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Abstract

Multimodal MR (mpMR) imaging is rapidly evolving into the mainstay in prostate cancer (PCa) imaging. Generally, the examination consists of T2-weighted sequences, diffusion-weighted imaging (DWI), dynamic contrast-enhanced (DCE) evaluation, and less often, MR spectroscopy imaging (MRSI). Those functional techniques are related to biological properties of the tumor, so that DWI correlates to cellularity and Gleason scores, DCE correlates to angiogenesis, and MRSI correlates to cell membrane turnover. The combined use of those techniques enhances the diagnostic confidence and allows for better characterization of PCa. The present article reviews and illustrates the technical aspects and clinical applications of each component of mpMR imaging, in a practical approach from the urological standpoint.
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INTRODUCTION

Prostate cancer (PCa) is the second most common cause of cancer-related deaths in the male population. Currently, the most important PCa screening tools are based on the evaluation of prostate specific antigen levels and digital rectal examination (DRE), being both considered of limited accuracy in establishing a disease-specific diagnosis (1,2), and occasionally leading to overdiagnosis and overtreatment (3,4).

In such a context, the diagnostic imaging modalities are increasingly being used as a means to refine the detection and staging of PCa, and to ultimately provide a better treatment selection. Consequently, there has been a constant increase in the interest of the radiological community for prostate imaging modalities, with many studies also recently published in Brazil (5–8). Among those modalities, magnetic resonance (MR) imaging stands out as the most robust and accurate imaging in establishing a disease-specific diagnosis (1,2), and occasionally leading to overdiagnosis and overtreatment (3,4).
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**MAGNETIC RESONANCE IMAGING**

MR imaging is an imaging modality that does not involve ionizing radiation, and provides high resolution images with excellent soft-tissue contrast. The contrast-media employed is based on gadolinium chelates that show a better immunoallergenic profile than iodinated media. However, due to the risk of nephrogenic systemic fibrosis, caution
should be taken on the use of gadolinium based agents in patients with impaired renal function (i.e., creatinine clearance < 30 ml/min/1.73 m²).

The examination is carried out with high field strength scanners, with either 1.5 T or 3.0 T, using a pelvic surface coil to maximize the signal in the region of interest. The use of an endorectal coil is under debate, and most of the institutions currently relegate its use only for staging purposes, according to a recent consensus statement⁹. Taking into account that hemorrhage is a potentially confounding factor for misdiagnosis of PCa, an interval of 6–8 weeks between the biopsy session and MR imaging examination is usually advised in order to allow appropriate MR spectroscopy imaging (MRSI) acquisition and to prevent potential degradation of diffusion-weighted imaging (DWI) signal¹⁰. The patients are asked to refrain from sexual activities 72 hours prior to the examination, in order to distend the seminal vesicles and improve its visualization. At least a 4-hour fasting interval is recommended, and the patients are instructed to empty the bladder one hour before the examination. Also, scopolamine (Buscopan®) is administered immediately before the examination, in order to attenuate peristalsis and minimize motion artifacts.

As an imaging modality, MR imaging enables the assessment of prostatic disease with a much higher spatial resolution than any other technique. Consequently, MR imaging has evolved as a powerful modality in the localization and staging of PCa with a much better performance than DRE or transrectal ultrasonography (TRUS)¹¹. Recent advances combine functional techniques with the already established anatomical imaging sequences based on T1- and T2-weighing, resulting in a multiparametric sequence protocol.

T2-weighted (T2w) imaging constitutes the backbone of prostate imaging, providing anatomical details and showing suspicious lesions with high spatial resolution. Among the functional techniques, DWI¹², dynamic contrast enhancement (DCE) evaluation¹³, and proton spectroscopy¹⁴ are part of routine clinical studies, and will be further explained throughout this article.

**T2-weighted imaging findings**

On T2w images in the axial plane¹⁵ (Figure 1), the normal peripheral zone demonstrates a homogeneous high-signal intensity background with a “crescent” or “bullhorn shape”. The prostatic capsule is defined by a thin, hypointense line which is an important landmark for tumor staging. In the absence of benign prostatic hyperplasia (BPH), the central, transitional and periurethral zones are indistinguishable from each other, thus being usually referred to in combination as “central” or “internal” gland. The healthy internal gland is characterized by intermediate signal intensity on T2w images. Encircling the central gland lays the “surgical capsule”, a thin T2-hypointense layer that separates the inner portion from the peripheral zone, and represents an important landmark for BPH surgery.

At morphologic T2w images, PCas is characterized by the presence of focal hypointense lesions, frequently with nodular or oval shape, that either replace the normal hyperintense signal of the peripheral zone, or the usual heterogeneous pattern of the internal gland¹⁶ (Figure 2). This finding is considered highly sensitive (> 90%) for the detection of PCa with Gleason scores of 7 or higher, but it should...
be known that this sensitivity drops significantly for Gleason scores of 6 or lower. Most importantly, specificity of T2w images alone is limited because hemorrhage, prostatitis, scars, atrophy, post-radiation changes, post-cryoablation status, and also hormone therapy may show up as focal low signal intensities in the peripheral zone.

The diagnosis of PCa in the central zone by means of conventional anatomical sequences poses an even greater challenge, given the heterogeneity of the region and the wide spectrum of changes attributable to BPH. Thus, a number of criteria based on pattern-recognition algorithms have been described\(^1\), as follows: ill-defined homogeneous T2-hypointense focal lesion replacing the normal background (“erased charcoal sign”); spiculated or ill-defined margins; anteriorly located lesion; lenticular or spindle-like shape; loss of the T2-hypointense contour of BPH nodules; loss of definition of the surgical capsule; or signs of urethral invasion (Figure 3).

However, focal T2-hypointense areas may still be normally observed in the central gland as predominantly stromal BPH, or either as prominence of the anterior fibromuscular stroma. Many different studies investigating the accuracy of conventional MR imaging in the detection of central gland tumors have almost universally reported low sensitivity, low specificity and high interobserver variability\(^1\).

Regarding local staging of PCa, the most important issue is to differentiate between organ-confined disease (T1 and T2 stages) or locally advanced tumor, either as extracapsular extension (T3a) or as seminal vesicle invasion (T3b), in order to choose the right treatment plan. The imaging criteria for extracapsular extension include: neurovascular bundle asymmetry; macroscopic tumor involvement of the neurovascular bundle; focal bulging of the prostatic contour, spiculation or irregularity of prostatic contour; obliteration of the recto-prostatic angle; capsular retraction; contact of the tumor focus with prostatic capsule wider than 1.0 cm; and signs of capsular rupture with direct tumor extension to the periprostatic fat\(^1\) (Figure 4).

Findings that indicate seminal vesicle invasion include: focal low signal intensity in the interior or along a seminal...

---

**Figure 3.** Appearance of PCa in the internal gland on T2-weighted MR images in the axial plane. It is noteworthy a hypointense area in the left internal gland (arrow), with indistinct contours, and signs of rupture of the surgical capsule (arrow-head) extending into the adjacent peripheral zone.

**Figure 4.** T2-weighted MR images of the prostate, showing typical findings of extracapsular tumor extension marked by arrow-heads in the following examples: asymmetry of the neurovascular bundle (A), tumor involvement of the neurovascular bundle (B), spiculated contour of the prostatic capsule (C), and focal bulging on the contour of the prostatic capsule (D).
vesicle; T2 hypointense and enlarged seminal vesicle; T2 hypointense and enlarged ejaculatory duct; obliteration of the bladder/prostate angle; and direct tumor extension form the prostatic base to the seminal vesicle, this latter being one the most positive predictive finding (21) (Figure 5).

The conventional anatomical MR imaging techniques demonstrate a wide spectrum of reported sensitivities (13–95%) and specificities (49–97%) among many different studies for the detection of extracapsular extension. Similarly, the sensitivities (23–80%) and specificities for the detection of seminal vesicle invasion also show wide variation (17). Therefore, those intrinsic limitations and variations in the results of conventional techniques underscore the need for a multiparametric approach in prostate MR imaging, combining the anatomical findings with those of the functional techniques.

Functional techniques

Proton spectroscopy

MR spectroscopic imaging (MRSI) has been widely used as a biomarker for the detection and characterization of tumors, including PCa (22). This technique aims to estimate the concentration of certain substances and metabolites in a given biological tissue, by means of MR imaging. The sampled metabolites are represented by “spikes” or “peaks” in a spectrum, and the relationship among their concentrations is used to establish the diagnosis (Figure 6).

Among the metabolites usually studied in prostate spectroscopy, citrate is found in high concentrations (> 60 mM) in normal prostate epithelium and prostatic fluid, being also observed in low concentrations in other locations of the gland (23). Decreased levels of citrate are characteristically seen in PCa, but also in areas of prostatitis and bleeding.

Choline represents a compound of cell membrane lipids. Choline concentrations are generally elevated in PCa, due to a higher cell-membrane turnover and an increased cell-membrane surface/cell-volume ratio. A true increase in choline peak is considered the spectral signature of malignancy (24), but this may also be observed in prostatitis (25) to a lesser extent.

Other metabolites in prostate MRSI include creatine, which has no direct correlation with PCa and is primarily used as a reference point; and polyamine, which is detected only at 3 T and may be decreased in PCa.

However, considering that the spectrum does not provide measurable absolute concentrations of metabolites, ratios and comparisons are employed for the evaluation of metabolite peaks. Among those, the most notorious ratio is calculated by the formula (choline + creatine) / citrate. This ratio is used
as a marker for malignancy, especially for the peripheral zone.

Although MRSI is promising as a high-specific method, it significantly lacks sensitivity. A recent multicenter study evaluated the incremental value of MRSI at 1.5 T over conventional T2w images on the localization of PCa, and found no benefit from MRSI information in terms of performance and accuracy gain\(^{(14)}\). The results of this important study, combined with the very low interobserver agreement, the considerably long acquisition time and the complexity of post-processing, have led to the downgrading of MRSI to the status of an ancillary and optional technique in most centers that investigate prostate imaging.

**Dynamic contrast enhancement (DCE)**

DCE evaluation is a functional MR imaging technique that enables the calculation of parameters that are intimately related to the microvascular properties of tissue angiogenesis. In PCa, an increase in tumor vascularity expressed by an enhancement pattern with intense and early contrast-media wash-in, followed by intense and early wash-out, unlike normal peripheral zone tissue that shows slow, mild and progressive wash-in. Benign diseases, such as prostatitis and BPH, may also lead to regional changes in the enhancement pattern, although to lower extent\(^{(26)}\) (Figure 7).

DCE evaluation is based on T1w sequences that are repeatedly acquired before, during and after contrast-media administration, encompassing the whole prostate gland, with a high temporal resolution. Multiple acquisitions are obtained, up to 4–8 minutes. Then, images are post-processed in specific applications, with either a semi-quantitative or a quantitative approach, that usually enable the generation of enhancement curves and color parametric maps for better understanding by non-radiologists and better communication of the results. On those parametric maps, pixels have colors according to a hemodynamic parameter analyzed (i.e., positive enhancement integral, wash-in rate, maximum intensity pixel, \textit{ktrans}, kep, etc.), and suspicious lesions manifest as focal and asymmetric areas with high signal intensity in the chosen color spectrum. Moreover, it is possible to superimpose or fuse those color maps to the original T2w images, thus increasing the degree of confidence by the exact topographic correlation of anatomical and functional findings (Figure 8).

Regardless of the choice between a semi-quantitative and a quantitative model, DCE evaluation has shown strong evidence for good performance in the diagnosis of PCa. It has been demonstrated that, among others, DCE is significantly better than conventional T2-weighted images in the localization of tumor foci\(^{(27–29)}\), and that it increases the accuracy in the detection of extracapsular extension and seminal vesicle involvement by less experienced radiologists\(^{(30)}\). Thus, the use of DCE is definitely well indicated, and is a fundamental part of multiparametric prostate MR imaging.

---

**Figure 7.** Signal intensity versus time curve in a typical tumor lesion. The image \textit{A} represents the early arterial phase of DCE evaluation, showing a focal area of early contrast enhancement in the peripheral zone at right (outlined by the red line). Normal appearing areas were also outlined in the contralateral peripheral zone (yellow) and internal gland (green). The resulting curves (\textit{B}) show that the suspicious lesion (red curve) is characterized by a high and steep rise (washin), followed by a marked decrease (washout), with a significantly different behavior from the other curves.

**Figure 8.** Semi-quantitative post-processing of DCE. Image \textit{A} represents the parametric map generated from DCE evaluation of the same patient of Figure 7, corresponding to the area under the curve during the first minute (positive enhancement index – PEI). Note that on this map, the suspected area cited in Figure 7 is coded in red (arrowheads), standing out from the other portions of the prostatic parenchyma. On \textit{B}, one observes a fusion between the DCE parametric map and the T2-weighted sequence in the axial plane, enabling better correlation of anatomic and functional findings.
Diffusion-weighted imaging (DWI)

DWI studies the random movement of water molecules in different physical media, also known as “Brownian movements”. In biological tissues, these movements are impeded at different amounts by interactions with other molecules and cell structures, or even by cellular density. Consequently, a method that assesses water diffusion properties has ultimately the potential to indirectly estimate information related to the composition of a certain tissue, its cellular density, tissue microperfusion, or even the viability of cell membranes\(^{(31)}\). In the clinical practice, those properties have taken DWI into the category of a noninvasive imaging biomarker in oncology, with many already proven applications in tumor detection, staging and response evaluation\(^{(32)}\).

DWI is an imaging sequence that does not require contrast-media administration, and is carried out in approximately 5 minutes during prostate MRI examinations. The sequence generates many image sets according to the number of diffusion factors or “b-factors” chosen. The scanner also generates an apparent diffusion coefficient (ADC) map, which is a set of images that enable the quantification of diffusion properties. Thus, a lesion with impeded (or “restricted”) diffusion appears as a hypointense area on the ADC map, reflecting a low diffusion coefficient, or low “ADC values”.

The healthy prostate tissue is rich in tubular fluid-filled structures, allowing for unimpeded diffusion of water molecules in their interior, manifesting by high ADC values. In the majority of cases, the peripheral zone can be easily discerned from the central gland at DWI, owing to its homogeneously higher ADC values\(^{33-35}\) (Figure 9). BPH leads to the development of adenomatous nodules in the transitional zone, which over time compress the central zone, making it a difficult task to accurately define the zonal anatomy of the central gland at MR imaging\(^{(15)}\). This heterogeneity is also manifested in water diffusion properties in BPH, being classically expressed at MR imaging as foci of low ADC values interspersed with areas of high ADC values\(^{(36)}\).

PCa is histologically characterized by a higher cell-density and a higher nucleus/cytoplasm ratio as compared with the surrounding healthy prostate tissue, with substitution of the glandular parenchyma by tumor cells. This causes impeded diffusion, with a marked reduction in the ADC values relative to the healthy prostate tissue\(^{(12,37,38)}\) (Figure 10).

Moreover, while well-differentiated tumors may in some way maintain their tubular architecture, poorly-differentiated or aggressive tumors exhibit prominent cell components, with derangement of tubular architecture, and consequently generating potential differences in diffusion properties and ADC measurements between those two categories\(^{(39-44)}\).

Most studies have evaluated the usefulness of DWI in the detection of PCa in the peripheral zone. Many of those studies reported better performance for lesion detection in comparison with T2w images, either by the use of DWI alone, or in combination with conventional sequences\(^{(45)}\). Other studies have demonstrated that the combination of T2w and DWI findings achieve a sensitivity in the range of 45–89% and specificity of 61–97%, as compared with 74–85% and 57–95% for DWI alone, or 25–87% and 57–92% for T2w alone\(^{(36,45-52)}\).

As regards the detection of lesions in the central gland, DWI has the potential to complement T2w imaging findings\(^{(53)}\), since it is already known that, in PCa, the ADC values are generally lower than those of the healthy central gland\(^{(53,54)}\) and of BPH nodules\(^{(36)}\), but with smaller sensitivity than for the peripheral zone.

In terms of local staging, the identification of minimal extra-capsular extension requires high-resolution anatomical images\(^{(17)}\), that usually overcome the spatial properties of currently available DWI sequences. Conversely, the usefulness of DWI is already well demonstrated for the evaluation of seminal vesicle involvement (SVI)\(^{(55,56)}\), with higher specificity (97%) and accuracy (96%) for the combination of DWI and T2w, as compared with the specificity (87%) and accuracy (87%) of T2w alone. Although so far unconfirmed by the literature, in this same indication, there may also be a role for the use of fused ADC maps and T2w images, which may potentially add confidence to the diagnosis.

As regards the evaluation of tumor aggressiveness, histopathology-based Gleason grading system remains as one of the most important prognostic factors for disease-free survival and for the determination of tumor clinical behavior\(^{(57-59)}\). However, it is also well known that, in a substantial number of patients, the Gleason scores obtained from routine TRUS biopsy specimens may be significantly underestimated in relation to the final post-prostatectomy Gleason score\(^{(60,61)}\). Thus, among all other conventional and functional MR im-
aging modalities, DWI is probably the one with the highest potential to correlate with the degree of tumor aggressiveness, since the same factors that lead to a higher Gleason score (e.g., high cellularity, loss of tubular architecture) also promote restriction to water diffusion, and consequently low ADC values\(^{(37)}\).

Recently, a study developed by Bittencourt et al. demonstrated a significant negative correlation between ADC values in PCa and the respective Gleason scores\(^{(62)}\) in prostatectomy specimens. Moreover, this same study found that DWI outperformed even the prostate biopsy specimens in the estimation of PCa aggressiveness. Nevertheless, until this moment, all of the studies have also observed a significant overlap of ADC values along the different Gleason scores. Therefore, it is postulated that the present role of DWI in the evaluation of tumor aggressiveness is mainly the guidance for collection of biopsy specimens\(^{(63)}\) and the integration to risk assessment nomograms\(^{(64)}\).

**CONCLUSION**

In conclusion, multiparametric prostate MR imaging is now a reality in the clinical practice, with solid and well-established data regarding tumor detection and staging. DCE and DWI are robust functional techniques that should be included in every examination, increasing the accuracy and reliability of the imaging findings provided by the anatomical T2w images. Large prospective studies are also ongoing, aiming at correlating MR imaging findings with long-term clinical outcomes, cancer screening and follow-up of active surveillance patients.

**REFERENCES**


