cosmic rays at the highest energies. Measured properties of the air showers determine the energy and arrival direction of each cosmic ray. These properties also provide a statistical determination of the distribution of primary masses (cosmic ray composition). The Pierre Auger Observatory in the Province of Mendoza, Argentina, has been taking data since 2004, adding detectors as they became active until completion in 2008.

The Observatory is a hybrid detector, a combination of a large surface detector (SD) and a fluorescence detector (FD). The SD is composed of a baseline array, comprising 1660 water Cherenkov stations placed in a triangular grid with nearest neighbors separated by 1500 m, and a smaller array (stations separated by 750 m). The surface array is spread over an area of ~3000 km², and is depicted in Fig. 1. Figure 2 shows examples of FD (left) and SD (right) detector elements. This area is generally flat, with detectors located at altitudes between 1340 m and 1610 m. The mean altitude is ~1400 m, corresponding to an atmospheric overburden of ~875 g cm⁻². The array is located between latitudes 35.0° and 35.3° S and between longitudes 69.0° and 69.4° W.

As an aid to the reader we provide here a list of acronyms used throughout this paper.²

1.1. Highlights of science results

The Pierre Auger Observatory has made key measurements of the highest energy cosmic rays. Cosmic ray showers with zenith angle < 60° are defined as vertical showers, while those with 60° < zenith angle < 80° are defined as horizontal showers. The energy spectrum is measured with unprecedented precision using

² AERA, Auger Engineering Radio Array; AMIGA, Auger Muon and Inflled Ground Array; AFF, aerosol phase function; ARQ, Automatic Repeat Request; BLS, Balloon Launching Station; CDAS, central data acquisition system; CIC, Constant Intensity Cut; CLF, Central Laser Facility; EAS, extensive air shower; ELVES: (defined in Section 14.2); FADC, flash analog-to-digital convertor; FD, fluorescence detector; FRAM, Photometric Robotic Atmospheric Monitor; GDAS, Global Data Assimilation System; HAM, Horizontal Attenuation Monitor; HEAT, High-Elevation Auger Telescopes; LDF, lateral distribution function; MD, muon detector; PLD, programmable logic device; PMT, photomultiplier tube; SCS, slow control system; SD, surface detector; SDF, shower-detector plane; TDMA, time division multiple access; ToT, time over threshold; VEM, vertical equivalent muon; XLF, eXtreme Laser Facility.
four datasets: hybrid (events measured simultaneously by the SD and FD), SD 750 m array, SD baseline vertical, and SD baseline horizontal [11,12]. Thanks to the high statistics of the SD data, a first harmonic analysis was performed in different energy ranges starting from $2.5 \times 10^{17}$ eV in a search for dipolar modulations in right ascension [13,14]. The upper limits in the dipole amplitude impose stringent constraints on astrophysical models [13,15,16].

The Auger data provide evidence for a weak correlation between arrival directions of cosmic rays above 55 EeV and the positions of AGNs with $z < 0.018$ in the VCV catalog [17,18]. The Collaboration also has performed the measurement of the proton-air cross-section at 57 TeV [19] that favors a moderately slow rise of the cross-section towards higher energies, and inferred the proton–proton cross-section, whose value is within one sigma of the best extrapolation from the recent LHC data points [20]. The composition measurements could be interpreted as an evolution from light to heavier nuclei if current hadronic interaction models describe well the air shower physics [21–24].

Upper limits have been obtained on the photon flux integrated above an energy threshold which impose stringent limits for top-down models [25,26]. Also, competitive neutrino limits were published [27–29], as well as searches for Galactic neutron signals [30,31].

1.2. Observatory design

Design targets for the surface detector array included 100% duty cycle, a well-defined aperture independent of energy above $10^{18.5}$ eV, measurement of the time structure of the signals of the shower particles, sensitivity to showers arriving at large zenith angles, self-contained detector stations and in situ calibration of detector stations by cosmic ray muons. The fluorescence detector design required that every event above $10^{19}$ eV arriving within the FD on-time should be recorded by at least one fluorescence telescope camera, direct measurement of the longitudinal development profile and timing synchronization for simultaneous measurement of showers with the surface detector array [32].

Each water Cherenkov surface detector is self-powered and communicates with the central data acquisition system using wireless technology. Air fluorescence telescopes record air shower development in the atmosphere above the surface array on dark moonless nights. There are four air fluorescence sites on the perimeter of the array, each with six telescopes.

An essential feature of this Auger hybrid design is the capability of observing air showers simultaneously by two different but complementary techniques. The SD operates continuously, measuring the particle densities as the shower strikes the ground just beyond its
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Fig. 1. The Auger Observatory. Each dot corresponds to one of the 1660 surface detector stations. The four fluorescence detector enclosures are shown, each with the 30° field of view of its six telescopes. Also shown are the two laser facilities, CLF and XLF, near the Observatory center.

Fig. 2. The fluorescence detector enclosure Los Leones (top) and a surface detector station (bottom).
maximum development. On dark nights, the FD records the development of the air shower via the amount of nitrogen fluorescence produced along its path. Since the intensity of fluorescence light is proportional to the energy dissipated by the shower, integrating the intensity of light produced along the shower axis yields a nearly calorimetric measurement of the cosmic ray energy. Using the observation of hybrid events, this energy calibration can then be transferred to the surface array with its 100% duty factor and large event gathering power. Moreover, independent measurements by the surface array and the fluorescence detectors alone have limitations that can be overcome by comparing their measurements in the set of showers measured by both. The hybrid dataset provides a thorough understanding of the capabilities and the systematic uncertainties of both components.

The FD always operates in conjunction with the SD. Its primary purpose is to measure the longitudinal profile of showers recorded by the SD whenever it is dark and clear enough to make reliable measurements of atmospheric fluorescence from air showers. The integral of the longitudinal profile is used to determine the shower energy, and the rate of shower development is indicative of the primary particle’s mass. The hybrid detector has better angular resolution than the surface array by itself.

Subsequent to the completion of construction, two significant enhancements have been incorporated into the baseline detectors that significantly extend the Observatory’s science capability. The HEAT (High Elevation Auger Telescopes) fluorescence detectors together with a 750 m array, part of AMIGA (Auger Muon and Inflﬁed Ground Array) extend the sensitivity down to $10^{17}$ eV, in keeping with the hybrid detection strategy of the original Observatory.

1.3. Background

The Pierre Auger Observatory was conceived during the International Cosmic Ray Conference in Dublin in 1991 by Jim Cronin of the University of Chicago and Alan Watson of the University of Leeds. It had become clear to them that only the construction of a very large air shower array would yield the statistical power and complete sky coverage necessary to address the question of the origin of the highest energy cosmic rays.

A six-month design workshop was held in 1995 that produced a Design Report [32] with a discussion of the science, a conceptual design and cost estimate. The design report became the basis for funding proposals by the collaborating countries. Subsequent to the workshop a team of scientists evaluated numerous prospective sites in both hemispheres. Preferred sites were selected in the southern and northern hemispheres by the collaboration in 1995 and 1996, respectively. At the direction of the funding agencies, the project was to begin by building the Observatory in the southern hemisphere.

After a period of research and development, the Engineering Array, consisting of 32 prototype surface array detectors and two prototype fluorescence telescopes, was built to validate the design [33]. At the end of 2001, before the end of the scheduled two years, the Engineering Array was able to record and reconstruct air shower events simultaneously by the surface array and the fluorescence detectors. The Engineering Array demonstrated the validity of the design and the performance of all of the detector systems, communications equipment and data acquisition as well as the deployment methodology. The detectors performed better than the design requirements, substantially increasing the physics reach of the Observatory.

Installation of production detectors began in 2002. While the Engineering Array was assembled and deployed almost completely by Auger collaborators, production deployment was accomplished by trained Observatory staff. Scientists monitored the quality of the work and carried out the commissioning of completed detectors. The Observatory started collecting data in January 2004 with 154 active detector stations. The first physics results were presented during the 2005 summer conference season.

Many important results have now been published by the Auger Collaboration that have had a major impact on the field of cosmic ray physics. As of this writing, 60 full author list papers have been published or accepted, with another 2 submitted and about 7 more in preparation. The Auger Collaboration is also training a cadre of future scientists, with 238 students granted PhDs based on their work on Auger. Another 161 PhD students are in the pipeline. Publications and other technical reports are available online at http://www.auger.org/technical_info/.

2. Hybrid design

As indicated above, a key feature of the Pierre Auger Observatory is its hybrid design, in which ultrahigh energy cosmic rays are detected simultaneously by a surface array and by fluorescence telescopes. The two techniques are used to observe air showers in complementary ways, providing important cross-checks and measurement redundancy [34,35].

The surface detector array views a slice of an air shower at ground level, with robust and sensitive water Cherenkov stations which respond to both the electromagnetic and muonic components of the shower. Well-established methods exist for determining arrival directions and for estimating primary energy (see Section 11). The SD operates 24 h per day and thus provides uniform coverage in right ascension with a huge 3000 km² collection area. The instantaneous aperture of the array is easily calculable, especially for energies above $3 \times 10^{19}$ eV, where a shower falling on any part of the array is detected with 100% efficiency independently of the mass of the primary particle that initiated the shower. The aperture is found simply by counting the number of hexagons of active surface stations at any time, and multiplying by the aperture, $A \Omega$, of a hexagonal cell, $4.59 \text{ km}^2 \text{ sr}$ (for shower zenith angles < 60°) [36]. The SD has the important property that the quality of the measurements improves with the shower energy.

The fluorescence detector is used to image the longitudinal development of the shower cascade in the atmosphere. The fluorescence light is emitted isotropically in the ultraviolet part of the spectrum and is produced predominantly by the electromagnetic component of the shower. Observation periods are limited to dark nights of good weather, representing a duty cycle which has increased from 12% during early years [37] up to ~15% at the present time (see Section 12). This disadvantage is balanced by the considerable gain of being able to view the development of the shower profile. Firstly, since fluorescence light production is proportional to the collisional energy deposit in the atmosphere, the technique provides a near-calorimetric method for determining the primary cosmic ray energy. Secondly, the depth at which a shower reaches maximum size, $X_{\text{max}}$, is observable: this is the most direct of all accessible mass composition indicators.

The aim is to use the FD and the SD to measure the same properties of primary cosmic rays (energy, mass composition, and direction) but to do so using different techniques with very different systematic uncertainties. Thus, part of the function of the fluorescence detector is to enable cross-checks to be made and to train the surface array, providing confidence in the SD measurements made during the majority of the time when no fluorescence detector is operating. However, the fluorescence detector is much more than a calibration tool. The data set collected during hybrid observations is of high quality, being especially useful for those studies that require more precise shower directions than are
available from the surface array alone and for studies where longitudinal profile measurements are vital.

An example of the synergy between the two techniques is illustrated by measurements of the cosmic ray energy spectrum for showers arriving with zenith angles less than 60° [38]. The exposure achievable with the surface array is much larger than is possible with hybrid measurements so that in principle a greater number of events can be used in the determination of the spectrum. However, with the surface array alone, there is a serious problem in that the relationship between the primary energy and the SD observable chosen to mirror it, namely the signal measured in the water Cherenkov detectors at 1000 m from the shower axis, \( S(1000) \), can only be found using cascade simulations. This method is inherently unreliable as the necessary hadronic physics is unknown at the energies of interest and it is therefore not even practical to assign a reliable systematic uncertainty. Using the hybrid system, it has proved possible to develop an alternative method for estimating the primary energy that is essentially free from simulations.

The first step is to quantify the dependence of \( S(1000) \) with zenith angle. This is done using the “constant intensity” method [39], where the attenuation of the typical air shower with increasing atmospheric depth is mapped out using SD data alone. The conversion to primary energy is then achieved using a subset of SD events also observed with the FD. The only simulation input to the determination of primary energy with the FD is in estimating the small fraction \((\sim 10\%)\) that goes into neutrinos and high energy muons that continue into the ground. Atmospheric variability (mostly changing aerosol properties) complicates the analysis, due to essential corrections for atmospheric attenuation of the fluorescence light and because of the allowance that must be made for scattered Cherenkov light in the FD signal. The event reconstructions utilize extensive atmospheric monitoring that is performed at the Observatory site whenever the FD operates. The final step in measuring the energy spectrum is a precise determination of the exposure (\( \mathrm{km}^2 \mathrm{sr} \) \( \mathrm{yr} \)) for the observations. As already mentioned, the instantaneous aperture of the SD array is straightforward to calculate, even during the period of construction when it was continually growing. This example of an analysis procedure illustrates the complementary strengths of the SD and FD, and how a robust result can be achieved by drawing on them.

A key to the success of the hybrid technique is that it allows a precise determination of the position of a shower axis in space with an accuracy better than could be achieved independently with either the surface array detectors or a single fluorescence telescope [35]. The first step in geometrical reconstruction makes use of the known orientations of the pixels of the fluorescence detector and of the light intensities registered at the pixels. This enables the shower-detector plane (SDP), the plane in space that contains the shower axis and the FD site, to be determined. Timing information is then used to find the orientation of the shower axis within the SDP (see Section 10). With a FD alone, the accuracy of determining the shower geometry may be poor if the angular length of the observed shower track is short, say less than 15°. In this case, the apparent angular speed of the shower image in the telescope is approximately constant, leading to a degeneracy in the geometry solution. This degeneracy is broken if the angular track length is long enough for a change in the angular speed to be detected, or more robustly, with a measurement of the arrival time of the shower at any point on the ground: thus a timing measurement at a single station of the SD suffices. Using this hybrid reconstruction method, a directional resolution of 0.5° is routinely achieved [40]. Since only the timing information from a single SD station is needed, the hybrid geometry constitutes an independent and sensitive cross-check on the directional and core location assignments made with the SD. The precise geometry of a hybrid event is also the first step towards a high-quality measurement of the longitudinal profile of a shower which, in turn, yields the energy of the primary particle and the depth of maximum \( X_{\text{max}} \).

Many experimental challenges exist in fully realizing the promise of the hybrid technique to provide high quality measurements of shower observables. The Collaboration employs a series of cross-checks and measurement redundancies to understand the systematic uncertainties in each measurement. These cross-checks include comparisons between SD and FD measured parameters. There are also important redundancies in various calibration measurements and redundancies in measurements of those atmospheric properties that are critical for accurate FD event reconstruction. Of particular importance is the aerosol content of the atmosphere. The concentration of aerosols is variable over timescales of hours and it can vary over the area of the Observatory. The concentration affects the transmission of fluorescence light from the shower to the FD telescopes, and the scattering of Cherenkov light into the fields of view of the telescopes. While the choice of the number and location of fluorescence sites around the surface array was driven by the desire to minimize the effects of atmospheric uncertainties on reconstruction, a great deal of effort is still required in atmospheric monitoring (see Section 9 for details). The aerosol concentration and distribution are monitored on timescales of 15 min using the two laser facilities (see Fig. 1) within the detection volume and by lidar systems at each FD site. In addition the directional properties of aerosol scattering are measured at two sites, and the wavelength dependence of the scattering is obtained (Section 9). While the threshold energy for a fully efficient trigger for showers with zenith angles smaller than 60° is \( 3 \times 10^{18} \) eV, hybrid observations require only one SD station to have triggered and thus the threshold for hybrid events is significantly lower. For example, a hybrid measurement of the “elongation rate”, the energy dependence of \( X_{\text{max}} \), has been published for energies above \( 10^{18} \) eV [21], with work underway to push the threshold energy lower with the HEAT fluorescence telescopes (Section 13.1). Hybrid showers are collected with full efficiency over the entire SD array at energies above 10^{19} \text{eV}. Around 6000 quality hybrid events are recorded per year above 10^{19} \text{eV}, with 300 per year above 10^{19} \text{eV}. Quality cuts normally applied to these events include the requirement that the depth of shower maximum is in the field of view of one of the FD telescopes.

One final experimental cross-check is worth mentioning. Though the FD was not designed as a “stereo” instrument, a significant number of showers at the higher energies are observed by more than one FD site. At 10^{19} \text{eV} over 60% of FD showers are viewed in stereo, increasing to 90% at 3 \times 10^{19} \text{eV}. Stereo observations provide two or more independent hybrid reconstructions of the shower geometry, and of profile parameters such as energy and \( X_{\text{max}} \). This allows cross-checks of atmospheric corrections, and of simulations of the detector resolution. This has confirmed the statistical resolutions for a single site to be \( \sim 10\% \) and 20 \text{g/cm}^2 for energy and \( X_{\text{max}} \) respectively, at around 10^{19} \text{eV} [41].

### 3. The surface detector

#### 3.1. Overview

A surface detector station consists of a 3.6 m diameter water tank containing a sealed liner with a reflective inner surface. The liner contains 12,000 liters of ultra-pure water. Three 9-in. diameter Photonis XP1805/D1 photomultiplier tubes (PMTs) are symmetrically distributed on the surface of the liner at a distance of 1.20 m from the tank center axis and look downward through windows of clear polyethylene into the water. They record Cherenkov light produced by the passage of relativistic charged particles.
particles through the water. The tank height of 1.2 m makes it also sensitive to high energy photons, which convert to electron–positron pairs in the water volume. Each surface detector station is self-contained. A solar power system provides an average of 10 W for the PMTs and electronics package consisting of a processor, GPS receiver, radio transceiver and power controller. The components of a surface detector station are shown in Fig. 3. Ref. [42] describes the surface detector in detail.

Figure 1 shows the layout of the surface array and the FD buildings at its periphery.

3.2. The SD station

The tanks are made of polyethylene using the rotational molding, or rotomolding, process. This process, in simplified form, consists of putting a set amount of polyethylene resin inside a mold, then rotating the mold and heating it until the resin has melted and uniformly coated the interior walls of the mold. The result is a low cost, tough, and uniform tank with robustness against the environmental elements. The carefully selected, custom compounded polyethylene resins contained additives to enhance ultraviolet protection. The interior two-thirds of the wall thickness was compounded with 1% carbon black to guarantee light-tightness. The outer one-third was colored beige to blend with the landscape. The tanks have an average wall thickness of 1.3 cm and a nominal weight of 530 kg. The tanks do not exceed 1.6 m in height so that they can be shipped over the roads within transportation regulations.

Three hatches, located above the PMTs, provide access to the interior of the tank for water filling. They also provide access for installation and servicing of the interior parts. The hatches are covered with light- and water-tight polyethylene hatchcovers. For reasons of cost, durability, and ease of installation, the gaskets sealing the hatchcovers to the tanks may not be perfectly leak-tight, so the hatches are elevated to prevent accumulated water from collecting at the gasket. One hatchcover is larger than the other two and accommodates the electronics on its top surface. The electronics is protected by an aluminium dome that keeps out rain and dust. The tanks also possess molded-in lugs, six for lifting and four additional lugs to support the solar panel and antenna mast assembly.

Electrical power is provided by two 55 Wp (watt-peak) solar panels which feed two 12 V, 105 Ah, lead-acid, low maintenance batteries wired in series to produce a 24 V system. Power is expected to be available over 99% of the time. Batteries are charged through a commercial charge controller, which is epoxy encapsulated and has robust surge protection. The electronics assembly at each SD station possesses a Tank Power Control Board (TPCB) which monitors the power system operation. The TPCB also has a control function which allows the remote operator to set into hibernation any (or all) of the SD stations if the charge of the batteries falls below a critical level. There is enough reserve in the solar power system that this feature has not yet been employed.

The batteries are accommodated in a rotationally molded polyethylene battery box. Since battery lifetime is reduced with increased temperature, the battery box is protected from direct sunlight by installing it on the shaded side of the tank. It is also insulated with polystyrene foam plates to minimize high temperature excursions during the day. The box is anchored by a plate which extends under the tank. Power cables run through the tank interior top from feedthroughs in the large hatch to the far side of the tank, where they exit the tank to run to the battery box. The cables are protected from the point where they exit the tank to the entry of the battery box by a polyethylene pipe.

The solar panels are mounted on aluminium brackets, which also support a mast. Antennas for radio communication and GPS reception are mounted at the top of this mast. The mast-and-bracket system is designed to withstand 160 km/h winds.

The tank liners are right circular cylinders made of a flexible plastic material conforming approximately to the inside surface of the tanks up to a height of 1.2 m. They enclose the water volume, provide a light-tight environment and diffusively reflect the Cherenkov light produced in the water volume. The liners are produced from a laminate composed of an opaque three-layer coextruded low-density polyethylene (LDPE) film bonded to a 5.6 mils (0.14 mm) thick layer of DuPont™Tyvek® 1025-BL1 by a layer of titanium dioxide pigmented LDPE of 1.1 mils (28 μm) thickness. The three-layer coextruded film consists of a 4.5 mils (0.11 mm) thick carbon black loaded LDPE formulated to be opaque to single photons, sandwiched between layers of clear LDPE to prevent any carbon black from migrating into the water volume. Custom designing the laminate materials has resulted in a durable, flexible liner.

The liner has three windows through which the PMTs look into the water volume from above. These windows are made of UV-transparent linear low-density polyethylene. Each PMT is optically coupled to a window with optical GE silicone RTV-6196 and shielded above by a light-tight plastic cover, designated as the
“fez”. Figure 4 shows the PMT enclosure. The fez has four ports, including a light-tight air vent for pressure relief. The other ports are for cable feedthroughs. Two foam insulation rings that fit inside the fez serve to prevent ice buildup near the PMT.

Once deployed in their correct positions in the field, the tanks are filled with ultra-pure water produced at a water plant owned and operated by the Auger Collaboration. Water quality (resistivity) exceeds 15 MΩ cm at the output of the water plant, and the water is transported in clean specialized transport tanks. Tests have indicated that ultra-pure water does not support bacterial growth which could lead to reduced water clarity. Because of its high purity, the water is expected to maintain its clarity without significant degradation for the lifetime of the Observatory, estimated at 20 years. Occasional testing of the water in a sampling of detectors has shown no significant bacterial growth.

3.3. The SD electronics

To collect the Cherenkov light produced in the water volume of the detectors by the air showers, three PMTs view the water volume from above. The PMTs have a 9 in. diameter photocathode and eight dynodes, with the chemical composition of the dynode surfaces optimized by the manufacturer to maximize linearity. Due to their proximity to water they are operated with a positive anode voltage, the photocathode being grounded. The high voltage is provided locally from a module integrated in the PMT base, and is proportional to a DC control voltage provided by the slow control system. The PMTs are operated at a nominal gain of $2 \times 10^5$, and are specified for operation at gains up to $10^6$. The PMTs are required to be linear within 5% up to 50 mA anode current. To minimize the effect of the geomagnetic field at the PMTs, their orientation is aligned with respect to the azimuth of the Earth’s magnetic field at deployment. The base, including the high voltage supply, is attached to the tube by soldering to the tube and operated with a positive anode voltage, the photocathode being grounded. The high voltage is provided locally from a module integrated in the PMT base, and is proportional to a DC control voltage provided by the slow control system. The PMTs are operated at a nominal gain of $2 \times 10^5$, and are specified for operation at gains up to $10^6$. The PMTs are required to be linear within 5% up to 50 mA anode current. To minimize the effect of the geomagnetic field on the PMTs, their orientation is aligned with respect to the azimuth of the Earth’s magnetic field at deployment.

Each PMT has two outputs. An AC coupled anode signal is provided. In addition, the signal at the last dynode is amplified and inverted by the PMT base electronics to provide a signal with 32 times the charge gain of the anode. No shaping of the signal is performed on the PMT base.

Six identical channels of electronics are provided to digitize the anode and amplified dynode signals from each of the PMTs. Each channel consists of a 5-pole Bessel filter with a $-3$ dB cutoff at 20 MHz and a voltage gain of $-0.5$. This filter is implemented using a pair of Analog Devices AD8012 current feedback op-amps. The filtered analog signals are fed to Analog Devices AD9203 10 bit 40 MHz semi-flash ADCs. The ADC negative inputs are biased to $-50$ mV to bring the input pedestal on scale and allow for amplifier section offsets. The choice of filter cutoff results in 5% aliasing noise while preserving the time structure of the signals. The use of two 10 bit ADCs with a gain difference of 32 extends the dynamic range of the system to 15 bits with a 3% precision at the end of the overlap region. The maximum signal recorded before saturation corresponds to about 650 times the peak current from a vertical muon traversing the tank, which corresponds to the signal from a 100 EeV cosmic ray at about 500 m from the shower core.

An LED flasher is mounted in a test port of the water tank liner. The LED flasher incorporates two LEDs which can be pulsed independently or simultaneously and with variable amplitude. This allows testing of the linearity of the photomultipliers to be conducted remotely.

Each SD station contains a GPS receiver with its corresponding antenna mounted at the top of the communications mast for event timing and communication synchronization. The receiver is a Motorola (OEM) Oncore UT+. This receiver outputs a timed one-pulse-per-second (1 PPS). The GPS 1 PPS signal is offset from the true GPS second by up to 50 ns, and a correction for this offset is provided periodically by the receiver. Event timing is determined using a custom ASIC which references the timing of shower triggers to the GPS 1 PPS clock. The ASIC implements a 27 bit clock operating at 100 MHz. This clock is latched on the GPS 1 PPS signal at the time of each shower trigger. A counter operating at the 40 MHz ADC clock is also latched on the GPS 1 PPS clock. These data, together with the timing corrections provided by the GPS receiver, are used to calibrate the frequencies of the 40 MHz and 100 MHz clocks and to synchronize the ADC data to GPS time within 10 ns RMS.

The digital data from the ADCs are clocked into a programmable logic device (PLD). In the first half of the deployment, we employed two ALTERA ACEX PLDs (model EP1K100QI208-2) with 16 K x 36 bits additional external static RAM. In later stations, an Altera Cyclone FPGA replaced the two ACEX devices and external memory. The PLD implements firmware that monitors the ADC outputs for interesting trigger patterns, stores the data in a buffer memory, and informs the station microcontroller when a trigger occurs. There are two local trigger levels (T1 and T2) and a global third level trigger, T3. Details of the local triggers are described in Section 3.5.

The front end is interfaced to a unified board which implements the station controller, event timing, and slow control functions, together with a serial interface to the communications system. The slow control system consists of DACs and ADCs used to measure temperatures, voltages, and currents relevant to assessment of the operation of the station.

The station controller consists of an IBM PowerPC 403 GCX-80 MHz, with a 32 MB DRAM bank to store data and executable code, and a 2 MB Flash EPROM for the bootstrap and storing of the OS9 operating system. The data acquisition system implemented on the station controller transmits the time stamps of the ~20 T2 events collected each second to CDAS (central data acquisition system; see Section 6). CDAS returns T3 requests to the station within ~8 s of the event (including communication delay due to retransmission). The station controller then selects the T1 and T2 data corresponding to the T3 requests and builds it into an event for transmission to CDAS. Calibration data are included in each transmitted event.

![Fig. 5. Charge spectrum obtained when a surface detector is triggered by a 3-fold coincidence among its photomultipliers (open histogram). The hatched histogram shows the spectrum when triggered on central vertically aligned plastic scintillators. The bin containing the peak of the scintillator triggered spectrum is defined as a vertical equivalent muon. The leftmost peak in the open histogram is due to low energy and corner-clipping muons convolved with the 3-fold low threshold coincidence.](image-url)
3.4. Calibration of the surface detector

The Cherenkov light recorded by a surface detector is measured in units of the signal produced by a muon traversing the tank on a vertical trajectory (Fig. 5). This unit is termed the vertical equivalent muon (VEM). The goal of the surface detector calibration is to measure the value of 1 VEM in hardware units (i.e., in integrated FADC channels). During shower reconstruction, the signal recorded by the tanks is converted into units of VEM, and the total shower energy and arrival direction are fitted using a lateral distribution function and energy conversion based on hybrid analysis using the FD. The conversion to units of VEM is done both to provide a common reference level between tanks and to calibrate against the detector simulations.

The total bandwidth available from each SD station to the CDAS is \( \sim 1200 \text{ bit/s} \), which requires that the calibration be done by the local electronics. Also, the remoteness of the detectors implies that the calibration procedure be robust, allowing for failures of individual PMTs.

We define \( Q_{\text{VEM}} \) (denoted simply by \( Q \) hereafter) as the bin containing the peak in the charge histogram of an individual PMT response, and \( I_{\text{VEM}} \) (denoted by \( I \) hereafter) as the bin containing the peak in the pulse height histogram. These quantities are used in the three main steps in the calibration procedure:

1. Set up the end-to-end gains of each of the three PMTs to have \( I_{\text{VEM}} \) at 50 channels. The choice of 50 channels results in a mean gain of \( \sim 3.4 \times 10^4 \) for a mean \( n_{\text{pe}}/\text{VEM} \) of \( \sim 94 \) photoelectrons.
2. To compensate for drifts, adjust the electronics level trigger by continually performing a local calibration to determine \( I_{\text{VEM}} \) in channels.
3. Determine the value of \( Q_{\text{VEM}} \) to high accuracy using charge histograms, and use the known conversion from \( Q_{\text{VEM}} \) to \( I_{\text{VEM}} \) to obtain a conversion from the integrated signal of the PMT to VEM units.

The high voltages, and thus the gains of each of the three PMTs, are tuned to match a reference event rate. This tuning implies that the PMTs in the SD stations will not have equivalent gains, even for PMTs in the same tank. If, for example, a particular SD station has more detected photons per vertical muon than the average station, then the PMTs in this station will be operated at a lower gain than average to compensate. Conversely, if a PMT has a worse optical coupling than others in the same tank, resulting in fewer observed photons per vertical muon, the PMT will be run at a higher gain.

In addition to the primary conversion from integrated channels to VEM units, the calibration must also be able to convert the raw FADC traces into integrated channels. The primary parameters needed for this are the baselines of all six FADC inputs, and the gain ratio between the dynode and anode. The dyadone/anoode, or \( D/A \), ratio is determined by averaging large pulses and performing a linear time-shifted fit to obtain both \( D/A \) and the phase delay between the dynode and anode. This method determines \( D/A \) to 2%.

The calibration parameters are determined every 60 s. The most recently determined parameters are returned to CDAS with each event and stored along with the event data. Each event therefore contains information about the state of each SD station in the minute preceding the trigger, allowing for an accurate calibration of the data. Ref. [43] describes in detail the calibration method of the surface detector.

3.5. The SD local triggers

Several independent local trigger functions are implemented in the front-end electronics: the scaler trigger, the calibration trigger, and the main shower trigger.

The scaler trigger records pulses with a very low threshold for auxiliary physics purposes such as space weather. The calibration trigger collects low threshold pulses using a small number of bins (20), which is one bin above 0.1 \( I_{\text{VEM}} \), thus providing high rate cosmic ray data. Data from the three high gain channels are stored from three samples before the trigger to 20 samples after the trigger. These data are used to build calibration histograms such as the one shown in Fig. 5, and are also used to convert offline the six FADC traces into VEM units. It was lowered during the deployment period for investigating signals from the tails of showers and to measure muon decay in the SD water volume [44].

The main trigger is the shower trigger that results in the recording of 768 samples (19.2 ns) of the six FADCs. It has two levels of selection. The first level, called T1, has 2 independent modes. The first one is a simple threshold trigger (TH) requiring the coincidence of all three PMTs being above 1.75 \( I_{\text{VEM}} \). This trigger is used to select large signals that are not necessarily spread in time. It is particularly effective for the detection of very inclined showers that have penetrated through a large atmospheric depth and are consequently dominantly muonic. The threshold has been adjusted to reduce the rate of atmospheric muon triggers from about 3 kHz to 100 Hz. The second T1 mode is a time-over-threshold trigger (ToT) requiring that at least 13 bins within a 3 \( \mu \)s window (120 samples) exceed a threshold of 0.2 \( I_{\text{VEM}} \) in coincidence for two out of the three PMTs. The ToT trigger selects sequences of small signals spread in time, and is thus efficient for the detection of vertical events, and more specifically for stations near the core of low-energy showers, or stations far from the core of high-energy showers. The rate of the ToT trigger depends on the shape of the muon pulse in the tank and averages to 1.2 Hz with a rather large spread (about 1 Hz rms). The second trigger level, called T2, is applied to decrease the global rate of the T1 trigger down to about 23 Hz. While all T1-ToT triggers are promoted to T2-ToT, only T1-TH triggers passing a single threshold of 3.2 \( I_{\text{VEM}} \) in coincidence for the three PMTs will pass this second level and become T2-TH. All T2s send their timestamp to CDAS for the global trigger (T3) determination. More details on the triggers can be found in Ref. [36].

In June 2013, the Observatory installed across the entire array two additional SD T1 triggers. These triggers build upon the ToT trigger in two ways, applying more sophisticated analysis to the FADC traces. The time-over-threshold-deconvolved (ToToTd) trigger deconvolves the exponential tail of the diffusely reflected Cherenkov light pulses before applying the ToT condition. This has the effect of reducing the influence of muons in the trigger, since the typical signal from a muon, with fast rise time and \( \sim 60 \text{ ns} \) decay constant, is compressed into one or two time bins. The multiplicity-of-positive-steps trigger (MoPS), on the other hand, counts the number of positive-going signal steps in two of three PMTs within a 3 \( \mu \)s sliding window. The steps are required to be above a small FADC value (\( \sim 5 \times \text{ RMS noise} \)) and below a moderate value (\( \sim \frac{1}{2} \) vertical muon step). This reduces the influence of muons in the trigger. Both the ToTd and MoPS triggers also require the integrated signal to be above \( \sim 0.5 \text{ VEM} \). Because these triggers minimize the influence of single muons, they reduce the energy threshold of the array, while keeping random triggers at an acceptable level. Thus they improve the energy reach of the SD, as well as improve the trigger efficiency for photon and neutrino showers.

3.6. Operation and maintenance

Currently more than 1660 surface detector stations are operational. Concerning the water Cherenkov detectors themselves, only very few failures have been detected. Only a few liners were observed to leak shortly after installation. In this case, which constitutes the worst failure mode, the tank is...
emptied and brought back to the Assembly Building for replacement of the interior components.

The electronics of the surface detector operates using solar power. A tank power control board incorporates protection circuits, signal conditioning for the monitoring of the solar power system, and a circuit allowing for orderly shutdown and wakeup of the station in the event of an extended cloudy period during winter when there could be inadequate solar power available to operate the station continuously. The solar power system has not yet experienced a dark period long enough to require shutting down the array for battery recharging. The most probable battery lifetime is 4.5–6 years [45], and batteries are changed during regular maintenance trips.

The PMTs and electronic boards are the most critical elements of the SD stations. They are subject to very severe environmental conditions: temperature variations, humidity, salinity and dust. The failure rate of the PMTs is about 20 per year (about 0.5%). Some high voltage (HV) module and base problems have been detected as well as some problems due to bad connections. All other failures except those concerning the PMTs (such as a broken photocathode) can be repaired in the field. It is currently estimated that the number of spare PMTs is sufficient for about 10–15 more years of operation. The failure rate of electronic boards is about 1% per year. Some of the problems are repaired simply by reflashing the software. Most of the electronic problems can also be repaired on site. All the spare parts are stored in Malargüe.

The operation of the array is monitored online and alarms are set on various parameters [46]. The maintenance goal is to have no more than 20 detector stations out of operation at any time. Currently the achieved number is less than 10 detector stations out of operation. It is currently estimated that the long-term maintenance (including the battery change) requires about 3 field trips per week. This maintenance rate is within the original expectations. The maintenance is organized by the Science Operation Coordinator and performed by local technicians. The surface detector does not require a permanent presence of physicists from other Auger institutions on site.

4. The fluorescence detector

4.1. Overview

The 24 telescopes of the FD overlook the SD array from four sites – Los Leones, Los Morados, Loma Amarilla and Coihueco [47]. Six independent telescopes are located at each FD site in a clean climate controlled building [33], an example of which is seen in Fig. 6. A single telescope has a field of view of 30° × 30° in azimuth and elevation, with a minimum elevation of 1.5° above the horizon. The telescopes face towards the interior of the array so that the combination of the six telescopes provides 180° coverage in azimuth.

4.2. FD telescopes

The details of the fluorescence detector telescope are shown in Fig. 7 and an actual view of an installed telescope in Fig. 8. The telescope design is based on Schmidt optics because it reduces the coma aberration of large optical systems. Nitrogen fluorescence light, emitted isotropically by an air shower, enters through a circular diaphragm of 1.1 m radius covered with a Schott MUG-6 filter glass window. The filter transmission is above 50% (80%) between 310 and 390 nm (330 and 380 nm) in the UV range. The filter reduces the background light flux and thus improves the signal-to-noise ratio of the measured air shower signal. It also serves as a window over the aperture which keeps the space containing the telescopes and electronics clean and climate controlled. The shutters seen in Fig. 7 are closed during daylight and also close automatically at night when the wind becomes too high.
or rain is detected. In addition, a fail-safe curtain is mounted behind the diaphragm to prevent daylight from illuminating a camera in case of a malfunction of the shutter or a failure of the slow control system.

A simplified annular lens, which corrects spherical aberration and eliminates coma aberration, is mounted in the outer part of the aperture. The segmented corrector ring has inner and outer radii of 850 and 1100 mm, respectively. Six corrector rings were made from Schott BK7 glass and Borofloat was used for the rest. More details about the corrector ring can be found in [33,48].

The light is focused by a spherical mirror of ~3400 mm radius of curvature onto a spherical focal surface with radius of curvature ~1700 mm. Due to its large area (~1 m²), the primary mirror is segmented to reduce the cost and weight of the optical system. Two alternative segmentation configurations are used: one is a tessellation of 36 rectangular anodized aluminium mirrors of three different sizes; the other is a structure of 60 hexagonal glass mirrors (of four shapes and sizes) with vacuum deposited reflective coatings [33]. The average reflectivity of cleaned mirror segments at a wavelength λ = 370 nm is more than 90%.

The camera body is machined from a single aluminium block of 60 mm thickness, with an outer radius of curvature of 1701 mm and an inner curvature radius of 1641 mm. The hexagonal photomultiplier tubes, model XP3062 manufactured by Photonis, are positioned inside 40 mm diameter holes drilled through the camera block at the locations of the pixel centers. The pixels are arranged in a matrix of 22 rows by 20 columns.

The PMT boundaries are approximate hexagons with a side to side distance of 45.6 mm. The PMTs are separated by simplified Winston cones secured to the camera body which collect the light to the active cathode of the photomultiplier tube. The light collectors serve to prevent photons from landing in the dead spaces between the PMT cathodes. The upper edge of the light collectors lie on the focal surface of 1743 mm radius. The pixel field of view defined by the upper edges corresponds to an angular size of 1.5°.

All support structures and cables are distributed so as to minimize any obscuration in the light path. The contribution of reflection and scattering inside the optical system of the telescope has been measured in situ and with an airborne remotely controlled platform carrying an isotropic and stabilized UV light source [49]. The measured point spread function of the light distribution in pixels has been implemented in the software used in the air shower reconstruction.

Cleaning and maintenance work has been required during years of detector operation. The cleaning of the UV filter from outside has been performed several times because of deposited dust layers. Currently, the cleaning of all UV filters from outside is done three times per year. The equipment inside the building (i.e., the inner side of the filter, the corrector ring, and the dust curtain) is cleaned less frequently, because it is not exposed to the outside environment. Dry and wet methods have been adopted for cleaning the mirror segments and they both improve the reflectivity of mirrors. For telescopes, where the first cleaning took place six years after their installation, the reflectivity increased by <1% in the case of mirror segments in the upper rows and ~5% for mirror segments in the bottom rows, where the segments are turned slightly upward (see, e.g., Fig. 8). The reflectivity of a few selected mirror segments is measured once or twice each year and it changes less than 1% per year.

Alignment of individual mirror segments was cross-checked with a laser on site. Moreover, additional methods using data measured by telescopes were used, such as star tracking, Central Laser Facility (CLF) and eXtreme Laser Facility (XLF) shots (Section 4.4.2), or a comparison of FD and SD geometry reconstruction. Only in two cases were a realignment of a telescope and a readjustment of camera position needed.

4.3. FD electronics

The FD electronics must provide a large dynamic range and strong background rejection, while accepting any physically plausible air shower. Moreover, the electronics is responsible for antialias filtering, digitizing, and storing signals from the PMTs.

The XP3062 photomultiplier tube is an 8-stage unit with a bialkalinium photocathode with quantum efficiency of about 25% in the wavelength range 350–400 nm. The PMT high voltage is provided by a HV divider chain which forms a single physical unit together with the signal driver circuitry. This head electronics unit is soldered to the flying leads of the PMT [50].

The nominal gain for standard operation of the FD is set to 5 × 10^4. Stabilization of the HV potential for large pulses, and in the presence of the low but not negligible light intensity of the dark sky background, is realized by employing an active network that uses bipolar transistors in the last three stages of the PMT. The active divider ensures that the gain shift due to the divider chain is less than 1% for anode currents up to about 10 mA. The normal dark sky background on moonless nights induces an anode current of about 0.8 μA on each PMT.

The head electronics for each PMT is connected to a distribution board located just behind the camera body. Each board serves 44 PMTs, providing high and low voltage and receiving the output signals. The signal is then shaped and digitized in the front-end electronics (FE) unit, where threshold and geometry triggers are also generated. Analog boards in the FE unit are designed to handle the large dynamic range required for air fluorescence measurements; this means a range of 15 bits and 100 ns timing.

As the PMT data are processed, they are passed through a flexible three-stage trigger system implemented in firmware and software. The trigger rate of each pixel in a camera (first level trigger) is kept around 100 Hz by adjusting the pixel threshold level. The algorithm of the second level trigger searches for track segments at least five pixels in length within a camera. The typical trigger rate per camera fluctuates between 0.1 and 10 Hz. The third level trigger is a software algorithm designed to clean the air shower data stream of noise events that survive the low-level hardware triggers. It is optimized for the fast rejection of triggers caused by lightning, triggers caused by cosmic ray muon impacts on the camera and randomly triggered pixels.

A rugged commercial computer (MPC) is associated with each telescope and serves to readout the event data from the front-end electronics through a FireWire interface. The MPCs at each FD site are connected through a 100 Mbit Ethernet LAN switch to the site’s central readout computer, called an “EyePC”. This PC provides a connection between the communications network and the MPCs. The MPCs are diskless, thus they boot their Linux system through the network and store their data on the EyePC’s hard drive.

The events surviving all trigger levels are sent through the MPC to the EyePC, which builds an event from the coincident data in all telescopes at a given site and generates a hybrid trigger (FD-T3) for the surface array. The event rate is about 0.012 Hz per site for the 24 baseline telescopes (see Section 12).

4.4. FD test and calibration

4.4.1. Laser test beams

Throughout each night of FD operation, thousands of collimated UV laser pulses are directed into the atmosphere from two facilities located near the center of the SD (see Fig. 1 and Fig. 29 in Section 9). Light scattered out of the laser pulses generates tracks in the same FD telescopes that also record the tracks generated by air showers. In contrast to high energy air showers, the direction, rate, and energy of the laser pulses can be preprogrammed as desired. Laser pulses can be fired at specific directions relative to
the ground, for example vertically, or in specific directions relative to the sky, for example aimed at the galactic center, Cen A or other potential sources of cosmic rays. An optical fiber at each laser directs a small amount of light into an adjacent SD station to provide hybrid laser events.

Laser data recorded by the FD telescopes are used to measure FD performance, measure SD-FD time offsets, check FD pointing, and make the hourly measurements of aerosol optical depth vertical profiles for the atmospheric database. In addition, a low power roving system is available for use on a campaign basis.

4.4.2. CLF and XLF

Laser test pulses are provided by the CLF [51] and XLF, each of which features a Q-switched frequency tripled YAG laser. The spectrum purity of the 355 nm light pulses coupled to the sky is better than 99.9%. This wavelength falls near the middle of the nitrogen UV fluorescence spectrum, between the two major N₂ fluorescence bands of 337 nm and 357 nm. The maximum energy per pulse is nominally 7 mJ. Pulses of this energy produce tracks in the FD that have an approximate optical equivalence of 100 EeV air showers. The CLF has been in operation since 2003. The XLF was installed in 2008 and includes an automated calibration system that measures the beam energy and polarization. A major upgrade to the CLF in 2013 added a beam calibration system and a backscatter Raman lidar receiver.

4.4.3. FD detector calibration

The precise reconstruction of air shower longitudinal profiles requires the conversion of an ADC count to a light flux for each pixel that receives a portion of the signal from a shower. To this end, the absolute calibration of the detector response is essential. A calibrated large diameter, drum shaped light source provides an absolute, end-to-end calibration for each pixel of the fluorescence telescopes, with eight telescopes were measured to have a complete coverage of the sides of the entrance aperture where the light is directed toward reflective Tyvek targets mounted on the telescope doors, from which it is reflected back into the telescopes. Drifts of the temporal performance of pixels, mirror and aperture components can be identified by comparing measurements from the three light sources. The sources are also equipped with neutral density filters to permit linearity measurements, or with interference filters to monitor stability at wavelengths in the range of 330–410 nm.

The relative spectral efficiencies, or multi-wavelength calibrations, of FD telescopes were measured using a monochromator-based drum light source with a xenon flasher. The measurement was done in steps of 5 nm from 270 nm to 430 nm. As described in Section 4.2 there are two types of mirrors and two different glass materials used for the corrector rings in the FD telescopes. In total eight telescopes were measured to have a complete coverage of the different components and a redundant measure of each combination. The uncertainty of these measurements is ~3%. An example of measured relative efficiency of an FD telescope is shown in Fig. 10.

4.5. FD operation

Beginning in 2005, the FD initially operated with 12 telescopes at two sites, Los Leones and Colhueco (see Fig. 1). In the following years, two additional sites, Los Morados and Loma Amarilla, with six telescopes at each site, were brought into operation. All FD telescopes are operated remotely from the central campus by shift personnel. Their responsibilities include preparation of the FD for a run, making relative calibrations, starting and stopping runs and online checking of the quality of measured data [46].

![Fig. 9. Drum calibration source for the FD telescopes. Left: Detail of the drum (side view). Right: Sketch of the drum mounted on the telescope window.](image-url)
The slow control system (SCS) assures a secure remote operation of the FD system. The SCS works autonomously and continuously monitors detector and weather conditions. Commands from the remote operator are accepted only if they do not violate safety rules that depend on the actual experimental conditions: high voltage, wind speed, rain, light levels inside/outside the buildings, etc. In case of external problems, such as power failures or communication breakdowns, the SCS performs an orderly shutdown, and also a subsequent start up of the fluorescence detector system if the conditions have changed. If parts of the SCS itself fail, the system automatically reverts to a secure mode so that all critical system states (open shutters, high voltage on, etc.) are actively maintained.

The observation of air showers via fluorescence light is possible only at night. Moreover, night sky brightness should be low and thus nights without a significant amount of direct or scattered moonlight are required. We also require that the sun be lower than 18° below the horizon, the moon remain below the horizon for longer than 3 h, and that the illuminated fraction of the moon be less than 70% in the middle of the night. The mean length of the dark observation period is then 17 nights each month.

The on-time of the FD telescopes is currently ~ 15%. The value varies slightly between telescopes depending on the telescope pointing and various hardware or software factors. The main remaining source of downtime is weather. The telescopes are not operated when the weather conditions become dangerous (high wind speed, rain, snow, etc.) and when the observed sky brightness (caused mainly by scattered moonlight) is too high.

5. Data communications system

The detector systems of the Observatory are deployed at widely dispersed positions over a very large area. To send commands and receive data from the four individual FD sites and from 1660 SD stations in the field, a bidirectional radio frequency telecommunications network has been designed and deployed. The reliability of the network is critical to the function of the Observatory, particularly in the context of controlling the experiment, identifying event triggers, and collecting data recorded at each detector for each air shower event.

For Auger, a custom designed system based on a two-layer hierarchy has been implemented. Individual surface detector stations are connected by a custom WLAN which is sectorized and supported by four concentration nodes. The WLAN is serviced by a high capacity microwave backbone network which also supports communications between the four fluorescence detector sites and the main campus data acquisition and control center. Figure 11 shows a conceptual schematic of the overall layout of the data communication system for the Observatory. Table 1 lists the main performance characteristics.

5.1. The microwave backbone network

The top layer of the Auger data communications system is a 34 Mbps backbone network made from commercial, point-to-point, dish mounted equipment operating in the 7 GHz band.
Receivers and transmitters are mounted on five communications towers located at the perimeter of the array as depicted in Fig. 12. The microwave backbone provides high speed network communications to nodes at all four FD sites and with the main campus. The microwave backbone, depicted schematically in Fig. 13, consists of a set of paired links providing sufficient capacity to stream data to and from each of the FD sites as well as for collecting data from the individual surface stations.

### 5.2. Wireless LAN

The bottom layer of the Auger communication system consists of an extended WLAN comprising custom designed units operating in the 902–928 MHz ISM band. A point-to-point bidirectional communications link is established between each surface detector station and one of four communication concentrator nodes mounted on the four towers located at each of the fluorescence detector sites. Communication is achieved in a manner similar to a cellular telephone system by dividing the array into 28 sectors, each of which contains up to 68 stations.

Communications operations at each surface station are governed by a custom-built programmable subscriber unit used to mediate the transmission and reception of digital data between the electronics board of a surface detector and the concentrator node. An analogous custom-built unit, called a base station unit, mediates data transfer between each concentrator node and the backbone network connection at each tower.

### 5.3. Time division multiple access

Transmissions to and from the stations are synchronized by GPS timing so that each station is assigned a particular time slot during which it is available to send and receive data. This time division multiple access (TDMA) scheme provides a contention free communication environment within the array. A one-second data frame includes 68 uplink slots for collecting data from the array and 6 downlink slots for sending trigger requests and other commands to the stations. An additional 11 slots are reserved for network management, monitoring, and packet error control. The assignment of individual time slots within the 1-s TDMA frame is shown in Fig. 14. This makes available an effective bandwidth of at least 1200 bps uplink for each surface station and a 2400 bps for broadcast downlink.

### 5.4. Error handling

A central requirement of the Auger WLAN system for collecting data from the surface detector stations is very high reliability. In the wake of a typical trigger, digitized data from PMT traces and other detector information must be relayed promptly to the CDAS.
so that the event can be built and recorded. Data from a single event trigger will typically be broken into several dozen packets transmitted by each station on request, a process that can continue for as long as 2 min. If even a single data packet is missing or corrupt, the entire trace from the station is lost. A custom packetization protocol that includes Cyclic Redundancy Checking to detect transmission errors is used at every level. An advanced retransmit-on-error scheme, commonly called an Automatic Repeat Request (ARQ), is also employed. The ARQ scheme is especially designed to prevent data loss in the case of variable signal fluctuations, external sources of interference or any other episodic environmental influences. If a packet is flagged as missing or corrupt at the monitoring concentrator of the central network, a request to retransmit the packet is automatically initiated and collected via the subsequent data frame reserved TDMA time slots. The ARQ request will be sent once per frame and will be repeated so that at least six attempts are made to retrieve each missing or corrupt packet.

6. Central data acquisition system

6.1. Overview

The CDAS has been running since March 2001. The system was designed to assemble the triggers from the surface array detectors, to allow control of these detectors and to organize the storage of data. It is constructed using a combination of commercial hardware and custom made, high level, software components. The system is designed to run continuously, with minimum intervention, with the full 1660 detector array, and can manage many more. Data from the FD are recorded separately at the FD locations and transferred daily to the Computer Center at Malargüe, although hybrid coincidences are identified online within the SD data stream.

The primary role for the CDAS is to combine local trigger information from the SD stations in order to identify potential physical events generating an SD higher level trigger (T3). These triggers combined with the T3 from FD sites (FD T3) are used to generate a request for the relevant data from SD stations for these events. The CDAS is then used to combine and store these data to form a shower event. The CDAS also contains configuration and control mechanisms, the means to monitor system performance, and the tools to access and download SD monitoring, calibration, control and configuration data.

Except for triggering information (see Section 6.3), the CDAS and the SD data acquisition systems are completely independent. The merging of FD and SD data is made offline during the daytime following an FD run. Data are synchronized on the central storage hardware after each night of observation. The newly acquired data within the central storage are mirrored at the primary data mirror located at the Lyon HEP Computer Center (France) every 3 h; later these data can be transferred to secondary mirror sites. The data may then be transferred from a convenient mirror site to over 50 participating institutions.

The communication between applications within the CDAS is controlled using a central message routine manager called the “Information Kernel”. This manager allows formatted messages to be broadcast by producer applications (applications that need to advertise their status), and for consumer applications (applications that need to know about the status of others) to receive them on demand. All data, with one exception, are exchanged between the CDAS applications in human readable formatted ASCII and go through the “Information Kernel” manager. The exception is the large binary block of raw data coming from the SD stations. Data exchanged in raw format are calibration blocks and FADC traces (these comprise the event data), data from local triggers as well as the monitoring data.

Since the beginning of 2013, the CDAS runs on a virtual machine using resources within a private Cloud installation. The Cloud is composed of 6 servers, summing up 42 CPUs and 112 GB of RAM. CPUs are 2 GHz or faster. This scheme allows the live migration and automatic failover of virtual machines, to minimize the impact of critical failures. The disk storage system is comprised of redundant disk arrays installed in each server plus some standalone devices, making a total storage space near 8.5 TB, using a shared, replicated and distributed scheme. A Network Time Protocol (NTP) GPS clock is used to synchronize the system times. We have adopted the GNU/Linux Debian latest stable distribution as the operating system, currently v6.0r4. Only a very small fraction of the CPU power is used by the CDAS application processes. Most of the software was developed in C or C++. The whole system is installed in a Computer Center at the Observatory campus, with controlled temperature and redundant uninterruptible power supply.

6.2. SD data collection

The data flow over the radio network, from individual SD stations to the central campus, is controlled by a dedicated application called the “Post Master”. The Post Master is the end point of the communication backbone at the Observatory Campus, and is designed to dispatch information extracted from the different data streams of a local station to the other applications of the CDAS. As its name suggests, the Post Master application is used to read the data type contained in a radio frame and to forward it to the proper application within the CDAS so that specific data can be handled. When the data received from individual SD stations are split into several radio frames, they are reassembled and forwarded to clients by the Post Master after all the frames have been received.

The Post Master is used also to route data between the applications of the CDAS and the SD. Commands and configuration parameters can be transmitted, along with event requests, such as the level 3 trigger identified by the “Central Trigger” processor. Software downloads over the communications link are also possible, thus enabling upgrades of the local DAQ software at the stations without the need to travel many kilometers to each one.

Data received from each SD station belong to different data streams:

1. Local triggers, T2: the highest priority stream, with a list of time stamps and the type of trigger (threshold or time over threshold), is forwarded to the “Central Trigger” application.
2. Shower data with its calibration data: data in this high priority stream are sent only when a request is received from the CDAS at an SD station. Shower events are split into small pieces and sent together with the T2 packets so that the available bandwidth is fully used. These data are forwarded to the “Event Builder” application.
3. Control: this is a medium priority stream that describes the state of the detector. It is forwarded to the central “Information Kernel” of the CDAS.
4. Calibration and monitoring information: this is a low priority data stream. It is forwarded to the “Monitoring Recorder” application.

6.3. The event triggering system

The triggering system of the Observatory fulfills two conditions. First, it detects showers with high efficiency across the SD array, that is more than 99% for vertical showers with energy
above $3 \times 10^{18}$ eV. Second, it allows and identifies cross-triggers (hybrid events) between the FD and SD systems. Triggers from the FD use separate algorithms but are forwarded to the SD system to construct the hybrid data set (see below).

The local DAQ system of each SD station is designed to generate low level triggers (T2) as described in Section 3.5. The time stamps of these triggers are sent every second to the CDAS. The T2 requirements are such that the average rate is always around 20–25 Hz so that at least 50% of the bandwidth is free for data transmission. This limitation does not reduce the global trigger efficiency (see below). At the CDAS, the T2s received from all stations are stored in a data block stamped according to the second to which they correspond. This information also allows us to acquire the status of all stations of the array at each second. Once a block has existed for a time greater than the maximum transit time across the radio network (5 s), it is transmitted to the “Central Trigger” processor and discarded.

The Central Trigger, or third level trigger T3, initiates the central data acquisition from the array in the three following conditions:

1. A main trigger condition, corresponding to shower candidates, is based on both the time and the spatial clustering of the local triggers received from stations, and is described in detail below.

2. A random trigger is generated every $N$ minutes by selecting one of the T2s in an arbitrary manner, and promoting it to a T3. Currently, $N=30$ but values of 3 and 15 have also been used. The purpose of this trigger is to randomly monitor the FADC traces that satisfy the local trigger conditions and thus to verify the efficiency of the global trigger processor.

3. A 2-fold coincidence within $1 \mu s$ of one of the two doublet stations, two couples of neighboring stations (10 m distant). These occur at 0.8 Hz and are scaled to 0.0017 Hz for transmission.

To apply the main trigger condition, the system defines concentric hexagons centered on each station. The “Central Trigger” processor is used to identify groups of stations that are clustered in time and space as SD events. First, time clusters are sought by centering a window of $\pm 25 \mu s$ on each T2. Clusters, with multiplicity of three or more, are then examined for spatial coincidences.

The main trigger condition is satisfied in two modes depending on the local shower trigger conditions. A block diagram illustrating the logic chain and approximate rates of these trigger modes is shown in Fig. 15. The first mode requires the coincidence of at least three detectors that have passed the T2-ToT trigger condition (described in Section 3.5) and that meet the requirement of a minimum of compactness, namely, one of the fired detectors must have one of the other fired detectors in the first hexagon of neighbors while another one is no further than the second hexagon. The second mode is more permissive. It requires a 4-fold coincidence of any type of T2 (T2-ToT or T2-TH) with moderate compactness. Namely, among the four fired detectors, one station may be as far away as the fourth hexagon, if a station is within the first hexagon and another station is no further than the second hexagon. Figure 16 illustrates the geometric requirements of the two trigger modes.

Once the spatial coincidence is verified, final timing criteria are imposed: each T2 must be within $(6 + 5n) \mu s$ of the central station, where $n$ represents the hexagon number.

Once a trigger has been identified, a T3 message requesting that all FADC trace information recorded within $30 \mu s$ of the central T2 is built by the “Central Trigger” processor and forwarded to the “Event Builder” and to the stations by the “Post Master”. The trigger message is also stored locally by the “Information Kernel”. To select which stations are asked for their traces the system takes each station within six hexagons of each of the stations whose T2 participated in the T3 construction. Additionally, the “Central Trigger” process also stores the number of T2s for each station recorded for monitoring purposes.

With the arrangements described above, the total T3 trigger rate of the Observatory is presently of the order of 0.1 Hz and about 3 million SD events are recorded yearly.
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**Fig. 16.** Four hexagons, containing stations, are illustrated around a central surface station, for a portion of an ideal array. For a 3-fold coincidence, a T3 is issued if the 3 T2s are ToT, and if one of them is found in the first hexagon of the central station, and the other one no further than the second hexagon. A 4-fold coincidence applies to any kind of T2 and the additional station may be as distant as in the 4th hexagon. Two examples of the topology of triggers are shown: a 4-fold coincidence in which the triggered stations are identified by open blue squares, and a 3-fold coincidence identified by open red circles.

**Fig. 15.** Schematics of the hierarchy of the trigger system of the Auger surface detector.
The DAQ system of the fluorescence detector is completely independent of the CDAS. Local triggers are generated at each FD site and those identified as T3 FD event triggers are logged by a local processor if a shower track can be found. T3 FD event triggers are transmitted online (within 1 s) from the local FD site to the CDAS system at the central site. The trigger information sent describes the geometry of the shower candidate. This includes the estimated time of arrival of the light front of the shower at the camera as well as the geometry of the SDP (see Section 10.2). From this information, the time of impact of the shower at a ground position with the addition of the identification of the corresponding T3 FD trigger.

After each night of operation, details of events recorded at the FD telescopes for each T3 FD event triggers are transferred to the CDAS. Data from these triggers are then merged with the data collected by the SD DAQ and form the hybrid data set. A hybrid event is therefore an “SD only” event, but with the addition of the geometry of the shower candidate. This includes the estimated time of impact of the shower at a ground position in the region of the SD stations is computed and a corresponding SD event T3 is constructed. All FADC traces recorded within 20 μs of the computed time are assembled as a normal “SD only” event, but with the addition of the geometry of the corresponding T3 FD trigger.

6.4. Operation and control

The CDAS has been designed to provide the means to monitor both its own operation as well as the slow control system at SD stations and various environmental parameters. The operation of the CDAS is monitored using a low level application that routinely checks that all software components are running correctly. This “watch dog” system is used to reinitialize and relaunch any application that may have failed. Over the Observatory’s life, the CDAS has been operational more than 99.9% of the time. Most of the downtime is due to system tests, upgrades and debugging with a minimal impact of critical failures.

The manager for the “Information Kernel” system, used to route messages, can also serve as an offline monitoring tool. Its architecture is based upon one central daemon, the message dispatcher, to which all messages are sent automatically and transparently. Any application wishing to distribute its status information, or issues a monitoring request defining the class of message it wants to hear.

The “message listener” applications range from monitoring applications to system oriented ones, such as the message logger that is used to make all messages persistent. Applications have been developed that allow these messages to be browsed. Thus, the behavior of the system can be monitored both online and offline. The storage capacity is sufficient to keep the complete history of all messages exchanged in the system (around 3 GB per year of uncompressed ASCII files) for several years.

The “Monitoring Recorder” application of the CDAS is the core of all subsequent monitoring applications that will be described in the next section.

An event display program (part of which can be seen in Fig. 17) has also been developed. This allows the selection, viewing and reconstruction of SD events that are stored on disk. This program, and the input/output and reconstruction libraries that compose it, have also been used extensively for preliminary data analysis.

7. Monitoring

7.1. Overview

For the optimal scientific output of the Observatory the status of the detector array as well as its measured data have to be monitored. In normal operating conditions, shift personnel or “shifters” monitor the performance of the Auger detector systems. The Auger Monitoring tool has been developed to support the shifter in judging and supervising the status of the detector components, the electronics, communications, and the data acquisition. It is also useful to study “offline” the behavior of the different components of our detectors and to define quality cuts on our data when necessary.

The two hybrid detector systems, SD and FD, are operated differently and therefore the monitoring of their status has different requirements. The stations of the SD array operate continuously in semi-automated mode. Data acquisition must be monitored and failures of any station component (power system, PMT, and communication device) must be detected. The data taking of the FD can only take place under specific environmental conditions and is organized in shifts. The sensitive cameras can only be operated on dark nights without strong winds or rain. This makes the operation a busy task for the shifters who have to judge the operating mode on the basis of the information given by the monitoring system.

The technical description of the implementation is given separately for the services of the central server and the subcomponents. The subcomponents show the different ways the data flow to the central database are organized.

7.2. Server techniques

The basis of the monitoring system is a database. We have chosen the widespread and publicly available MySQL database system. It includes all necessary features, e.g., replication and stored functions/procedures. The front end is based on a web server running Apache. The web site uses mainly PHP, CSS and JavaScript. An interface has been developed for generating visualizations. Currently the usage of Gnuplot is implemented via an internal system call. The second option used for generation of visualizations is the JpGraph package which is implemented with direct PHP calls on an object oriented basis with the interface defined in the inherited classes.

Alarms, occurrences of states that require immediate action, are first entered into a specified table of the database. The web front end checks this table for new entries and displays them on the web page. The shifter is trained to observe and acknowledge the alarm; when the problem is solved, he/she can declare the corresponding alarm as resolved.

In addition to the detector performance and data quality monitoring, the functionality of the monitoring server itself has to be guaranteed. Therefore, the central services of the computer have to be monitored to assure that an alarm will definitely be noticed by the shifter.

7.3. Surface detector

With large ambient temperature variations, high salinity, dusty air, high humidity inside the tank and remoteness of access, monitoring the performance and reliability of the SD array is a challenge. The temperature ranges from −15 °C in winter up to 60 °C inside the electronics box in summer, with typical daily cycling of about 20 °C. Inside the water tank the relative humidity can reach about 90%. In addition, thunderstorms are rather strong, with lightning that can damage electronic components.
To monitor the whole array accurately, various sensors are installed in every station. Temperature is measured on each PMT base, on the electronics board, and on each battery. PMT voltage and current are also monitored, as well as solar panel voltages, individual battery voltage and charge current. The calibration described in Section 3.4 is performed online every minute. A number of quantities are computed to check the behavior of each water Cherenkov detector: baseline values, single muon peak signal, single muon average charge, dynode/anode ratio and PMT stability. The monitoring and calibration data are sent to the CDAS every 6 min. Dedicated software constantly parses the information sent to the CDAS, independently of the acquisition processes, and exports the data to the MySQL server.

As an example, we show in Fig. 18 the monitoring of the daily average of the voltages of the two batteries of a tank. While the value for Battery 1 is stable above 12 V, it can be seen that since 22 December 2013, the value of Battery 2 has been decaying and is always below 12 V. When the voltage drops below 12 V for the first time, an alarm is triggered for shifters, so that they can make further checks on the history of the battery to understand the origin of the decay. Once the value becomes lower than 11.5 V, a more severe alarm is triggered to indicate that the battery should be repaired or replaced.

A second example shows how the monitoring system is used to clean the data. Figure 19 displays the evolution of the fraction of PMTs that are rejected by the data analysis due to troubles detected by studying the monitoring data. This number itself is controlled within the monitoring system. The number of low-quality PMTs increased after 2008 because the full array of nearly 5000 PMTs was now deployed, and the Observatory staff needed to carefully balance maintenance priorities. Since 2008 the rate of low-quality PMTs has been rather stable around 1%, except for a specific period in 2009 when communication problems did not allow reliable monitoring of the array. We chose to be conservative and disregard doubtful data during this period (see Section 12).
The monitoring tool also allows a general control of the behavior of the array. Figure 20 shows the muon peak current ($I_{VEM}$) values for 4802 PMTs. The mean value of the muon peak ($I_{VEM}$) is at channel 45.6 with an RMS of 6.8 showing good uniformity of the detector response. The typical day/night variations are of the order of two ADC channels. This is mainly due to the sensitivity of the PMTs to temperature. The muon calibration is made online every minute, allowing the correction for temperature effects.

The ratio area/peak ($A/P$), i.e., the ratio between the integrated charge and maximum of the atmospheric muon signals recorded with the calibration trigger, is also a monitored quantity directly available from the local station software. It is related to the water transparency and the reflectivity of Cherenkov light on the inner liner of the SD station. These properties control the absorption length of the light and thus the signal decay constant. Figure 21 shows the decay of the $A/P$ ratio of a typical station in the first seven years following deployment, coupled to a seasonal modulation. After 10 years of deployment, the $A/P$ tends to be stable. This behavior is described in detail in Ref. [45].

The two contributions of the T2 shower triggers (see Section 3.5) are also monitored. While the T2-TH mode has a mean value of 22 Hz with a low dispersion of less than 2%, the T2-ToT mode contributes only 1 Hz but with much larger spread. Indeed, the ToT mode is directly related to the $A/P$, since it is by construction sensitive to the signal shape and thus to the characteristics of the detector. Therefore the T2-ToT rate also decreases with time. It has been observed that, even if the rates of the different stations show a large initial spread across the array, most of them stabilize after a few years to about 1 Hz. Temperature variations also slightly affect the ToT trigger. Fortunately, these variations do not affect the uniformity and the stability of the data, since the event rate above the threshold energy of the experiment has not been affected, as will be shown in Section 12.

The monitoring tool also includes performance metrics to control the overall performance of the surface detector array. One of the metrics is the number of stations sending a signal divided by the number of deployed tanks as a function of time, indicating the efficiency of data collection with the SD array, which is typically better than 98%.

7.4. Fluorescence detector

The data acquisition for the FD telescopes is organized by site to insure against disruption of data collection due to possible communication losses between the CDAS and the remote detectors. The data transport for FD monitoring is organized via a database internal replication mechanism. This mechanism recognizes communication problems and recovers submitted database changes when the connection is reestablished. This guarantees completeness of the dataset on the central server, even if the information is not immediately available online during network failures.

Figure 22 shows a schematic layout of the databases.

The information collected for the supervision of the FD operation is organized into five sections. The calibration section contains the information from the different levels of calibration as described in Section 4.4.3, with an example representation given in Fig. 23. The background data section contains the information obtained from each 30 s readout of the full camera, which is valuable as an unbiased observation of background. The section on DAQ and trigger shows the frequency of fired triggers that indicates the status of the telescopes at an advanced stage. Information from the slow control system such as rain, wind, and outside and inside temperatures is displayed in the fourth section. The lidars [53] monitor the atmosphere close to the telescopes. Their information helps judging the atmospheric conditions at the site, which is vital for the operation of the telescopes.

The data collected in the database can be used to derive higher level quantities such as the on-time of the FD telescopes. This quantity is of major importance since it is a necessary ingredient of flux measurements. The dead time of each telescope is also recorded in the database. Together with the run information and other corrections retrieved from the database, the total on-time for each telescope can be determined individually. The on-time is calculated only for time intervals of 10 min, balancing the statistical precision of the calculated on-time due to statistics with the information frequency. A program to execute the calculation runs on the database server and is used to fill the appropriate tables in the database continuously. The web interface displays the stored quantities. The on-time is available in near real time for the shifter as a diagnostic and figure of merit.

7.5. Communications

All aspects of the Auger data communications system control, operations, and performance housekeeping information are coordinated and reported via a central data concentrator node called NetMon, which also serves as the relay for all data transferred between the detectors and the array control center. NetMon enables
regular monitoring of the system performance, including critical details on the status of data links, the status of sectors and packet error rates. All of this information is integrated into the main monitoring system so that the on site operator can be made aware of any difficulties that may arise in near real time. For example, Fig. 24 shows a monitoring event display of the radio signal strength (in dB) of the uplink receiver as reported to the operator for each surface detector station in the array. Long term performance benchmarks for the data communications system are also recorded and monitored. Figure 25 shows the daily number of ARQs over the course of four months of data collected during 2010 and 2011. Here, the ARQ rate serves as a global diagnostic for the overall health of the system indicating the extent to which packets are missing or corrupt. Shown are the rates for single ARQ generating packet errors and also the rates for “ARQ7” errors corresponding to those packets for which at least six retransmission attempts were made before abandoning the packet; the ARQ7 rate thus represents the rate at which data are irretrievably lost. We had for a period in 2009 a large error rate leading to event loss. It has been fixed and since then, the typical loss rate has been approximately 1000–2000 packets out of over 140 million packets per day, corresponding to a data loss rate of less than 0.002%. The data from a typical event involving several stations corresponds to approximately 1000 packets. Therefore, the overall event loss rate due to communication failures is less than 2%.

8. Data processing and Offline framework

The Offline software of the Pierre Auger Observatory provides both an implementation of simulation and reconstruction algorithms, discussed later, and an infrastructure to support the development of such algorithms leading ultimately to a complete simulation, reconstruction and analysis pipeline. Indeed, when the Offline code was originally devised, the only existing systems were the SD and FD. It has since been extended to handle the radio and AMIGA extensions without requiring dramatic framework changes. The software has been designed to accommodate contributions from a large number of physicists developing C++ applications over the long lifetime of the experiment. The essential features include a “plug-in” mechanism for physics algorithms together with machinery which assists users in retrieving event data and detector conditions from various data sources, as well as a reasonably straightforward way of configuring the abundance of different applications and logging all configuration data. A detailed description of the Offline software design, including some example applications, is available in [54].

The overall organization of the Offline framework is depicted in Fig. 26. A collection of processing modules is assembled and sequenced through instructions contained in an XML file [55] or in a Python [56] script. An event data model allows modules to relay data to one another, accumulates all simulation and reconstruction information, and converts between various formats used to store data on file. Finally, a detector description provides a gateway to data on the detector conditions, including calibration constants and atmospheric properties as a function of time.

8.1. Physics modules

Most simulation and reconstruction tasks can be factorized into sequences of processing steps which can simply be pipelined. Physicists prepare processing algorithms in modules, which they register with the Offline framework via a one line macro. This modular design allows collaborators to exchange code, compare algorithms and build up a variety of applications by combining modules in various sequences. Run time control over module sequences is implemented with a Run Controller, which invokes the various processing steps within the modules according to a set of user provided instructions. We devised an XML-based language as one option for specifying sequencing instructions; this approach has proved sufficiently flexible for the majority of our applications, and it is quite simple to use. An example of the structure of a sequence file is shown in Fig. 27.
8.2. Data access

The Offline framework includes two parallel hierarchies for accessing data: the detector description for retrieving data on conditions, including detector geometry, calibration constants, and atmospheric conditions, and an event data model for reading and writing information that changes for each event.

The detector description provides a unified interface from which module authors can retrieve conditions data. Data requests are passed by this interface to a back end comprising a registry of the so-called managers, each of which is capable of extracting a particular sort of information from a given data source. The manager mechanism allows for a simple interface to a potentially complex collection of different data sources and formats. The general structure of the detector description machinery is illustrated in Fig. 28.

The event data model contains the raw, calibrated, reconstructed and Monte Carlo information and serves as the backbone for communication between modules. The event is instrumented with a protocol allowing modules to discover its constituents at...
any point in processing, and thereby determine whether the input data required to carry out the desired processing are available.

The transient (in memory) and persistent (on disk) event models are decoupled in order to avoid locking to a single provider solution for serialization, the process by which C++ objects are converted to a form that can be written to disk. When a request is made to write event contents to file, the data are transferred from the transient event through a file interface to the persistent event, which is instrumented with serialization machinery. We currently use the input/output portion of the ROOT [57] toolkit to implement serialization. Various file formats are interpreted using the file interface, including numerous raw event and monitoring formats as well as the different formats employed by the AIRES [58], CORSIKA [59], CONEX [60] and SENECA [61] air shower simulation packages.

8.3. Configuration

The Offline framework includes a system to organize and track data used to configure the software for different applications as well as parameters used in the physics modules. A Central Config configuration tool (Fig. 26) points modules and framework components to the location of their configuration data, and creates Xerces-based [62] XML parsers to assist in reading information from these locations. We have wrapped Xerces with our own interface which provides ease of use at the cost of somewhat reduced flexibility, and which also adds functionality such as automatic units conversion and casting to various types, including commonly used containers.

The Central Config keeps track of all configuration data accessed during a run and stores them in an XML log file, which can subsequently be used to reproduce a run with an identical configuration. This allows collaborators to easily exchange and use configuration data for result comparisons. The logging mechanism is also used to record the versions of modules and external libraries which are used for each run.

Syntax and content checking of the configuration files is afforded through W3C XML Schema [63] standard validation. Schema validation is used not only for internal configuration prepared by framework developers, but also to check the contents of physics module configuration files. This approach reduces the amount of code users and developers must prepare and supports very robust checking.

8.4. Utilities, testing and quality control, and build system

The Offline framework is complemented by a collection of utilities, including an XML parser, an error logger and various mathematics and physics services. We have also developed a novel geometry package which allows the manipulation of abstract geometrical objects independent of coordinate system choice. This is particularly helpful for our applications since the Observatory comprises many instruments spread over a large area and oriented in different directions, and hence possesses no naturally preferred coordinate system. Furthermore, the geometry package supports conversions to and from geodetic coordinates based on a reference ellipsoid.

As in many large scale software development efforts, each low level component of the framework is verified with a small test program, known as a unit test. We have adopted the CppUnit [64] testing framework as an aid in implementing these tests. In addition to unit tests, a set of higher level acceptance tests has been developed which is used to verify that complete applications continue to function as expected, within some tolerance, during ongoing development. We employ a BuildBot system [65] to automatically compile the Offline software, run the unit and acceptance tests, and inform developers of any problems each time the code is modified.

The Offline build system is based on the CMake [66] cross-platform build tool, which has proven sufficient for this project. In order to ease installation of Offline and its various external dependencies, we have prepared a tool known as APE (Auger Package and Environment) [67]. APE is a python-based dependency resolution engine, which downloads the external packages required for a particular application, builds them in whatever native build system applies for each package, and sets up the user’s environment accordingly. APE is freely available, and has been adopted by other experiments, including HAWC, NA61/SHINE and JEM-EUSO.

8.5. Offline summary

At the time of writing, the Offline software comprises over 350,000 lines of code, corresponding to some 95 person years of effort, according to the Constructive Cost Model [68]. The framework has been enhanced for simulation and reconstruction of the Observatory extensions discussed in Sections 13.1 and 13.2 and for the radio research program described in Section 16.1, for which substantial additions to the Offline functionality were developed [69]. The code is available under an open source BSD license upon request. Other experiments have adopted portions of the Offline code, including Tunka-Rex [70], HAWC [71], JEM-EUSO [72], CODALEMA [73] and NA61/SHINE [74,75].
9. Atmospheric monitoring

The Observatory makes use of the atmosphere as a giant calorimeter. This motivated the selection of a site with generally good viewing conditions and the implementation of an extensive program to monitor the troposphere above the site. A detailed knowledge of the atmosphere is required for the accurate reconstruction of air showers observed by the FD [76–79] and for the accurate estimation of the exposure of the detectors [37].

The atmospheric state variables, including temperature, pressure and humidity, are needed to assess the longitudinal development of extensive air showers [77,80] as well as the amount of the isotropically emitted fluorescence light induced by the air showers [81–84]. The SD observations are altered by different atmospheric conditions [85]. Varying air densities close to the ground modify the Molière radius affecting the lateral distribution of the electromagnetic component of the extensive air shower (EAS). Varying air pressure affects the trigger probability and the rate of events detected above a fixed energy. Furthermore, the atmospheric state variables are used to determine the Rayleigh (pure molecular) scattering of the fluorescence and Cherenkov light. Installations for recording local conditions of the state variables are described in Section 9.1.

Aerosols and clouds represent the most dynamic monitoring and calibration challenges at the Observatory. The optical transmission properties of the atmosphere, including the vertical aerosol optical depth profile $\tau_{a}(h)$, have to be measured across the Observatory during FD data taking. In the air shower reconstruction, the atmospheric transmission between the FD and an air shower must be taken into account to properly reconstruct the light generated along the shower axis from the light recorded at the telescope(s) [76,79]. Moreover, Cherenkov light induced by the air showers is also detected with the FD and needs to be reconstructed as a function of atmospheric conditions at the time of the event. Installations dedicated for determining the optical scattering and absorption behavior of the atmosphere in the field of view are described in Section 9.2 and those for identifying and determining clouds and the general extinction above the Observatory in Section 9.3.

An extensive system of atmospheric monitoring devices has been installed (Fig. 29). The types of measurements possible with these instruments are listed in Table 2.

9.1. Installations for atmospheric state variables

9.1.1. Ground-based weather stations

The Auger Collaboration operates several weather stations, as indicated in Fig. 29. Some of these stations are used for operational control of the nearby installations. The data from the weather stations at each FD site and at the CLF additionally serve as atmospheric ground information in several parts of the air shower reconstruction. Typically, those data are transferred via the central campus in Malargüe, processed and stored in our databases for atmospheric monitoring information (cf. Section 9.5) within a couple of days.

The weather stations are commercial products equipped with temperature, pressure, humidity, and wind speed sensors recording data every 5 min. The stations at FD buildings Los Leones and Coihueco and at the laser facilities are additionally equipped with a sensor for wind direction. Formerly at the Balloon Launching Station (BLS) site and now at the AERA site (cf. Section 16.1), the weather station serves as a base unit for an electric field meter. The values of the electric field are recorded every second for lightning and thunderstorm detection which is particularly important for the radio detection technique.

9.1.2. Balloon Launching Station

For a proper reconstruction of the fluorescence telescope signals, not only are ground-based atmospheric data needed, but also atmospheric profiles of the state variables temperature, pressure, and humidity up to about 20–25 km a.s.l. [77,80–82,86]. From these directly measured values, the derived quantities air density and atmospheric depth are calculated. The program of launching meteorological radiosondes attached to helium filled weather balloons was started at the Observatory site in August 2002. After 331 successfully measured profiles, the routine operation was terminated in December 2010 [78] and then replaced by the meanwhile validated GDAS data. During the first years, campaigns of about three weeks with an average of nine launches per campaign were done roughly three times a year. The starts of the soundings were usually placed at some FD buildings, mostly at Los Leones and Coihueco. In 2005, a dedicated BL8, cf. Fig. 29, was installed at a suitable position to optimally cover the large area above the surface detector array and in the field of view of the FD telescopes by the weather balloons. From this fully equipped station, more regular launches could be managed, in particular during the night. Between July 2005 and March 2009, roughly one launch was performed about every five days. Between 2009 and 2011, the program was part of the rapid atmospheric monitoring system of the Pierre Auger Observatory (see Section 9.4). A radiosonde launch was triggered shortly after the detection of
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measurement values is recorded every 2–8 s. The upper limit of the profile is given by the height of the burst of the weather balloon, typically at about 23 km, with a few balloons reaching a maximum altitude of 27 km.

Based on the locally measured atmospheric profiles, monthly models of atmospheric conditions at the Pampa Amarilla were derived in December 2008 [79,78]. The monthly models are also compiled for application in air shower simulations like CORSIKA [59]. Finally, these measurements were used to validate the utility of data from the Global Data Assimilation System (GDAS) for the purpose of air shower reconstruction at the Pierre Auger Observatory [77]. GDAS is the result of forecasts which are run several times per day and are based on meteorological measurements from all around the world. The data are available in 3-hourly, global, 1° latitude–longitude (360° by 180°) datasets. The position of the chosen GDAS grid point is marked in Fig. 29. Each GDAS dataset consists of surface data together with data for 23 constant pressure levels reaching up to about 26 km. For the purpose of the Auger Observatory, mainly the information for temperature, pressure, and humidity are used. These GDAS data have been compared with local radio soundings and the records from the ground-based weather stations. The agreement of the locally recorded data with the GDAS data for the given grid point is good enough for the application in air shower physics, cf. Fig. 30. The variation for temperature is below ±1 K for altitudes between ground and about 20 km a.s.l., for pressure, the variation is below 0.5 hPa at worst, but for most of the altitude range well below 0.3 hPa. Even the vapor pressure is well below 0.3 hPa except for the data point closest to ground where the difference goes up to 1.0 hPa. After validating the utility of the GDAS data for the site of the Pierre Auger Observatory [77], advanced monthly models were compiled from GDAS data for this location for air shower simulations and reconstructions [78,87].

9.2. Installations for atmospheric transmission

The transition of fluorescence light, induced by extensive air showers, in the atmosphere is reduced by absorption and scattering of the UV photons. For a correct reconstruction of the energy deposit of an extensive air shower in the atmosphere, the attenuation of the fluorescence photons has to be known for the time of the air shower.

The attenuation of light in the wavelength range of interest here is dominated by scattering rather than by absorption. The scattering of photons in air can be described analytically from molecular scattering theory. Once the vertical profiles of atmospheric temperature, pressure, and humidity are known, the molecular transmission factor $T_m(\lambda, z)$ is a function of the total wavelength-dependent Rayleigh scattering cross-section along the line of sight $s$. The scattering of photons by aerosols can be described by Mie scattering theory, but for real conditions with strongly varying shapes and amounts of aerosols, local measurements are needed. The knowledge of the aerosol transmission factor $T_{aer}(\lambda, s)$ depends on frequent field measurements of the vertical aerosol optical depth $\tau_{aer}(h)$, the integral of the aerosol extinction $\alpha_{aer}(z)$ from the ground to a point at altitude $h$ observed at a given elevation angle.

9.2.1. Aerosol optical depth profiles and clouds: CLF and XLF

Laser tracks from the CLF and XLF (Section 4.4.2) are recorded by the 4 FD sites. They are used to obtain hourly measurements of the aerosol optical depth profiles [88] that are used in the reconstruction of each FD air shower event. Sets of 50 vertical shots are measured every 15 min by the FD telescopes throughout each night. The polarization of the CLF beam is randomized so that the amount of light scattered out of the beam is azimuthally symmetric about the beam axis. The CLF data sample began in 2004 and that for the XLF in 2009. These samples contain more than 1.5 million laser shots corresponding to more than 4 million tracks in the FD telescopes. To obtain the aerosol optical depth profile for each FD site, two techniques are used: the 50-shot averages are compared to averages collected under clear conditions (Data Normalized Analysis [88]), and to simulations generated with different aerosol attenuation conditions (Laser Simulation Analysis [88]). Data from the Aerosol Phase Function monitors (next paragraph) can be used to cross-check the clear reference periods. The technique is independent of the absolute photometric calibrations of the lasers and of the FD, and provides essential information in the reconstruction of hybrid and FD data. In Fig. 31, data from the vertical aerosol optical depth at 3.5 km above the fluorescence telescopes are shown.

The analysis of the light profile of laser shots, as detected by the FD, also provides measurements of the heights of clouds directly above the CLF or XLF [88].
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**Table 2**

Atmospheric measurements performed and the instruments that are used.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Category</th>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>Frequency</th>
<th>Instrument(s)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>State</td>
<td>At ground: pressure, temp., wind, humidity</td>
<td>5 min</td>
<td>Weather stations</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>3 h</td>
<td>GDAS*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aerosols</td>
<td>Vert. optical depth ($z$)</td>
<td>Hourly</td>
<td>CLF, XLF + FD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Phase function</td>
<td>Hourly</td>
<td>2 APF units</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Ångström coefficient</td>
<td>Hourly</td>
<td>FRAM (HAM)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clouds</td>
<td>Presence in FD pixels</td>
<td>15 min</td>
<td>4 cloud cameras</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Behind FD sites</td>
<td>15 min</td>
<td>4 lidar stations</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Along select tracks</td>
<td>Avg. 1/night</td>
<td>FRAM, lidar</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Above CLF/XLF</td>
<td>Hourly</td>
<td>CLF, XLF + FD</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Atmospheric model developed at the National Centers for Environmental Prediction, operated by NOAA; provided via READY – Real time Environmental Applications and Display system.
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Parameterization as analysis including data over several years revealed that a peak at large corresponding to forward scattering, and the second term accounts for well. The describes the aerosol scattering at the Observatory site reasonably phase function asymmetry parameter forward and backward scattering peaks. An average value of the addition, the difference of the same radiosonde data to according monthly mean pro are those developed at the end of 2008, representing a totally independent dataset of local records than the plotted radiosonde data.

9.2.2. Aerosol Phase Function Monitors

The atmospheric scattering of both fluorescence and Cherenkov light from extensive air showers occurs over a range of scattering angles. The scattering angular distribution (phase function) can be estimated analytically for the atmospheric molecular component. For the aerosol component this function depends on the size and shape of the aerosols [76]. The scattering function is characterized in situ to implement a suitable parametrization of the scattering behavior by the air shower reconstruction.

The Aerosol Phase Function Monitors use a collimated xenon flash lamp to direct light between 350 and 390 nm horizontally across the FD field of view at Coihueco and Los Morados. The FD measures the light as a function of scattering angle (30–150°). An analysis including data over several years revealed that a parameterization as

\[ P_{\text{a}}(\theta) = \frac{1 - g^2}{4\pi} \left( \frac{1}{1 + g^2 - 2g\cos\theta} \right)^{3/2} \frac{3\cos^2\theta - 1}{2(1 + g^2)^{3/2}} \]  

(1)

describes the aerosol scattering at the Observatory site reasonably well. The first term is a Henyey–Greenstein function [90], corresponding to forward scattering, and the second term accounts for the peak at large \( \theta \) typically found in the angular distribution of aerosol-scattered light [91]. The quantity \( g \) describes the asymmetry of scattering, and \( f \) determines the relative strength of the forward and backward scattering peaks. An average value of the phase function asymmetry parameter \( g \) of 0.56 ± 0.10 is used in the Auger air shower analysis for nights with Mie scattering. For clear nights without any aerosols, \( g \) is set to zero. To also allow for very small aerosol content during almost clear nights, causing only small asymmetries in the phase function, an uncertainty of 0.2 is estimated and attached to the value of \( g \) equals zero.

9.2.3. Horizontal Attenuation Monitor

The wavelength dependence of the aerosol attenuation is modeled by a falling power law with an exponential parameter \( \gamma \). The value of \( \gamma \) varies inversely with the typical size of the aerosol particles. In the limit of clear air, \( \gamma \approx 4 \). At the Observatory, \( \gamma \) is obtained by the Horizontal Attenuation Monitor (HAM). The HAM consists of a high intensity discharge lamp installed close to the FD site at Coihueco. Light from this lamp is measured by a filtered CCD camera at the Los Leones FD site, about 45 km away [76]. Total horizontal atmospheric attenuation is measured over this path at five wavelengths between 350 and 550 nm. The data indicate that the atmosphere of the Observatory is quite desert-like with weak wavelength dependence. An average \( \gamma \) of about 0.7 with an RMS of 0.5 is used as a parameter in the air shower reconstruction.

9.3. Installations for clouds and extinction

9.3.1. Cloud identification

The presence of clouds can alter the observed optical signatures of an EAS and reduce the aperture of the FD. Clouds can attenuate

\[ \text{Aerosol optical depth @ 3.5 km} \]
or block light from an air shower, producing a dip in the longitudinal profile observed by the FD. Conversely, if a shower passes through a cloud layer, the cloud can enhance the scattering of the intense Cherenkov light beam, producing a bump [92].

The Observatory uses measurements from infrared cameras and lidar systems and FD measurements of CLF and XLF tracks to detect clouds. A cloud is warmer than the surrounding atmosphere and produces an infrared signal that depends on the cloud temperature and emissivity (or optical depth). An infrared camera (Raytheon ControllIR 2000B) mounted on a pan-and-tilt scanning platform, operates at each FD building. The cameras are sensitive in the 7–13 μm band, appropriate for the peak of the blackbody radiation from thick clouds, but unfortunately also sensitive to water emission bands even in clear sky. Every 5 min each camera scans the field of view (FOV) of the telescopes, and every 15 min the entire hemisphere is imaged. The raw FOV images are converted into a binary image (cloudy/clear) after a series of image processing steps designed to remove camera artefacts and account for the expected elevation angle dependence of the clear sky intensity [93]. These data are then mapped onto FD pixel directions to indicate the presence or absence of clouds in each FD pixel. At the time of writing, the Raytheon IR cameras are being replaced by Xenics Gobi 384 radiometric IR cameras to improve image processing and reduce cable maintenance.

Data from the Geostationary Operational Environmental Satellites (GOES) are also being analyzed [94]. GOES instruments provide radiance data in one visible and four infrared bands from which brightness temperatures are derived. The GOES-12 imager instrument covers the area of the Pierre Auger Observatory every 30 min. Cloud probability maps with a grid of 2.4 km by 5.5 km pixel size are derived for the area of the Observatory. Cross-checks of cloud identification as derived from GOES measurements for the pixels viewing the CLF and as derived from FD measurements of CLF vertical tracks show a reliable correlation.

9.3.2. Clouds and aerosols: FD lidar stations

Four elastic lidars, installed next to each FD station, are used to measure cloud cover, cloud height and aerosols [53,95]. Each lidar has a Nd:YLF laser that produces 0.1 mJ pulses at a wavelength of 351 nm. Three 80 cm mirrors and a 20 cm mirror collect the backscattered light which is measured with Hamamatsu R7400U photomultipliers. A UG-1 optical filter reduces background light. The lasers are operated remotely at a repetition rate of 333 Hz. Thousands of pulses are averaged by analog and photon counting readout systems. The two traces from these parallel readout paths are then combined to cover from 200 m up to 25–30 km.

The lidars are steerable and perform discrete and continuous scanning patterns automatically during the FD operation. To avoid interference with FD data collection, most of the scanning shots are aimed outside the FD field of view. Two exceptions are horizontal shots fired in the direction of the CLF to measure ground level aerosol horizontal attenuation length and shoot-the-shower scans to probe the detector shower plane shortly after especially interesting cosmic ray candidates have been observed.

Long term measurements from these lidars find a mean aerosol extinction length at ground level of 0.028 km, which indicates that the atmosphere at the site is quite clear. Furthermore, it can be derived that about 62% of the FD data taking time is quite clear with a mean cloud cover of less than 20%.

9.3.3. FRAM

The Pho[Fl]otometric Robotic Atmospheric Monitor (FRAM) is an optical telescope (0.3 m diameter mirror) that measures starlight to determine the wavelength dependence of Rayleigh and Mie scattering. It is also used to make automatic observations of light curves of optical transients associated with gamma ray bursts [96].

FRAM was installed at the site of the Los Leones fluorescence detector in 2005. Since the end of 2009, it has been part of the rapid atmospheric monitoring program, cf. Section 9.4. Because the FRAM is a passive instrument, it can operate in the field of view of the FD. A photometric observation of selected standard (i.e., non-variable) stars has been supplemented with a photometric analysis of CCD images since 2011 [97,79]. A wide field camera is used to measure the atmospheric extinction along the shower detector plane. Its field of view is 240° (4′) in azimuth (aligned with right ascension) and 160° (2.67') in elevation (aligned with declination). This instrument is a Finger Lake Instrumentation (FLI) MaxCam CM8 with Carl Zeiss Sonnar 200 mm f/2.8 telephoto lens. A second, narrow field camera is used to calibrate the images of the wide field camera. Before June 2010, this camera was also a FLI instrument, but was exchanged for a Moravian Instruments CCD camera G2.

9.4. Rapid monitoring

In 2009, the atmospheric monitoring program was upgraded [79] to probe the shower FD detector plane with the FRAM and lidar systems a few minutes after the FD detected any extremely high energy EAS or an EAS with an unusual longitudinal profile. All atmospheric subsystems involved use individual and modifiable trigger settings to identify these kind of FD detected air showers. The motivation was to check for clouds or aerosol layers that might distort the observed profile. Between March 2009 and December 2010, a weather balloon was also released from the BLS site to measure the pressure, temperature and humidity profiles within a few hours after the FD detection above the Auger array with an energy above $10^{19}$ eV. During this period, 100 FD events were triggered for a weather balloon launch. Some of the triggers were received while a radiosonde was already in flight, due to the tendency of high-quality, high-energy observations to cluster during very clear, cloudless nights. Thus, 62 triggers were covered by 52 flights. The remaining triggers were lost due to technical issues such as hardware failures at the BLS, problems with the transmission of the text message to the technician who needed to launch the weather balloon, or other failures in the radiosonde flights.

9.5. Atmospheric databases

The atmospheric monitoring data are organized into MySQL databases that are accessed by the Auger offline analysis package for air shower reconstruction, condition assessment, and aperture estimates.

The cloud information includes the IR cloud camera distributions mapped onto the FD pixels and the hourly measurement of the fraction of the sky covered by cloud measured by the lidars. An hourly cloud coverage below 20% is required for hybrid events to be used in the analysis of the mass composition and energy spectrum of the cosmic rays [21,38].

The aerosol optical database contains, for each FD site, hourly $\tau_\text{aer}(h)$ profiles in 200 m steps and derived from CLF or XLF laser shots. FD data used for cosmic ray publications is required to be collected during hours with $\tau_\text{aer}(3 \text{ km a.g.l.}) < 0.1$. FD data recorded during hours without $\tau_\text{aer}(h)$ profiles due to extremely poor viewing conditions or technical problems are not used for publications. This database spans nearly 10 years.

The molecular database contains the atmospheric state variables measured on the ground by the weather stations and the vertical profiles derived from BLS weather balloons and more recently from the Global Data Assimilation System, provided from...
NOAA’s National Centers for Environmental Prediction (NCEP) [77]. These atmospheric data are available for a position close to the Auger array in 3 h intervals. Data updates can be obtained once per week and are filled automatically into the Auger atmospheric monitoring database. Such atmospheric state variables are applied as standard in the air shower reconstruction, mainly during the description of the fluorescence light emission and the Rayleigh scattering of that light on its path between emission point at the air shower and the fluorescence telescopes, since mid-2011.

9.6. Interdisciplinary atmospheric measurements
Through its secondary role as an Earth observatory, the interdisciplinary science program of the Observatory is quite extensive [98]. For example, the FD has turned out to be the world’s best detector for measuring atmospheric transient luminous events known as ELVES (see Section 14.2) [99].

To characterize local aerosol particles, an Andersen-Graseby 240 dichotomous sampler was installed at the Coihueco FD building for 6 months. The particles collected were studied to determine their sizes and shapes. Low aerosol concentrations were observed during the winter with an increasing concentration in spring. An elemental composition analysis was also performed [100].

The Hybrid Single Particle Lagrangian Integrated Trajectory (HYPLIT) model (developed from NOAA) that estimates the trajectories of air mass displacements was used to study the source of aerosols at the Observatory. A possible correlation was observed between clear conditions at the Observatory, determined from measured $\tau$ profiles, and air mass sources at the Pacific ocean [101].

10. Hybrid event reconstruction
The hybrid reconstruction is based on fluorescence detector data with additional timing information from the surface detector. In the following, the individual reconstruction steps will be described.

10.1. Pulse reconstruction at the FD
At the beginning of the reconstruction, the baseline is subtracted from the ADC trace of each pixel and the background noise is estimated from the variance of the ADC signals at early time bins that are free from any shower signal. Each ADC count is then converted to photons at the aperture using the calibration constants obtained from the drum and relative calibrations.

Subsequently, each triggered FD pixel is searched for a shower signal by scanning the signal trace for pulse start and stop times that maximize the signal to noise ratio. Only pulses with a signal by scanning the signal trace for pulse start and stop times that maximize the signal to noise ratio. Only pulses with a signal to noise ratio $\geq 5$ are considered in the geometrical reconstruction.

The pulse time of the $i$th pixel is given by the centroid time (“signal weighted time”) of all trace bins belonging to the pulse:

$$t_i = \frac{\sum r_i s_i}{q_i}$$

where the sum runs over the time bins defined by the aforementioned signal to noise maximization, $r_i$ and $s_i$ are the time and charge of the $i$th ADC bin, respectively, and the pixels’ integrated signal is given by

$$q_i = \sum s_i$$

The uncertainties of $q_i$ and $t_i$ are obtained by propagating the noise variance and Poissonian photoelectron fluctuations into Eqs. (2) and (3).

10.2. Shower detector plane
The shower detector plane is the plane containing the shower axis and the triggered fluorescence telescope. It can be reconstructed from the data of a telescope by minimizing

$$S = \frac{1}{\sum q_i} \sum q_i \left( \frac{\pi - \arccos(p_i \cdot n_{SDP})}{\sigma_{SDP}} \right)^2$$

over all pulses $i$, with the two free parameters $\theta_{SDP}$ and $\phi_{SDP}$ to define the vector $n_{SDP}$ normal to the plane in spherical coordinates and the pixel pointing direction $p_i$. The pointing uncertainty for the SDP fit, $\sigma_{SDP}$, was determined to be 0.35° by studying SDP fits of CLF laser shots with a known geometry. The normalization of the fit function $S$ to $\sigma_{SDP}$ allows one to interpret it as a $\chi^2$ function and to derive the uncertainties of the SDP parameters from the $S+1$ contours.

10.3. Hybrid time fit
From the perspective of a telescope, the projection of a shower on the camera evolves along the SDP. Each pulse pixel can be associated with an angle $\chi_i$ along the SDP with respect to the horizontal axis at the telescope (see Fig. 32). The angular movement of the shower within the SDP in this representation is [102]

$$t(\chi_i) = T_0 + \frac{R_p}{c} \tan \left( \frac{X_0 - X_i}{2} \right).$$

To determine the three free parameters $T_0$, $R_p$, and $\chi_0$ the minimum of the function

$$\chi^2 = \sum_i \left( \frac{(t_i - t(\chi_i))^2}{\sigma_i^2} + \frac{(t_{SD} - t(\chi_{SD}))^2}{\sigma_{SD}^2} \right)$$

has to be found [34,35]. The sum runs over all pulse pixels $i$ with the centroid pulse time $t_i$ and the associated uncertainty $\sigma(t_i)$, adding the additional SD station time $t_{SD}$ with the uncertainty $\sigma(t_{SD})$. The shower front containing the surface detector station meets the (trial) shower axis at a point that would be seen at angle $X_{SD}$, and $t(\chi_{SD})$ is the expected time when the shower center would pass that point.

An example of an event that has been observed by four telescopes is shown in Fig. 33. The individual four reconstructions of the geometry using the hybrid approach are indicated by black lines.

10.4. Light collection
The total light at the aperture as a function of time is obtained by adding the signals $s$ of the camera pixels $j$ at each time bin $i$. For this purpose, only the pixels with pointing directions that are within a certain angular distance $\zeta$ to the vector from the telescope to the shower center at time $i$ are included. $\zeta$ is chosen such that the signal to noise ratio is maximized. The light flux arriving at the detector in time bin $i$ is

$$F_i = \frac{1}{A_{dia}} \sum_{j=1}^{N_{pix}} S_{ij}$$

where the sum runs over all pixels $N_{pix}$ within $\zeta$ at time bin $i$ and $A_{dia}$ is the area of the diaphragm opening.
10.5. Profile reconstruction

Once the geometry of the shower is known, the light collected at the aperture as a function of time can be converted to the energy deposited by the shower as a function of slant depth. For this purpose, the light attenuation from the shower to the detector needs to be accounted for and all contributing light sources need to be disentangled: fluorescence light [81], direct and scattered Cherenkov light [103,104] as well as multiply scattered light [105–107].

The proportionality between the fluorescence intensity and the energy deposit is given by the fluorescence yield. A good knowledge of its absolute value as well as its dependence on wavelength, temperature, pressure and humidity is essential to reconstruct the longitudinal profile. We use the most precise of the measurements available to date (cf. [108]) as provided by the Airfly Collaboration [109,110].

The Cherenkov and fluorescence light produced by an air shower are connected to the energy deposit by a linear set of equations and therefore the shower profile is obtained by an analytic linear least squares minimization [111]. Due to the lateral extent of air showers, a small fraction of shower light is not contained within the optimal light collection area. To correct this, the universal lateral fluorescence [112] and Cherenkov light [113] distributions must be taken into account. The full longitudinal energy deposit profile and its maximum $(dE/dX)_{\text{max}}$ at depth $X=X_{\text{max}}$ are estimated by fitting a Gaisser–Hillas function [114]:

$$f_{\text{GH}}(X) = (dE/dX)_{\text{max}} \left( \frac{X-X_0}{X_{\text{max}}-X_0} \right)^{(X_{\text{max}}-X_0)/\lambda} e^{(X_{\text{max}}-X)/\lambda}$$  (8)

to the photoelectrons detected in the PMTs of the FD cameras. For this purpose, a log-likelihood fit is used in which the number of photoelectrons detected by the PMTs of the FD cameras is compared to the expectation from Eq. (8) after folding it with the light yields, atmospheric transmission, lateral distributions and detector response. The two shape parameters $X_0$ and $\lambda$ are constrained to their average values to allow for a gradual transition from a two- to a four-parameter fit depending on the observed track length and number of detected photons of the respective event (cf. [111]).

Finally, the calorimetric energy of the shower is obtained by integrating Eq. (8) and the total energy is estimated by correcting for the “invisible energy” carried away by neutrinos and high energy muons [115]. An example of the measured light at aperture and the reconstructed light contributions, and energy deposit profile is shown in Fig. 34(a) and (b).

11. SD event reconstruction

The reconstruction of the energy and the arrival direction of the cosmic rays producing air showers that have triggered the surface detector array is based on the sizes and times of signals registered from individual SD stations. At the highest energies, above 10 EeV, the footprint of the air shower on the ground extends over more than 25 km². By sampling both the arrival times and the deposited
signal in the detector array, the shower geometry, i.e., the shower core, the arrival direction of the incident cosmic ray, and the shower size can be determined.

11.1. Event selection

To ensure good data quality for physics analysis there are two additional off-line triggers. The physics trigger, T4, is needed to select real showers from the set of stored T3 data (see Section 6.3) that also contain background signals from low energy air showers. This trigger is mainly based on a coincidence between adjacent detector stations within the propagation time of the shower front. In selected events, random stations are identified by their time incompatibility with the estimated shower front. The time cuts were determined such that 99% of the stations containing a physical signal from the shower are kept. An algorithm for the signal search in the time traces is used to reject signals produced by random muons by searching for time-compatible peaks.

To guarantee the selection of well-contained events, a fiducial cut (called the 6T5 trigger) is applied so that only events in which the station with the highest signal is surrounded by all 6 operating neighbors (i.e., a working hexagon) are accepted. This condition assures an accurate reconstruction of the impact point on the ground, and at the same time allowing for a simple geometrical calculation of the aperture/exposure [36], important for, e.g., the spectrum analysis [38]. For arrival-direction studies a less strict cut can be used (5T5 or even 4T5).

11.2. Shower geometry

A rough approximation for the arrival direction of the shower is obtained by fitting the start times of the signals, \( t_i \), in individual SD stations to a plane front. For events with enough triggered stations, these times are described by a more detailed concentric-spherical model, see Fig. 35, which approximates the evolution of the shower front with a speed-of-light in flating sphere:

\[
\frac{x_i - x_{sh}}{x_{sh} - x_0} = \sqrt{\frac{9}{t_i - t_0}}
\]

where \( x_{sh} \) and \( x_0 \) are positions of the stations on the ground and where \( x_{sh} \) and \( t_0 \) are a virtual origin and a start-time of the shower development (see Fig. 35). From this 4-parameter fit the radius of curvature of the inflating sphere is determined from the time at which the core of the shower is inferred to hit the ground.
11.3. Lateral distribution function

The impact points of the air showers on the ground, \( \vec{x}_{gr} \), are obtained from fits of the signals in SD stations. This fit of the lateral distribution function (LDF) is based on a maximum likelihood method which also takes into account the probabilities for the stations that did not trigger and the stations close to the shower axis with saturated signal traces. The saturation is caused by the overflow of the FADC read-out electronics with finite dynamic range and a modification of the signal due to the transition of the PMTs from a linear to a non-linear behavior. In the majority of cases the missing part of the signals are recovered using the procedure described in [116].

An example of the footprint on the array of an event produced by a cosmic ray with an energy of \((104 \pm 11)\) EeV and a zenith angle of \((25.1 \pm 0.1)\) is shown in Fig. 37. The lateral distribution of the signals is depicted in Fig. 38. The function employed to describe the lateral distribution of the signals on the ground is a modified Nishimura–Kamata–Greisen function [117,118]:

\[
S(r) = S(\text{opt}) \left( \frac{r}{r_{\text{opt}}} \right)^{\beta} \left( \frac{r + r_1}{r_{\text{opt}} + r_1} \right)^{\beta + \gamma}
\]

(10)

where \( r_{\text{opt}} \) is the optimum distance, \( r_1 = 700 \) m and \( S(\text{opt}) \) is an estimator of the shower size used in an energy assignment. For the SD array with station spacing of \(1.5\) km the optimum distance [119] is \( r_{\text{opt}} = 1000 \) m and the shower size is thus \( S(1000) \). The parameter \( \beta \) depends on the zenith angle and shower size. Events up to zenith angle \( 60^\circ \) are observed at an earlier shower age than more inclined ones, thus having a steeper LDF due to the different contributions from the muonic and the electromagnetic components at the ground. For events with only \(3\) stations, the reconstruction of the air showers can be obtained only by fixing the two parameters, \( \beta \) and \( \gamma \) to a parametrization obtained using events with a number of stations larger than \(4\).

The reconstruction accuracy of \( S(1000) \), \( \sigma_{S(1000)} \), is composed of three contributions: a statistical uncertainty due to the finite number of particles producing a signal in a given SD station and the limited dynamic range of the signal detection; a systematic uncertainty due to assumptions on the shape of the lateral distribution function; and an uncertainty due to shower-to-shower fluctuations [120]. The last term contributes a factor of about \(10\%\), while the contribution of the first two terms depends on energy and varies from \(20\%\) (at low energies) to \(6\%\) (at the highest energies).

11.4. Shower arrival direction

Shower axis \( \vec{\hat{a}} \) is obtained from the virtual shower origin (of the geometrical reconstruction) and the shower impact point on the ground (from the LDF reconstruction):

\[
\vec{\hat{a}} = \frac{\vec{x}_{sh} - \vec{x}_{gr}}{|\vec{x}_{sh} - \vec{x}_{gr}|}
\]

(11)

To estimate an angular resolution of the whole reconstruction procedure a single station time variance is modeled [121] to take into account the size of the total signal and the time evolution of the signal trace. As shown in Fig. 39, the angular resolution achieved for events with more than \(3\) stations is better than \(1.6^\circ\), and better than \(0.9^\circ\) for events with more than \(6\) stations [40].

11.5. Energy calibration

For a given energy, the value of \( S(1000) \) decreases with the zenith angle \( \theta \) due to the attenuation of the shower particles and geometrical effects. Assuming an isotropic flux of primary cosmic rays at the top of the atmosphere, we extract the shape of the attenuation curve (see Fig. 40) from the data using the Constant Intensity Cut (CIC) method [39]. The attenuation curve \( f_{\text{CIC}}(\theta) \) has been fitted with a third degree polynomial in \( x = \cos^2 \theta - \cos^2 \bar{\theta} \), i.e.,

\[
f_{\text{CIC}}(\theta) = 1 + a x + b x^2 + c x^3,
\]

where \( a = 0.980 \pm 0.004, \ b = -1.68 \pm 0.01, \) and \( c = -1.30 \pm 0.45 \) [11].

The median angle, \( \bar{\theta} = 38^\circ \), is taken as a reference point to convert \( S(1000) \) to \( S_{38} = S(1000)/f_{\text{CIC}}(\theta) \). \( S_{38} \) may be regarded as the signal a particular shower with size \( S(1000) \) would have produced had it arrived at \( \theta = 38^\circ \).

To estimate the energy of the primary particle producing the air-showers recorded with the SD, the advantage comes from the hybrid detection: the air-showers that have triggered independently the FD and SD are used for the cross-calibration. High-quality hybrid events, as defined below, with reconstructed zenith angles less than \(60^\circ\) are used to relate the shower size from SD to the almost-calorimetric measurement of the shower energy from FD, \( E_{\text{FD}} \).

These hybrid events must be such that the reconstruction of an energy estimator can be derived independently from both the SD and FD parts of the event [122,115].

Only a subsample of events that passes strict quality and field of view cuts is used. For the FD part of the event, we require an accurate fit of the longitudinal profile to the Gaisser-Hillas

![Fig. 39. Angular resolution as a function of the zenith angle \( \theta \) for events with an energy above \(3\) EeV, and for various station multiplicities [40].](Image)

![Fig. 40. Attenuation curve described by a third degree polynomial in \( x = \cos^2 \theta - \cos^2 \bar{\theta} \) where \( \bar{\theta} = 38^\circ \) (denoted by the dashed vertical line). In this example the polynomial coefficients are deduced from \( S(1000) \) dependence at \( S_{38} \approx 50\) VEM which corresponds to an energy of about \(10.5\) EeV.](Image)
function. Furthermore, the depth of the shower maximum, $X_{\text{max}}$, must be contained within the telescope field-of-view and measured with an accuracy better than 40 g/cm².

The uncertainty on the reconstructed $E_{\text{FD}}$ is required to be less than 18%. The final criteria for defining the calibration data sample include a selection of clear atmosphere conditions based on the measurements of the vertical aerosol optical depth, and on the cloud fraction measured by the lidar systems of the Observatory. To avoid any potential bias of the event selection on the mass of the primary particle, a fiducial cut on the slant depth range observed by the telescopes is also added, ensuring that the field of view is large enough to observe all plausible values of $X_{\text{max}}$ for the geometry of each individual shower [122].

The final step in the calibration analysis leads to a relation between $S_{38}$ and $E_{\text{FD}}$. The 1475 high quality hybrid events recorded between January 2004 and December 2012 which have an energy above the SD full efficiency trigger threshold [36] are used in the calibration. The correlation between the two variables is obtained from a maximum likelihood method [122,123] which takes into account the evolution of uncertainties with energy, as well as event migrations due to the finite energy resolution of the SD. The relation between $S_{38}$ and $E_{\text{FD}}$ is well described by a single power-law function:

$$E_{\text{FD}} = A S_{38}^{0.75}$$  \hspace{1cm} (12)

where the resulting parameters from the data fit are $A = (1.90 \pm 0.05) \times 10^{17}$ eV and $B = 1.025 \pm 0.007$ [11,124]. As can be seen in Fig. 41, the most energetic event used in this analysis has an energy of 79 EeV.

The resolution of the final SD energy estimator:

$$E_{\text{SD}} = A S_{1000} f_{\text{CC}}(\theta) / \text{VEM}$$  \hspace{1cm} (13)

can be inferred from the distribution of the ratio $E_{\text{SD}}/E_{\text{FD}}$. Using the FD energy resolution of 7.6%, the resulting SD energy resolution with its statistical uncertainty is $\sigma_{E_{\text{sd}}}/E_{\text{sd}} = (16 \pm 1\%$ at the lower energy edge in Fig. 41 and $(12 \pm 1\%$ at the highest energies. Due to the large number of events accumulated until December 2012, the systematic uncertainty on the SD energy due to the calibration is better than 2% over the whole energy range. The systematic uncertainties in the energy scale, shown in Table 3, are dominated by the absolute FD calibration [124]. Further consistency checks are performed by joint calibration campaigns with the Telescope Array [125,126].

The recorded dataset extends up to larger angles approaching 90°. For the inclined events, with zenith angles larger than 60°, we employ a different reconstruction method. More details on the reconstruction of inclined events can be found in [123,127,128]. The energy range of full efficiency of the surface detector has been extended down to $3 \times 10^{17}$ eV using the events recorded by the

### Table 3

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Systematic uncertainties in the energy scale.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Absolute fluorescence yield</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fluorescence spectrum and quenching parameters</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Subtotal, fluorescence yield</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aerosol optical depth</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aerosol phase function</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wavelength dependence of aerosol scattering</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Atmospheric density profile</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Subtotal, atmosphere</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Absolute FD calibration</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nightly relative calibration</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Optical efficiency</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Subtotal, FD calibration</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Folding with point spread function</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Multiple scattering model</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Simulation bias</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Constraints in the Gaisser–Hillas fit</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Subtotal, FD profile reconstruction</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Invisible energy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Statistical error of SD calibration fit</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stability of the energy scale</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Table 4

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Key performance parameters for the Auger Observatory.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>SD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SD annual exposure, $\theta &lt; 60^\circ$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T3 rate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T5 events/yr, $E &gt; 3$ EeV</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T5 events/yr, $E &gt; 10$ EeV</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reconstruction accuracy ($S_{3800}$)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Angular resolution</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Energy resolution</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FD</td>
</tr>
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**Fig. 41.** Correlation between $S_{38}$ and $E_{\text{FD}}$ [11,122].

**Fig. 42.** Number of active SD stations normalized to the nominal number of SD stations in the array, as a function of time. (Note: WCD = water Cherenkov detector.)
12. **Performance characteristics of the Observatory**

12.1. **Key performance parameters**

In Table 4 are summarized some of the important parameters that characterize the performance of the Observatory. These parameters include the event rate of the detectors and the resolutions of the different reconstructed observables.

12.2. **Surface detector performance**

Stable data taking with the surface detector array started in January 2004 and the Observatory has been running in its full configuration since 2008. As described in Section 7, various parameters are continuously monitored to optimize the performance of the detectors and ensure reliable data.

The monitoring tool includes the so-called performance metrics to monitor the overall performance of the surface detector array. Relevant data useful for long term studies and for quality checks are stored in the Auger Monitoring database on a one-day basis. For example, mean values over one day of the number of active SD detectors and the number of active hexagons as well as the nominal value (expected value if all the detectors deployed were active) are available. As an example, Fig. 42 shows the number of active SD stations normalized to the nominal number of stations in the array for the last 4 years. This plot is a convolution of the status of the active stations and of the efficiency of the CDAS, which since the beginning has been better than 99.5%.

Figure 43 shows the number of active hexagons for the same period. This variable is a key parameter since it is the basis of the exposure evaluation. Indeed, the offline T5 fiducial trigger, described in Section 11 selects only events for which the hottest station is surrounded by an active hexagon. Thus, above $3 \times 10^{18}$ eV, when the full efficiency of detection of the array is reached (at least three triggered tanks), the exposure is simply proportional to the integrated number of active hexagons during the period. The number of active hexagons fluctuates because of intermittent outages in electronics, communications, weather, and other factors [36]. Larger numbers of hexagons can be affected when the problems occur at the WLAN sector level. These outages can usually be resolved quickly.

The rate of events (T5 events) normalized to the average number of active hexagons is expected to be stable in time above the energy threshold of $3 \times 10^{18}$ eV, which can be seen in Fig. 44. Finally the integrated exposure between 1 January 2004 and 31 December 2012 is shown in Fig. 45. Since completion of the array in 2008, the increase of the exposure has been about 5500 km² sr per year.

12.3. **Fluorescence detector performance**

The data taking of the FD can only take place under specific environmental conditions and is organized in night shifts. As described in Section 4.5, the telescopes are not operated when the weather conditions are unfavorable (high wind speed, rain, snow, etc.) and when the observed sky brightness (caused mainly by scattered moonlight) is too high. As a consequence, the shifters have to continuously monitor (see Section 7.4) the atmospheric and environmental conditions and judge the operation mode on the basis of the available information.

The performance of the fluorescence and hybrid data taking is then influenced by many effects. These can be external, e.g., lightning or storms, or internal to the data taking itself, e.g., DAQ failures. For the determination of the on-time of the Observatory in the hybrid detection mode it is, therefore, crucial to take into account all of these occurrences and derive a solid description of the data taking time sequence.

Data losses and inefficiencies can occur on different levels, from the smallest unit of the FD, i.e., one single photomultiplier (pixel) readout channel, up to the highest level, i.e., the combined SD/FD data taking of the Observatory.

The active time of the FD data acquisition is calculated using a minimum bias data stream with a less restrictive trigger condition. Since July 2007, the relevant information concerning the status of the FD detector has been read out from the Observatory monitoring system (see Section 7.4). An on-time dedicated database has been set up by storing the average variances and the on-time fraction of individual telescopes in time bins of 10 min. The information on the veto due to the operation of the lidar or to an anomalous trigger rate on FD together with the status of the CDAS needed to form a hybrid event are also recorded. The

Fig. 43. Number of active hexagons as a function of time.

Fig. 44. Evolution of the daily T5 rate normalized to the number of hexagons for the period 2008–2012. The data gap in 2009 corresponds to a period during which the communications system experienced technical problems.

Fig. 45. Evolution of the exposure for vertical events (θ < 60°) between 1 January 2004 and 31 December 2012. The lack of exposure growth during an interval in 2009 corresponds to the data gap seen in Fig. 44.

750 m array (see Section 13). The reconstruction of this subsample of events is described in [128–130].
method to calculate the on-time of the hybrid detector is
described in detail in Ref. [37].

The accumulated on-time is shown in Fig. 46, top, for the six
telescopes at Coihueco and for the three HEAT telescopes. The
average FD on-time (full circles) of individual telescopes since
1 January 2011 is shown in Fig. 46, bottom. Requiring that the
CDAS is active defines the hybrid on-time (empty circles).

The time evolution of the full hybrid duty cycle over 9 years of
operation is shown in Fig. 47, top, for all FD sites. Time bins are
taken as the time intervals elapsed between two subsequent FD
data taking shifts. The performance of the hybrid detector is
compared to the nominal DAQ time (see Section 4.5) in the top
panel of Fig. 47. In the bottom panel, the FD on-time is normalized
to the time with high voltage ON, leading to an average FD
detector readiness of about 85% for all telescopes. The remaining
inefficiency can be ascribed to different factors such as bad
weather conditions (high wind load and/or rain) or high variances
due to bright stars/planets crossing the field of view of the FD.

It should be noted that the FD site of Los Morados became
operational in May 2005, Loma Amarilla starting from March 2007
and HEAT since September 2009. After the initial phase due to the
start up of the running operations, the mean on-time is about 15%
for all of the FD sites. Additionally, a seasonal modulation is visible,
since higher on-time fractions are observed in the austral winter during which the nights are longer.

12.4. Time stability of the hybrid detector response

The performance of the hybrid detector is demonstrated as a function of time using a sample of events fulfilling basic reconstruction requirements, such as a reliable geometrical reconstruction and accurate longitudinal profile and energy measurement. The daily rate of well-reconstructed hybrid events observed by individual FD sites is shown in Fig. 48 as a function of time, starting in 2005.

An important benchmark for the time stability of the hybrid detector response is the study of the effective on-time, defined as the fraction of all events that are well-reconstructed hybrids. Its time evolution, shown in Fig. 49 (top), exhibits quite a stable behavior over time. Moreover the mean energy of the hybrid events above 10\(^{18}\) eV, with distance to the shower maximum between 7 and 25 km (corresponding to 90% of the entire hybrid data sample), is shown as a function of time in Fig. 49 (bottom). All these features demonstrate the quality of the collected hybrid data and directly assess their long term stability.

13. Enhancements to the Auger Observatory

With the simultaneous and successful operation of the SD and FD, the Pierre Auger Collaboration has demonstrated the power of hybrid measurements. Since completion of the baseline construction, new enhancements were proposed to further extend the science reach of the Observatory.

13.1. High Elevation Auger Telescopes (HEAT)

Three additional fluorescence telescopes with an elevated field of view were built about 180 m in front of the FD site at Coihueco [131]. These telescopes are very similar to the original fluorescence telescopes but can be tilted by 29° upward with an electrically driven hydraulic system. These three telescopes work independently of other FD sites and form the “fifth site” of the Observatory.

The HEAT telescopes were designed to cover the elevation range from 30° to 58°, which lies above the field of view of the other FD telescopes. The HEAT telescopes allow a determination of the cosmic ray spectrum and \(X_{\text{max}}\) distributions in the energy range from below the second knee up to the ankle. The HEAT telescopes are depicted in Figs. 50 and 51.

The main objective of this extension was to lower the energy threshold of hybrid data to enable an unbiased detection of nearby low-energy showers. In combination with the SD, information from an infilled array of water Cherenkov detectors on a 750 m grid (see Section 13.2) close to the HEAT site, the energy range of high quality hybrid air shower measurements has been extended down to 10\(^{17}\) eV. In addition, close inclined shower events are detectable without any mass dependent bias or cuts up to the highest energies.

The layout of HEAT consists of three telescope enclosures and one container for DAQ, slow control, and calibration hardware (see Fig. 50). The telescope enclosure consists of three main building blocks. First is the concrete foundation that supports the tilting mechanism and provides stability for the whole building. Second is the strong steel base plate, filled with concrete, on which all the sensitive optical elements are mounted. Finally a relatively lightweight steel container encloses the optical components and electronics. The base plate is connected to the foundation, while the steel enclosure is itself fixed to the plate. Similarly to the baseline telescopes, a shutter system is mounted on the steel enclosure, but of a different design.

The HEAT telescopes can be tilted using the hydraulic mechanism. The telescopes are parked in the horizontal position between the FD data taking periods to be accessible for maintenance, see Fig. 51. The same position is used for the absolute calibration of the HEAT telescopes and also for the cross-calibration with telescopes at Coihueco. All three HEAT telescopes are usually moved in the upward position before the first DAQ night and stay there during the whole data taking period.

To ensure sufficient mechanical stability during high winds and snow loads, all telescope components are connected to a heavy and stiff ground plate with adjustment mounting bolts. The mechanical stability is monitored by two types of sensors. The
first type is the inclination sensor that is used to measure the inclination variations at different points inside the HEAT shelters. The second type is needed to measure the distance variations between the optical components of the telescope. The distance sensors are not only used to measure the long term variations, but also the higher frequency variations that can take place in the telescope when subjected to strong winds or other similar conditions. The maximal allowed deformations and any movements after tilting lead to an angular offset less than 0.1°.

The response of the HEAT cameras was tested at multiple elevations using the relative calibration method (see Section 4.4.3). The effect on the signal of tilting HEAT is at the percent level or below, which matches the overall magnitude expected due to the Earth’s magnetic field as seen by the PMTs. Also, the absolute calibration may be determined in the horizontal mode.

The Schmidt optics of the HEAT telescopes, camera body, PMTs, light collectors, etc., are the same as in the other sites. All three spherical mirrors are built up from hexagonal glass mirrors with vacuum-deposited reflective coatings.

A feature that sets HEAT apart from the classic Auger telescopes is its new electronics kit that can sample up to 40 MHz instead of 10 MHz. In practice, a sampling rate of 20 MHz (corresponding to a 50 ns FADC bin size) was chosen. The higher rate improves the measurement for close showers that have a correspondingly larger angular velocity – precisely the showers we are interested in observing with HEAT. From this it follows that the first level trigger interval was reduced to 50 ns, whereas the second level trigger continues to operate every 100 ns. The length (in time) of the FADC traces remains the same, so the number of bins doubles.

The trigger rate of the HEAT telescopes is high, particularly because of the Cherenkov light from low energy showers. Therefore the T4 trigger has been implemented to reduce the readout of the SD array for these low energy showers.

13.2. Auger Muon and Infilled Ground Array (AMIGA)

A dedicated detector to directly measure the muon content of air showers is being built. The AMIGA enhancement [132–134] is a joint system of water Cherenkov and buried scintillator detectors that spans an area of 23.5 km² in a denser array with 750 m spacing nested within the 1500 m array (see Fig. 52). The area is centered 6 km away from the Cherenkov fluorescence site. The 750 m array is fully efficient from $3 \times 10^{17}$ eV onwards for air showers with zenith angle $\leq 55°$ [129]. Although the infilled area is much smaller than the regular SD, the flux of cosmic rays increases steeply with decreasing energy such that this area is sufficient to observe a significant number of events and to study the region between the second knee [135] and the ankle of the cosmic ray spectrum.

The SD 750 m array was completed in September 2011 while the first prototype hexagon of buried scintillators, the Unitary Cell, has been fully operational since March 2015. This engineering array consists of seven water Cherenkov detectors paired with 30 m² scintillators segmented in two modules of 10 m² plus two of 5 m² in each position. In addition, two positions of the hexagon were equipped with twin detectors (extra 30 m² scintillators) to allow the accuracy of the muon counting technique to be experimentally assessed [136] and one position has 20 m² of extra scintillators buried at a shallower depth to analyze the shielding features. In total, 290 m² fully equipped plastic scintillators are operative in the Unitary Cell. The proven tools and methods used for the analysis of the 1500 m SD array data have been extended to reconstruct the lower energy events. The angular resolution for $E \geq 4 \times 10^{17}$ eV is better than 1° and the energy reconstruction is based on the lateral density of shower particles at the optimal distance of 450 m from the core [130].

The buried scintillators are the core of the detection system for the muonic component of air showers (the muon detector, MD). To effectively shield the electromagnetic component, the MD is placed under $\approx 540$ g/cm² of vertical mass corresponding to a depth of 2.3 m in the local soil ($\approx 20$ radiation lengths) while the shallower extra scintillators are at $\approx 310$ g/cm² (1.3 m). These
shieldings impose a cutoff for vertical muons of around 1 GeV and 0.6 GeV respectively. The layout of SD+MD paired stations is shown in Fig. 53. The scintillator surface of each MD station is highly segmented. It consists of modules made of 64 strips each. Strips are 4.1 cm wide × 1.0 cm thick and 400 cm or 200 cm long for the 10 m² and 5 m² modules, respectively. They consist of extruded Dow Styron 663W polystyrene doped by weight with 1% PPO (2,5-diphenyloxazole) and 0.03% POPOP (1,4-bis(5-phenyloxazole-2-yl)benzene). They are completely wrapped with a thin white reflective layer of titanium dioxide (TiO₂) except for a central groove into which a wavelength shifting (WLS) optical fiber is installed. The light output uniformity is ± 5%. Because the scintillators have an attenuation length of ~ (55 ± 5) mm, light is transported to a photomultiplier tube using the WLS fiber. The manifold of fibers of each module ends in an optical connector matched to a 64 multi-anode PMT from the Hamamatsu H8804 series. Scintillator strips are grouped in two sets of 32 strips on each side of the PMT and front end electronics board (see Fig. 54).

The bandwidth of the front end electronics is set to 180 MHz to determine the pulse width. Signal sampling is performed by a Field Programmable Gate Array (FPGA) from the ALTERA Cyclone III series at 320 MHz. MD scintillator modules receive the trigger signal from their associated SD station. The lowest level trigger (T1) of the surface detectors is used. Once a T1 condition is fulfilled on the surface, its MD companion freezes a 6.4 µs data sample into a local buffer – 1.6 µs before and 4.8 µs after the T1. Data are then moved to an external RAM capable of storing 1024 triggers [137].

Incoming analog signals from each pixel of the PMT are digitized with a discriminator that provides the input to the FPGA. Samples can be either a logical “1” or “0” depending on whether the incoming signal was above or below a given (programmable) discrimination threshold. This method of one-bit resolution is very robust for counting muons in a highly segmented detector. This avoids missing muons due to simultaneous particle arrivals [138]. It relies neither on deconvolving the number of muons from an integrated signal, nor on the PMT gain or its fluctuations, nor on the point of impact of the muon and the corresponding light attenuation along the fiber. It also does not require a thick scintillator to control Poissonian fluctuations in the number of single photoelectron pulses per impinging muon [139]. The MD station power is supplied by an additional solar panel and battery box (see Fig. 53).

Whereas the data of the 750 m array are transmitted over the same SD radio as for the regular array, a dedicated telecommunication system based on WiFi 802.11g standard is used for MD data transmission during the prototype phase. The system is provided by an extra antenna located on the SD mast as indicated in Fig. 53. MD data are sent to the CDAS only at T3 level. As WiFi based telecommunication has proven to satisfy the network throughput and data transfer requirements for SD T2s and SD+MD T3s data, it is foreseen that this system will be used for the whole AMIGA detector.

14. Other capabilities of the Observatory

14.1. Space weather

The rate of background low energy particles detected by the water Cherenkov detectors of the Observatory is recorded every second and transmitted to CDAS. This rate, of around 2000 particles/s per detector, is used to monitor the stability of operations. The particles themselves are residual components of air showers initiated by primary cosmic rays with a mean energy of about 90 GeV. We have observed that this rate correlates strongly with neutron monitors measuring Forbush decreases [140]. Measuring the flux of secondaries with great accuracy allows the Observatory to contribute to the “Space Weather” program [141]. These data are available on the Public Event Display of the Observatory web site [142].

14.2. ELVES

ELVES (an acronym for Emissions of Light and Very low frequency perturbations due to Electromagnetic pulse Sources)
are transient luminous events produced by heating, ionization, and subsequent optical emission due to intense electromagnetic pulses radiated by both positive and negative lightning discharges. These intense flashes of light appear in the night sky as rapidly expanding quasi-circular fronts: generated at 80–95 km altitudes, they are visible at distances of several hundred kilometers. The original pulse lasts less than 20 µs, but the propagating light front is visible for a few ms [143]. The first clear observation of ELVES was made using a high-speed photometer [144]; more recently such phenomena were studied using both ground based photometers [145–147] and satellite missions [148]. After the first serendipitous observation of an ELVES event during a FD shift [99] further studies, done on a pre-scaled sample of data taken in the period 2008–2011, have shown that the FD is ideally suited for detailed studies of ELVES. A new, modified third level trigger algorithm was implemented in March 2013 [149] to increase the detection and recording efficiency of these events. Since then, a large fraction of these events is regularly recorded by two or three FD eyes allowing a stereo reconstruction of the light emission. Also, since January 2014, when an ELVES trigger is received, the FD camera readout is extended to 300 µs to allow observation of the light emitted vertically above the causative lightning.

Auger detected 305 events in nine months of running in 2013, and 581 events (including 127 stereo and 20 triplets) in all of 2014. The distance of the causative lightning ranged from 300 to 1000 km, as determined by comparison with WWLLN data [150]. More than 40% of these events are correlated to lightning detected by WWLLN.

Figure 55 shows the light propagation pattern in an ELVES candidate event: the earliest triggered pixels are in blue (near the center of the image), while the latest are in red (near the periphery of the image).

15. Outreach

The scale and scope of the physics explored at the Pierre Auger Observatory offer significant opportunities for outreach both to the local community and beyond to the collaborating countries. Education, outreach and public relations have been an integral part of the Auger organization from the beginning when these activities were included as a level two management task group. The goals of the Outreach and Education Task are to encourage and support a wide range of efforts that link Auger scientists and the science of particle astrophysics, particle physics and related technologies to the public and especially to schools. Outreach focused on the communities surrounding the Observatory has fostered a remarkable amount of goodwill, which has contributed significantly to the success of the project.

The Auger Collaboration initiated outreach first locally as a way to become better integrated into the community during the construction phase of the Observatory. Later outreach activities spread to the participating institutions but on a worldwide scale, including the Internet.

The heart of local outreach activities is the Auger Visitor Center (VC), located in the central office and data acquisition building on the Observatory campus. A staff member dedicated to outreach gives presentations and tours to visitors that are mostly from the area but often from all over Argentina and even from 25 other countries worldwide. Many of the visitors are in the area because of the proximity of the Las Leñas ski area and other area tourist attractions. Over 90,000 people have attended the lectures in the Visitor Center since it opened in 2001. The impact of these visits can be seen from the still increasing interest and the comments in the guest book. The VC, which seats up to 50 people, is outfitted with multimedia equipment and contains a number of displays illustrating features of the Observatory. These displays include a full size SD station, a quarter sized model of an FD mirror, a spark chamber, a Geiger counter, a number of posters that explain the science and detectors of the Observatory and a library of books in several languages.

Many schools outside of Malargüe, some over 100 km away, have trouble bringing their students to the Visitor Center. A rural schools education program, funded by donations from the collaboration, sponsors a dedicated team of Observatory staff members and collaborators, who give presentations on Auger and science generally. The visiting team not only goes to these schools to give information on Auger but also helps to enhance the learning environment by providing learning materials or helping with infrastructure improvements such as connection to the Internet. The effect of these visits on the students is enormous and creates an atmosphere of good will towards the Observatory.

Every two years a science fair is organized by the Observatory. The fair targets both elementary schools and high schools, and is still growing. The latest fair hosted 36 entries with schools from all over Mendoza Province participating. The exhibits and presentations of the participants were judged by international members of the Auger Collaboration. The interaction of the participants with the Auger scientists reinforces the connection between Auger and surrounding communities.

Each of the surface detector stations placed in the field was given a name. During deployment, the stations were often named after the daughter or son of the nearby puestero (farmer). One of the results has been that there has been a negligible amount of vandalism even though the detectors are spread over 3000 km², with a number only a few meters from a road.

Every November a collaboration meeting is scheduled to overlap with the annual Malargüe Days celebration and a large group of collaborators march in the celebration parade behind a colorful Auger banner. These close contacts together with the local outreach activities have instilled a sense of ownership of the Observatory by the community.

Our dedication to education is also clear from the construction of the James Cronin School, a secondary school in Malargüe inaugurated in 2006. The school, built from donated funds, was named for one of the founders of the Pierre Auger Observatory for his contribution to the local community. Members of the collaboration have also been instrumental in helping to bring a new planetarium, one of the most modern in Argentina, to Malargüe.

For outreach on a larger scale the Collaboration provides cosmic ray event data on the public Auger Web site (www.auger.org) in nearly real time along with information, photos, videos and teaching materials. This material not only explains the mission of the Observatory, but also contains educational material on several aspects of the measurement of cosmic rays and the history of these measurements. Furthermore, it provides manuals on how to work with and analyze the Auger public data set. The online event display, coupled to the public data set, is a useful tool to provide insight to students in what is measured and how it is interpreted. These materials are mostly aimed at students at the high school level or above. An online analysis interface called VISPA [151] has been set up to allow students to work with and analyze these data.

Outreach has been an important part of the activities of the Auger Project. Our close relationship with the people of Malargüe and the other local communities as a result of our outreach activities has not only made our work comfortable and rewarding but has, indeed, contributed to the success of the Observatory. Among the collaborating institutions many innovative outreach ideas have sprung from our research. Because we can easily show their continuous presence around us, cosmic rays are an effective way to excite young people about the wonders and science of the cosmos.
16. Further developments

Even as the Pierre Auger Observatory was under construction, new ideas for methods of air shower detection were being developed. These ideas became more focused as the underlying physics of cosmic rays was revealed by analysis of the expanding data set.

Research and development is currently underway on two detection techniques which could complement the array of water Cherenkov and air fluorescence detectors. The first is radio detection, a technique that was first pursued many years ago but is now benefitting from recent advances in electronics. The second depends on the possibility that microwave radiation, arising from molecular bremsstrahlung of electromagnetic shower particles in air, is isotropic and strong enough to be effectively recorded. If successful, one or both of these techniques could substantially extend the power of cosmic ray air shower detectors.

16.1. Radio research program

High-energy cosmic ray air showers generate radio emission via two processes: one is a geomagnetic, current-induced emission mechanism [152]; the other is a charge-excess mechanism [153]. The observation of air showers with radio detection techniques can be done at all times (day and night). Moreover, radio signals are sensitive to the development of the electromagnetic component of particle showers in the atmosphere of the Earth and, in particular, to the depth of the shower maximum or mass of the incoming cosmic ray [154]. Radio detection of air showers started in the 1960s, and the achievements in those days have been presented in reviews by Allan [155] and Fegan [156]. More recent developments are based on initial studies performed by the LOPES [157] and the CODALEMA [158] collaborations and the LOFAR radio telescope [159]. In the last 10 years the radio detection technique in the MHz region has been revived and the present radio detector arrays for cosmic ray research are equipped with low noise and high rate analog-to-digital converters. Simultaneously, the number of stations within these arrays has grown from less than ten to more than one thousand. The questions to be addressed in the VHF band (30–300 MHz) are: can we use radio signals to determine the primary energy, the arrival direction, and the mass of cosmic rays with accuracies which are equal to or better than those obtained by other techniques? If yes, can we build a large surface detector array based on the radio detection technique for an affordable price?

The Pierre Auger Collaboration has started a research program to answer both questions through a stepwise approach. Since 2009 the activities are coordinated within the Auger Engineering Radio Array (AERA), which is based on work within the Collaboration using various prototypes at the site of the Pierre Auger Observatory [160–162]. As a first step, the emission mechanisms need to be understood. The contributions of the main emission mechanisms have been measured recently [163,164]. The fraction of the charge-excess emission relative to the geomagnetic emission varies from ~5% for very inclined showers at 50 m from the shower axis to more than 20% for vertical showers at 250 m from the shower axis. As a second step the data obtained with radio detection stations deployed at the Observatory will be used to check their sensitivity with respect to the determination of the air shower parameters. We take advantage of the existing infrastructure of the Observatory: its surface detector, its fluorescence detector and its low energy enhancements HEAT and AMIGA [131,133]. To help answer the questions, hardware and software are being developed to study the required specifications and performance of solitary radio stations as a blueprint for a large array. Within the same research program, a rigorous effort has been and is being made to understand the emission processes using our current knowledge of the development of air showers. Simultaneously, experiment and theory are being connected through software tools where end-to-end simulations and data analysis can be performed within the same software package [69].

The scientific goals of the AERA project are as follows: (1) calibration of the radio emission from the air showers, including subdominant emission mechanisms; (2) demonstration at a significant scale of the physics capabilities of the radio technique, e.g., energy, angular, and mass resolutions; and (3) measurement of the cosmic ray composition from 0.3 to 5 EeV, with the goal of elucidating the transition from galactic to extragalactic cosmic rays.

Each radio detection station is comprised of a dual polarization antenna, sensing the electric field in the north/south and east/west directions, associated analog and digital readout electronics, an autonomous power system and a communication link to a central data acquisition system. The antennas are sensitive between 30 and 80 MHz, chosen as the relatively radio quiet region between the shortwave and FM bands. AERA deployment began in 2010 with 24 stations. These stations are equipped with logarithmic periodic dipole antennas and are connected via a fiber optic link to a central data acquisition site. Stable physics data taking started in March 2011, and the first hybrid detection of cosmic ray events by radio, fluorescence, and surface particle detectors was recorded in April 2011. In May 2013 an additional 100 stations were installed. They are equipped with butterfly antennas. Detailed simulations and measurements demonstrated that butterfly antennas perform better for narrow pulse detection as compared to the logarithmic periodic dipole antennas [165]. The additional stations are connected through a wireless communication system to a central data acquisition system. AERA successfully deployed 25 additional radio stations in March 2015. AERA now includes 153 radio detection stations, spread over an area of 17 km². The detector spacings range from 150 m to 750 m, which enables the full exploitation of radio detection of air showers as envisioned in the AERA technical design report.

16.2. Microwave research program

Recent results of a test beam experiment at SLAC [166] showed that it could be possible to use microwave radiation to detect extensive air showers. This radiation, expected to be isotropic and broad in frequency, is interpreted [166] as molecular bremsstrahlung (MBR) produced by the scattering of low energy electrons in the weakly ionized plasma produced by the shower with the neutral molecules of the atmosphere. The Auger collaboration is pursuing an active R&D program to determine if a detector sensitive to MBR would be a suitable alternative for the study of ultrahigh energy cosmic rays.

This R&D program [167,168] consists of three different setups installed at the Observatory. The AMBER and MIDAS experiments use radiotelescope style detectors intended for the observation of the shower longitudinal development in the same manner as an FD. In the EASIER setup on the other hand, SD tanks are instrumented with smaller radio receivers that take advantage of the enhancement of the signal when the shower is observed close to its axis.

Installation of the microwave detectors was finalized in September 2012. A previous result by the MIDAS detector [169], obtained in Chicago, places tight constraints on the amount of microwave signal emitted and its scaling with the energy of the shower [170]. The ongoing work to identify showers detected at the same time in the SD and in one of the microwave detectors already yielded the first unambiguous detection of a cosmic ray shower in the EASIER setup in June 2011 [168].
17. Final remarks

The Pierre Auger Observatory is the world’s largest cosmic ray observatory. The Observatory is highly productive with very high efficiency data accumulation and sophisticated atmospheric monitoring for accurate interpretation of showers. There have been recent advances enabling the extraction of longitudinal development information from surface detector information alone. New analysis methods using both the fluorescence detectors and the surface array have improved the determination of primary composition. At the same time the recently completed HEAT and AMIGA infilled enhancements now extend the reach of the detector to cover the critical galactic/extragalactic transition region. The Observatory is also serving as a test bed for advanced detector technologies for the next generation of cosmic ray experiments.

Current source scenarios assume that particle acceleration takes place at sites distributed similarly to the matter distribution in the universe, with energy loss processes leading to the observed flux suppression (GZK effect) and arrival direction anisotropy. However, Auger data on shower development fluctuations, as well as other composition sensitive observations, require consideration of a rather different interpretation: that the observed flux suppression is indicating the upper limit of the power of the accelerator. It may be that the upper end of the cosmic ray energy spectrum is dominated by particles from a single source or source population, possibly within the GZK horizon, for which the upper limit of particle acceleration almost coincides with the energy of the GZK suppression. To answer this question, the Pierre Auger Collaboration is planning an upgrade to the Observatory to enable a determination of the primary composition on an event by event basis at the highest energies. The required electron–muon discrimination in the surface detector stations will be achieved by installing a 4 m² scintillation detector on top of each water Cherenkov detector. Once approved, the upgrade is expected to be completed by 2018 with Observatory operation through 2025.
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