In this article, we give an update on recent findings regarding molecular pathology in cutaneous melanocytic tumors. The focus lies on use of genetics in the diagnosis of distinct subtypes of spitzoid tumors that are often characterized by specific phenotypic–genotypic alterations that can frequently be recognized by adequate histological examination. Typical illustrating cases are given in order to increase recognition of these lesions in daily dermatopathology practice. New molecular findings in the pathogenesis of congenital melanocytic tumors and neurocutaneous melanosis are reviewed. In addition, use of mutation analysis in the differential diagnosis of melanoma metastasis is discussed. Finally, application of mutation analysis in targeted therapy in advanced melanoma with advantages of new techniques such as next generation sequencing is described.
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**INTRODUCTION**

During the past 20 years, there has been a rapid development of molecular techniques increasing the possibilities of genetic testing in all kinds of tumors including melanocytic tumors. This has led to a rapid gain in our knowledge on the development of melanocytic tumors, which can help in diagnosis, prognosis, and treatment of melanocytic tumors. In 2010, we wrote a review on the molecular pathology of these tumors (1). Since developments in the field are fast, we will address in this paper relevant new findings from the recent years within the field of molecular pathology in melanocytic lesions.

The first part has a focus on new findings with respect to diagnosis and pathogenesis. The focus will be on new molecular findings in spitzoid tumors, in congenital melanocytic nevi (CMN) and neurocutaneous melanocytosis, and the use of molecular tests in the differential diagnosis of melanoma.

The second part will be devoted to application of molecular pathology in the treatment of melanoma, and will briefly address new technological developments such as next generation sequencing (NGS) techniques in this setting.

**PART 1: MOLECULAR PATHOLOGY IN THE DIAGNOSIS AND PATHOGENESIS OF MELANOCYTIC TUMORS**

Early events in the development of melanocytic tumors are often hotspot mutations in genes involved in the MAPK pathway, which is one of the most important pathways involved in melanocytic tumor development (Figure 1). Important oncogenes in this pathway are **BRAF** (7q34), **NRAS** (1p13), **HRAS** (11p15), **GNAQ** (9p21), **GNA11** (19p13), and **KIT** (4q12) (2–5). Mutations in these genes are mostly mutually exclusive, by themselves do not cause malignant progression, stay present with malignant progression, and activate the MAPK pathway. Different subtypes of benign and malignant melanocytic tumors are characterized by different mutations in these genes of the MAPK pathway.

In common nevi for instance, **BRAF** and **NRAS** mutations are present in 60–87.5% (6,7) and 20%, respectively. In large congenital nevi up to 80%, **NRAS** mutations are reported (7,8). In blue nevi, mainly **GNAQ** (83%) and **GNA11** (7%) mutations are found (9), and in Spitz nevi, **HRAS** mutations are reported in 20–29% (7,10).

Especially, in Spitz tumors, several new data indicate that these tumors are genetically more diverse than was previously thought. We will discuss these new findings below in part 1, together with new insights in the pathogenesis of CMN and the rare disease of neurocutaneous melanocytosis. We will also address the role of molecular pathology in the differential diagnosis of (metastatic) melanoma.

The distinct mutations in different melanoma types will be discussed later in part 2 (see also Table 1).

**WHAT IS NEW IN SPITZOID MELANOCYTIC TUMORS?**

At present, roughly three subgroups of spitzoid melanocytic tumors can be identified based on distinct genetic alterations. The first one is the group of the **HRAS**-mutated spitzoid tumors (13). The second group is the one of the **BAP1**-mutated “spitzoid lesions” (14,15), and the third group consists of spitzoid tumors with kinase fusion (16).

The first two groups seem to be characterized mostly by a typical phenotype that can be recognized or at least suspected upon histological evaluation.

Most of the **HRAS**-mutated spitzoid tumors are typically wedge shaped, dermal-based lesions, with an infiltrative margin, consisting of mostly spindle-shaped cells, and showing marked desmoplasia (13,17). This group is relevant to discriminate...
because of the favorable prognosis and to prevent melanoma overdiagnosis.

Several studies have reported the presence of HRAS mutations in spitzoid tumors with benign behavior, and absence in clear-cut spitzoid melanomas (10, 17, 18). There is only one recent paper mentioning the occurrence of HRAS mutations in up to 10% (2/20 cases examined) of primary cutaneous melanomas (19). In this paper, no histology of the lesions is shown or described; therefore, whether these lesions were spitzoid or not remains unclear, and no follow-up data of the patients are included to confirm the proposed diagnosis of melanoma by the authors. Furthermore, this paper also gives mutation frequencies of BRAF (25%) and NRAS (10%) that are quite different from most studies in the melanoma field.

In 2010, we described a series of 24 HRAS-mutated spitzoid melanocytic tumors (13). In 7/24 (29%) of these lesions, the initial diagnosis or important differential diagnosis had been melanoma based upon histological examination alone. These were mainly cases in adults that displayed rather frequent or deep mitotic activity. In five cases, more than 2 mitoses/mm² or deep mitoses were present. In this series with a mean and median follow-up of 10.5 years, no recurrences or metastases occurred. An example of a HRAS-mutated spitzoid lesion is depicted in Figure 2.

The second group, which we preferentially call MBAITs (melanocytic BAP1-associated intradermal tumors, but are also called Wiesner tumor or BAPoma) are often polypoid, dermal based, consisting of large epitheloid “spitzoid-looking” cells, that can have a small common nevus component at the margin (especially in BAP1-germline mutated lesions), and in one-third of cases, there are prominent tumor-infiltrating lymphocytes (TILs).

These BAP1-mutated melanocytic lesions were first described by Wiesner et al. They described two families, one in Australia and one in Germany, in which a total of 16 individuals were affected by atypical cutaneous melanocytic tumors, in association with cutaneous and uvea melanomas (14). The affected family members were found to have a BAP1-germline mutation. Subsequently, it was found that these spitzoid-looking MBAITs, besides a BAP1 mutation, also contained a BRAF mutation. Later, these lesions were also described in a sporadic setting in so-called atypical spitzoid tumors (ASTs), without having an underlying BAP1-germline mutation (15, 20). In 2012, Wiesner et al. described a series of 32 ASTs (20). Nine cases (28%) showed BAP1 protein expression loss while BRAF protein expression was present. In 8/9 cases (89%), a BRAFV600E mutation was found, and in 5/9 cases (55%), a somatic BAP1 mutation was present. No HRAS mutations were

![FIGURE 1 | Two important pathways involved in the development of melanocytic tumors and melanoma: the MAPK pathway and the AKT/Pi3K-pathway. Activation of both routes leads to proliferation. NRAS plays an important role in both pathways. Mutations in GNAQ, GNA11, and BRAF lead to activation of the MAPK pathway only, while mutations in KIT and NRAS can activate both pathways. Different inhibitors can be applied in targeted therapy of advanced melanoma patients, and their points of action are indicated also. MEK inhibitors can be effective in case of several different gene mutations in the MAPK pathway, because they exert their effect in the distal part of the pathway.](image-url)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Localization primary melanoma</th>
<th>BRAF 7q34</th>
<th>NRAS 1p13.2</th>
<th>KIT 4q12</th>
<th>GNAQ 9p21</th>
<th>GNA11 19p13</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Melanoma from CSDS/LMM</td>
<td>8%</td>
<td>15%</td>
<td>28%</td>
<td>1.4%</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Melanoma from NCSD skin</td>
<td>60%</td>
<td>22%</td>
<td>0%-very low</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ALM</td>
<td>22%</td>
<td>10%</td>
<td>23–36%</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mucosal melanoma</td>
<td>3–11%</td>
<td>5–24%</td>
<td>16–39%</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Uvea melanoma</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>45–50%</td>
<td>32%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Melanoma from the CNS</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>30% (adults)</td>
<td>30% (adults)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sensitive to treatment with BRAF inhibitors</td>
<td>MEK inhibitors. Resistant to BRAFi</td>
<td>Imatinib, nilotinib, sunitinib, dasatinib</td>
<td>(Pre-clinical) MEK inhibitors</td>
<td>(Pre-clinical) MEK inhibitors</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 1 | Overview of frequencies of gene mutations in different melanoma subtypes derived from different locations

In different studies, there is some variation in reported frequencies (5, 11, 12).

CSDS, chronic sun damaged skin; LLM, lentigo malignant melanoma; ALM, acrolentiginous melanoma; CNS, central nervous system.
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**FIGURE 2 | HRAS-mutated spitzoid tumor in a female patient aged 47 years.** The lesion was located on the right lower arm and measured 0.8 cm in diameter. The lesion was diagnosed elsewhere as STUMP (Spitzoid tumor of uncertain malignant potential). (A,B) The lesion was symmetrical, wedge-shaped, mainly dermal located, with epithelioid spitzoid-looking cells in an eosinophilic hyalinized stroma [(A) HE 25×, (B) HE 400×]. There were 2 mitoses present per 1 mm². Deep mitoses were absent. (C) Sequence plot of the mutation HRAS c.182A>T (p.(Gln61Leu)) with 44% of mutant alleles. The genomic sequence of the gene investigated is marked in green bars, and the protein sequence information is on top of the gene sequence. The combined (forward and reverse) gene sequence information is highlighted in light green, and the expected protein sequence is placed on top. The forward sequence information is indicated as light blue bars and reverse sequence information as purple bars. The square box shows the number and percentage of the different nucleotides at the variant position; the red vertical bar indicates the HRAS hotspot mutation position. The sequence plots are generated in SeqNext (from JSI Medical Systems GmbH). Note that the HRAS RefSeq is NM_005343.2.

found. Histology was comparable to the BAP1-germline mutation associated MBAITs, demonstrating a dermal-based lesion, plump epithelioid cells, giant cells, with in 1/3 cases prominent TILs, and absence of prominent fibrosis. These cases were not described to contain a small nevoid component in contrast to the germline BAP1-associated cases.

Yeh et al. recently described genomic loss, determined with array CGH, of >1 Mbp of chromosome 3 in a region containing the BAP1 locus in a series of 29 cases out of 436 ambiguous melanocytic tumors (6.7%) (21): 22 cases showed partial loss of chromosome 3, while 7 cases demonstrated monosomy of chromosome 3. In 11 cases BAP1 mutation analysis was performed with in 10 cases a loss of function mutation of BAP1, and in the remaining single case with wild-type BAP1, BAP1 protein expression was lost on the immunohistochemical level. In the cases with loss of 1 copy of BAP1, the BAP1 protein expression was always lost. So, immunohistochemistry for BAP1 protein seems to correlate well with the genetic findings. Reported follow-up in their series was good, although short (median 17 months), with no recurrences, and in one patient a negative sentinel lymph node. Morphology of 17 lesions with biallelic loss of BAP1 that looked spitzoid was as described previously by others, with TILs being present in 50% of cases, and 31% having a small nevoid component at the margin. In these cases, a junctional component was present composed of the common nevus component. In one case without a common nevus component, a junctional spitzoid component was present. In 12/17 cases, a BRAF\textsuperscript{V600E} mutation was present, 4 cases were wildtype BRAF, and 1 case showed an NRAS\textsuperscript{Q61R} mutation. The latter is rarely reported at present, but we have also encountered such a case ourselves recently (paper submitted). In our patient, no underlying BAP1 mutation was found. The other reported NRAS-mutated case (21) in literature was not tested for a germline mutation in BAP1. Most of the MBAIT cases reported thus far do not seem to be tested for the presence of NRAS mutations, so the number of NRAS-mutated cases may be larger, and the genetic make-up of these BAP1-associated melanocytic lesions could be broader than currently thought.

The fact that the combined MBAIT lesions show only BAP1 loss in the epithelioid component suggests that they probably develop from a common nevus (that is mostly BRAF and seldom NRAS mutated) (7).

MBAITs probably have a low risk of developing into melanoma, but at present, data about behavior are insufficient to draw definite conclusions.

In the two largest series thus far by Pouryazdanparast et al. (22) and Yeh et al. (21), reporting 28 and 29 cases, respectively,
follow-up was favorable without recurrences. Follow-up was relatively short with a mean of 21 months and median of 17 months, respectively. Pouyrazdanparast performed FISH (using probes targeting chromosome 6p25 (RREB1), chromosome 6q23 (MYB), chromosome 11q13 (CCND1), and the centromeric portion of chromosome 6 (CEP 6)) on these lesions, which was negative in all cases.

The difference in outcome between the uveal lesions and the skin lesions with a BAP1 mutation may be related to the presence of different oncogenic driver mutations in uveal lesions, which harbor GNAQ or GNA11 mutations (23) instead of BRAF or NRAS mutations.

The suggested progression-promoting effect of mutated BAP1 is in line with the tumor suppressive function of intact BAP1 as a deubiquitylase required for efficient assembly of the homologous recombination (HR) factors BRCA1 and RAD51 after DNA double-strand breaks (DSBs) (24, 25). BAP1 is recruited to DNA damage sites together with ASXL1 and deubiquitilates Ub-H2AK119 at sites of DNA damage (24, 25). In this way, it promotes error-free repair of these lesions. Defective HR and increased sensitivity to radiation due to BAP1 deficiency may, therefore, lead to genomic instability, a hallmark of cancer (24, 25). Moreover, BAP1 prevents proteasomal degradation of the conserved epigenetic regulator host cell factor-1 (HCF-1) and, consistent with this, Dey et al. showed that BAP1 KO splenocytes contained far less HCF-1 than their wild-type counterparts (26). It is thought this, Dey et al. showed that BAP1 KO splenocytes contained far less HCF-1 than their wild-type counterparts (26). It is thought that BAP1 regulates gene expression via stabilization of HCF-1 (26). These two examined functions of BAP1 could explain tumor progression due to altered BAP1 expression.

The most important reason for recognition of MBAITs at present is that they can be a marker of an underlying BAP1-associated germline mutation/cancer syndrome. Individuals with a BAP1-germline mutation have an increased risk to develop cutaneous and ocular melanoma and mesothelioma, apart from the risk to develop multiple MBAITs (27).

Management of MBAIT lesions should consist of complete removal of the lesion and advise for genetic counseling to exclude a potential underlying cancer syndrome.

Typical BRAF-mutated MBAIT cases from our own practice are shown in Figures 3 and 4 (Courtesy Dr. R. Kornegoor, Department of Pathology, Gelre Hospitals, Apeldoorn, The Netherlands).

In case of suspicion of a MBAIT lesion, we recommend at least a BRAF and BAP1 immunostaining, but preferentially BRAF, NRAS, HRAS, and BAP1 mutation analysis is performed. In case a laboratory cannot perform these, we recommend consultation because of the important implications of a correct diagnosis. Mutation analysis of the BAP1 gene is difficult, since it is a complex gene and mutations can be present along all of the 17 exons of the gene. A low tumor percentage due to the small size of a lesion or small size of the spitzoid component, or the presence of a lot of TILs, can all hamper BAP1 mutation analysis in this setting.

The third group of spitzoid lesions, those with kinase fusions has only recently been described. Wiesner et al. described the presence of alterations in ROS1, NTRK1, ALK, BRAF, and RET in, respectively, 17%, 16%, 10%, 5%, and 3% of spitzoid tumors. These alterations were present along the entire spectrum of the spitzoid tumors (55% in Spitz nevi, 56% in AST, and 39% in spitzoid melanoma), and these alterations therefore seem early events, and are not useful (yet) for differentiating benign from malignant spitzoid lesions. No clear distinct phenotypes were described at this time.

Recently, Busam et al. described 17 cases of Spitz tumors with ALK fusion, including 5 Spitz nevi and 12 AST (28). Clinically, these lesions were often polypoid. Histology showed a compound, mostly dermal located lesions with a plexiform growth, and consisting of fusiform melanocytes. In only 2 cases, the lesional cells contained pigment.

All cases showed ALK protein expression. ALK FISH was positive in all cases (using a commercially available break-apart probe, Abbott Molecular, Des Plaines, IL); in 11/17 cases, the fusion partner was tropomyosin 3 (TPM-3), in 6/17 cases, the fusion partner was dynactin 1 (DCTN-1). FISH for copy number alterations did not meet the criteria for melanoma diagnosis in any case. Array CGH revealed no chromosomal gains or losses. In 2 cases, a sentinel node procedure was performed and in both cases showed small nests in the subcapsular sinus. Both patients were alive and well after <1 year and after 4 years of follow-up. At present, the follow-up time and number of cases is too limited to draw definite conclusions about the prognosis of this group of Spitz tumors with ALK fusions.

An ALK-positive Spitz tumor is presented in Figure 5 (Courtesy Prof. Dr. J. van den Oord, KU Leuven, Belgium).

The anaplastic lymphoma kinase (ALK) gene, located on chromosome 2p23, is a receptor tyrosinase kinase protein and is capable of causing diverse tumor types of different lineages through a variety of molecular mechanisms (29). The most common mechanism of ALK activation is a genomic rearrangement involving the ALK locus, with a breakpoint in the 3′ end of the ALK gene, with the other breakpoint involving a diverse group of genes leading to formation of a fusion oncogene that encodes for a fusion oncoprotein that is able to self-associate (29). Another way of ALK activation is by gain-of-function point mutations, but these are less frequent than ALK rearrangements. Also, ALK amplifications have been described in several tumor types, leading to the presence of multiple copies of the wild-type full-length ALK gene. But the way this contributes to tumor development is poorly understood (29).

As mentioned above, in spitzoid tumors at present, only ALK rearrangements have been tested and described, and present in up to 19% of cases (16), with TPM-3 and DCTN-1 as fusion partners (16, 28). ALK rearrangements leading to TPM3-ALK fusion have also been reported in anaplastic large cell lymphoma and papillary thyroid carcinoma, and result in a constitutive tyrosinase kinase activity, in this way causing tumor development (29).

Relevance of diagnosis of Spitz tumors with kinase fusions at the moment is mainly for treatment in malignant lesions (e.g., ALK, RET, ROS1 alterations can be targeted with kinase inhibitors, such as crizotinib, cabozantinib, and vantedatinb).

Table 2 summarizes the characteristic features of the three above-described subtypes of spitzoid melanocytic lesions, including the most common histological findings, and genetic and prognostic features, with clinical relevance of recognition of these subtypes.
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MOLECULAR BACKGROUND OF CONGENITAL MELANOCYTIC NEVI (CMN) AND NEUROCUTANEOUS MELANOSIS (NCM)

Congenital melanocytic nevi are pigmented moles present at birth or shortly thereafter (30). They vary in size from small to very large or "giant" and hundreds of CMN can be present in one patient (31). CMN are considered to be a sporadic event with the exception of a few familial cases (32, 33). Especially giant CMN (>40 cm in size) are associated with an increased risk for cutaneous melanoma (up to 10–15% life-time risk) (34).

Both NRAS and BRAF mutations have been detected in CMN in a mutually exclusive pattern, and there is a genotype–phenotype correlation between the size of CMN and type of mutation. Especially, large and giant CMN harbor somatic NRAS mutations (>75%) in contrast to medium-sized CMN that are less...
frequently NRAS mutated and especially small CMN and acquired melanocytic nevi that frequently carry BRAF<sup>V600E</sup> mutations (up to 80% in acquired nevi) (35). Activating BRAF<sup>V600E</sup> mutations in large CMN are rare (approximately 15%) (6). A few cases of large CMN were shown to harbor a chromosomal translocation involving BRAF resulting in gain-of-function, and this could
FIGURE 5 | ALK-positive spitzoid tumor diagnosed as spitzoid tumor of uncertain malignant potential (STUMP). This lesion was seen in consultation elsewhere (Courtesy Prof. Dr. J. van den Oord, KU Leuven, Belgium). The lesion was clinically polypoid and presented on the arm of a 4-year-old girl. There were 11 mitoses/1 mm² present.

Follow-up data are not available yet. In (A), HE overview (25×); in (B,C), larger magnification (HE 200–400×, respectively) showing spindle-shaped cells and some lymphocytes intermingled; (D) PHH3 staining demonstrating mitoses (400×); (E) mitf (250×); and (F) ALK (250×).

represent an alternative mechanism of BRAF activation in BRAF- or NRAS-wild-type CMN (36).

Recently, using several highly sensitive techniques, Charbel et al. showed that large and giant CMN contain NRAS<sup>Q61</sup> mutations (Q61R or Q61K) in up to 94.7% of cases (37). In addition, using whole-exome sequencing, they found no other coding mutations in five large/giant CMN implying that at present NRAS mutations are the sole recurrent mutation in these lesions. Somatic NRAS mutations, therefore, seem to be sufficient to drive melanocytic proliferations in utero. In addition, identical NRAS<sup>Q61</sup> mutations were recently demonstrated in multiple CMN samples from the same patient (38). This finding suggests that multiple CMN are clonal proliferations caused by a single, postzygotic NRAS mutation in a neuro-ectodermal precursor cell rather than de novo proliferations arising from different mutations.

Kinsler et al. recently proposed the term “CMN syndrome” as they observed that patients with CMN often have characteristic facial features (such as wide or prominent forehead and apparent hypertelorism) (39). The osteocartilageneous structures of the face are neuro-ectodermal in origin and can be affected by mutations in components of the RAS/RAF/MEK/ERK pathway in patients with germline RASopathies who have characteristic facial features (40). Kinsler et al. hypothesized that the occurrence of a postzygotic, somatic NRAS mutation in early neuro-ectodermal precursors might be responsible for the characteristic facial features in patients with CMN as well (38). In addition, as they observed a high prevalence of red hair in families of children with CMN, a germline predisposition for the development of the “CMN syndrome” was hypothesized. Indeed, they showed that certain germline allele variants of the melanocortin-1-receptor (MC1R),
known to be responsible for the red hair/fair skin/freckling phenotype, are associated with the presence of CMN (as well as with more extensive CMN) (41).

Congenital melanocytic nevi can be associated with a spectrum of neurological abnormalities, including malformations (for instance, Dandy–Walker malformation), communicating hydrocephalus, arachnoid cysts, CNS tumors (for instance, astrocytoma and choroid plexus papilloma) and also melanotic abnormalities (38). The latter is called neurocutaneous melanosis (NCM) and refers to the presence of large or multiple CMN in association with extra-cutaneous abnormalities (CNS, ocular, skeletal, cardiovascular, and genitourinary system) (44). The phenotype in the spectrum of mosaic RASopathies is most likely determined by type of mutation, the timing of the mutation, and affected cell type (44, 45).

A mouse model has demonstrated a role for postzygotic, early embryonic NRAS mutations in the pathogenesis of NCM. By using the Cre-loxP technology, Pedersen et al. showed that expression of oncogenic NRASG12D in melanocytes early during embryogenesis resulted in a mouse phenotype strongly resembling NCM in human beings (43) As NRAS mutations occur in benign lesions such as CMN, they are in itself insufficient for malignant transformation of melanocytes, and better insight in the genetic aberrations eventually leading to melanoma is needed. NRAS mutations are a therapeutic target for treatment with MEK inhibitors. In a Phase II trial, treatment with MEK162 was shown to have effect in some patients with advanced NRAS-mutated melanoma and a Phase III trial is ongoing (46). For patients with NRAS-mutated CNS melanocytic tumors, treatment with MEK162 might be of benefit as well (47).

MOLECULAR DIAGNOSTICS IN THE DIFFERENTIAL DIAGNOSIS OF MELANOMA (METASTASIS)

Melanomas are known for their wide range of cytomorphologic features and architectural patterns and may mimic various non-melanocytic tumors [reviewed by Banerjee et al. (48, 49)]. In most cases, a diagnosis can be rendered by careful examination of the histomorphology and by sometimes adding immunohistochemical stains. In some cases, however, especially in recurrences and metastases, melanomas can show an aberrant immunophenotype

Table 2 | Subtypes of spitzoid melanocytic tumors with their phenotypical and genotypical characteristics, and relevance of diagnosis.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Subtypes spitzoid melanocytic tumors</th>
<th>HRAS-mutated spitzoid tumors</th>
<th>BAP 1-mutated spitzoid tumors (MBAIT)</th>
<th>Spitzoid tumors with kinase fusions</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Histological characteristics (phenotype)</td>
<td>Dermal-based lesions</td>
<td>Mostly dermal based</td>
<td>No distinct phenotypes identified yet.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Wedge shaped</td>
<td>Often polyoid based</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Desmoplasia</td>
<td>Often TILs</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Often spindle-shaped cells</td>
<td>Epithelioid cells, a common nevus component can be present.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Genetic alterations</td>
<td>HRAS mutations or amplification</td>
<td>BAP1 mutation</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Single 11p gain can be present</td>
<td>Often with combined BRAFV600E mutation. Rare cases with combined NRAS mutation reported</td>
<td>Specific fusion of certain genes (ROS1 17%, NTRK1 16%, ALK 10%, BRAF 5%, RET 3%).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Relevance of diagnosis</td>
<td>Favorable prognosis</td>
<td>Can be a marker of a BAP1 cancer syndrome.</td>
<td>In case of malignant/metastatic cases targeted treatment is an option (ALK, ROS, and RET alterations can be targeted by kinase inhibitors like crizotinib, cabozantinib, and vandetanib).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>prevention of over-diagnosis of melanoma.</td>
<td>Genetic counseling should be advised.</td>
<td>Behavior not clear yet.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

TILs, tumor-infiltrating lymphocytes; ST, Spitz tumor; MBAIT, melanocytic BAP1-associated intradermal tumor.
with loss of lineage-specific markers. In part of these cases, molecular analysis of genes in the MAPK pathway and CDKN2A (7) mutation analysis can be useful in the differential diagnosis. In addition, molecular analysis can be of help in determining the site of the primary melanoma in case of metastasis with an unknown primary since melanomas from different locations have different mutation types (see Table 1). Furthermore, molecular analysis can help to discriminate between a melanoma metastasis and a second primary melanoma.

One of the lesions that can be hard to distinguish from melanoma is clear cell sarcoma (CCS) as they share histopathological features and cannot be distinguished by immunohistochemistry (50). Classically, CCS is a deep soft tissue tumor associated with tendons and aponeuroses (51), but it can also present as a cutaneous lesion (52), and then has to be differentiated from metastatic or primary nodular melanoma. While most cutaneous melanomas harbor a BRAF or NRAS mutation (see Table 1), CCS in approximately 75% have a t(12;22)(a13;q12) or less commonly a t(2;22)(q34;q12) translocation leading to the EWSR1/ATF1 or EWSR1/CREB1 fusion transcripts (53, 54). Yang et al. (55) performed BRAF and NRAS mutation analysis, as well as FISH analysis for the EWS1/ATF1 fusion gene in 31 melanoma cases and 16 CCSs. They found the translocation in 78.6% of the CCSs but in none of the melanomas, whereas BRAF and NRAS mutations were present in, respectively, 51.6 and 12.9% of the melanomas and not in any of the CCSs. Hantschke et al. (52) described 12 cases of cutaneous CCS in which FISH analysis for the t(12;22)(a13;q12) translocation contributed in the differential diagnosis with melanoma. This implicates that this type of analysis can be of great aid in the differential diagnosis between CCS and melanoma.

Recently, we described two cases in which mutation analysis lead to the correct diagnosis of (dedifferentiated) metastatic melanoma (56). Both patients had a history of melanoma and presented several years after their primary diagnosis with a lesion histologically and immunohistochemically different from the primary melanoma and mimicking a solitary fibrous tumor (SFT). Using BRAF and NRAS mutation analysis, it could be proven that both lesions were melanoma metastases instead of SFT. Many other soft tissue tumors can mimic melanoma or melanoma metastasis, such as dermatofibrosarcoma protubersans, malignant peripheral nerve sheath tumors (MPNST) (57), and sarcomas (48, 58–60).

Although CDKN2A mutation frequency in sporadic melanomas as well as consistency in melanoma metastasis are reported to be low [reported CDKN2A mutation frequency in up to 25% of primary melanomas, 0–14% in melanoma metastases, with a 31% consistency being reported between a primary and the metastasis (61, 62)], CDKN2A mutation analysis can be an alternative way to confirm a diagnosis of metastatic melanoma by showing a clonal relationship between a primary melanoma and a metastasis [after exclusion of a CDKN2A germline mutation (63)], as is illustrated by a recent case from our own practice. A 36-year-old woman with a history of a superficial spreading melanoma of the back 16 years earlier, presented with a large lung mass, which was thought to be a non-small cell lesion, probably squamous cell carcinoma based on fine needle aspiration (EUS-FNA); melanoma markers HMB45 and MART were negative, while the squamous cell marker – p63 – was weakly positive. Evaluation of the pneumonectomy specimen, however, led to a differential diagnosis with metastatic melanoma, since immunohistochemical stainings on the complete tumor now available for histological evaluation showed in part features consistent with melanocytic differentiation. Vimentin, tyrosinase, and mitf were weakly positive; however, S100 and SOX10 were both negative. Therefore, additional BRAF and CDKN2A mutation analysis was performed. Identical mutations in both genes were present in the primary cutaneous melanoma and the lung mass – in absence of a germline CDKN2A mutation – confirming that the lung mass was a late metastasis of the cutaneous melanoma. This case is illustrated in Figure 6.

In a patient with a history of melanoma, it can occasionally be difficult to differentiate between a second primary cutaneous melanoma and a melanoma metastasis based on histomorphology, especially when there is epidermotropism (64). If the first melanoma was not a cutaneous melanoma, the problem can often be solved by mutation analysis since different types of melanomas have mutations in different genes of the MAPK pathway as mentioned in Table 1. If the primary melanoma was a cutaneous melanoma, mutation analysis of NRAS and BRAF can be of help, but since these mutations are hotspot mutations, it is of limited use. Usage is additionally hampered by frequent occurrence of heterogeneity in melanoma with respect to NRAS and BRAF status, leading to a substantial discordance in mutation status in these genes in a primary compared to the metastases (61, 65).

CDKN2A mutation analysis can sometimes be helpful as we have published before (66), and is illustrated by the case above, to differentiate between a new primary and a melanoma metastasis. The advantage of using CDKN2A mutations for clonality is that CDKN2A mutations are unique mutations instead of hot spot mutations. Although CDKN2A mutation frequency in sporadic melanomas is low, CDKN2A mutation analysis to our opinion is worth trying, as there is a substantial difference in the prognosis between a second primary melanoma and a melanoma metastasis.

PART 2: MOLECULAR PATHOLOGY IN MELANOMA TREATMENT

Metastatic melanoma treatment got a great impulse after the discovery that the (hot spot) mutations in genes involved in the MAPK pathway in melanoma, as well as in GNAQ and GNA11, which proved targetable on the protein level by specific inhibitors. GNAQ and GNA11 mutations are mainly present in uveal and primary brain melanomas, and combinations of MEK inhibitors with either PI3K or mTOR inhibitors have shown efficacy in GNAQ- and GNA11-mutant melanomas (67, 68). A simplified scheme of the most important pathways involved in melanoma pathogenesis, and points of action of specific inhibitors are depicted in Figure 1.

Melanomas from distinct locations have been shown to contain different mutation types and frequencies (5, 11, 12). An overview of the most frequent mutations in the distinct melanoma subtypes and their frequencies, as well as the different therapeutic options, are depicted in Table 1.

The most frequently tested genes at present in melanoma are BRAF and NRAS, because these mutations are most prevalent in melanoma, especially in those arising from the skin. Testing for
FIGURE 6 | Case of a superficial spreading melanoma of the back with lung metastasis 16 years later in a female patient. In (A), an overview of the primary cutaneous melanoma showing centrally an asymmetrical melanocytic lesion (HE, 25×). In (B), a more detailed picture showing clear atypia with loss of maturation and ascension in the epidermis (HE, 100×). In (C–E), the lung lesion at different magnifications (HE C 25×, D,E 100×) showing a nodular proliferation of atypical partly plasmacytoid cells resembling the primary cutaneous melanoma. Immunohistochemical S100 stain, however, was negative (F) S100 immunostaining, 100×. Internal and external controls were positive. (G) Sequence plots demonstrated an identical c.168_170delinsTG(p.(Arg58fs)) in the CDKN2A gene in both the skin melanoma and the lung melanoma, which was absent in normal tissue of the patient. This confirmed that the lung tumor was a metastasis of the previous melanoma. The sequence plots are visualized as described under Figure 2.
targeted treatment in melanoma should be guided by the localization of the primary tumor. Nowadays, this issue becomes less important because several molecular labs use platforms that detect various regions from multiple genes within one test.

In case of molecular testing for targeted therapy in metastatic melanoma, there are several important issues.

Molecular testing for therapy requires a close collaboration between clinician, pathologist, and molecular biologist. Testing for treatment should only be performed when targeted therapy is considered as a therapeutic option. This can be judged best by the clinician. In most cases, testing is only indicated in inoperable stage 3 or stage 4 melanoma patients.

Tests need to be performed in an accredited molecular laboratory that guarantees that the laboratory techniques and processes are performed standardized and yield high-quality results, which imply that only validated tests are used.

The role of the pathologist is to confirm the diagnosis of melanoma metastasis, to check representativity of the tumor tissue to be examined, to indicate the area for macroadissection (if needed) by the technician for DNA extraction, to indicate possible tumor heterogeneity, and to estimate the neoplastic cell percentage within the tested sample.

The knowledge and the expertise of trained molecular biologists are used to come to optimal test results and adequate interpretation and reporting of molecular test results. The molecular report should contain information on the specific tissue block tested, the percentage of neoplastic cells, the type of molecular test used, and the sensitivity of the test, the type of genes, and exons or mutations thereof which are tested, and an accurate description of the mutation present according to the Human Genome Variation Society nomenclature. The molecular biologist, together with the pathologist, is responsible for proper integration and interpretation of the molecular results in the pathology report.

The molecular test is preferentially performed on a recent metastasis. First, reason for this is confirmation of the diagnosis of melanoma metastasis. Second, there is considerable tumor heterogeneity with a reported discrepancy by Colombino et al. of 7.5–29% in the BRAF and NRAS mutation status of the metastatic melanoma when compared to the primary (61, 65). Concordance seems dependent on the location of the metastases and is highest for visceral (92.5%) and nodal metastases (91%), but relatively low for brain (79%) and skin metastases (71%). Especially, in the latter, testing should be performed on the metastasis.

Saint-Jean et al. (69) also reported discordant BRAF results. They performed multiple tests in a subgroup of 74 patients: in 10/74 (13.5%) of these patients, BRAF status was discordant between distinct samples of a patient. In two patients, the discordance was present between the primary and a metastasis. In six patients, the discordance was present between two distinct metastases. The authors state that without repeated testing, five patients would unjustly have been excluded from treatment with the BRAF inhibitor, vemurafenib. They advocate to test other samples in case no BRAF mutation has been detected. We can agree with this especially in selected cases, when the primary location is likely to be associated with a BRAF mutation and when no mutation in other targetable genes (like NRAS or KIT) have been identified.

A molecular test should be able to detect all relevant and targetable mutations in a gene. The most frequently tested gene for melanoma treatment at present is BRAF.

The most frequent BRAF mutation is a mononucleotide point mutation in codon 600 (CTG) of exon 15, c.1799T>A (p.(Val600Glu)), in which the valine (V) of codon 600 is replaced by glutamine (E). This mutation is, therefore, known as the BRAFV600E mutation and is present in about 70–75% of all BRAF-mutated melanomas (11, 70, 71). In a recent study in which 1112 primary and metastatic melanomas from different locations were analyzed for BRAF mutations (774 skin melanomas, 111 acral melanomas, 26 mucosal melanomas, 23 uveal melanomas, 1 leptomeningeal melanoma, and 177 metastases), 44.9% of the cases harbored a BRAF mutation: in 75.4% of the cases, mutations were BRAFV600E either deriving from the c.1799T>A or from a c.1799 1800delinsAA mutation. Of the remaining BRAFnon-V600E cases (24.6%), the most frequently seen mutation was the BRAFV600K (17.2%); BRAFV600R or BRAFV600D mutated cases were found in low percentages (4.6%). BRAF exon 11 mutations were also observed in a low percentage (0.4%).

There are several studies that have reported that all melanomas with BRAF codon 600 mutations are sensitive to BRAF inhibitors, such as vemurafenib (Zelboraf®, Roche Molecular Systems Inc.) and dabrafenib (GSK2118436) (72, 73). This implicates that a BRAF test needs to be able to detect not only the BRAFc.1799T>A(p.(Val600Glu))/BRAFV600E mutation but the other codon 600 mutations as well.

A test must be able to detect BRAF mutations that have been reported to be insensitive to BRAF-inhibitor treatment, like the kinase-dead mutation BRAFV609K (74, 75).

The molecular test for therapy should be performed within a short turnaround time since mostly this kind of targeted therapy will be given in rapidly progressive metastatic melanoma patients. A turnaround time of 5 working days is feasible within our hands.

At present, we perform NGS-based mutation testing using Ion Torrent Personal Genome Machine (IT-PGM) from Life Technologies for analysis of gene-panels for diagnostic purposes. At the moment, there is a tendency toward testing with NGS methods for targeted treatment in diverse cancer types. The sensitivity of NGS is higher than Sanger sequencing (detection of 2–10% versus 15–25% allele frequency). Moreover, the amount of DNA that is needed for the analysis of gene panels is very low, only 10 ng for all amplicons for instance when using the IT-PGM from Life Technologies versus 10 ng needed per amplicon for Sanger sequencing.

The turnaround time and costs of NGS methods can be competitive with respect to low throughput technologies in centers that have sufficient numbers of samples.

For diagnostic requests in melanoma in our department, a custom-designed gene panel for amplicon-sequencing of BRAF (NM_004333.4) exon 15, NRAS (NM_002524.4) exon 2 and 3, HRAS (NM_005343.2) exon 2 and 3, AKT1 (NM_005163.2) exon 3, GNAQ (NM_002072.2) exon 4 and 5, GNA11 (NM_002067.2) exon 4 and 5, KIT NM_000222.2) exon 8, 9, 11, 13, and 14, and PDGFRA (NM_006206.4) exon 12, 14, and 18 is performed.

The use of small, dedicated gene panels and efficient loading of the chips for IT-PGM also significantly reduce costs per case.
The major benefit of (targeted) NGS is that it uncovers all kinds of mutations in selected genomic regions instead of only mutations at predefined positions.
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