Discovery of a second outbursting hyperluminous X-ray source
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ABSTRACT

We report on six \textit{Chandra} and one \textit{HST}/WFC3 observation of CXO J122518.6+144545, discovered by Jonker et al. (2010) as a candidate hyperluminous X-ray source (HLX), X-ray bright supernova or recoiling supermassive black hole at $L_X = 2.2 \times 10^{41} \text{ erg s}^{-1}$ (if associated with the galaxy at 182 Mpc). We detect a new outburst of the source in a \textit{Chandra} image obtained on Nov 20, 2014 and show that the X-ray count rate varies by a factor $> 60$. New \textit{HST}/WFC3 observations obtained in 2014 show that the optical counterpart is still visible at $g' = 27.1 \pm 0.1, 1 \pm 0.1$ magnitude fainter than in the discovery \textit{HST}/ACS observation from 2003. This optical variability strongly suggests that the optical and X-ray source are related. Furthermore, these properties strongly favour an HLX nature of the source over the alternative scenarios. We therefore conclude that CXO J122518.6+144545 is most likely an outbursting HLX. It is only the second such object to be discovered, after HLX-1 in ESO 243-49. Its high X-ray luminosity makes it a strong candidate to host an intermediate mass black hole.
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1 INTRODUCTION

Intermediate mass black holes (IMBHs) are defined as objects with masses in between those of stellar mass and supermassive black holes (BHs; we use the mass range $10^2 - 10^5 \text{ M}_{\odot}$ for IMBHs; for a review see van der Marel 2004). In the \Lambda cold dark matter cosmology with hierarchical structure formation IMBHs are important as possible seeds for supermassive BHs (SMBHs; Ebisuzaki et al. 2001). Scenarios to form IMBHs include direct collapse of gas in atomic cooling haloes in the early Universe (e.g. Begelman et al. 2006; Ferrara et al. 2014), the collapse of extremely massive Pop III stars (e.g. Madau & Rees 2001) and merging of stars in the nuclei of dense star clusters (e.g. Portegies Zwart & McMillan 2002).

However, observational evidence for the existence of IMBHs is scarce. Dynamical studies searching for IMBHs in the centers of globular clusters have yielded inconsistent results (L"utzgendorf et al. 2011; Lanzoni et al. 2013). Radio and X-ray observations have yielded no evidence for accreting IMBHs in globular clusters (Strader et al. 2012; Haggard et al. 2013). There is evidence for the presence of IMBHs with masses around $10^5 \text{ M}_{\odot}$ in the nuclei of dwarf galaxies (e.g. Greene & Ho 2007; Reines et al. 2013), although these mass determinations depend on extrapolating scaling relations established for SMBHs to lower BH masses.

Ultraluminous X-ray sources (ULXs) are off-nuclear X-ray sources with an X-ray luminosity $> 10^{39} \text{ erg s}^{-1}$ (see Feng & Soria 2011 for a review). Assuming isospherical emission and if these sources are Eddington-limited they should contain BHs that are more massive than ordinary stellar mass BHs. Detailed X-ray spectra have revealed that many ULXs are in a so-called ultraluminous state (Gladstone et al. 2009; Sutton et al. 2013) that may be a sign of super-Eddington accretion. These ULXs probably contain stellar mass BHs. For a handful of ULXs there is dynamical evidence for a stellar mass BH (Liu et al. 2013; Motch et al. 2014) and the detection of X-ray pulsations from M82 X-2 proves that that system contains a neutron star (Bachetti et al. 2014).

However, the most luminous ULXs, often referred to as hyperluminous X-ray sources (HLXs), are still strong candidates to host IMBHs. The best example is HLX-1 in ESO 243-49 (Farrell et al. 2009; Webb et al. 2010). This is the brightest HLX known to date; it shows outbursts reminiscent of those in Galactic X-ray binaries, but with a peak luminosity of $10^{42} \text{ erg s}^{-1}$ (Godet et al. 2009; Servillat et al. 2011). This luminosity is hard to explain if the accretor is a...
stellar mass BH (but see King & Lasota 2014; Lasota et al. 2015). The outbursts are possibly related to a donor star in a very eccentric orbit that transfers mass when it passes pericentre (Lasota et al. 2011; Godet et al. 2014). Other HLXs containing candidate IMBHs include M82-X1 (peak X-ray luminosity \( \sim 10^{41} \) erg s\(^{-1}\); Strohmayer & Mushotzky 2003; Kaaret et al. 2009; Pasham et al. 2014) and NGC2276-3c (peak X-ray luminosity \( \sim 6 \times 10^{40} \) erg s\(^{-1}\); Mezcua et al. 2015).

Jonker et al. (2010) reported the discovery of a luminous off-nuclear X-ray source (CXO J122518.6+144545; hereafter CXO J1225) in the galaxy SDSS J122518.86+144547.7 (hereafter SDSS J1225). The X-ray source was discovered in an archival 5 ks Chandra observation taken in 2008. A blue optical counterpart was detected in an HST/ACS image of the field, with \( g' = 26.4 \pm 0.1 \) and \( z' > 25.7 \). Assuming CXO J1225 is at the same distance as the galaxy (\( z = 0.0445 \pm 0.0001 \), 182 Mpc), Adelman-McCarthy et al. (2007) its 0.3 – 8 keV luminosity in this observation was \( 2.2 \times 10^{41} \) erg s\(^{-1}\), making it one of the most luminous ULXs known to date. Alternative explanations proposed by Jonker et al. (2010) were a recoiling SMBH as a result of the merger of two SMBHs or a Type IIn supernova.

To determine which of these scenarios is correct, we obtained new Chandra and HST observations of CXO J1225 in 2012 and 2014. We describe these observations, the analysis and results in Section 2. In Sections 3 and 4, we discuss the different scenarios and present our conclusions. All errors quoted in this Letter are 1-σ errors unless otherwise specified. We assume a standard cosmology with \( H_0 = 73 \) km s\(^{-1}\) Mpc\(^{-1}\).

## 2 Observations, Analysis and Results

### 2.1 Chandra

We obtained one 10 ks Chandra/Advanced CCD Imaging Spectrometer (ACIS) (Garmire et al. 2003) observation of CXO J1225 in 2012 and five (4 \times 20 ks and one 40 ks observation) in 2014 (see Table 1). These observations were done using ACIS-S in very faint mode. We reprocess the events with calibrations available in CALDB version 4.6.7, using version 4.7 of the Chandra X-ray centre CIAO tools (Fruscione et al. 2006). In all observations, we extract the source counts in a circular region with radius 2″. For the background subtraction region we use an annulus centred on the source position with an inner radius of 5″ and an outer radius of 20″.

We detect the source in the observations with Obs. ID 16476, 15783 and 17558. In the observations with Obs. ID 13295, 16477 and 17559 the source is not detected (zero counts). We calculate 95% confidence limits for the count rates in these observations following Gehrels (1986) — for comparison we also calculated limits following Kraft et al. (1991), but we find that the differences are negligible. The count rates of the detections and upper limits of the nondetections, including the original detection in archival data from 2008, are listed in Table 1.

Of the 20 counts in observation 15783, several are detected above 2 keV. We use XSPEC version 12.8.2 and C-statistics (cstat: Cash 1979) modified to account for the subtraction of background counts, the so called W-statistics\(^1\) to fit models to the data. We find that both a power law and a disc blackbody can describe the data. For the power law, we find a photon index \( \Gamma = 1.4 \pm 0.5 \), with a cstat value of 19.8 using 19 bins and 17 degrees of freedom. For a disc blackbody we find a temperature of 0.6 ± 0.1 keV, with a cstat value of 21.8 using 19 bins and 17 degrees of freedom. To ease comparison with Jonker et al. (2010), we assumed a standard power law with photon index \( \Gamma = 1.7 \), a Galactic hydrogen column density \( N_H = 2.8 \times 10^{20} \) cm\(^{-2}\) (Dickey & Lockman 1990) and no local absorption to describe the spectrum.

We convert the detected count rates to (unabsorbed) fluxes in the 0.5-10 keV range using WPHIMM, the web version of PIMMS (Mukai 1993). The confidence intervals listed in Table 1 only take into account the uncertainties in the count rates, not the (unknown) additional uncertainty introduced by the model. To convert fluxes to luminosities we assume that the flux is isotropic and that CXO J1225 is at the distance of SDSS J1225 (182 Mpc). The highest luminosity that CXO J1225 reached in these new observations is \( 5 \times 10^{40} \) erg s\(^{-1}\), on 2014 November 20 (see Figure 1).

We align and stack all observations, using the point source at Right Ascension (R.A.) = 12:25:08.93, Declination (Dec) = 14:46:01.04 in observation 17559 for alignment, to search for X-ray emission from the nucleus of SDSS J1225. The total exposure time of the stacked image is 127.72 ks. In this deep image we detect eight counts in a circle with a radius of 1″ centred on the position of the nucleus of SDSS J1225 (R.A. = 12:25:18.86, Dec = 14:45:47.7 [J2000], Abazajian et al. 2009). Assuming a power law with index 1.7 and \( N_H = 10^{24} \) cm\(^{-2}\) we find an unabsorbed 0.5 – 10 keV flux of \( 8 \times 10^{-16} \) erg cm\(^{-2}\) s\(^{-1}\) (absorbed flux = \( 7 \times 10^{-16} \) erg cm\(^{-2}\) s\(^{-1}\)). At a distance of 182 Mpc this translates to a luminosity of \( 3 \times 10^{39} \) erg s\(^{-1}\).

**Count rate in quiescence**

The strongest limit on the count rate of CXO J1225 when it is in the low state is set by the 40 ks observation (17559), at \( < 8 \times 10^{-5} \) cts s\(^{-1}\) (\( L_X < 3 \times 10^{39} \) erg s\(^{-1}\)). This is still consistent at the 95% confidence level with the count rates observed in observations 16476 and 17558, although alternately either one or both of these observations may have caught CXO J1225 fading after an outburst, in which case the quiescent level could be (much) lower.

Assuming the count rate is constant over observations 13295, 16476, 16477, 17558 and 17559 at a value so that only occasionally, because of Poisson fluctuations, three or four source counts are detected, we calculate the most likely value of the count rate in the following way. For a range of count rates \( (10^{-5} - 5 \times 10^{-4}) \) cts s\(^{-1}\) we calculate the expected number of source counts in each observation. Then, taking into account the expected number of background counts and assuming both source and background follow a Poisson distribution, we calculate the probability to retrieve the observed number of counts in each observation. For each assumed count rate we then multiply the probabilities found for the five observations. We find that this value is maximal
Finally we run dolphot to calculate the photometry. We use the parameter values recommended for WFC3/UVIS in the user guide. After trying several settings for the sky fitting we find that FitSky=1 and SkipSky=1 give the best results. The counterpart is significantly detected in all frames and has a $g'$-band magnitude of 27.1 ± 0.1 (Vega magnitude system; 27.0 ± 0.1 in AB magnitude). This corresponds to an absolute magnitude in the $g'$-band of −9.2 at the distance to SDSS J1225.

We follow the same procedure with the archival HST/ACS $g'$-band observation (data set J8FS34020, taken on 2003 June 16) using the ACS package (version 2.0) of dolphot, excluding the J8FS34eqq frame because of a cosmic ray hit at the position of CXO J1225. We use the parameter values recommended for ACS in the user guide, with FitSky=1 and SkipSky=1. The counterpart is significantly detected at $g' = 26.1 ± 0.1$ (Vega magnitude system; 26.0 ± 0.1 in AB magnitude), corresponding to an absolute $g'$-band magnitude of −10.2 at the distance of SDSS J1225. This is 0.3 mag (3σ) brighter than the magnitude reported by Jonker et al. (2010). This difference is probably due to updated zeropoints and CTE corrections in the dolphot ACS package.

We compare the magnitudes of six stars that are in the field of view of both the ACS and WFC3 observations and find that they are consistent with being constant within 2−3σ, with an average difference between the ACS and WFC3 images of 0.07 ± 0.05 mag. Hence the difference of 1 ± 0.1 mag between the two epochs is not due to our use of different dolphot packages or different settings for the photometric analysis, but to intrinsic variability of the source.

### Table 1

Journal of the Chandra/ACIS-S observations of CXO J1225 used in this Letter. The last column represents the unabsorbed flux. The count rate and flux columns list the 95% confidence interval calculated following Gehrels (1986).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Obs. ID</th>
<th>Date (UT)</th>
<th>MJD</th>
<th>Exp. time (ks)</th>
<th>Counts</th>
<th>Count rate ($10^{-4}$ cts s$^{-1}$)</th>
<th>Flux (0.5 − 10 keV) ($10^{-15}$ erg cm$^{-2}$ s$^{-1}$)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>8055</td>
<td>2008 Feb 19</td>
<td>54515.686</td>
<td>5.09</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>30 − 60</td>
<td>33 − 70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13295</td>
<td>2012 Nov 26</td>
<td>56257.804</td>
<td>9.78</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0 − 3</td>
<td>0 − 4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16476</td>
<td>2014 Apr 28</td>
<td>56775.840</td>
<td>18.87</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.4 − 4</td>
<td>0.5 − 5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15783</td>
<td>2014 Jul 22</td>
<td>56860.655</td>
<td>19.85</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0 − 2</td>
<td>0 − 2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17558</td>
<td>2014 Nov 20</td>
<td>56991.926</td>
<td>19.85</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>7 − 15</td>
<td>8 − 20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17559</td>
<td>2014 Dec 08</td>
<td>57006.499</td>
<td>19.78</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.7 − 5</td>
<td>0.8 − 5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>113295</td>
<td>2012 Nov 26</td>
<td>56257.804</td>
<td>9.78</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0 − 3</td>
<td>0 − 4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8055</td>
<td>2008 Feb 19</td>
<td>54515.686</td>
<td>5.09</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>30 − 60</td>
<td>33 − 70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13295</td>
<td>2012 Nov 26</td>
<td>56257.804</td>
<td>9.78</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0 − 3</td>
<td>0 − 4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 1. The 2008-2014 X-ray light-curve of CXO J1225. Blue squares are Chandra observations (detections with 95% confidence intervals and 95% confidence upper limits), the black dashed line indicates the date of our HST/WFC3 observation. The inset is zoomed-in on the 2014 data points, showing the decay of the outburst detected in November.

For a count rate of $5 \times 10^{-5}$ cts s$^{-1}$ ($L_x \approx 2.5 \times 10^{39}$ erg s$^{-1}$).

If we repeat this calculation but without observation 17558 (as this detection could plausibly be due to a fading outburst) we find a most probable count rate of $2 \times 10^{-5}$ cts s$^{-1}$ ($L_x \approx 10^{39}$ erg s$^{-1}$).

#### 2.2 HST

On 2014 June 10 we obtained four dithered HST/Wide Field Camera 3 (WFC3) observations of CXO J1225 using the UVIS detector with the F475W ($g'$-band) filter (data set ICEF01010). The total exposure time is 2512 s. The optical counterpart that was reported by Jonker et al. (2010) is clearly visible in the multidrizzled image.

We use the WFC3 package (version 2.0) of dolphot (version 2.0, Dolphin [2000]) for the photometric analysis. Following the dolphot/WFC3 user guide we run dolphot on the flat-fielded, bias corrected flt images, excluding the ICEF01010q frame because there is a cosmic ray hit close to the position of CXO J1225. We first process the flt files with wfc3mask to mask bad pixels. Then we split the multi-extension fits files into single extension files with splitgroups and calculate the sky background for each of them with calc-sky. Finally we run dolphot to calculate the photometry. We use the parameter values recommended for WFC3/UVIS in the user guide. After trying several settings for the sky fitting we find that FitSky=1 and SkipSky=1 give the best results. The counterpart is significantly detected in all frames and has a $g'$-band magnitude of 27.1 ± 0.1 (Vega magnitude system; 27.0 ± 0.1 in AB magnitude). This corresponds to an absolute magnitude in the $g'$-band of −9.2 at the distance to SDSS J1225.

We follow the same procedure with the archival HST/ACS $g'$-band observation (data set J8FS34020, taken on 2003 June 16) using the ACS package (version 2.0) of dolphot, excluding the J8FS34eqq frame because of a cosmic ray hit at the position of CXO J1225. We use the parameter values recommended for ACS in the user guide, with FitSky=1 and SkipSky=1. The counterpart is significantly detected at $g' = 26.1 ± 0.1$ (Vega magnitude system; 26.0 ± 0.1 in AB magnitude), corresponding to an absolute $g'$-band magnitude of −10.2 at the distance of SDSS J1225. This is 0.3 mag (3σ) brighter than the magnitude reported by Jonker et al. (2010). This difference is probably due to updated zeropoints and CTE corrections in the dolphot ACS package.

We compare the magnitudes of six stars that are in the field of view of both the ACS and WFC3 observations and find that they are consistent with being constant within 2−3σ, with an average difference between the ACS and WFC3 images of 0.07 ± 0.05 mag. Hence the difference of 1 ± 0.1 mag between the two epochs is not due to our use of different dolphot packages or different settings for the photometric analysis, but to intrinsic variability of the source.

### 3 DISCUSSION

We have collected new X-ray and optical data of CXO J1225, reported by Jonker et al. (2010) as a candidate HLX, recalling SMBH or extremely X-ray bright SN. From the six new X-ray observations, we learn that the source varies by at least a factor 60 in count rate. After the initial detection in August 2008 at a luminosity of $2 \times 10^{39}$ erg s$^{-1}$, CXO J1225 went undetected in a 10 ks observation in November 2012. In three 20 ks observations in 2014, the source is first barely detected at $7.5^{+4}_{-2} \times 10^{39}$ erg s$^{-1}$ in April, then not detected in July, then brightens again to be detected at $(5 \pm 2) \times 10^{40}$ erg s$^{-1}$ on November 20. Follow-up observations on December 8 (20 ks) and 15 (40 ks) show a rapid decline of the source luminosity to $< 3 \times 10^{39}$ erg s$^{-1}$ (see Figure 1).
In our new HST/WFC3 image taken on 2014 June 10 the optical counterpart identified by Jonker et al. (2010) is still visible, but 1 ± 0.1 mag fainter in the g'-band than in the first HST/ACS image taken in 2003. The HST observations were not simultaneous with X-ray observations. The HST/ACS observation precedes the first Chandra observation by five years, so we do not know whether CXO J1225 was in outburst or not at that time. In 2014 Chandra observations were done ~6 weeks before and after the HST observation. The marginal detection (three counts) in April could either indicate the tail of an outburst that had completely faded at the time of the non-detection in July, or be the result of a random fluctuation of the quiescent flux. In either case it seems reasonable to assume that CXO J1225 was in a low state at the time of the second HST observation. If the 2003 observation was taken during an outburst, that would explain why the optical counterpart was brighter at that time than in the 2014 observation.

3.1 Supernova, recoiling BH or HLX?

With these new data we can determine which explanation for CXO J1225 is the most likely. The supernova scenario can be discarded — it is ruled out by the X-ray variability and the fact that the optical counterpart is still visible. The short time-scale of the X-ray variability rules out the possibility that CXO J1225 is a background AGN. This was already unlikely because of the blue colour of the counterpart and the high X-ray-to-optical flux ratio of the source (Jonker et al. 2010).

With the current data we cannot exclude the possibility that CXO J1225 is a recoiling massive BH, although — assuming that the outburst reaches the Eddington luminosity — the highest luminosity we have detected so far seems rather low for a supermassive BH. The detection of an X-ray source that is positionally consistent with the nucleus of SDSS J1225 casts further doubts on the recoiling BH scenario. If CXO J1225 is a recoiling BH, the luminosity of the X-ray source would be ≃ 10^{35} erg s^{-1}, and it could be an outbursting LMXB in a globular cluster in the halo of NGC 4377. However, the limit on the absolute magnitude of the optical counterpart and the high X-ray-to-optical flux ratio of the source (Jonker et al. 2010) makes it one of the most luminous HLXs known to date.

For the interpretation of the observations that clearly fall outside the outbursts, the non-detections and the detections with three or four counts, one can envisage two scenarios. First, one or both of the detections of a few counts can be seen as evidence for a decaying outburst. Especially for observation 17558 this seems a plausible scenario, as we know that an outburst occurred shortly before that observation. Secondly, all these (non-)detections can be due to random fluctuations of a source that has a luminosity such that the count rate lies just below our detection threshold. We have seen evidence for two outbursts of CXO J1225, and the April 2014 detection might indicate the tail of another outburst. If the optical variability is connected to the outbursts, the ~1 mag brightening in the 2003 HST observation may indicate another one. The only other HLX that is known to show outbursts at such high luminosity is HLX-1. In that source, the X-ray flux varies by a factor ~50, and the V-band magnitude of its optical counterpart varies by ~1 mag over an outburst (Webb et al. 2014). These values are comparable to the ones we find for CXO J1225. The outbursts of HLX-1 occur with a recurrence time of ~360–370 days, although the last three outbursts were delayed by several weeks to months (Godet et al. 2013). We do not have sufficient data points to detect a recurrence pattern in the outbursts of CXO J1225.

The duration of the outbursts of CXO J1225 is poorly constrained. From our November-December 2014 observations we know that the source decays from 5 × 10^{39} erg s^{-1} to less than 3 × 10^{39} erg s^{-1} in three weeks, but we do not know what the peak luminosity was during that outburst, nor when it started. The quiescent luminosity of CXO J1225 is < 3 × 10^{39} erg s^{-1}. This is a factor ten fainter than the luminosity of HLX-1 in its low state (Servillat et al. 2011).

A strong indication for the presence of an IMBH in HLX-1 is the fact that its spectral state changes during its outbursts, in a similar fashion to Galactic LMXBs (Godet et al. 2009; Servillat et al. 2011). Finding similar state...
changes during outbursts of CXO J1225 would confirm it as a strong IMBH candidate. However, in the observations we have obtained so far we do not detect enough counts to constrain the spectral shape during outburst and we have not yet detected CXO J1225 at all in quiescence.

4 CONCLUSIONS

New Chandra and HST observations of CXO J1225 show that the source is most likely a HLX with recurrent outbursts. This is only the second source for which such behaviour has been detected, after HLX-1. CXO J1225 is less luminous than HLX-1 and decays on a shorter time-scale. More observations are needed to determine whether its outbursts show a recurrence pattern similar to HLX-1. The characteristics of CXO J1225 make it a very interesting candidate IMBH.
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