A dominance shift from the zebra mussel to the invasive quagga mussel may alter the trophic transfer of metals
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Abstract
Bioinvasions are a major cause of biodiversity and ecosystem changes. The rapid range expansion of the invasive quagga mussel (Dreissena rostriformis bugensis) causing a dominance shift from zebra mussels (Dreissena polymorpha) to quagga mussels, may alter the risk of secondary poisoning to predators. Mussel samples were collected from various water bodies in the Netherlands, divided into size classes, and analysed for metal concentrations. Concentrations of nickel and copper in quagga mussels were significantly lower than in zebra mussels overall. In lakes, quagga mussels contained significantly higher concentrations of aluminium, iron and lead yet significantly lower concentrations of zinc, cadmium, copper, nickel, cobalt and molybdenum than zebra mussels. In the river water type quagga mussel soft tissues contained significantly lower concentrations of zinc. Our results suggest that a dominance shift from zebra to quagga mussels may reduce metal exposure of predator species.

© 2015 Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved.

1. Introduction

Bioinvasions are one of the major, and growing, causes of biodiversity loss (European Commission, 2013), The EU Biodiversity Strategy (European Commission, 2011), and the International Union for Conservation of Nature (IUCN) guidelines for the prevention of biodiversity loss caused by invasive non-native species, stress the need to identify the most harmful invaders (ISSG, 2000; Katsanevakis et al., 2013). Currently, the rapid range expansion of the quagga mussel (Dreissena rostriformis bugensis) is resulting in a dominance shift from the established zebra mussel (Dreissena polymorpha) to the quagga mussel (Diggins, 2001; Bonhof et al., 2009; De Rooij et al., 2009; Bij de Vaate, 2010; Heiler et al., 2012; Matthews et al., 2014; Bij de Vaate et al., 2014). Both dreissenid freshwater bivalves appear to be invasive in Western Europe and North America (Neumann and Jenner, 1992; Mitchell et al., 1996; Watkins et al., 2007; Gonzalez and Downing, 1999; Ward and Ricciardi, 2010; Matthews et al., 2014), and are an important source of food for native water birds, fish, crayfish and crabs (Kelly et al., 2010; Mørtl et al., 2010; Van Eerden and De Leeuw, 2010; Bij de Vaate, 2010; Noordhuis et al., 2010; Matthews et al., 2014). Some waterfowl species have been reported to alter their dietary intake and migration patterns in response to the ready availability of zebra mussels (Petrie and Knapton, 1999).

The ability of both these mussel species to filter large quantities of water allows them to accumulate toxicants, which may lead to the secondary poisoning of native predator species (Rutzke et al., 2000; Kwon et al., 2006; Hogan et al., 2007; Mueting and Gerstenberger, 2010). Accumulation of toxicants may lead to mortality and sub-lethal effects such as altered growth, reproduction, and behaviour (Flemming and Trevors, 1989; Custer and Custer, 2000; Santore et al., 2002; Custer et al., 2003; Petrie et al., 2007). Metal accumulation has been implicated in many of these effects. For example, cadmium transfer from zebra mussels to the tufted duck (Aythya fuligula) has resulted in behavioural disturbances in adults, growth retardation, and embryonic mortality (De Kock and...

* Corresponding author.
E-mail addresses: J.Matthews@science.ru.nl (J. Matthews), A.Schipper@science.ru.nl (A.M. Schipper), A.J.Hendriks@science.ru.nl (A.J. Hendriks), yenle@science.ru.nl (T.T. Yen Le), vaate@planet.nl (A. bij de Vaate), g.vandervelde@science.ru.nl (G. van der Velde), r.leuven@science.ru.nl (R.S.E.W. Leuven).

http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.envpol.2015.03.032
0269-7491/© 2015 Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved.
Bowne, 1993). Selenium toxicity impacts staging, winter body condition and health of lesser and greater scaup (Aythya affinis and Aythya marila), diving ducks that feed primarily on dreissenids (Custer and Custer, 2000; Custer et al., 2003; Petrie et al., 2007). Accumulation of copper may cause mortality and sub lethal effects such as altered growth, reproduction, and behaviour in fish and macroinvertebrate species (Flemming and Trevors, 1989). High concentrations of zinc may result in calcium uptake inhibition in certain fish species (Santore et al., 2002). Moreover, lead has long been considered one of the most significant metals from the standpoint of environmental contamination and toxicology (Scheuhammer, 1987).

However, metal concentrations in mussel soft tissues may vary, depending on species-specific factors such as reproduction cycle, filtration rate, and ventilation rate (Kraak et al., 1991; Veltman et al., 2008). Therefore, a shift in dominance from established to newly invading mussel species may alter the trophic transfer of metals to native predators. Peer reviewed literature focussing on potential differences in accumulation of metals between the soft tissues of the quagga and zebra mussel is scarce, often inconclusive and limited to North America (Johns and Timmerman, 1998; Rutzke et al., 2000; Richman and Somers, 2005; Le et al., 2011). Moreover, studies reporting metal concentrations in quagga mussels are particularly rare. This article aims to (1) identify potential inter-species differences in metal concentrations between the invasive quagga and established zebra mussel; (2) identify potential intra-species differences in metal concentrations in the soft tissues of quagga mussels in relation to shell size and water type (i.e., river or lake); (3) discuss the possible implications of these inter- and intra-species differences in relation to a dominance shift from zebra mussels to invading quagga mussels for the trophic transfer of metals.

2. Materials and methods

2.1. Field survey and chemical analyses

Zebra and quagga mussels were collected by hand from groyne stones from four river locations and with a trawl net from two lake locations in the Netherlands (Fig. 1, Table 1). These sites were selected based on available evidence on the co-existence of the two species. Mussels were separated according to species and size class (small: <15 mm; medium: 15–22 mm; large: >22 mm). The mussels were not depurated prior to extraction from their shells as this more accurately reflects metal exposure to predators. Mussel predators consume the entire mussel and are therefore exposed to both stomach contents and mussel tissue. Metal concentrations in dreissenid shells have been found to be orders of magnitude lower than in mussel soft tissue (Van der Velde et al., 1992). Therefore, metal concentrations in mussel shells were considered negligible and shells were not included in the analysis. The soft tissue was extracted from mussel shells and subsequently dried at 70 °C for 24 h. Dried samples were then weighed using a Sartorius LA310s micro balance (Sartorius AG, Göttingen, Germany) to produce replicates of 0.2 g dry weight. The dried samples were digested with 4 ml HNO₃ 65% and 0.5 ml H₂O₂ in a Milestone Ethos D microwave. Following digestion, 100 ml of high quality deionized water was added to each sample. In addition, blanks were prepared to allow for corrections to metal concentrations determined from mussel samples. Analysis of metal concentrations was undertaken using inductively coupled plasma mass spectroscopy (ICP-MS) for aluminium (Al), chromium (Cr), manganese (Mn), iron (Fe), cobalt (Co), nickel (Ni), copper (Cu), zinc (Zn66 and Zn68), arsenic (As), selenium (Se), molybdenum (Mo), cadmium (Cd111 and Cd112), tin (Sn), mercury (Hg), and lead (Pb). We considered the complete range of metals measured by ICP-MS as this gives the most complete insight into possible changes in metal exposure of predator species resulting from a dominance shift in prey species.

2.2. Data analysis

A number of comparisons were made to identify inter-species and intra-species differences in soft tissue metal concentrations (Tables 2 and 3). Data on metal concentrations were aggregated and compared according to mussel species, size class and origin of sampled material (water type and water body). Water bodies included in the analysis were the lakes IJsselmeer and Markermeer, and rivers Waal, Nederrijn, and Meuse (Fig. 1). In the inter-species comparisons, only paired samples were used (same location and time period). The number of samples for each species included in these analyses was, therefore, the same. Inter-species comparisons consisted of analyses (1) combining all size classes and locations, (2) per water type (river or lake) and combining size classes, and (3) per size class and combining all water types (Table 2). Intra-specific comparisons were made for the quagga mussel. In order to reduce possible bias due to differences in sampling period, only samples taken in 2009 and 2010 were used for this comparison. To obtain sufficient statistical power, concentrations were aggregated either by size class or by sampling location. This was done (1) per size class combining all locations, (2) for lake and river water types combining all size classes and aggregating data for all water bodies within each water type, (3) individual rivers combining all size classes, and (4) individual lakes combining all size classes (Table 3).

2.3. Statistical analysis

Potential differences between groups were tested for statistical
significance using ANOVA or the non-parametric Mann Whitney test (IBM SPSS Statistics, release 20.0.0). Non parametric tests were applied if the data was not normally distributed and/or if non homogenous variance between groups was determined following log transformation. The Shapiro Wilk and Levene tests were applied to assess normality and equality of variance, respectively.

3. Results

3.1. Inter-species differences in metal concentrations in soft tissues

The overall comparison of the two species revealed significantly higher concentrations of nickel and copper in the zebra mussel than in the quagga mussel (Fig. 2A, Table 4). In the lake water type, zebra mussel soft tissues contained significantly higher concentrations of zinc66, cadmium111, copper, nickel, cobalt and molybdenum than quagga mussel soft tissue by a factor of 1.5—2.3. However, concentrations of aluminium, iron and lead in quagga mussel soft tissue were significantly higher than those in zebra mussel soft tissue, by factors of 1.7—1.9, respectively (Fig. 2B, Table 4). In the river water type zebra mussel soft tissues contained significantly higher concentrations of zinc66 (Fig. 2C, Table 4). For size classes, no significant differences between the two species were found (Fig. 2D—F).

3.2. Intra-species differences in metal concentrations in soft tissues

Iron, zinc66 and zinc68 were accumulated at significantly higher concentrations in quagga mussel soft tissue obtained from rivers than from lakes, by factors of 2.5, 1.5 and 1.8, respectively (Fig. 3, Table 5). No metals were present in significantly higher concentrations in quagga tissue obtained from lakes compared to rivers. The analysis of individual water bodies revealed no significant differences in metal concentration between quagga mussel soft tissues sampled from lake Markermeer and lake IJsselmeer (Table 5). Tissues of quagga mussels sampled from the river Meuse showed significantly higher concentrations of mercury, cadmium111, cadmium112, iron, manganese, cobalt, nickel, zinc66, zinc68, arsenic, selenium and tin than samples taken from the river Nederrijn (Table 5).

4. Discussion

In the present study we investigated potential differences in soft tissue metal concentration between quagga and zebra mussels, as well as intra-species differences in quagga mussel metal concentrations in relation to water type and shell size. To that end, we collected and analysed the soft tissue of zebra and quagga mussels of different size classes originating from various water bodies in the Netherlands. In order to minimise bias resulting from temporal and spatial variation in sampling intensity, paired samples (i.e., same location and sampling period) were used for the species and size class comparisons. In order to reduce possible bias due to differences in sampling period in the intra-species-comparisons of size and water body, only samples from the years 2009 and 2010 were used. Therefore, spatial and temporal variations in metal concentrations are unlikely to have influenced the results.

4.1. Differences in soft tissue metal concentrations between quagga and zebra mussels

A number of mainly American and Canadian studies on metal accumulation in dreissenid mussels support our findings. Richman and Somers (2005) found generally higher concentrations of zinc, nickel, copper, and cadmium in soft tissues of zebra mussels than in quagga mussels from the Niagara river, Canada. Our results also agree with the estimates of the dynamic bioaccumulation model developed by Le et al. (2011), as well as the results of a field study in Lake Ontario, Canada, where higher copper and zinc concentrations were found in zebra mussels (Johns and Timmerman, 1998). Moreover, mercury concentrations were similar in the two dreissenid species in lakes Mead, Mohave and Havasu, the United States, consistent with our results (Mueting and Gerstenberger, 2010). However, in a study examining seasonal and inter-annual variation, higher concentrations of cadmium were found in quagga mussels than zebra mussels sampled from the outflow of lake Ontario, Canada, contrasting with the results of this study (Johns and Timmerman, 1998). Moreover, Rutzke et al. (2000) found no statistical differences in a large set of soft tissue metal concentrations between quagga and zebra mussels sampled in June 1997 from lakes Erie and Ontario, Canada.
Different habitat characteristics may explain the contrasting results shown in these studies as compared to our results. Dutch lakes are relatively shallow compared to those present in North America such as the Great Lakes. For example, the largest lake in the Netherlands, Lake IJsselmeer, has a mean depth of 4.5 m (Berger and Sweers, 1998). Different depth and temperature regimes may play a role in the exposure and absorption of metals in mussels (Wiesner et al., 2001; Veltman et al., 2008). When zebra and quagga mussels occur in the same lake, zebra mussels generally reach their highest densities in warm, shallow water, whereas quagga mussels occur in colder, deeper water (Dermott and Munawar, 1993; Mills et al., 1996). Clearance rate and temperature relationships are reported in dreissenid mussels and dreissenid filtering activity may be positively influenced by temperature (Reeders and Bij de Vaate, 1990; Borcherding, 1992). Therefore, the quagga mussels preference for colder, deeper water than the zebra mussel and a possible relationship between temperature and filtration rate may explain the differences in metal accumulation measured in these studies.

Apart from differences in habitat preference and characteristics, the inter-species difference in metal accumulation may be related to differences in physiological characteristics, e.g. energy expenditure, filtration and growth rate. For example, pollutant uptake in

![Fig. 2. Comparison of average metal concentrations in quagga mussel (Dreissena rostriformis bugensis) and zebra mussel (Dreissena polymorpha) soft tissues in A) all subgroups combined; B) lake water type; C) river water type; D) <15 mm shell length; E) 15–22 mm shell length; F) >22 mm shell length. Error bars represent ln standard deviation.](image-url)
Na: statistical test not applicable.

Dreissenids has been linked to mussels (Dreissena rostriformis bugensis) from river and lake water types. Veltman et al. (2008) attributed high uptake rate constants in mussels to their high filtration rate (Kraak et al., 1991; Bervoets et al., 2004; Veltman et al., 2008; Le et al., 2011). Consequently, the quagga mussel is able to grow quicker than the zebra mussel (Baldwin et al., 2002; Karatayev et al., and Johnson, 2010). Consequently, the quagga mussel is able to demonstrate any inter-species difference in filtration rate. Yet, quagga mussels have been found to contain greater soft tissue dry mass than zebra mussels per unit shell length (Baldwin et al., 2002). Ackerman’s (1999) observations were based on mussel length only and not corrected for inter-species differences in soft tissue mass. Diggins (2001) compared individual mussel and ash-free dry weight filtration rates and found that, in both cases, quagga mussels filtered significantly faster than zebra mussels. Baldwin et al. (2002), on the other hand, observed that when samples were corrected for soft tissue dry mass, zebra mussel clearance rates (volume of water cleared of particles per unit time as a result of filtration) were two to seven times higher than those of the quagga mussel. Higher filtration rates in zebra mussels may account for the higher metal concentrations we observed in this species. However, inter-species differences in filtration rate may depend on local conditions, mussel size class and the definition applied to mussel size.

The quagga mussel expends less energy on reproduction, shell development and respiration than the zebra mussel, allowing it to invest more energy in soft tissue growth (Stoekmann, 2003; Casper and Johnson, 2010). Consequently, the quagga mussel is able to grow quicker than the zebra mussel (Baldwin et al., 2002; Karatayev et al.,

### Table 4

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>Metal</th>
<th>ANOVA</th>
<th>Mann Whitney</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Overall</td>
<td>Nickel</td>
<td>Na</td>
<td>U = 44.00, z = 2.08, P &lt; 0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Overall</td>
<td>Copper</td>
<td>Na</td>
<td>U = 28.00, z = 2.90, P &lt; 0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lake water type</td>
<td>Aluminium</td>
<td>Na</td>
<td>U = 4.00, z = 2.24, P &lt; 0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lake water type</td>
<td>Copper</td>
<td>Na</td>
<td>U = 0.00, z = 2.88, P &lt; 0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lake water type</td>
<td>Zinc66</td>
<td>Na</td>
<td>U = 0.00, z = 2.88, P &lt; 0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lake water type</td>
<td>Cobalt</td>
<td>Na</td>
<td>U = 2.00, z = 2.56, P &lt; 0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lake water type</td>
<td>Iron</td>
<td>Na</td>
<td>U = 3.00, z = 2.40, P &lt; 0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lake water type</td>
<td>Nickel</td>
<td>Na</td>
<td>U = 0.00, z = 2.88, P &lt; 0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lake water type</td>
<td>Molybdenum</td>
<td>Na</td>
<td>U = 4.00, z = 2.24, P &lt; 0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lake water type</td>
<td>Lead</td>
<td>Na</td>
<td>U = 4.00, z = 2.24, P &lt; 0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lake water type</td>
<td>Cadmium111</td>
<td>F(1, 10) = 13.54, P &lt; 0.05</td>
<td>Na</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>River water type</td>
<td>Zinc66</td>
<td>Na</td>
<td>U = 0.00, z = 3.13, P &lt; 0.05</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Na: statistical test not applicable.

### Table 5

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>Metal</th>
<th>ANOVA</th>
<th>Mann Whitney</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Water type</td>
<td>Iron</td>
<td>F(1, 13) = 19.57, P &lt; 0.05</td>
<td>Na</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Water type</td>
<td>Zinc66</td>
<td>Na</td>
<td>U = 3.00, z = 2.83, P &lt; 0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Water type</td>
<td>Zinc68</td>
<td>Na</td>
<td>U = 3.00, z = 2.83, P &lt; 0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rivers</td>
<td>Cadmium111</td>
<td>Na</td>
<td>U = 0.00, z = 2.32, P &lt; 0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rivers</td>
<td>Cadmium112</td>
<td>Na</td>
<td>U = 0.00, z = 2.32, P &lt; 0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rivers</td>
<td>Iron</td>
<td>Na</td>
<td>U = 0.00, z = 2.32, P &lt; 0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rivers</td>
<td>Manganese</td>
<td>Na</td>
<td>U = 1.00, z = 2.07, P &lt; 0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rivers</td>
<td>Cobalt</td>
<td>Na</td>
<td>U = 0.00, z = 2.32, P &lt; 0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rivers</td>
<td>Nickel</td>
<td>Na</td>
<td>U = 0.00, z = 2.32, P &lt; 0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rivers</td>
<td>Mercury</td>
<td>Na</td>
<td>U = 0.00, z = 2.32, P &lt; 0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rivers</td>
<td>Tin</td>
<td>Na</td>
<td>U = 1.00, z = 2.07, P &lt; 0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rivers</td>
<td>Zinc66</td>
<td>Na</td>
<td>U = 0.00, z = 2.32, P &lt; 0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rivers</td>
<td>Zinc68</td>
<td>Na</td>
<td>U = 0.00, z = 2.32, P &lt; 0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rivers</td>
<td>Arsenic</td>
<td>Na</td>
<td>U = 0.00, z = 2.32, P &lt; 0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rivers</td>
<td>Selenium</td>
<td>Na</td>
<td>U = 0.00, z = 2.32, P &lt; 0.05</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Na: statistical test not applicable.

* a Lakes combined, rivers combined.
* b Nederrijn, Meuse.

![Fig. 3. Comparison of dry weight metal concentrations in the soft tissues of quagga mussels (Dreissena rostriformis bugensis) from river and lake water types.](image-url)
Higher growth rates in quagga mussels may, in turn, result in higher growth dilution when compared to zebra mussels, leading to a decrease in body metal concentration with age and size (Carrasco et al., 2008; Le et al., 2011). Moreover, differences in metal concentrations between other mussel species have been related to differences in growth rate rather than to any direct difference in metabolism (Lobel et al., 1990). However, in a study of lake Erie, North America, growth rates were shown to be very similar in quagga and zebra mussels, which corresponds with the lack of statistically significant inter-species differences in metal concentrations observed in this lake (Macisaac, 1994; Rutzke et al., 2000).

4.2. Differences in mussel soft tissue concentrations with mussel size

Many Eurasian predatory fish species, including the roach (Rutilus rutilus), are highly selective of prey size (Nagelkerke and Sibbing, 1996). A change in dominance from zebra mussels to invading quagga mussels may result in changes in the trophic transfer of metals that differs between mussel size classes. However, in this study, no inter- or intra-species differences in metal concentration within size classes were found. In literature, some relationships have been reported between shell size and metal concentrations. A study of the Niagara River in Canada indicated that larger quagga and zebra mussels (i.e., 16–25 mm) generally had higher tissue concentrations than smaller mussels (<15 mm length) (Richman and Somers, 2005). However, differences in tissue concentrations between size classes were present only for cadmium, copper and manganese, making these results inconclusive. Mills et al. (1993) examined zebra and quagga mussels in lake Ontario, Canada, and found significant differences in manganese concentrations between large and small quagga mussels. In both lakes Erie and Ontario, Canada, Rutzke et al. (2000) found no significant differences between quagga and zebra mussel size classes for a wide range of metals. A possible explanation for the lack of statistically significant results between quagga size classes may be that continued metal accumulation and higher filtration rates in larger mussels are counter balanced by growth dilution. Based on these results, no conclusions can be drawn regarding changes in trophic transfer of metals based on prey size following a change in mussel dominance to the invasive quagga mussel.

4.3. Differences in metal concentration in the soft tissues of mussels between water types

Invasive mussels may accumulate metals to a higher degree in certain water types compared to others, leading to possible differences in trophic transfer of metals between water types in the event of invasion and selection of quagga mussels by predators. Lower metal concentrations observed in the soft tissues of mussels sampled from lakes compared to those from rivers may be explained by lower metal contamination/pollution levels in lake water compared to river water at the sampling locations. Higher concentrations of metals have been observed near river mouths in lakes compared to other locations within the same lakes suggesting that river water may carry higher concentrations of pollutants than lake water (Rosa-Irs-Hoz et al., 2000; Kishe and Machiwa, 2003). Current velocity and turbulent flow may be higher in rivers than lakes, for example because of intensive shipping. Resulting sediment re-suspension may increase metal concentrations in the water column (Vuori, 1995; Rosales-Hoz et al., 2000; Ji et al., 2002). Sediment re-suspension may increase metal availability and lead to higher mussel soft tissue metal concentrations in rivers.

Significantly higher concentrations of the majority of metals tested in quagga mussels taken from the river Meuse compared to the river Nederrijn may be related to higher levels of metal pollution in the river Meuse. This may be applicable for the period from 2008 to 2010 when zinc and cadmium were present in higher average concentrations in the river Meuse at Eijsden than in the river Rhine at Lobith (Rijkswaterstaat, 2014). However, this explanation does not hold for copper. Average copper concentrations were similar in the Rhine and the river Meuse for the same time period (Rijkswaterstaat, 2014; ICPR, 2014). Local variations in environmental metal concentration and differences in metal accumulation mechanisms may explain the disagreement between metal concentrations in water and mussel soft tissue concentration (Marie et al., 2006; Veitman et al., 2008). Intra-species differences in tissue metal concentrations between individual rivers, and river and lake water types, indicate that predator habitat preference may be an important consideration when assessing the consequences of aquatic bioinvasions for the trophic transfer of metals.

4.4. Implications for secondary poisoning

A change in species dominance from zebra to the invasive quagga mussel may alter the trophic transfer of metals as a result of inter-species differences in metal concentrations. The similarity in inter-species selenium concentration, and the significantly lower concentrations of copper (overall) and cadmium111, copper and zinc66 (in lakes) found in the quagga mussel suggest that, in general, a dominance shift and resulting dietary switch from zebra to quagga mussels will reduce exposure of predator species to a number of metals of concern at our sampling sites. Moreover, further reductions in exposure may occur if predator species exhibit a dietary preference for quagga mussels following a dominance shift from zebra to quagga mussels. The quagga mussel often has a larger soft tissue mass relative to shell size and a thinner shell than the zebra mussel (Baldwin et al., 2002; Zhulidov et al., 2006), which may be favoured by dreissenid predators. Eurasian cyprinids, some species of goby and whitefish are highly selective of molluscan prey (Starobogatov, 1994), and selective fish predation may explain the widespread decline of the quagga mussel relative to the zebra mussel in the Don and Manych River systems in Russia (Zhulidov et al., 2006). In conclusion, in the event of a switch in dominance from the zebra to the invasive quagga mussel, lower concentrations of copper, cadmium and zinc measured in our analysis of quagga mussels may contribute to the overall reduction in trophic transfer of these metals, adding to metal exposure reduction resulting from general improvements in water quality (Durance and Ormerod, 2009).
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